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INTRODUCTION

Armed conflict has proven to be one of the most consistent and devastating occurrences throughout history and it affects almost every area of private and public life.1 The near constant presence of armed conflict and its economic, political, and cultural effects on the world have spawned countless analyses of its causes and effects.2 Despite this large amount of analysis, however, a study of the impacts of armed conflict would be incomplete without mentioning the effects on the natural environment.3 While most analysis focuses on how the environment impacts armed conflict and tactics, the effects of armed conflict on the environment have yet to be heavily covered.4 The environmental impacts of armed conflict are devastating,5 affecting nearly all aspects of the natural environment including sources of water,6 the fertility of the land,7 and the quality of the air.8 To best address this wide range of effects, the international community must adopt a multifaceted approach that combines rigorous adherence to international and regional treaties, implementation of reforms
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on the ground, and the provision of additional and tailored aid to each individual community.

The effects on the environment have been documented, although not heavily analyzed, since the dominance of the Roman Empire. These documented impacts only became more devastating as history progressed and technology evolved. Advancements in artillery, the introduction of smokeless gunpowder, and the invention of high explosives all contribute to the ever-growing impact on the environment. These advancements and the increased duration, destruction, and magnitude of modern wars threaten to alter the environment to a point where it can no longer recover. This looming threat must be understood if the environmental impacts of armed conflict are to be addressed effectively.

There are three main characteristics of armed conflict that contribute to environmental degradation. First, the physical ruin from troop movements, and use of weapons and resources, which cause general environmental destruction; the contamination of water sources and soil from chemical weapons; and deforestation, which causes the increase of carbon dioxide and nitrogen oxide, which affect climate change and the acidification of the environment. Second, the creation of refugee crises, which drastically increase resource consumption, strip the natural environment of the staples of a healthy ecosystem, such as fertile soil, and cause increased pollution as a result of improper waste disposal. Finally, prison overpopulation also affects the environment as a result of improper waste disposal, which contaminates the soil and water sources of the area, and the improper burial of bodies, which also can contaminate the surrounding environment. All of these factors and their destructive impacts can be observed in the current conflict in Syria. In order to mitigate the environmental degradation of armed conflict in both the present and the future, the international community should work together to support local reforms, change certain dynamics of international aid,
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and ensure the enforcement of international treaties and resolutions that address this issue.19

This Note will analyze armed conflict’s three largest contributors to environmental degradation and discuss the potential solutions to each in the context of the current crisis in Syria. These solutions create a comprehensive plan for the international community to follow in order to immediately reduce the impact on the environment and the potentially permanent effects that it causes. This Note will be divided into five parts. Part I will provide a brief introduction to the conflict in Syria. Part II will discuss the environmental effects of weapons and troop movements as well as discuss the solutions to this problem. Part III will analyze refugee crises and how to best address the environmental impacts they cause. Part IV will concentrate on the problem of prison overpopulation caused by armed conflict and will propose various answers to this problem. Finally, the Conclusion will summarize the overall argument and provide additional thoughts.

I. AN INTRODUCTION TO THE CONFLICT IN SYRIA

Before the specific environmental impacts of armed conflict are addressed within the context of Syria, decision makers within the international community should understand the background of the conflict. In the spring of 2011, Syrians took to the streets to peacefully protest the dictatorship of President Bashar al-Assad in an attempt to install democracy and freedom for the Syrian people.20 Assad’s regime responded quickly and violently to the protests.21 Assad escalated the practices of arbitrary detention, disappearances, and torture to a normalized feature of national policy.22 As the protests continued through 2012, Assad also continued to increase the violence against the protestors, and the groups involved in the conflict continued to grow.23 Assad’s regime drew support from Lebanese Hezbollah and Iranian fighters that worked with Assad to control Damascus and much of western Syria because it was to their
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benefit that Assad remain in power. The various groups representing the rebels refused to give in and instead fought back more fiercely. At the same time, however, the rebels became increasingly disjointed. Adding to this confusion, other groups began fighting alongside the rebels including the Free Syrian Army and third party organizations like Ahrar al-Sham, Jabhat al-Nusra, ISIS, and other forces made up of Kurdish fighters in northern Syria. All of these factors came together to create a powder keg that was waiting to explode at any moment, and unfortunately, it did exactly that.

Since the beginning of this conflict in 2011, the Assad Regime and its allies have committed not only war crimes, but also numerous other violations of international law. Purposeful targeting and killing of civilians, the use of air strikes on civilian centers, and the targeting of hospitals, food markets, and residential areas all have become normal occurrences in Syria. The Assad Regime detained over 200,000 people since the start of the conflict including men, women, and even children, all under the label of political prisoner. In addition, tens of thousands died either in conflict or while in detention. Unfortunately, prison officials, military forces, government employees, and the military police corps all have concrete knowledge of these actions but refuse to act out of tacit compliance. As this crisis in Syria continues to develop, it is imperative that the international community study all aspects of the conflict as well as all appropriate solutions. This includes addressing the effects of troop movements and the use of weapons, the environmental impacts of refugee crises, and prison overpopulation.
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II. THE IMPACT OF THE PRACTICES AND WEAPONS OF WAR

A. Background and Statistics

The general practices associated with armed conflicts and their harmful effects on the environment typically go unnoticed and under-appreciated in the international community.37 The use of weapons, the need for resources to support troops, and the indirect consumption that goes into the production of arms and tools all contribute directly to environmental degradation.38 This issue should be placed with mining, logging, and industrial atmospheric pollution as an equally serious threat to the environment.39

In Syria, this trend of environmental damage caused by armed conflict is no different.40 Government forces, as well as sections of the rebels and outside forces from countries like the United States and Russia, have engaged in extremely destructive practices throughout the conflict.41 Government forces have repeatedly used artillery, mortars, barrel bombs, and chemical weapons to target civilian populations and medical centers.42 According to the Syrian Network for Human Rights, in 2016 alone, the Assad Regime conducted around 1,400 attacks on civilian structures, and dropped almost 13,000 barrel bombs across Syria.43 The United States also dropped well over 26,000 bombs in 2016, mostly in Syria and Iraq.44

Finally, in addition to the weapons used, the massive amount of troops in Syria also adds to this problem.45 While Syria claims only 50,000 of its own troops are fighting, Iran has deployed over 70,000 to Syria and hired an additional 250,000 militiamen and agents.46 Making matters
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worse, this does not count the other foreign troops currently fighting in areas of Syria such as soldiers from Iraq and Afghanistan.\textsuperscript{47} In the end, the combination of the use of thousands of explosive and chemical weapons and the deployment of even more soldiers drastically contributes to environmental degradation.\textsuperscript{48}

B. Specific Effects of Armed Conflict on the Environment

1. General Environmental Destruction

History shows that armed conflict increases environmental stress.\textsuperscript{49} Modern armed conflict raises this risk dramatically due to its increased extent, magnitude, and duration.\textsuperscript{50} The increased destructive power of armed conflict has the capacity to make radical alterations to the landscape, and can permanently inhibit the surrounding environment from ever returning to its natural and productive state.\textsuperscript{51}

The weapons of war, especially the use of artillery, mortars, and other explosive ordinances like barrel bombs, tear apart the land and can make it completely unusable through the complete destruction of vegetation and fertile soil.\textsuperscript{52} This effect is exacerbated when troops purposefully target areas of the environment in order to eliminate cover or resources of enemy combatants.\textsuperscript{53} In the realm of armed conflict, however, it is not only weapons that cause environmental destruction, but other activities such as troop movements and resource consumption.\textsuperscript{54}

2. Chemical Weapons

While other weapons of war have a devastating effect on the environment, chemical weapons occupy a special category of their own and can produce harmful environmental effects that other weapons cannot.\textsuperscript{55}

\textsuperscript{47} Oren Dorell, \textit{A mind-boggling stew of nations is fighting in Syria’s civil war}, USA TODAY (Feb. 16, 2016), http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/world/2016/02/15/mind-boggling-stew-nations-fighting-syrias-civil-war/80406862/ [https://perma.cc/9N23-M57F].
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Chemical weapons are considered weapons of mass destruction, and although they do not have the same catastrophic effect as nuclear weapons, the use of chemical weapons causes severe environmental damage.\(^{56}\) Chemical weapons contain highly toxic substances that can seep into the environment and contaminate the land and water of an area.\(^{57}\)

In addition to the negative environmental effects of using chemical weapons, their disposal also presents further challenges.\(^{58}\) The destruction and disposal of chemical weapons create the same harmful environmental effects as actually using the weapons if these processes are not handled correctly.\(^{59}\) Overall, because of the potential for environmental destruction and the use of dozens of chemical weapons attacks in Syria, it is important that the often overlooked environmental impact is not minimized.\(^{60}\)

3. Deforestation and Pollution

Another harmful environmental effect of armed conflict has to do with the release of emissions.\(^{61}\) Armed conflict can drastically increase deforestation through repeated bombings, resource consumption of troops, and the creation of encampments and defensive-works.\(^{62}\) Subsequently, this increase in deforestation increases emissions of gasses like carbon dioxide and nitrogen oxide that would otherwise not be released.\(^{63}\) Cutting down trees immediately releases any carbon stored within and prevents the area from recycling excess carbon.\(^{64}\) Nitrogen oxide emissions also increase as a result of the absence of forests and attempted development of the land.\(^{65}\) An increase in nitrogen oxide can cause interactions that form products harmful to the environment, causing damage to vegetation and increasing the acidification of the surrounding area.\(^{66}\) Carbon
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dioxide emissions also cause devastating effects by contributing to climate change and decreasing soil quality.67

C. Potential Solutions

The existing treaty system contains many tools to uphold protections for the environment, but certain factors are necessary for the treaties to be effective.68 If these factors are present, a treaty can be a powerful force to protect the environment, but unfortunately, many times these factors are missing.69 The most important factors to ensure the effectiveness of environmental aspects of a treaty are: enforcing sanctions for non-compliance; providing financial assistance to developing countries to implement the treaty provisions; and ensuring that the treaty involves as many countries as possible.70 By applying these factors to the following three treaties, the environmental problems listed above can be addressed, and should be greatly reduced.

1. Rome Statute

The Rome Statute established the International Criminal Court, which is a legal body that investigates and tries individuals accused of heinous crimes including genocide, war crimes, and crimes against humanity.71 The Rome Statute also condemns environmental infractions alongside these egregious crimes, which shows how important this topic is to the international community.72 Article 8(2)(b)(iv) of the Rome Statute prevents any excessive military attack with the knowledge that it will cause long-term and severe damage to the environment.73 This provision, if strictly enforced with sanctions, as suggested above, would help to reduce the widespread damage to the environment from the use of weaponry.
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like chemical weapons and artillery that often cause permanent environmental damage.\textsuperscript{74}


Protocol I of the Geneva Convention relates to the protections of victims in international conflicts.\textsuperscript{75} While this Protocol provides broad protections to many aspects of an armed conflict,\textsuperscript{76} it also has several important provisions to protect the environment.\textsuperscript{77} Article 35 provides that it is prohibited “to employ methods or means of warfare which are intended, or may be expected, to cause widespread, long-term and severe damage to the natural environment.”\textsuperscript{78} In addition to this, Article 55 is entirely dedicated to the protection of the environment in general.\textsuperscript{79} Article 55 states that “care shall be taken in warfare to protect the natural environment against widespread, long-term and severe damage[,]” and it includes both intentional damage and a reasonable expectation of damage.\textsuperscript{80} If enforced properly with sanctions and international aid programs, all of the above issues related to armed conflicts could be better addressed through the provisions of Protocol I.\textsuperscript{81}

3. Chemical Weapons Convention

The Chemical Weapons Convention aims to eliminate the use and stockpiling of all types of chemical weapons.\textsuperscript{82} One of the most important provisions of this Convention is its requirement for all state parties to submit to regular inspections.\textsuperscript{83} In order to prevent chemical weapons from
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damaging the environment, the inspections should be increased beyond the current rate which is not sufficient.84 In addition to the inspections, the Convention also specifically requires the protection of the environment during the transportation and disposal of chemical weapons.85 The comprehensive nature of this Convention, along with the mandatory inspections, provides invaluable tools to address the grave environmental harms caused by chemical weapons. With a more robust inspection system and the application of meaningful sanctions, the environmental effects of chemical weapons and the use of chemical weapons in general can be greatly reduced or completely eliminated.86

III. IMPACT OF REFUGEES

A. Background and Statistics

The refugee crisis coming out of Syria has drawn more attention than almost any other facet of the Syrian conflict. Between 2011 and 2015, over 4.6 million people fled Syria, including over 1 million in 2015 alone.87 According to the U.N. Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs, in addition to those that fled Syria, there are another 7.6 million people who are internally displaced within the country, half of whom are children.88 At the height of the refugee crisis, there were 10,000 refugees fleeing Syria a day and going to Turkey, Greece, Italy, Germany, Austria, and many other surrounding countries.89 Turkey alone is housing more than 2.7 million Syrian refugees, making it one of the hardest hit by this influx of displaced people.90 Syria, however, is not the only cause of the refugee crisis that is currently developing.91
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There are over 60 million refugees and displaced people spread around the world, a number that is unprecedented in recorded history. Not since World War II has such a large group of civilians been forced to flee their homes. The majority of these refugees are coming from Syria, Afghanistan, Iraq, Gaza, Haiti, and a dozen other nations in sub-Saharan and North Africa. These are people fleeing prosecution, poverty, ethnic and religious strife, and war.

The staggering numbers of reported refugees, from both the Syrian crisis and from past crises, represent a growing problem with a wide range of risks to the entire international community. And while the refugee crisis has created discussions on terrorism, national security, human rights, and the economy, it also has a devastating effect on the local environments where refugees seek shelter. The international community must address these effects if it hopes to get ahead of this growing problem.

B. The General Effects of Refugees on the Environment

When small groups of displaced people seek shelter and relocate themselves and their families in a new area, there is very little environmental impact. Their ecological footprint is relatively small, and they do not require many resources. When there is a spontaneous movement of thousands or even millions of people, however, the environmental impact grows exponentially. Water consumption, deforestation, pollution, and land use quickly consume the local environment and threaten to destabilize the surrounding area.

This environmental impact is worsened even further because many refugee camps are often located in environmentally sensitive areas, since
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these areas provide large open fields for large numbers of people.\textsuperscript{103} This increases the risks of serious and long-lasting environmental damage due to the already weak state of the area and its inability to recover.\textsuperscript{104} As a result, the environmental impact of refugees is often irreversible, making this current crisis a major priority in the fight against environmental degradation.\textsuperscript{105}

C. The Specific Effects of Refugees on the Environment

The environmental effects of refugees can be broken down into two major categories: resource consumption and waste disposal.\textsuperscript{106} While each of these actions have their own specific set of circumstances and causes, they both equally impact the environment in an increasingly dangerous way.\textsuperscript{107} The longer these actions go unaddressed, the harder it will be to start the eventual environmental repair and mitigation efforts.\textsuperscript{108}

1. Resource Consumption

The first and most readily observable category of the impacts of refugees is resource consumption. Refugees immediately impact the environment when they first arrive to a new area;\textsuperscript{109} meeting their basic needs for resources as they settle into the area creates the largest impact.\textsuperscript{110} Even after this initial phase, however, environmental impacts are continuous and similarly devastating.\textsuperscript{111} This is especially true for large refugee settlements because the necessary resources are in higher demand in a much more concentrated area, compared with a group of smaller camps dispersed around a larger area.\textsuperscript{112} If each scenario involves an equal number of refugees, the dispersion of smaller camps puts less strain on natural resources.\textsuperscript{113} Unfortunately, in more cases than not, refugee camps
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are very large for both political and logistical reasons.\footnote{Doctors Without Borders, *Dadaab to Somalia: Pushed Back Into Peril* (Oct. 2016), https://www.doctorswithoutborders.org/sites/usa/files/pushed_back_into_peril.pdf[https://perma.cc/2TY4-JKY8].} For aid workers and governments, it is easier to address refugees if they are all located in one central location.\footnote{Id.} Additionally, local populations often push back against large influxes of refugees, making larger camps the most popular answer.\footnote{Shepherd, *supra* note 6.} These larger camps, however, in addition to consuming more resources, also make sustainable land-use practices nearly impossible.\footnote{Doctors Without Borders, *supra* note 114.} The constant presence of an unmoving sea of people prevents the local environment from recovering from associated harms and essentially renders the land useless for any purpose other than housing refugees.\footnote{Gomez et al., *supra* note 7.} As a result, these large camps are one of the primary direct causes of environmental degradation.\footnote{Id.}

Moving beyond the size of the refugee camps themselves, the international community must also address the specific effects of resource consumption.\footnote{Id.} Deforestation is one of the most destructive practices surrounding resource consumption in refugee camps.\footnote{Id.} When refugees first arrive in a camp, they immediately require that basic needs for survival be met, and this includes shelters for their families.\footnote{Id.} Refugees will start to cut down trees and collect wood from the surrounding area in order to form the structures of their shelters.\footnote{Id.} While aid groups and other organizations typically provide polythene sheeting, they do not provide any structural supports for the sheeting, forcing the refugees to collect wood from the area.\footnote{Id.} Not only is this wood needed to finish constructing some form of shelter,\footnote{Id.} it is also needed to build fires for warmth and cooking.\footnote{UNHCR, *supra* note 17.} While the refugees cannot be blamed for simply trying to survive, host nations and aid organizations must make efforts to mitigate the effects of deforestation.\footnote{Id.} This will minimize the harm to the environment,
while avoiding placing any more stress or responsibility on the refugee population who is already suffering.\textsuperscript{128}

In addition to deforestation, resource consumption of refugees also affects several other areas of environmental harm.\textsuperscript{129} Competition over natural resources in areas concentrated by refugees puts more pressure on the local environment by increasing grazing, hunting, forest fires, and land encroachment.\textsuperscript{130} Devegetation, unsustainable groundwater extraction, uncontrolled population growth, inhibition of environmental regeneration, and invasive weed expansion are also results of increased resource consumption in refugee camps.\textsuperscript{131} All of these negative effects threaten to combine with the already-existing resource scarcity in some areas caused by poverty, rising populations, weak property rights, and inappropriate management.\textsuperscript{132} This creates a perfect storm of factors that can threaten to destabilize the regional environment, potentially leaving permanent damage.\textsuperscript{133}

Increased resource consumption is a common theme of all refugee crises due to their similar characteristics, and has the potential to be one of the most long-lasting effects as well.\textsuperscript{134} Rapid consumption and destruction of trees, water sources, vegetation, and soil has the capability to forever alter the landscape.\textsuperscript{135} When multiplied by the thousands upon thousands of people constantly trampling the ground underneath them, and setting up and taking down shelters, refugee camp areas may remain unrecognizable long after the population has dispersed.\textsuperscript{136} This will harm future generations who seek to live in that area because they are now deprived of much needed resources that would remain if not for the refugee camps.\textsuperscript{137} Consumption, however, is not the only major problem that is created by these camps; waste also threatens the environment with the same veracity.\textsuperscript{138}
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2. Waste Disposal

Waste from refugee camps can come in many different forms but the two most common are medical/pharmaceutical waste and municipal/human waste.\(^\text{139}\) Although by varying levels, each of these forms of waste damage the surrounding environment and can threaten future development of the area.\(^\text{140}\) First, medical and pharmaceutical waste is largely produced by hospitals and local health care centers.\(^\text{141}\) With an influx of thousands of refugees, however, the production of this waste increases exponentially as more people arrive.\(^\text{142}\) In Jordan, which has been hit hard by the refugee crisis in Syria, the Ministry of Health recorded a 184% increase in medical waste and a 250% increase in pharmaceutical waste since the start of the refugee crisis.\(^\text{143}\) If this type of waste increase is not managed appropriately, serious environmental consequences will result.\(^\text{144}\)

Medical waste is commonly mixed with general waste and dumped illegally in unfit areas.\(^\text{145}\) Medical/pharmaceutical waste can include everything from human tissue to chemical waste to radioactive waste and medicines, all of which are sometimes dumped in the most convenient of locations without much protocol.\(^\text{146}\) All of these harmful substances combine into a deadly mixture that then seeps into the ground.\(^\text{147}\) It can contaminate water sources and spread harmful effects to livestock, plant life, and human populations.\(^\text{148}\) If not properly managed, this waste can quickly spread its effects to the area surrounding the refugee camp and transform the environment into an uninhabitable tract of land.\(^\text{149}\)

The second type of waste that greatly impacts the environment is municipal and human waste. In Lebanon alone the increase of municipal...
waste due to the refugee crisis from Syria amounted to 683 tons per day in May 2014. 150 Experts estimated this could soon reach 889 tons per day if nothing is done. 151 This would amount to a total of 324,568 tons of municipal waste per year. 152 It is not only the accumulation of this waste that is causing environmental problems however, the improper handling of the waste also contributes. 153 Of the total amount of waste produced each day, over 63% of it is open-burned while the rest is deposited into local dumps. 154 Both of these practices, if not handled correctly, can result in severe environmental damage. 155 A large increase in the dumping of waste will result in increased volumes of leachate that sink into the ground and the surrounding groundwater, polluting the entire area. 156 This practice can also increase soil contamination and negatively impacts the ability to use or develop the land in any way. 157 In addition to municipal waste, the large increase in human waste due to the refugee crisis in Syria also negatively impacts the environment. 158 Similar to municipal waste, human waste can easily contaminate ground water sources and cause the spread of disease to surrounding living beings and ecosystems, 159 especially with the dramatic increases exhibited in refugee crises. 160

D. Potential Solutions

Between the effects of resource consumption and waste disposal, refugee crises create a wide range of harmful environmental effects that threaten to destabilize and permanently damage the local environments that host refugees. 161 The onus of the responsibility to fix this problem, however, does not rest on the shoulders of the refugees. 162 They cannot be expected to consider the impacts on the environment as more important than their families’ lives. 163 Instead, the solutions should largely be
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the responsibility of the international community, including international treaty bodies and local governments and organizations. Overall, it is vital to avoid developing a “one-size-fits-all” approach; the international community needs to develop a distinct solution for each refugee operation due to the differing social, cultural, and environmental characteristics of each area.\textsuperscript{164}

First, to address the problem of increased resource consumption, the size of refugee camps should be altered. While this may run into political roadblocks due to increased costs and logistics, it is the least burdensome way to mitigate the environmental effects of refugees.\textsuperscript{165} The international community needs to move away from the large and overcrowded refugee camps and instead set up a group of small camps with enough dispersion to avoid excess strain on natural resources.\textsuperscript{166} This will spread resource consumption over a large area and therefore limit the severity of the damage to a particular area.\textsuperscript{167} Although this step is a good start, it will not change the fact that massive amounts of resources are being consumed by these camps, prompting the need for further solutions.\textsuperscript{168}

In addition to reducing the size of the camps, the international community also must drastically reduce the consumption of fuel and wood.\textsuperscript{169} This can be done by providing alternative sources of fuel in order to prevent the overconsumption of surrounding resources.\textsuperscript{170} This can also be accomplished by providing food that cooks faster such as “maize-meal” which can easily be served with minimum fuel consumption or preparation.\textsuperscript{171} Even the provision of basic cooking utensils and saucepan lids can reduce fuel consumption by limiting the time it takes to cook food products.\textsuperscript{172} Finally, providing refugees with poles to set up their shelters instead of forcing them to rely on cutting down trees limits deforestation further.\textsuperscript{173}

Second, in addressing the problem of waste disposal, one of the most effective ways of preventing municipal waste is to increase recycling efforts.\textsuperscript{174} Recycling will drastically reduce the amount of waste sent
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to landfills or incinerated in furnaces.\textsuperscript{175} Recycling therefore reduces the leachate seeping into the ground and the air pollution dispersing into the atmosphere.\textsuperscript{176} In Lebanon alone, recycling programs would prevent over 79,000 tons of recyclables and organic material from polluting the environment.\textsuperscript{177} Not only will this stop increased pollution, it also has the opportunity to save millions of dollars in production costs by using recycled materials.\textsuperscript{178} This can be used as a major incentive for countries around the world to implement such programs.\textsuperscript{179} Even simple solutions like providing extra collection services and reducing packaging for food and other items can drastically cut down on the waste and go a long way in preventing further environmental damage.\textsuperscript{180}

Change also must occur when addressing medical and pharmaceutical waste. The United Nations Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner Special Rapporteur recommends that the practice of incineration be phased out entirely and replaced with other processes such as autoclaving.\textsuperscript{181} Autoclaves use pressurized steam to sterilize medical and other waste by destroying the microorganisms within it.\textsuperscript{182} It is one of the most effective processes available.\textsuperscript{183} This process shows the potential of the immediately available technology that can instantly reduce environmental degradation.\textsuperscript{184}

Overall, implementation of these potential solutions could drastically reduce the impact of refugees around the world.\textsuperscript{185} As a result, one of the longest lasting environmental effects of armed conflict could be reduced.\textsuperscript{186} It is imperative, however, that the international community take action now due to the potential permanent damage that refugee crises can cause.\textsuperscript{187} The longer we wait, the more land and resources we risk losing forever, and that is not a risk that we should be willing to take.
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IV. IMPACT OF PRISON OVERPOPULATION

A. Background and Statistics

In addition to causing the refugee crisis in Syria, the Syrian conflict has also caused an emergency in the country’s prison system. Since the start of the initial protests, the government security forces of Syria detained thousands of civilians across the county. Some estimates have put this number around 200,000. All of these detainees, regardless of whether they are men, women, or even children, are labeled under a single moniker: political prisoner. What makes this large influx of new detainees even more troubling is the alarmingly small capacity of the Syrian prison system. According to the last official reports concerning the Syrian prison system in 2004, the system can handle a total of 16,161 people. Even today, Human Rights Watch was only able to locate twenty-seven detention centers across Syria that are being used to house the rapidly increasing prison population. Overall, this disparity between the infrastructure of the prison system and the prison population presents a concerning trend not only for human rights but for the surrounding environment as well.

Thousands of prisoners have also died within this system as a result of torture or poor conditions. Men, women, and children have perished within the poorly run and overburdened detention centers across Syria. The Syrian government’s notorious security agencies, collectively called the Mukhabarat, are primary responsible for the ill-treatment, torture, and killing of detainees. Syria’s four intelligence agencies, including the Department of Military Intelligence, the Political Security Directorate, the General Intelligence Directorate, and the Air Force
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Intelligence Directorate, also share a large portion of responsibility of the thousands upon thousands of deaths that are caused by the Syrian prison system.\textsuperscript{199}

The impact of prisons and their poor management and abhorrent practices have a measurable relationship with the surrounding environmental health of the region.\textsuperscript{200} This impact must be understood because of its long-term environmental effects.\textsuperscript{201} The international community needs to approach armed conflicts from every angle available in order to ensure the highest likelihood of success moving forward.

B. The Effects of Prison Overpopulation

1. Waste and Pollution

Prisons can resemble small towns or cities and, as a result, they have the same problems with pollution and waste management.\textsuperscript{202} This becomes even more obvious as the prison system becomes increasingly overcrowded or if there is poor management of the daily operations.\textsuperscript{203} In order to keep the environmental impact of prisons minimal, the prison must prioritize a sanitary and organized environment.\textsuperscript{204} Unfortunately, however, Syria’s prison system usually does not meet these conditions.\textsuperscript{205}

Panagioti Tsolkas, Director of the Prison Ecology Project, generally describes prisons as “factory farm[s] for humans.”\textsuperscript{206} This description of the mentality behind prisons explains why prisons can have such a large effect on the local environment. Since the start of the Syrian conflict, the Assad Regime detained more than 200,000 people across Syria, with tens of thousands of known detainees being held at any given moment.\textsuperscript{207} In addition, this number does not account for the thousands of other
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detainees that disappear as they are whisked away to unlisted facilities across the country.\textsuperscript{208} 

As a result of this overcrowded and overburdened system, waste management is one of the largest issues within Syria’s prisons.\textsuperscript{209} According to the United Nations Environment Programme, if this waste is not handled properly it can contaminate groundwater and quickly spread disease to the living organisms in the area.\textsuperscript{210} In Syria’s prisons, the people are tightly packed together, not able to sit or lie down, and often have no access to bathrooms.\textsuperscript{211} Some detainees go months without being able to bathe or properly take care of any waste.\textsuperscript{212} Cells that are meant for two hundred people are packed with six hundred.\textsuperscript{213} One detainee reported to Amnesty International that thirty to forty men were forced into a fifteen square foot room and forced to stay there with dead bodies.\textsuperscript{214} 

The abhorrent conditions and non-existent waste management practices have even caused some of the detainees to wish to be beaten by the prison guards.\textsuperscript{215} One witness told Human Rights Watch, “[w]e wanted to get beaten, just so we could [leave the cell and] breathe . . . the punishment was easier than the smell, and the atmosphere.”\textsuperscript{216} These conditions, coupled with a total lack of ventilation and sanitation facilities, have turned Syria’s prison system into a source of suffering for those trapped inside and for the local environment.\textsuperscript{217} The environmental contamination caused by the improper disposal of waste and the rapid spread of disease has the potential to do untold damage to the groundwater and local life of the region.\textsuperscript{218} If human waste is not properly transported, disposed of, treated, or stored, it turns into a destructive force that threatens the soil, air, and water of the area.\textsuperscript{219} Because of this wide-ranging
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impact, the international community must come together to ensure that Syria’s prison system is abiding by all necessary regulations.

2. Burial of Bodies

Another environmental problem of dramatic prison overcrowding in Syria is the effect of the thousands of deceased prisoners continuously streaming out of the Syrian prisons.220 Since the start of the conflict in Syria, almost 18,000 people have died in prisons across the country due to torture, disease, or executions.221 This amounts to an average of three hundred people being killed every month since 2011.222 Investigators from the United Nations stated that this amounts to a “state policy of extermination of the civilian population[,]” which is classified as a crime against humanity.223

However, it is not the death alone that causes the environmental threat; it is what happens afterwards.224 If dead bodies are not handled properly there can be widespread groundwater and environmental contamination.225 The World Health Organization (“WHO”) has laid out detailed guidelines that should be followed, especially in cases like Syria, where there are mass deaths.226 The WHO says that all bodies must be buried in plastic sheets, shrouds, or other materials and, in the case of mass graves, the grave site must be two meters above the water table and at least three hundred and fifty meters away from all water sources.227 This is especially important if the cause of death was disease, like many of the cases in Syria’s prisons.228 If disease was the cause of death, the threat of contamination is much higher because the disease can spread quickly and efficiently.229

In Syria, the number of deaths outpace what the government forces can handle, and the procedure for burying bodies is chaotic.230 Hospitals
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and government sites are far over their capacity, and many times, the thousands of bodies are stored outside in an open-air garage without any protection or care. When the bodies are finally buried, they are hastily dumped into mass graves on military land—mostly in Damascus. In addition to these mass graves in Syria, there have also been an additional seventy-two mass graves dug by ISIS across Syria and Iraq that have an additional fifteen thousand bodies within them.

C. Potential Solutions

The best way to solve the environmental problems caused by prison overpopulation must rely on international cooperation. Ideally, the most effective solution would be to stop the conflict altogether, therefore putting a halt on the ever-increasing prison population. But this is an extremely difficult task for policymakers to accomplish, and it relies on many factors that are beyond control. Because of this, the best solution should focus on United Nations Resolution 2139, which was unanimously passed in 2014. Resolution 2139 focused partly on the state of the detention centers in Syria. The Security Council demanded that the Syrian government open up all detention centers, both official and unofficial, to international monitors.

These monitors would have access to all detention centers without notification to the Syrian government, and would be able to conduct their investigations without interference. Unfortunately, however, this Resolution has largely lain dormant. The Security Council should return to
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this Resolution and work on enacting it with full force. This would curb the improper practices that have been occurring in Syrian prisons across the country. One of the priorities should be ensuring that the proper waste management and body burial practices are being observed. In the end, this is the best possible solution because it also has Russia’s support, which is rare for any policy involving Syria.

Another possible solution would be for the Security Council to adopt targeted sanctions on those individuals or groups that are responsible for the practices within the prisons of Syria. The International Criminal Court could also create a renewed effort to prosecute those same perpetrators. These two solutions—Security Council sanctions and ICC enforcement—are not as likely to succeed as moving forward with Resolution 2139 because it is unlikely that Russia would grant its approval; however, they are still important steps to pursue to draw more attention to the issue. Any attention that is drawn to this issue is a positive development both for the state of human rights in Syria and for the local environment of the region.

CONCLUSION

The devastating effects of armed conflict on the natural environment must be addressed quickly and efficiently to prevent any further permanent environmental harm. At the same time, however, solving this growing problem is not a simple feat, it will require a concerted effort by the international community to combine solutions of all types into one overarching package. The international community should play a central role in this process because of its ability to push for comprehensive and consistent practices rather than piecemeal solutions that require each individual country to act independently. Despite the challenge that this comprehensive solution poses, however, the international community is well-equipped and adaptive.
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The most efficient solutions will target reforms on all levels. Treaties should be strictly enforced by international bodies to ensure compliance with weapons inspections, human rights obligations, and international aid requirements. In addition, new reforms should be put into place that provide additional and more environmentally friendly resources to countries in need, and rethink the dispersion of refugee camps. Finally, the solutions created by the international community should not follow a “one-size-fits-all” approach, rather, each should allow a degree of flexibility so solutions can be tailored to individual communities.

While the above methods will provide an efficient solution to the problem of armed conflicts’ environmental destruction, implementing all of these measures quickly provides an additional dilemma. To ensure that the environment is protected while these new reforms and measures are being created and implemented, mitigation measures should also be pursued. First, before anything is implemented, it is important to develop stronger connections between the ideas of conflict and the protection of the environment to ensure that countries know the harm that can be done. After this connection has been firmly established, mitigation measures can be created. Some of these measures include developing plans for a drought in resources, supporting land reforms like pastoralism, and integrating humanitarian and development work. These actions will provide a temporary patch to help lessen the environmental impact of armed conflicts while also raising awareness of this growing problem and making future reforms more acceptable.

Overall, the multifaceted approach of all of the above solutions have the ability to create meaningful change for current and future instances of armed conflict. This includes reducing, and possibly eliminating, the devastating environmental effects of the current conflict in Syria and the spillover it creates. The mere possibility of eliminating even one
harmful after-product of armed conflict is worth pursuing. The prevention of suffering of those most in need, and the preservation of a healthy environment for the future, are goals that should unite the international community and pull this often overlooked problem out of its proverbial no man’s land.