

# William & Mary Law Review

---

Volume 22 (1980-1981)  
Issue 4 *National Center for State Courts*  
*Marshall-Wythe School of Law Symposium on*  
*"State Courts and Federalism in the 1980's"*

---

Article 1

May 1981

## Table of Contents (v. 22, no. 4)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr>

---

### Repository Citation

*Table of Contents (v. 22, no. 4)*, 22 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. (1981), <https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr/vol22/iss4/1>

Copyright c 1981 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.  
<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr>

# William and Mary Law Review

---

VOLUME 22

SUMMER 1981

NUMBER 4

---

**NATIONAL CENTER FOR STATE COURTS  
MARSHALL-WYTHE SCHOOL OF LAW  
SYMPOSIUM ON  
"STATE COURTS AND FEDERALISM IN THE 1980'S"**

**ARTICLES**

- INTRODUCTION . . . . . *John R. Pagan* 599
- THE STATE COURTS AND FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL  
LITIGATION . . . . . *Paul M. Bator* 605
- THE USES OF JURISDICTIONAL REDUNDANCY:  
INTEREST, IDEOLOGY, AND INNOVATION . . . . . *Robert M. Cover* 639
- THE UNCERTAIN NATURE OF FEDERAL  
JURISDICTION . . . . . *Martha A. Field* 683
- TOWARD PROCEDURAL PARITY IN CONSTITUTIONAL  
LITIGATION . . . . . *Burt Neuborne* 725

**COMMENTARY: The Response of the Judiciary**

- STATE COURTS AND FEDERALISM IN THE 1980'S:  
COMMENT . . . . . *Robert J. Sheran* 789
- TRENDS IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE FEDERAL  
AND STATE COURTS FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF A  
STATE COURT JUDGE . . . . . *Sandra D. O'Connor* 801
- STATE COURTS AND FEDERALISM IN THE 1980'S:  
COMMENT . . . . . *Ruggero J. Aldisert* 821

The William and Mary Law Review wishes to thank the National Center for State Courts, Edward B. McConnell, Director, and the Marshall-Wythe School of Law, William B. Spong, Jr., Dean, for their constant support for this Symposium. Two individuals deserve special mention: Ms. Charlotte A. Carter of the National Center and Professor John R. Pagan of the Law School. Their contributions to this project have been immeasurable. The Law Review staff is deeply grateful to both of them.

The first six papers appear in the order presented at the Symposium. Due to other commitments, Judge Aldisert was unable to present his paper at the Symposium; his remarks appear for the first time herein.