

**Law Repositories: Shaping the Future**  
**Williamsburg, Virginia**  
**March 30, 2015**

**Copyright (for the W&M Law School Scholarship Repository)**

**W&M Law School's Journals:** every article in every issue is included

**W&M Law Faculty articles in other law reviews:** They all are included, and we do not ask for permission from the journals. If copyright wasn't retained by the author, we will remove the article at the written request of the copyright owner, provided that the author did not retain the right to publish the article elsewhere – (e.g., our Repository).

**W&M Law Student's articles in other law reviews:** We add these and we do not ask for permission from the publisher.

**W&M Law Faculty articles in non-law school journals:** Under the copyright act, the author has copyright in his or her article unless there is a written agreement to the contrary. If it's clear that the author (our faculty member) has copyright by looking at the journal itself, we include the article.

If it's unclear who has copyright we contact the author or a copy of the publication agreement. If the author has permission to republish, we add the article. We know that the typical contract -- especially with for-profit publishers -- does not permit archiving, copying, or making available to the world a .pdf of the article. If we need permission, we contact the publisher and ask that we be able to include the final published version, or at least a pre-publication version of the article.

If the agreement precludes any right to copy/archive/post an article, we ask permission. **See below for permission letter**

**e-Content:** all html based content: blogs, electronic news, opinion pieces, etc.

Nearly all of the e-journal articles are available as a PDF, so this is not a big issue. We can include e-journal articles that are not available in .pdf by linking out

to them. The risk is losing the article if the journal takes their website down. Our practice is to make a .pdf of the e-journal article for our Repository.

Authenticity concerns regarding electronic-only based content: Sometimes producing the PDF removes the publication date, the author's names, and even the title. When we create an "authentic version" that reproduces all of the pertinent information - title, author, article text, date of publication, and source (name of source and/or original url) – we link to things that may disappear.

We do not remove embedded links. It's not a concern with e-journals, but is with other electronic-only items. (It would be a very time consuming task, and could create additional authenticity problems). We do not include comments that are added by other users, and when possible we delete ads that are embedded in the page. This varies by site: each platform has its own set of idiosyncrasies and sometimes they change when the platform is updated.

**Book Chapters:** Ownership of chapters by each chapter's author is more common when a work has many authors, or when it's an edited collection – i.e., if it's a collective work. Contributions to articles/chapters in collective works vests initially in the author. Absent an express transfer of copyright or specific rights, the owner of the copyright in the collective work – typically the publisher -- is presumed to have acquired only the privilege of copying and distributing the entire work. We review copyright statements within the text of the book to make an initial determination, and contact the faculty member/author when necessary.

**Book Reviews:** when these are a small portion of the publication (e.g., Government Information Quarterly) we add it without seeking permission. Compare, on the other hand, a 20-page book review like the kind you see in the Michigan Law Review. We treat the latter like any other scholarly article by a faculty member.

**Encyclopedia entries.** We generally add, for each entry is a very small portion of the larger work.

**Books:** we do not include books in our Repository.

**Conference Materials:** These include written materials submitted by perhaps dozens of people. We cannot limit access to materials in the Repository item-by-item. Nor can we limit access for certain conferences in the same series. Therefore,

we include articles from each conference for which we have secured permission, and exclude those for which we do not.

**Radio and Podcast Interviews:** We pull, when available, copies of interviews our faculty do on the radio or via a podcast. Unfortunately, every site seems to have a different policy about allowing downloads of the content. Some only have the items streaming; we do not include these right now due to the same concerns as linking to other online content, but we could link to them. Some sites also have a period of several months where items are only available as streaming content, but then become downloadable.

The audio files are loaded with the same content as they are downloaded: if our faculty member is only on air for 15 minutes and the entire recording is 50 minutes, we add the entire recording. (In part this is because we don't have the software or the know-how to edit the audio. It would also be very time consuming).

**Video:** We will not add recordings of presentations to our Repository absent written permission. The Law School tries to get written permission from each speaker before taping. The permission agreement includes making the presentation available on a W&M website, which includes our repository.

BePress does not support streaming video. BePress offers several suggestions for video streaming, and we use VIMEO because other libraries had success with it and BePress supports it as an embedded format. We embed and stream the video on the BePress hosted page. You can click on the embedded video and it will take you to the item in Vimeo, etc.

But Vimeo is not the only source of embedded content in the repository. We have many videos from our Institute of Bill of Rights Law conferences that are being streamed from YouTube. The videos were added by the Institute to their YouTube account, and, unfortunately, the files were likely then deleted. This leaves YouTube as the only "source" we have for this particular content.

**Donated collections:** We do not have a standard donor form that permits reformatting at this time. When we get a collection, we contact the donor for permission to re-format and make the materials accessible to the world through our Repository.

## SAMPLE PERMISSION LETTER

Date  
Publisher  
Address

Dear \_\_\_\_\_:

Professor \_\_\_\_\_ and I, both from the William & Mary Law School, want to deposit electronic versions of her papers in the [William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository](#). Maintained by William & Mary's Wolf Law Library, the Scholarship Repository is an open-access archive that includes the research, scholarship, and historical materials emanating from the William & Mary Law School. Questions regarding the Scholarship Repository or its policies should be directed to Lauren Seney ([ipsene@wm.edu](mailto:ipsene@wm.edu)).

I am writing to request permission to add an electronic version of the following article to the Scholarship Repository:

AUTHOR(S), ARTICLE TITLE, vol. JI. of \_\_\_\_\_ pp – pp. (2012)

This request is to include the final, publisher PDF version of this article in our repository. Copyright notices, citation of original publication data, and a link to your site (if desired) will be given. In the event the final version is not permitted, please consider granting permission to post the author's post-print. If you do not control copyright in the requested materials, I would appreciate any information you can provide regarding whom I should contact.

We hope you will take a look at the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository. As the first law school in the United States, our Repository includes a great amount of information about the early years of American Legal Education.

\_\_\_\_\_ James S. Heller

\_\_\_\_\_ Author