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DEFINING FAMILY

... and justice for all?

GAYS, LESBIANS AND THE MEANING OF FAMILY

WITH KEYNOTE SPEAKER

CONGRESSMAN BARNEY FRANK

THE INSTITUTE OF BILL OF RIGHTS LAW STUDENT SYMPOSIUM
COMMONWEALTH AUDITORIUM, UNIVERSITY CENTER
6 TO 9 P.M., THURSDAY, MARCH 24, 1994 • FREE ADMISSION
SIMPSON v. SIMPSON: STATEMENT OF FACTS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY
The Moot Court Presentation involves a custody battle between a gay father and a straight mother, set in the fictional state of Shadwell. In Shadwell, custody is awarded based on the best interests of the child. The arguments focus on how the father’s homosexuality affects his fitness as a parent and whether he has any constitutional challenges to the use of his sexual orientation as a factor in the custody determination. The trial court applied a multi-factor analysis to determine the best interests of the child, and granted custody to Mrs. Simpson, citing Mr. Simpson's homosexuality as an overriding factor. The appellate court affirmed, and ruled that a parent’s homosexuality is a per se bar to his or her fitness for custody. There was a vigorous dissent at the appellate level. The case now appears before the Supreme Court of Shadwell.
GAYS, LESBIANS, AND THE MEANING OF FAMILY

7:10 p.m. KEYNOTE ADDRESS
The Honorable Barney Frank, member of the U.S. House of Representatives

7:45 p.m. PANEL DISCUSSION AND TOWN MEETING

Joseph Broadus, Professor of Law,
George Mason University

Beatrice Dohrn, Legal Director,
Lambda Legal Defense

Dr. David Flaks, Director of the Employee's Assistance Program,
St. Francis Medical Center, Trenton, New Jersey

Stephen Pershing, Legal Director,
ACLU Virginia

Herbert Titus, Editor,
The Forecast

Moderator: Rodney A. Smolla, Arthur B. Hanson Professor of Law and
Director, Institute of Bill of Rights Law

9:00 p.m. Closing
The Student Division of the Institute of Bill of Rights Law was established to foster dialogue and promote research among law students. An integral part of the Institute, the Student Division enhances the educational opportunities of students and promotes thoughtful resolution of political and social problems.

The Institute of Bill of Rights Law was established in 1982 at the College of William and Mary, Marshall-Wythe School of Law, to support research and education on the Constitution and Bill of Rights. The programs and publications of the Institute are designed to enrich the educational experience of law students, broaden public knowledge, promote scholarly research, and facilitate the creative public policy solutions to conflicts involving constitutional issues.

The William and Mary Bill of Rights Journal is a scholarly journal of professional and student articles. The Journal is dedicated to the exploration of Constitutional issues, with a focus on civil and individual liberties.
The following hypotheticals have been provided to the panelists as focal points for discussion.

**case 1:**

**BRADY DECHENE**

**v.**

**CHARLOTTE ROBERTS DECHENE, AND BETSY FISK**

AND

**CHARLOTTE ROBERTS DECHENE, AND BETSY FISK**

**v.**

**STATE OF JEFFERSON**

Christie is an 11-year-old girl who lives with her father, Brady, in the middle of downtown Jefferson City. She visits her mother, Charlotte, three weekends a month. Her brother, Craig, is five year old, and lives with Charlotte.

Charlotte is a 33-year old entrepreneur who has independently created a tee-shirt company and a clothing store. She sold both of these businesses for a substantial profit and is now trying to start a grocery delivery company. She married Brady when she was 22, and just out of college. She lives in a 6-bedroom house with Betsy, a successful children's book author, who won the 1991 Newberry award for her book, *Timmy has Two Daddies*. Betsy has three children of her own: Greg, 14, and Suzie, 9, from a previous marriage, and Billy, 3, conceived through artificial insemination. Charlotte also has another son, Craig, who was conceived shortly before her divorce from Brady.

Brady is a 29-year old bartender who has worked the 3-11 shift at local restaurant/nightclub for the last 12 years. He married Charlotte when he was 18 and fresh out of high school. He has lived alone since their divorce 5 years ago.

Charlotte and Betsy wish to get married, and are suing the state for a marriage license. Furthermore, Betsy is attempting to adopt Christie and Craig (with Charlotte's consent). Charlotte and Betsy wish to terminate Brady's parental rights because a psychiatrist has testified that Brady has physically abused Christie. They believe that the adoption would be in the best interests of both children. It has been stipulated by the parties that but for the fact of Charlotte and Betsy's lesbian relationship, they would make ideal parents.

Brady is suing to block Charlotte's adoption of Christie and Craig because Charlotte, as a lesbian, is an unfit mother. In furtherance of his claim, he additionally asserts that Charlotte's relationship with Betsy threatens Christie and Craig's well-being, and that because of Betsy's work as an activist, she is independently a bad influence on both Children. He is also suing for sole custody of both children.

Should Charlotte and Betsy be allowed to marry? Is the marriage in the best interests of their children?

Should Betsy be allowed to adopt Christie and Craig?

Should Brady get custody of Christie and Craig?

What are the interests of the State of Jefferson in these outcomes?
Matthew is a fifteen year old boy who lives with his uncle, Garrett. He has a younger sister, Beth, who is 6 and also lives with Garrett. Their father, Garrett's brother, died four and a half years ago while traveling overseas. Michael is a member of the county gay youth group, and has told his friends and family that he is gay.

Cathy, the children's mother, is a 42-year old saleswoman for a major hospital supply company. After the death of her husband, Cathy had a nervous breakdown and was hospitalized for a few months. The children have been living with Garrett since that time. While recovering from her breakdown and rebuilding her career, Cathy visited Matthew and Beth frequently and they often stayed at her home. She is often out of town on business, and frequently must work odd hours. She owns a three-bedroom townhouse in a residential neighborhood not far from Garrett's home.

Cathy has just accepted a transfer to another city in order to get a raise. Since her husband's death, Cathy has disclosed her lesbianism to the rest of the family. She has, however, not had a relationship with another woman since before her marriage.

Garrett is 46 and is the manager of a large department store in the Lamb County Mall. To a large extent, he is able to set his own hours. He is married to Helen, a 43 year old Methodist minister. Helen is a first-generation Korean-American; she and Garrett have 4 children: Michael, 19, Leigh-Anne, 14, John, 8, and an adopted daughter, Kim, a Filipino-American, age 3.

Cathy wants to take Matthew and Beth with her when she moves. She claims that her life is now back in order and that the children would be better off now living with their mother.

Garrett is suing to adopt Matthew and Beth (but is willing to grant liberal visitation rights for Cathy), because he feels that Cathy, as a lesbian, is unfit as a parent. He has suggested that Matthew, in particular, has been influenced by Cathy to say that he is gay, and that Matthew might return to heterosexuality if he were to live with Garrett and Helen permanently. He further asserts that because Cathy is often not at home, and will have to relocate the children in order to pursue her job prospects, that the children would be better off with him.

Cathy is fighting Garrett's action. With regard to Matthew in particular she says that as a lesbian, she is uniquely qualified help him with the difficulties of growing up gay.

Should Garrett be allowed to adopt Matthew and Beth?
Did the sexual orientation of either Cathy or Matthew play a role in your decision?
What are the interests of the State of Jefferson here?
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