

William & Mary Law Review

Volume 9 (1967-1968)
Issue 4

Article 1

May 1968

Table of Contents and Masthead (v. 9, no. 4)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr>

Repository Citation

Table of Contents and Masthead (v. 9, no. 4), 9 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. (1968),
<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr/vol9/iss4/1>

Copyright c 1968 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.
<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr>

William and Mary Law Review

VOLUME 9

SUMMER, 1968

NUMBER 4

DEDICATION

THOMAS C. ATKESON..... vii

ARTICLES

SIMPLIFICATION AND EQUITY AS GOALS OF TAX POLICY
.....*Stanley S. Surrey & Gerard M. Brannon* 915

PLANNING AND RESEARCH: ITS EVOLUTION AND ROLE IN THE
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE.....*Sheldon S. Cohen* 922

THE REINCORPORATION PROBLEM IN SUBCHAPTER C. A QUES-
TION OF SEMANTICS?.....*R. P. Hertzog* 928

THE IRS PROGRAM TO UP-DATE PUBLISHED RULINGS
.....*Harold T. Swartz* 951

FEDERAL-STATE COOPERATION IN TAX ADMINISTRATION
.....*James R. Turner* 958

RENTAL REAL ESTATE CORPORATIONS AND SECTION 341 (e) (3) OF
THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.....*William P. Oberndorfer,*
T. Howard Spainhour & Michael E. Overton 972

TAX RULING PROCEDURE REVISITED.....*Norman A. Sugarman* 1011

THE TREATMENT OF INCOME & DEDUCTIONS IN THE CASE OF
CONTROLLED OR RELATED ENTITIES.....*William H. Westphal* 1041

NEW DIMENSIONS TO THE THIN CORPORATION
.....*Waller H. Horsley* 1066

PROBLEMS OF PRIVATE CLAIMANTS UNDER MILLER ACT PAY-
MENT BONDS.....*Paul H. Gantt, Robert D. Wallick &*
James M. Proctor 1077

NOTES

RULES DEFINING THE USE OF TRADE TERMS IN PATENT AP-
PLICATIONS 1104

A PROPOSAL TO MAKE LEX DOMICILII THE REQUIRED CHOICE OF LAW UNDER ARTICLE 28 OF THE WARSAW CONVENTION..	1118
COMMERCIAL DECENCY AND THE CODE—THE DOCTRINE OF UNCONSCIONABILITY VINDICATED	1143
CURRENT DECISIONS	
Criminal Law—Constitutional Law—VAGRANCY STATUTES AND DUE PROCESS—<i>Alegata v. Commonwealth</i>, 231 N.E.2d 201 (Mass. 1967)	1162
Criminal Law and Procedure—ELECTRONIC EAVESDROPPING—<i>Katz v. United States</i>, 88 S. Ct. 507 (1967)	1167
Criminal Responsibility—THE DURHAM RULE—<i>Washington v. United States</i>, — F.2d — (D.C. Cir. 1967)	1172
Criminal Procedure—CONFESSIONS—APPLICATION OF MIRANDA v. ARIZONA—<i>People v. Rodney P. (Anonymous)</i>, 233 N.E.2d 255 (N.Y. 1967)	1177
Constitutional Law—THE RIGHT OF A LABOR UNION TO PROVIDE FREE LEGAL COUNSEL TO MEMBERS—<i>United Mine Workers v. Ill. State Bar Ass'n</i>, 386 U.S. 941 (1967)	1181
Military Law—APPLICATION OF MIRANDA TO COURTS-MARTIAL ADMISSIONS—<i>U.S. v. Lincoln</i>, 17 U.S.M.C.A. 330 (1967) ...	1187
Federal Taxation—REORGANIZATION—SPIN-OFFS—LABOR DIFFICULTIES AS A VALID BUSINESS PURPOSE—<i>Sidney L. Olson</i>, 48 T.C. 855 (1967)	1190
BOOK REVIEWS	
HONESTY AND COMPETITION—FALSE ADVERTISING LAW AND POLICY UNDER FTC ADMINISTRATION... <i>William W. Gillies</i>	1195
PRELUDES TO GIDEON	<i>George D. Horning, Jr.</i> 1199
CIVILIANS UNDER MILITARY JUSTICE: THE BRITISH PRACTICE SINCE 1689, ESPECIALLY IN NORTH AMERICA. <i>Robert E. Quinn</i>	1203
PSYCHOANALYSIS, PSYCHIATRY AND THE LAW. <i>Russell P. Norman</i>	1207
BOOKS NOTED	1213
INDEX	1219

ERRATA

Volume 8, Number 4. The rules referenced on pages 510, 511, and in Appendix B on pages 529-532 are the rules of the Atomic Energy Commission. The rules of the Atomic Energy Commission Board of Contract Appeals do not contain discovery provisions as such.