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PATHWAYS TO LIBERTY: WHAT COLONIAL, ANTEBELLUM, AND POSTBELLUM EDUCATION CAN TEACH US ABOUT TODAY

Danielle Wingfield*

ABSTRACT

Education is a critical part of nation-building. More specifically, it can also be a powerful pathway to liberty and a tool for disseminating knowledge. However, historically it has been used to subjugate and censor vulnerable groups like women, socio-economically disadvantaged persons, as well as men of color. Therefore, to avoid subordinating members of such minoritized groups and suppressing uncomfortable historical facts, advocates must continually evaluate the purpose and method of education. Such persistent monitoring can provide a basis for constructive reform of public education in the United States. Such reform must also consider changing social conditions.

Presently, for example, public education is at the center of culture wars involving issues such as censorship and the ongoing battles for access to quality education. In addition, efforts have mushroomed to prevent the teaching of comprehensive, fact-based American history and to silence discussion of marginalized groups. To effectively understand this crisis, there is a need to better understand the historical relationship between public education, representative government, and liberty.

In this fraught context, this Article excavates history and narratives important to the goal of an educated citizenry and liberated society to show that America has
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been here before. The Article’s overarching goals are to show the subjective practices of education in the Colonial, Antebellum, and Postbellum eras in Virginia, the birthplace of America. The Article also aims to amplify the narratives of formerly enslaved and free Black Americans who leveraged education to achieve liberty and contribute to the democratic project. Further, this Article underscores the significance of access to a quality and robust education for advancing equality and empowerment—and, ultimately, freedom.
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INTRODUCTION

In July 2023, the state of Florida blocked an Advanced Placement African American Studies class in the public high school curriculum, stating that the course’s content “lacks educational value.” In 2015, in Texas, a student exposed a world geography textbook published by McGraw-Hill that referred to African people who were forcibly brought to the Americas on slave ships as “workers” and “immigrants.” In the past decade, social studies courses for second graders in Alabama


listed Harriet Tubman, a renowned figure who escaped enslavement and helped others do the same, as an “exemplary” American without acknowledging her role as an enslaved person or the institution of slavery itself. In Virginia, textbooks were originally written to downplay the role of race in the state’s history, especially during the Civil War era. These are just a few of the documented examples of a sustained push, in southern American states, to revise civics and history instruction in public-funded schools in order to fit a narrative that is uncritical of America’s story. Moreover, that narrative is incomplete and often incorrect. These ongoing efforts—and others—that focus specifically on sanitizing curricula in public-funded schools, furnish evidence of a war on comprehensive, fact-based public education.

Why is education a centerpiece of today’s culture wars? The answer lies in history. Consequently, to show the importance of education as a pathway to liberty, this Article focuses on facts spanning Colonial, Antebellum, and Postbellum Virginia.

See also [https://perma.cc/7ZPN-6AD7](https://perma.cc/7ZPN-6AD7) (noting the public outcry in response to the problematic nature of this description downplaying enslaved persons’ status as victims of a violent and coerced migration into forced labor). This choice of terminology implied a sense of consensual and paid labor, which inaccurately depicted the harsh realities of slavery. See also Laura Isensee, *Why Calling Slaves ‘Workers’ Is More Than an Editing Error*, NPR (Oct. 23, 2015, 6:33 AM), [https://www.npr.org/sections/ed/2015/10/23/450826208/why-calling-slaves-workers-is-more-than-an-editing-error](https://www.npr.org/sections/ed/2015/10/23/450826208/why-calling-slaves-workers-is-more-than-an-editing-error) (explaining this is an example of the lack of factual and complete civics and history instruction in publicly funded schools); The 1619 Project: A New Origin Story, at xx (Nikole Hannah-Jones et al. eds., 2021) [hereinafter The 1619 Project] (illustrating how the Texas state board of education approved curriculum standards that equate Confederate general Thomas “Stonewall” Jackson with Frederick Douglass, which inaccurately emphasized both figures as examples of “the importance of effective leadership in a constitutional republic,” disregarding the stark differences in their actions and motivation).

See The 1619 Project, *supra* note 2, at xx (“Within the last decade, Alabama social studies courses for second graders listed Harriet Tubman, the woman who became famous for escaping slavery and then helping others do the same, as an ‘exemplary’ American without ever mentioning the words ‘slave’ or ‘slavery.’”).

See Mechelle Hankerson, *Virginia Explained: How Virginia’s History Textbooks Are Vetted*, VA. MERCURY (May 1, 2019, 11:45 PM), [https://www.virginiamercury.com/2019/05/01/virginia-explained-how-virginias-history-textbooks-are-vetted](https://www.virginiamercury.com/2019/05/01/virginia-explained-how-virginias-history-textbooks-are-vetted) [hereinafter Virginia Explained]; see also Sonia Rao, *Virginia Was Primed to Teach Black History. Then the Nation’s First Anti-Critical Race Theory Governor Took Office.* SCALAWAG (June 14, 2022), [https://scalawagmagazine.org/2022/06/critical-race-theory-virginia/](https://scalawagmagazine.org/2022/06/critical-race-theory-virginia/) (highlighting how Virginia was primed to include more Black history in its civics and social studies curricula, but then the administration changed).


See generally Danielle Wingfield, War on Education (Sept. 6, 2023) (unpublished manuscript) (on file with author).
But why Virginia? Many prominent voices of the Colonial period hailed from Virginia. Some of these leaders, like James Madison and Thomas Jefferson, recognized the importance of education to achieve their goals of democracy and liberty. Nonetheless, there is no mention of education in the United States Constitution, and the Court’s failure to acknowledge and protect a constitutional right to education has helped to undermine access to quality public-funded education, especially for minoritized groups like Black Americans, because policymakers are not constitutionally required to provide that type of education.

Yet despite facing resistance to accessing education during Colonial, Antebellum, and Postbellum eras, some Black Americans were able to utilize education as a tool to transcend the daunting constraints. Obtaining educational resources enabled them to attain true freedom beyond what democratic systems alone could safeguard. Such narratives have often been silenced, and these counter stories of

---


8 See Derek W. Black, *America’s Founders Recognized the Need for Public Education. Democracy Requires Maintaining That Commitment*, TIME (Sept. 22, 2020, 11:00 AM) [hereinafter Black, America’s Founders], https://time.com/5891261/early-american-education-history/ [https://perma.cc/L5LU-KHEB] (quoting Jefferson and Madison about what democracy requires). However, this Article is discussing the ideal of a constitutional democracy where its members self-govern equally. See U.S. CONST. pmbl. (stating the goals of the Constitution). However, scholars recognize that constitutional democracy and how the democracy functions are at odds, and that democracy is in a fragile state. See, e.g., Martha Minow, *Education: Constitutional Democracy’s Predicate and Product*, 73 S.C. L. REV. 537, 538–39 (2022) (citing Lawrence Lessig, *Why the US Is a Failed Democratic State*, N.Y. REV. BOOKS (Dec. 10, 2021), https://www.nybooks.com/daily/2021/12/10/why-the-us-is-a-failed-democratic-state/ [https://perma.cc/AXC4-GGF5]) (“[C]onstitutional democracy has become only too pressing by 2022 with serious jeopardy to the entire system of governance in the United States and in other democratic republics.”). As Lawrence Lessig recognized:

At every level, the institutions that the US has evolved for implementing our democracy betray the basic commitment of a representative democracy: that it be, at its core, fair and majoritarian. Instead, that commitment is now corrupted in America. And every aspiring democracy around the world should understand the specifics of that corruption if only to avoid the same in its own land.

See Lessig, supra.


freedom are often left out of today’s American history curriculum to downplay the central role of slavery in our nation’s story.\(^\text{11}\)

Indeed, such blanching of American history has undermined attempts to illuminate and alleviate the effects of legalized racism rooted in events dating back to colonial America.\(^\text{12}\) This is the history that is scarcely taught, if at all, in American public-funded schools.\(^\text{13}\) For better or worse, in the United States, public education has been perceived by those in power as a method of subjugation and disempowerment, while those advocating for racial and social progress have recognized it as a potent tool for empowerment.\(^\text{14}\)

In these circumstances, resources exist to help us overcome the frequent deficit of known historical facts. For example, thoughtful scholars have broadly explored the scope and life of free Black people in Colonial, Antebellum, and Postbellum Virginia and related early jurisprudential infrastructure regulating societal customs.\(^\text{15}\) Furthermore, scholars have spotlighted these eras in Virginia history to explore issues of interracial sex\(^\text{16}\) and religion.\(^\text{17}\) This Article acknowledges that Virginia is frequently viewed as a colonial leader, the birthplace of America, and the cradle of as a moral dilemma located at the very heart of this nation’s democratic ethos. For him the key to its solution resided in education.\(^\text{18}\).)

\(^{11}\) See generally Alma Rubal-Lopez & Angela Anselmo, *Being Educated in the Absence of Multiculturalism*, in *NARRATIVE & EXPERIENCE IN MULTICULTURAL EDUCATION* (Joann Phillion et al. eds., 2005) (examining the ways in which non-white and non-Western narratives have been left out of traditional public education).

\(^{12}\) See A. Leon Higginbotham, Jr. & Greer C. Bosworth, “Rather than the Free”: Free Blacks in Colonial and Antebellum Virginia, 26 HARV. C.R.-C.L. L. REV. 17, 17–18 (1991) [hereinafter Higginbotham & Bosworth, Rather than the Free] (discussing how even the phrase “free blacks” was paradoxical during the Colonial period).

\(^{13}\) See Rubal-Lopez & Anselmo, supra note 11, at 55 (“Through our narratives we describe a time when homogeneity, a Euro-centered education, and an absence of any acknowledgement of any non-Western contribution to civilization was the norm.”).

\(^{14}\) See Higginbotham & Bosworth, Rather than the Free, supra note 12, at 46 (discussing how free African Americans were prevented from pursuing certain kinds of employment or obtaining training in some fields, which might have otherwise provided for economic empowerment).


enslavement in this nation. Therefore, as a case study for better understanding the current war on public education, this Article provides necessary historical background by outlining important facts related to public-funded education during Virginia’s Colonial, Antebellum, and Postbellum eras. This Article also centers the narratives of Black Virginians who used education to become constructive civic participants and influencers of social change. By focusing on these historical accounts, some of which have been excluded from educational curricula and popular histories, this Article provides examples of what can be lost within democracies when incomplete and inaccurate histories are taught. These narratives also demonstrate how knowledge of a more accurate, complete history can facilitate the production of a well-informed and free citizenry, which would go a long way toward supporting a representative government.

Part I excavates general history about the origin and purpose of public education in Virginia during the Colonial, Antebellum, and Postbellum eras to contextualize the anti-education movement and why education has taken the shape it continues to take. Part II highlights underexplored historical narratives to show what pathways to liberty could look like in the face of resistance to access to quality education. These narratives are also part of the same history that is either not taught, or is being pushed out of, public-funded schools. Part III draws lessons from this history, ultimately concluding that education matters. It further calls for a reimagination of public education’s utility and values. It suggests that, to create an environment in which more individuals will recognize and embrace the opportunity to become future peacebuilders, education should prioritize creating a robust curriculum with more complete accounts of history. Indeed, the stories thus far left out of school curricula have the potential to equip students with a context necessary for them to participate fully in civic society. Surfacing these histories left out of public education curricula (especially civics and history) shows that the result of teaching factual education in public schools does not necessarily result in division, but can instead promote nation-building. The Article concludes that advocates for equitable education should prioritize defending access to a good quality and full public education. Now is the time to consider how public policy decision-makers, as well as private individuals, can use education to encourage the creation of a more free society for all people, including the most vulnerable.


19 See Gabriel R. Sanchez & Keesha Middlemass, *Misinformation Is Eroding the Public’s Confidence in Democracy*, BROOKINGS INST. (July 26, 2022), https://www.brookings.edu/articles/misinformation-is-eroding-the-publics-confidence-in-democracy/ [https://perma.cc/BVS3-NSBT] (noting that democracy in the United States is at a critical point, and major efforts must be made to repair the public’s trust in the election system).

20 See, e.g., Mazzei & Hartocollis, supra note 1 (discussing an instance of history courses focused on marginalized groups being blocked from public classrooms).
Education has a deep and intricate connection to the concept of liberty in America. Throughout history, education has been seen as a crucial foundation for fostering and preserving individual freedoms and democratic principles. Education empowers individuals to exercise their rights, participate in the democratic process, and contribute to the betterment of society. Further, an educated populace is essential for the preservation and enhancement of the values upon which the United States was founded—“liberty and justice for all.”

Education, as a cornerstone of liberty, thus becomes central to major social movements. For many of the social movements that spanned the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, the pursuit of liberty was fundamental. Indeed, the emphasis on liberty in these campaigns reflect the broader influence of Enlightenment philosophies of the age, which emphasized the value of individual freedom, equality, and human rights. In this vein, these movements challenged existing hierarchies and power structures, aiming to create societies where individuals could exercise their inherent rights without undue interference—which included the laws and structures put in place during the Colonial, Antebellum, and Postbellum periods to enforce racial subordination and limit the rights and opportunities available to Black people.

The early laws from the Colonial and Antebellum eras would later serve to reinforce racial segregation and limit citizenship rights in the Postbellum era and beyond. These laws also had lasting implications in terms of limiting access to education for Black Americans. This Part therefore explores the origins of education for formerly enslaved and free Black Americans in Virginia and the sliding scale of access to quality education that emerged during these eras.

---

21 See, e.g., Higginbotham & Bosworth, Rather than the Free, supra note 12 (referring to preventing the education of African Americans as a precept of slavery, used to maintain its structures after emancipation).


24 These movements include the Decolonization Movements, the American Revolution, Abolitionist Movement, Women’s Suffrage Movement, and Labor Movements.


26 See Higginbotham & Bosworth, Rather than the Free, supra note 12, at 18–19, 28–33 (examining how in colonial Virginia, Black Americans, particularly those who were enslaved faced severe limitations on their citizenship rights).
A. The Colonial Era: The Beginning of Limited Access to Education for Subjugated Classes

During the Colonial era in Virginia, education was primarily accessible to privileged white families, and Virginia lacked a comprehensive system of free public schools. During this time, the education of both free and enslaved Black Americans was actively discouraged and, in certain instances, prohibited by law. Therefore, education during this period was mostly privatized, and parents took the lead on education initiatives by passing on trade skills to their children. Churches and Sunday schools covered religious learning. If parents could write, they would teach their children, and if they could not, a tutor or minister would do so for hire.

White families with social status, greater wealth, and more resources would hire a tutor to facilitate more traditional English education for their children, including reading, writing, Greek, and Latin. Parents with fewer means would take on most of the educational duties themselves. During the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, it was uncommon for many families, regardless of race, to have the financial means to hire a teacher on their own and would have to combine their resources with other families to do so. However, the widespread population and lack of concentrated settlements made it challenging to bring children together in a school setting in Colonial Virginia. Thus, education was a very individualized process.

Virginia’s early stance toward public education, as articulated by its Governor, Sir William Berkely, supported the individualized nature of education for colonists’ children. Governor Berkely believed that education was a private concern for individual families. In 1671, for example, Berkely wrote that Virginia’s approach to education was one of “every man according to his own ability in instructing his children.” This philosophy would be later recognized in the U.S. Constitution.

27 See id. at 56–57 (discussing education in colonial Virginia).
28 See id. at 46.
29 Id. at 56–57.
31 Id.
32 Id.
33 Id.
34 Id.
35 Id.
36 “I thank God, there are no free schools, nor printing[]; and I hope we shall not have these hundred years; for learning has brought disobedience, and heresy, and sects into the world, and printing has divulged them, and libels against the best governments. God keep us from both.” The Social System of Virginia, 2 S. LITERARY MESSENGER 65, 72 (Feb. 1848), https://quod.lib.umich.edu/m/moajnl/acf2679.0014.002/76:1?page=root,size=100;view=text [https://perma.cc/897N-ZCRH].
which has, over time, been interpreted to guarantee a fundamental right for parents to direct the care, upbringing, and education of their children.38

In spite of the support, like that of Berkely’s, for a privatized approach to education, the Virginia Company of London had an interest in educating indigenous populations in the colony in a more public manner.39 For example, in 1611, Sir Thomas Dale, a British colonial leader, left the Jamestown settlement in Virginia to establish a new settlement further upriver.40 The group eventually arrived at a peninsula on the north side of the river, which is now known as Farrar’s Island.41 It was here that Dale founded the second settlement in the colony, which was named “Henricus” or “Henrico.”42 This new town became an important center of trade, industry, and agriculture, with a significant role in the early history of the Virginia Colony.43

The founders of the Henricus settlement planned to establish a university at the site with the objective of educating and converting both colonists and the indigenous population to Christianity.44 In 1618, the Company informed newly appointed governor Sir George Yeardly that it intended to create “a college for the training up of the Children of those Infidels in true Religion[,] moral virtue and Civility[,] and for other godly uses.”45 However, this plan did not succeed. The ongoing conflicts between the settlers and the indigenous people increased and related tensions escalated, leading to an uprising on March 22, 1622, which ultimately resulted in the abandonment of the settlement.46 The colonists’ attempt to use education to convert indigenous children to Christianity was part of these tensions.47 Moreover, the early establishment of uprisings, resistance, and discontentment often disrupted attempts at educational progress.48

38 This right includes the ability for parents to make decisions concerning their children’s education, religious upbringing, medical care, and general welfare. See Meyer v. Nebraska, 262 U.S. 390, 397–98 (1923); Pierce v. Soc’y of Sisters, 268 U.S. 510, 518 (1925); Wisconsin v. Yoder, 406 U.S. 205, 213–15 (1972); Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57, 63–75 (2000) (establishing together the legal precedent that parents have a fundamental right to make decisions concerning the upbringing of their children, subject to certain limitations, such as state interest in protecting the child’s health and safety).


43 Virginia Records Timeline: 1610–1619, supra note 40.


46 Vejnar, supra note 30, at 23.

47 Id.

48 HEATHER ANDREA WILLIAMS, SELF-TAUGHT: AFRICAN AMERICAN EDUCATION IN
Indeed, it took over seventy years after the uprising for Virginians to create their first college.\(^4^9\) Though Virginia’s first attempt at establishing a university did not succeed, the model of the Henricus school came back around when it was time to establish future educational institutions in the colony. Ultimately, it laid the groundwork for the development of a formal education system in Virginia and helped to establish what type of education was deemed important during the Colonial period.

Among the types of education deemed important was religious education. In 1628, the number of Africans in Virginia rose dramatically when a captain sold one hundred enslaved Africans for tobacco.\(^5^0\) Tobacco emerged as the colony’s most prosperous cash crop, with its cultivation extending across Virginia.\(^5^1\) As a result of more widespread production, the demand for enslaved labor also increased, particularly in port settlements like Henricus where export was growing.\(^5^2\) The increased population of enslaved people meant an increase in restrictions with the purpose of controlling the enslaved populace. The increase in the number of enslaved people also introduced another layer in the discussion of access to education as colonists desired to educate enslaved persons for the purpose of religious conversion, but not for the purpose of upending the system of enslavement.\(^5^3\)

Given Virginia’s lack of anti-literacy laws—which would not be passed in Virginia until the 1800s—educating enslaved persons in general was strongly discouraged because of the fear that literacy would prompt their freedom.\(^5^4\) In fact,


\(^5^0\) Some scholars estimate that African people were amongst the earliest to arrive in the Jamestown colony in 1619. *See William Thorndale, The Virginia Census of 1619*, 33 VA. MAG. GENEALOGY 155, 155–56 (1995). This number of enslaved Africans declined over the next few years after their arrival as a result of diseases for which they had no immunity. *See Colonial Era, HENRICO CNTY.*, https://henrico.us/history/colonial-era/ [https://perma.cc/8DSM-PEPU] (last visited Dec. 4, 2023).

\(^5^1\) *See Colonial Era, supra* note 50.

\(^5^2\) *See id.* (“The colony was divided into settlements, or ‘plantations,’ one being the City of Henrico. Each plantation sent representatives to Jamestown . . . [and] Henrico’s representatives to the assembly were Thomas Dowse and John Polentine.”).

\(^5^3\) *See infra* note 61.

\(^5^4\) *Oldest Schoolhouse for Black Children in the Country Moved to Virginia Museum,*
there were few laws that specifically prohibited the education of enslaved persons, but other laws impaired their access to education. For example, the Virginia General Assembly enacted the Virginia Slave Codes of 1705. These laws were enacted by the Virginia General Assembly in response to growing concerns about slave resistance and the potential for slave revolts.

The 1705 codes established a strict system of control over enslaved individuals, regulating their behavior and movements, and imposing severe penalties for violating the codes. Yet, the Virginia Slave Codes of 1705 did not explicitly mention education. Nevertheless, these early laws are today an important primary source for understanding the legal framework that governed the treatment of enslaved individuals in Virginia in the early eighteenth century.

As noted above, the limited instruction that did exist for enslaved populations during this period was religious instruction, which followed a model similar to that imagined for Henricus, where the intention had been to convert indigenous populations to Christianity. Bishop Edmund Gibson and minister Dr. Thomas Bray, in particular, promoted educating enslaved persons in America. The Bray School, founded in 1760, is the oldest school developed expressly for free and enslaved Black American children in the United States.

As many as 5 percent of enslaved people may have been literate by the start of the American Revolution (1775–1783), their educations often tied to religious instruction. Many enslavers viewed Christian teaching as their duty, and converts to the Church of England were required to be literate enough to read a catechism.


Oldest Schoolhouse for Black Children in the Country Moved to Virginia Museum, supra note 54.
In line with the interest in providing religious education, students in the Bray schools learned the Apostles’ Creed, the Lord’s Prayer, and the catechism. Initially, their lessons involved recitation and memorization. As they progressed, they learned grammar and how to read. The Bray School’s model followed the privatized model of the day, with a faith-based focused curriculum that ultimately served as the underpinnings of what would later be a more traditional public-school curriculum focused on reading and writing. Historians that study the Bray School and the Colonial period in depth suggest that a goal of the Bray School was, as suggested above, to “proselytize and to bring salvation while still not doing anything to destabilize the institution of slavery. . . . [t]o save the soul, but continue to enslave the body.”

Those who associated with Dr. Bray and the Bray schools believed that enslavers had a Christian obligation to provide reading instruction to enslaved children, especially to those who had been born in the colony. As many as four hundred mostly urban enslaved people and a few free Black individuals in and around Williamsburg were educated at the Bray School in classes of twenty to thirty students, with their numbers fairly evenly divided between boys and girls. Dr. Bray’s records show that between 1765 and 1771, forty-two students attended a Bray school in Fredericksburg. In addition to the Fredericksburg and Williamsburg schools, several unofficial Bray schools operated in the colony. African-born

---

64 Id. at 445.
65 See id. at 446.
66 Oldest Schoolhouse for Black Children in the Country Moved to Virginia Museum, supra note 54.
67 Id.
69 Id. at 446–48.
71 See Bly, Literacy and Education, supra note 60. Notably: Most were run by churchwardens who usually also served as the schoolmasters. Two of these schools had enslaved schoolmasters. Adam Dickie, the minister of Drysdale Parish in King and Queen County, taught several enslaved people, some of whom he trusted to teach
enslaved people were not considered to be good candidates for biblical literacy because they were thought to be too unfamiliar with Western languages.72

Yet despite discouraging the education of enslaved persons in general, and despite the intentions of the school to maintain the institution of slavery even as they taught enslaved persons to read and write, the students who attended Bray schools still read words like “liberty” in their texts.73 In this way, the fear that education would open the minds of enslaved persons to the idea of freedom was not completely unsubstantiated. Indeed, a former Bray School student, Isaac Bee, would escape from his enslaver as an adult.74 Perhaps, that was no coincidence.

In sum, during the Colonial period and the early Republic, white colonists and citizens attempted to deny enslaved persons any knowledge of their historical roots and obfuscated the function of education within the institution of slavery. Enslavers named enslaved persons by their size, stripping them of their birth names; their new names were the only names that they were allowed to use.75 That was only the first step in taking away their culture.76 Enslavers also disparaged those using their previous skills in America. They ridiculed the native language of enslaved persons and repeatedly remarked on their minds’ ineptness.77 These tactics for maintaining control, which persisted beyond the early Republic, clearly had early roots.78 However, enslaved persons resisted, and while many would answer to their new names, they

others. In 1732, the parson boasted that he had fourteen enslaved people in his congregation who “could answer for themselves and repeat the Catechism very distinctly.” Two years later, he circulated books from the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel to those enslaved people “he thought most diligent and desirous to read.”


73 Oldest Schoolhouse for Black Children in the Country Moved to Virginia Museum, supra note 54.

74 Id.


76 Id. (“Renaming marked Carter’s initial endeavor to master his new slaves by separating them from their African inheritance.”).

77 Id. at 252.

78 See Marvin H. Lett, Grutter, Gratz, and Affirmative Action: Why No “Original” Thought?, 1 STAN. J.C.R. & C.L. 417, 432 (2005) (discussing the treatment of Black people in the Confederate states after the Civil War and the role of the Thirteenth and Fourteenth Amendments in addressing this treatment). It is noted that slavery was not only a labor and property issue but a racial issue as well, and that the American institution of slavery constituted a legal and practical code of deprivations and inferiority for both enslaved persons and free Black people. Lett mentions that enslaved people were deprived of basic rights, including the ability to contract, own property, sue, or serve as witnesses in court. The 38th and 39th Congresses abolished enslavement and reinforced this measure with the Equal Protection Clause in Section One of the Fourteenth Amendment. See id.
would also maintain their African names and some of their cultural identity.\(^{79}\) Learning to live dual lives, one where they labored for their enslavers and another in which they kept a homeplace for themselves, would prove to be beneficial for enslaved persons in the Antebellum era. With this point in mind, the next section takes up the Antebellum era, during which apprehensions of insurrection prompted the establishment of structured Slave Codes—which enslaved individuals nevertheless managed to navigate adeptly in their quest for education.

\textit{B. The Antebellum Era: The Emergence of Slave Codes}

The Antebellum era spans the period from the ratification of the U.S. Constitution in 1788 to the onset of the Civil War in 1861.\(^{80}\) This time frame saw significant developments that set the stage for the Civil War, which played a major role in shaping the nation’s identity. A major facet of the era was the conflict over slavery, as the South’s economy and society remained deeply intertwined with slavery, while the North gradually moved toward abolition. This dichotomy generated tensions culminating in the Civil War.

The Antebellum era also witnessed rapid economic shifts. The North experienced industrialization and urbanization, while the agrarian South still relied on plantations. This divide contributed to distinct social structures and priorities, and political tensions mirrored the North-South divide. The era also marked the Second Great Awakening, fostering religious revivals and social reforms,\(^{81}\) while American thought was influenced by transcendentalism and various literary movements.\(^{82}\) As tensions heightened, and the clash between the industrialized, free-labor North and the agrarian, enslaving South became irreconcilable, southern states seceded, and war broke out in 1861.\(^{83}\)

\(^{79}\) Berlin, supra note 75, at 252.

\(^{80}\) The Antebellum era in this Article refers to the period from the ratification of the U.S. Constitution in 1788 to the start of the Civil War in 1861. Some historians refer to Antebellum beginning in 1812 until 1861 before the Civil War began; however, other historians take the literal meaning of Antebellum, a Latin word that translates to “before the war” to include everything from 1788 to the onset of the Civil War.


\(^{82}\) See David Faflik, Race and the Cultures of Transcendental Reform, 50 REV. AM. INST. HIST. 25, 27–28 (2022).

Discussion of the Antebellum period often focuses on the decades immediately preceding the start of the Civil War. However, the ratification of the United States Constitution in 1788, thirteen years after the commencement of the American Revolution, holds relevance to this discourse because of its role in the early formation of democratic governance and the lack of a federal assurance for quality public-funded education. A goal of the Constitution was to create a framework for a stronger and more unified nation, to protect individual rights, and to establish the principles of governance that continue to shape the United States to this day. Yet the United States Constitution does not mention the word “education,” even though James Madison, John Adams, and George Washington advocated for public education to be included.84 Instead, the document focused on establishing a cohesive federal governance structure, and public-funded education was seen as a power within the province of the states under the Tenth Amendment.85

Nevertheless, the discussion about the role of the federal government in public education continued long after the Constitution was ratified.86 In fact, the absence of education in the U.S. Constitution continues to have implications for who has access to education and the quality of the education provided. Indeed, this absence has even allowed for local autonomy that has posed a challenge to achieving equitable access to quality education across diverse communities predicated on both race and class.87 This discussion about the role of the federal government in public education continued long after the Constitution was ratified.88 The Constitution’s general principles of equality and liberty continue to be invoked in legal efforts to address educational disparities, but the absence of explicit language about education leaves room for interpretation and variation in educational approaches at the state and local levels. This tension—so palpable today—is evident as early as the Antebellum era.

Thomas Jefferson’s belief that public education was central to the new republic marked a shift from previous private efforts in education.89 Drawing inspiration from

84 See Black, America’s Founders, supra note 8 (quoting Jefferson and Madison about what democracy requires).
87 See Robinson, supra note 85, at 2.
88 See Forman, supra note 86, at 78–81 (noting various scholars who put forth the federal government’s role in education arguments like Kimberly Robinson across the years).
Enlightenment philosophers like Jean Jacques Rousseau, who, in 1758, emphasized the pivotal role of public education in preserving a republican style of government for the fledgling nation, numerous influential American intellectuals were likewise proponents of public education. Yet the general public had less trust in the proposed public education model, although some did embrace public education. The question was who should receive such education and how it would be established and supported.

In 1779, Jefferson, as Virginia’s governor, first proposed legislation for a public-funded, statewide education system. Jefferson proposed a two-track system aimed at segregating the laboring class from the educated elite, which had the potential to address class-based educational disparities. He delineated two distinct categories among citizens: the laboring majority requiring basic education to complete their tasks and the learned minority who would require education as a foundation for higher learning. Jefferson envisioned a mechanism to sift out exceptional individuals while at the same time hindering the laboring class’s access to the educational achievements commonly linked with opportunities, influence, and affluence. The bill additionally proposed to educate children whose parents could not afford to educate them at their own expense. The bill was never fully enacted by the Virginia House.

Forman, supra note 86, at 73–75 (“Influenced by enlightenment thinkers such as Jean Jacques Rousseau, who in 1758 stated that ‘public education . . . is one of the fundamental rules of popular or legitimate government,’ many prominent American thinkers touted public education as critical to the new nation’s ability to maintain a republican form of government.”).

Cameron Addis, Jefferson’s Vision for Education, 1760–1845, at 14–15 (2003) (explaining the opposition to Jefferson’s public education bill as stemming from three main reasons: general aversion to taxation, the impracticality of the ward scheme due to Virginia’s vast and dispersed population, and discontent among Christians who felt that Jefferson’s curriculum did not align with their faith).

Id. note 86, at 78–79.

Diffusion of Knowledge Bill, supra note 89.

See id. Public education differed by both race and class. White children from lower economic backgrounds also had limited access to quality education.


Id.

See Diffusion of Knowledge Bill, supra note 89. The bill provided: [T]he indigence of the greater number disabling them from so educating, at their own expense, those of their children whom nature hath fitly formed and disposed to become useful instruments for the public, it is better that such should be sought for and educated at the common expence of all, than that the happiness of all should be confided to the weak or wicked[.] See id. Jefferson strongly valued the principles contained in his public education bill, writing to his legal mentor George Wythe:
of Delegates, but the ideas contained in Thomas Jefferson’s plan for public education nevertheless influenced many proponents of public education in the eighteenth century. In fact, the bill was finally passed in 1796 under a new name, An Act to Establish Public Education. It was the first statute pertaining to public education in Virginia.

Initial deliberations over public education typically excluded enslaved Black individuals in the colonies due to their classification as property. An exception is in the instance of the Quakers, which marked the earliest instances of American settlers who sought to provide equitable education and religious opportunities to Black individuals alongside white children. As in the Colonial period, they aimed to underscore the significance of religion in Virginia in their endeavor to educate the Black population. However, in addition to morality and religion, the curriculum also included practical literature, writing, reading, and practical arts, to equip students for freedom and productive citizenship. The Quakers’ push to educate enslaved people was just one example of early abolition.

I think by far the most important bill in our whole code is that for the diffusion of knowledge among the people. No other sure foundation can be devised, for the preservation of freedom, and happiness... Preach, my dear Sir, a crusade against ignorance; establish and improve the law for educating the common people. Let our countrymen know that the people alone can protect us against these evils [tyranny, oppression, etc.], and that the tax which will be paid for this purpose is not more than the thousandth part of what will be paid to kings, priests and nobles who will rise up among us if we leave the people in ignorance.


98 See generally Thomas Jefferson, Draft Bill to Create Central College and Amend the 1796 Public Schools Act (Nov. 18, 1814), in FOUNDERS ONLINE, NAT’L ARCHIVES, https://founders.archives.gov/documents/Jefferson/03-08-02-0075 [https://perma.cc/34KF-8TUS].

99 See Diffusion of Knowledge Bill, supra note 89.


102 CAREY, supra note 101, at 64.

103 Id. at 48.
In spite of the general lack of interest in educating enslaved and free Black people, concern over an educated Black populace remained muted until 1800, when a plot by enslaved men in and around Richmond generated worry about how to prevent enslaved people from being empowered to revolt.\textsuperscript{104} Known as Gabriel’s Conspiracy, the plot entailed a plan to kill the enslaved men’s enslavers and other white people, kidnap Governor James Monroe, and burn Richmond.\textsuperscript{105} The plot was betrayed, and the participants were captured.\textsuperscript{106} In response, twenty-six enslaved persons, including Gabriel, were hanged, and eight others were sold out of the state.\textsuperscript{107} During the trials, it was revealed that some of the enslaved participants, including Gabriel, George Smith, and Sam Byrd Jr., had the ability to read and write.\textsuperscript{108} Using these skills, they had created passes to move from one plantation to another, kept a list of the conspirators, and even planned to create a flag with the words “death or liberty.”\textsuperscript{109}

Though Gabriel’s Conspiracy sparked some anxiety, it was not until the mid-1800s, when the insurrection movement reached its height, that educating Black people moved to the forefront of public concern.\textsuperscript{110} As noted previously, prior to the nineteenth century, there were few laws that dealt directly with the education of enslaved and free Black people.\textsuperscript{111} However, the rebellions and insurrections that

\textsuperscript{104} As John F. Marszalek details:

The shock of the insurrection also convinced ‘White liberals’ like Monroe and his patron, Thomas Jefferson, that something had to be done to prevent any future slave rebellion. Hanging the guilty was no permanent answer; besides, the reimbursement of owners proved expensive to the state. Monroe and Virginia, therefore, turned to colonization. . . . Virginians, their anxiety so intensified, turned not only to colonization, but also to repression. . . . Stricter manumission laws were passed, free blacks were restricted as never before (though no free blacks were involved with Gabriel), slave patrolling was intensified and the system that came to identified with antebellum slavery was established.


\textsuperscript{105} See Bly, Literacy and Education, supra note 60.

\textsuperscript{106} \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{107} \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{108} \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{109} See \textit{id.}

\textsuperscript{110} See Higginbotham & Bosworth, Rather than the Free, supra note 12, at 56 (quoting C. WOODSON, THE EDUCATION OF THE NEGRO PRIOR TO 1861, at 2 (1919)):

During most of that period, there was little concern about the potential danger to White society of educating [B]lacks. After the Revolution, benevolent and religious workers were allowed access to both enslaved and free [B]lacks. Nevertheless, Woodson described the education of Blacks in the South as “rudimentary training incidental to religious instruction.”

\textsuperscript{111} See Derek W. Black, Freedom, Democracy, and the Right to Education, 116 NW. U.
were beginning to occur in Virginia and other Southern states contributed to the formulation of strict Slave Codes.\textsuperscript{112} For example, after Nat Turner’s 1831 rebellion, the restrictions on Black people’s educational opportunities escalated significantly, compelling them to seek alternative avenues for acquiring knowledge.\textsuperscript{113}

The fear of uprisings of enslaved people prompted legislators and enslavers to establish laws that aimed to keep enslaved individuals submissive, controlled, and ignorant.\textsuperscript{114} These codes imposed restrictions on movement, education, assembly, and communication to prevent future rebellions and insurrections.\textsuperscript{115} The influence of these codes endured well into the Antebellum era and beyond, shaping the lived experiences of enslaved persons and further entrenching the institution of enslavement.\textsuperscript{116}

Similar to those established in colonial times, in Virginia, Slave Codes were laws in Virginia that regulated the behavior and treatment of Black Americans during the period before the American Civil War.\textsuperscript{117} These codes defined enslaved persons as property and, in addition to the restrictions on movement, assembly, and education mentioned above,\textsuperscript{118} they also imposed severe punishments for resistance or rebellion. These codes, also known as Black Codes or Black laws, helped to maintain the system of slavery and uphold white supremacy in Virginia and elsewhere during the Antebellum period by severely restricting the daily activities of Black people and curtailing their civil and economic rights.\textsuperscript{119} Further, these laws continued to implicate the access to quality education for formerly enslaved Black people.

\textsuperscript{112} See Birgit Brander Rasmussen, “Attended with Great Inconveniences”: Slave Literacy and the 1740 South Carolina Negro Act, 125 MOD. LANGUAGE ASS’N AM. 201, 201 (2010).


\textsuperscript{114} See Higginbotham & Jacobs, Law Only As an Enemy, supra note 15, at 975.

\textsuperscript{115} See id.

\textsuperscript{116} See Nelson & Williams, supra note 100, at 86 (discussing enslaved persons status as chattel property); Higginbotham & Jacobs, Law Only As an Enemy, supra note 15, at 971.

\textsuperscript{117} See Nelson & Williams, supra note 100, at 87.

\textsuperscript{118} See id. at 86–87.

\textsuperscript{119} See id. at 87–88. In the aftermath of the Civil War, white legislatures in the South enacted Black Codes, drawing inspiration from the earlier slave codes that had been in place. These codes were implemented as part of a broader strategy by Democrats to uphold their political dominance and suppress the newly emancipated African Americans, commonly referred to as freedmen. See id.
As early as 1832, there existed a distinction between the political privileges granted to free white persons and those of African descent, and Black Americans did not enjoy the same civil and political rights as their white counterparts across most parts of the United States.\(^{120}\) This had a great bearing on how Black people, both free and enslaved, were educated.\(^{121}\)

Indeed, these laws played a pivotal role in significantly limiting access to quality education for those held in bondage, perpetuating a cycle of ignorance and powerlessness. Enforced through various means, these regulations systematically denied enslaved persons the opportunity to gain knowledge and skills that could potentially challenge the institution of slavery.

In the southern colonies, the Slave Codes also aimed to curb the influence of free Black individuals, particularly in the aftermath of rebellions by enslaved people, as such rebellions posed a potential threat to the very institution of slavery.\(^{122}\) Thus, the underlying intent behind these laws was to maintain and protect the institution of slavery within societies where it was prevalent.\(^{123}\) In this context, Black Americans gaining access to education in any capacity, or access to other rights and means of civic participation such as voting, were threats to the social order of the day. That understanding of education as a threat was made evident by the denial of access to education and the sometimes insistence that those who had violated the laws be legally required to leave the state, thereby negating their ability to be part of government processes.\(^{124}\) These legal restrictions clearly indicate that education was feared as a tool for integrating Black Americans into society in a way that went against the grain of the status quo, and as a tool that educated Black people would use to demand social and political equality.\(^{125}\)

Most of the laws that spilled over into the Antebellum period were initially imposed during the Colonial era. It is worth noting, however, that such laws differed

\(^{120}\) See Higginbotham & Bosworth, Rather than the Free, supra note 12, at 36.

\(^{121}\) See id. at 55–56.

\(^{122}\) See Nelson & Williams, supra note 100, at 86 (discussing the constitutional roots of racial oppression). Black Americans did not benefit from the Constitution the way that white people did. Further, “slave protections under law were granted only to the point where white people could have their economic and social interests and rights to enjoy slaves as chattel property realized.” See id.; see also Rasmussen, supra note 112, at 201.

\(^{123}\) See id. at 88.


\(^{125}\) See William Preston Vaughn, Schools for All: The Blacks and Public Education in the South, 1865–1877, at 43–44 (2014) (discussing how Southern whites feared that any education provided to Black individuals, even through private associations in segregated schools, might eventually lead to integrated schools, and they worried that educated Black individuals would demand social and political rights, often using pseudo-scientific and economic theories like Black people where physically unable to learn, to oppose Black education).
in their explicit prohibitions, and some of the prohibitions changed or developed over time.\textsuperscript{126} Indeed, some colonies enacted laws that explicitly prohibited teaching enslaved persons, but others did not. For example, South Carolina did impose penalties for anyone who taught enslaved people how to read or write and required enslavers to supervise their enslaved workers to prevent them from gaining an education.\textsuperscript{127} In Virginia, however, anti-literacy laws would not be formally introduced until the 1800s.\textsuperscript{128}

Despite these variations across states, the outright prohibition of literacy among enslaved individuals was one of the most effective ways in which Slave Codes hindered education. Anti-literacy laws explicitly forbade enslaved persons from learning to read and write—a deliberate strategy aimed at preventing them from accessing information, communicating ideas, or engaging in any form of intellectual empowerment.\textsuperscript{129} Enslaved individuals who were caught attempting to educate themselves faced severe and often brutal consequences, ranging from harsh physical punishments to further psychological oppression.\textsuperscript{130}

Moreover, this control extended beyond mere restrictions on learning: it encompassed control over social interactions as well. Enslaved individuals were typically not allowed to gather in groups at all, including for educational purposes.\textsuperscript{131} The fear among authorities and enslavers was that group gatherings might provide an opportunity for enslaved persons to exchange knowledge, share insights, and potentially

\textsuperscript{127} See \textsc{W.G., Letter to the Editor, The Virginia Law Against Teaching Colored Children to Read and Write}, N.Y. DAILY TIMES, Dec. 14, 1853, at 2 (quoting 2 Brevard’s Digest, 243):

\begin{quote}
\textit{Be it enacted} that all and every person and persons whatsoever, who shall hereafter teach, or cause any slave or slaves to be taught to read or write, or shall use or employ any slave as a scribe in any manner of writing whatsoever, hereafter taught to write, every such person shall, for every such offense, forfeit the sum of one hundred pounds current money.
\end{quote}

\textsuperscript{128} See \textsc{id}.
\textsuperscript{129} See \textsc{id}.
\textsuperscript{131} See \textsc{Higginbotham & Bosworth, Rather than the Free, supra note 12, at 32. On June 8, 1680, the General Assembly enacted the “An act for preventing Negroes Insurrections” as a response to the fears held by enslavers. They were concerned that gatherings such as feasts and burials, which served as social events and community rituals, might potentially trigger uprisings among the enslaved population, a group they were intent on subjugating. Slave Law in Colonial Virginia: A Timeline, Sam Houston State Univ., https://www.shsu.edu/~jll004/vabeachcourse_spring09/bacons_rebellion/slavelawincolonialvirginiatimeline.pdf [https://perma.cc/49PZ-2N2Y] (last visited Dec. 4, 2023).}
organize for resistance or emancipation. This restriction on gathering further marginalized the possibility of any informal educational activities within the enslaved community.

In addition, enslavers strategically limited access to educational resources, including books, newspapers, and any materials that could foster intellectual growth. By tightly controlling the information available to enslaved individuals, enslavers aimed to ensure their continued ignorance about subjects that could challenge the institution of slavery or inspire aspirations of freedom. Moreover, as noted above, some Slave Codes mandated heightened supervision of enslaved individuals by not only their enslavers but also by white women, white indentured servants, and supervised free Black people. This strict oversight effectively thwarted any attempts at unauthorized learning and communication.

Fear of challenges to the status quo were not the only reasons that access to education was restricted for enslaved individuals. Economic and productivity concerns of enslavers also contributed to the limitation of education for enslaved individuals. Many believed that education could make them less productive or harder to control within the plantation economy. Additionally, the deeply ingrained racial prejudices of the era played a significant role in justifying the denial of education to enslaved persons. These prejudiced beliefs portrayed enslaved individuals as inherently inferior and unworthy of education, further bolstering the oppressive agenda of the Slave Codes.

These early codes restricting the education of enslaved persons reveal the significant role that education in particular played in shaping societal power dynamics and maintaining control over enslaved populations. Indeed, these codes highlight the recognition by those in power that education can empower individuals and can

---

132 See Higginbotham & Bosworth, Rather than the Free, supra note 12, at 32.
134 See Nelson & Williams, supra note 100, at 88 n.28 (discussing how white women, white indentured servants, and white people without slaves, were required to serve as slave patrollers in additional to some free black people under supervision).
135 See VAUGHN, supra note 125, at 43, stating:

[Those] opposing black education often made frequent use of pseudo-psychological and economic theories. At Doctortown, Georgia, General Charles H. Howard (brother to Oliver Otis Howard) found that most local Whites believed that instructing freedmen would result in a loss of labor output, and some believed that book learning was injurious to all working classes. A bureau agent in Tennessee reported similar sentiments, i.e., “the more ignorant they [the freedmen] are the better they work; that in proportion as they increase in intelligence the more insolent, lazy and worthless they become.”

See also Coleman, supra note 113.
136 See Dye et al., supra note 124, at 3.
challenge established hierarchies. Thus, by explicitly prohibiting education for enslaved persons, authorities aimed to prevent them from gaining knowledge, critical thinking skills, and literacy, which could potentially lead to questioning their subjugated status and seeking emancipation.

The very existence of such laws reflects the belief that education was a tool for enlightenment and empowerment. Enslavers understood the potential of education to foster a sense of autonomy and self-worth among the enslaved, which could ultimately threaten the institution of slavery itself. These laws underscore the acknowledgment that access to education has the power to transform individuals’ perspectives, challenge social norms, and promote intellectual and personal growth. In essence, early codes restricting the education of enslaved persons highlight the recognition of education as a means to empowerment, liberation, and the potential to challenge oppressive systems. As this Article argues, the vestiges of colonialism—and its restrictive codes—are still present within public education today.

Yet, in spite of these massive structural hurdles, Black Americans found ways to educate themselves and leverage the limited Christian education they received from others. These facts are made evident, for example, in the economy that enslaved people began to create for themselves during their enslavement. Ultimately, this self-liberation would spill over into future eras and movements for liberation.

C. The Postbellum Era: From Slave Codes to Black Codes

The obstruction of the use of education as a pathway to liberty began in the Colonial and Antebellum eras and spilled over into the Postbellum era. Slave codes morphed into Black Codes, a series of laws enacted in the Southern states, including Virginia, most commonly following the Civil War. These codes were used to continue the exertion of control over the movement and labor of freedmen because the institution of slavery had been replaced by a system of free labor. Despite being legally emancipated, the lives of freedmen were significantly restricted by these codes.

137 See Literacy as Freedom, supra note 130.

138 In the early days of the Civil War in Virginia, African Americans established freely accessible schools for Black people. For example, Mary Chase, Jane Crouch, and Anna Bell Davis, Black women who had previously conducted classes in secret, started teaching openly in Alexandria as soon as Union forces secured the city. See Ronald E. Butchart, Freedmen’s Education in Virginia, 1861–1870, ENCYCLOPEDIA VA., https://encyclopediavirginia.org/entries/freedmens-education-in-virginia-1861-1870/ [https://perma.cc/N7XD-STGG] (last visited Dec. 4, 2023). Other African American teachers, such as Sarah Gray and Mary Peake, opened schools in Alexandria and Hampton, respectively, in the summer and fall of 1861, and by October of that year, Black teachers were teaching in Norfolk, Fort Monroe, and Yorktown. Id.

139 See id. (“By 1866, nearly 12,000 students were attending schools across the state; by 1868, 19,000 were enrolled; by 1870, the total was nearly 33,000.”).
While Black Codes had existed prior to the Civil War and were present in some Northern states, it was in the Southern states where these laws became more explicitly codified and enforced in everyday practice in the aftermath of the Civil War.\textsuperscript{140} The most well-known instances of such laws were passed by Southern states in 1865 and 1866.\textsuperscript{141} With the end of slavery (and thus the obsolescence of Slave Codes), these laws aimed to curtail the freedom of Black Americans in spite of emancipation and enforce their labor for extremely low or no wages. Black Codes in Virginia also imposed a series of restrictions on the freedom of Black Americans, including the right to vote, the right to own property, the right to work, the right to travel, the right to testify in court, and the right to serve on juries.\textsuperscript{142} The objective was to maintain control over the formerly enslaved population and to ensure the continuance of their economic exploitation.\textsuperscript{143}

Black Codes directly linked to the limitation of access to education for Black individuals in several significant ways. First, these laws broadly aimed to curtail the rights and freedoms of Black people while upholding remnants of the pre–Civil War slave system.\textsuperscript{144} More specifically, the codes deliberately restricted school attendance for Black individuals, demonstrating that these codes were explicitly employed to restrict educational opportunities for formerly enslaved populations.\textsuperscript{145} For example, these laws explicitly prohibited or severely limited the access of Black students to both public and private schools. This exclusion from educational institutions served the broader goal of maintaining a racial hierarchy, where access to education equated to access to power and mobility.

Additionally, segregation was also a prevalent aspect of the Black Codes in Virginia, which mandated separate education systems for Black and white students.\textsuperscript{146} Indeed, this separation extended beyond physical facilities, often resulting in unequal resources, funding, and educational opportunities.\textsuperscript{147} These unequal conditions were integral to perpetuating educational disparities.\textsuperscript{148}

Furthermore, Black Codes restricted the curriculum available to Black students. By mandating a curriculum focused on basic education and vocational training, the

\begin{footnotes}
\item[142] See id.
\item[143] See id.
\item[145] See Higginbotham & Jacobs, Law Only As an Enemy, supra note 15, at 1020.
\item[147] See Dye et al., supra note 124, at 4.
\item[148] Id.
\end{footnotes}
codes deliberately limited the scope of learning and opportunities for intellectual advancement. This design ensured that Black individuals were confined to specific types of labor and occupations, reinforcing a cycle of limited socio-economic mobility. Financial disparities were another consequence of Black Codes in Virginia, as funding for schools serving Black students was systematically lower than that for schools serving white students. This discrepancy meant that Black schools lacked proper resources, such as up-to-date textbooks, suitable facilities, and essential educational materials.

Additionally, Black Codes placed significant barriers in the path of Black individuals seeking to become teachers. Licensing requirements and stringent supervision were imposed, making it exceedingly difficult for Black individuals to assume teaching roles. This hindered the establishment of a robust Black educational community and diminished the availability of positive role models for Black students. The curfew and labor restrictions embedded in the Black Codes further hindered access to education by limiting the time available for pursuing learning after working hours. Moreover, the legal framework established by Black Codes sanctioned discrimination, rendering it difficult for Black individuals to challenge or alter the education-related policies that perpetuated their exclusion from quality schooling.

151 See Daniel & Walker, supra note 149, at 256, 259.
153 Id.
154 See Daniel & Walker, supra note 149, at 261; see also Solomon et al., supra note 150.
155 Nittle, supra note 144. For more on curfews, see also Christopher Petrella, How Curfews Have Historically Been Used to Restrict the Physical and Political Movements of Black People in the U.S., WASH. POST (June 3, 2020, 11:06 AM), https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2020/06/03/how-curfews-have-historically-been-used-restrict-physical-political-movements-black-people-us/ [https://perma.cc/L7UY-NC44], explaining how:

Curfews expressly intended to restrict the movement and liberties of free and enslaved African people—and in many cases, indigenous people—proliferated in the British colonies in North America throughout the 17th and 18th centuries. In fact, some of the first colonial codes and statutes enacted in the 1690s applied exclusively to Africans and Native Americans. The restrictions represented a grouping of policies that granted selective access to space and unencumbered movement as the basis for an emerging racial order. Such codes helped produce social hierarchy and racialized notions of political belonging.

156 See Daniel & Walker, supra note 149, at 256; Dye et al., supra note 124, at 3–4.
Black Codes, an embodiment of post–Civil War legal discrimination, had direct and lasting implications for the education of Black individuals in Virginia.\footnote{See Janel A. George, Deny, Defund, Divert: The Law and American Miseducation, 112 GEO. L.J. (forthcoming 2023) (manuscript at 8), https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3987248# [https://perma.cc/FP43-ULW2]; Dye et al., supra note 124, at 3–4.} Through restrictive policies on school attendance, segregated education systems, limitations on curriculum, unequal funding, barriers to teaching, and curfew-induced time constraints, these codes systematically denied Black individuals access to quality education.\footnote{See Dye et al., supra note 124, at 3–4.} The legacy of these practices continued well into the twentieth century, reinforcing educational disparities and their wide-ranging consequences within the Black community.\footnote{See id. at 3–4; see, e.g., Carole Guy, Towards a More Healthy America: Reallocation of Health Care Resources In An Inequitable Health Care System, 6 DEL. J. PUB. HEALTH 74, 74 (2020).}

However, just as enslaved persons during the Colonial and Antebellum periods found ways to self-liberate, despite the obstacles imposed by the system of enslavement, formerly enslaved people also found a way to continue their advancement in the Postbellum period. In the first year of emancipation and reconstruction, “[s]ixteen of the first twenty-four teachers in Black schools . . . were African Americans.”\footnote{See Butchart, supra note 138.} Of the eight Northern white teachers, only one remained employed for more than one year.\footnote{See id. at 3–4; see, e.g., Carole Guy, Towards a More Healthy America: Reallocation of Health Care Resources In An Inequitable Health Care System, 6 DEL. J. PUB. HEALTH 74, 74 (2020).} However, that was not the same for Black teachers who were employed for many years.\footnote{See id.} These private schools created for Black students were initially sponsored by a number of Presbyterian, Catholic, and Episcopal churches, which offers context to the composition of teachers in these schools.\footnote{See id.}

In part, the reason non-public funding was necessary for these schools came out of an incident in the mid-1850s when Virginians, who were taxed to support a public-funded school, expressed dissatisfaction with the General Assembly’s decision to permit counties to establish schools for “paupers”; they claimed that the system had not gained public trust nor conferred any public benefits.\footnote{See Marianne E. Julienne & Brent Tarter, The Establishment of the Public School System in Virginia, ENCYCLOPEDIA VA. (Dec. 7, 2020), https://encyclopedia virginia.org/entries/public-school-system-in-virginia-establishment-of-the [https://perma.cc/9R6U-CNWW].} Despite this opposition, the cause for public-funded education continued to advance. Norfolk County, for example, had already established a public school system in 1845, and
the neighboring city of Norfolk followed suit in 1850; however, other local govern-
ments in Virginia declined to establish public schools.165 Because of this pushback
against public-funded schools, Black Americans and others from lower economic
classes had to find other ways to educate themselves, which often occurred through
the creation of their own private, segregated schools.166

In 1870, however, the passage of the Underwood Constitution, a post–Civil War
Constitution, represented a significant step forward for Black Americans in Virginia
and helped to lay the foundation for further progress in the years to come.167 Under
the Underwood Constitution, the state was required to establish a system of public
schools for Black children, and to provide public funding to support these schools.168
The Constitution also required that Black schools receive the same level of funding
as white schools, although in practice this requirement was often not met.169

In addition to the provisions for public education, the Underwood Constitution
also sought to address other issues facing Black Virginians, including voting rights,
political representation, and segregation.170 While the Constitution represented a step
forward for Black Virginians, it also fell short of providing full equality, and its
provisions were often ignored or disregarded in practice.171

---

165 See id.
166 See id.; African Americans and Education During Reconstruction: The Tolson’s Chapel
during-reconstruction-the-tolson-s-chapel-schools.htm [https://perma.cc/A2N9-G8Z5] (last
visited Dec. 4, 2023); Consecrated Ground, supra note 163.
167 See Context, Attendance Records of The State Constitutional Convention, 1867–1868,
(last visited Dec. 4, 2023); Brent Tarter, John C. Underwood (1809–1873), ENCYCLOPEDIA
168 See Julienne & Tarter, supra note 164.
169 See VA. CONST. of 1869, art. VIII, §§ 1–12 (articulating that a universal free education
must be provided). However, while funding was provided, it severely lacked for Black schools
and there were great debates about how, if at all, Black children should be educated. See
Richard G. Salmon, The Evolution of Virginia Public School Finance: From the Beginnings
to Today’s Difficulties, 86 THE VA. NEWS LETTER 1, 2 (2010) (citing Acts of Virginia As-
sembly of the Commonwealth of Virginia, 1870), https://newsletter.coopercenter.org/publica
tion/virginia-newsletter-evolution-virginia-public-school-finance-beginnings-todays [https://
perma.cc/8Q32-URCW]; Julienne & Tarter, supra note 164; Beginnings of Black Education,
supra note 163 (“Southern [B]lack schools, however, were often dependent on funding from
unsympathetic state and local governments controlled by Whites, resulting in education pro-
grams with fewer resources for both students and teachers.”).
170 See Constitutional Convention, REMAKING VA., https://www.virginiamemory.com/on
line-exhibitions/exhibits/show/remaking-virginia/voting/constitutional-convention [https://
perma.cc/2C7D-3U46] (last visited Dec. 4, 2023).
171 The Underwood Constitution represented a departure from the previous state con-
stitutions in Virginia, which had upheld slavery and denied political and civil rights to African
Americans. However, it faced opposition from conservative elements in the state who
Indeed, the progress that was made under the Underwood Constitution was met with significant backlash. This resistance followed the pattern of retrenchment from the previous eras: Slave Codes were created to maintain the system of enslavement during the Colonial period in the 1600s, and then, after the Civil War, Black Codes were codified when enslaved persons began to challenge the system of enslavement and seek freedom during the Antebellum period. Postbellum efforts faced similar resistance. In this case, the resistance to the 1870 Underwood Constitution in Virginia was marked by opposition from conservative white Virginians who resisted expanding civil rights and political participation to African Americans. Driven by racial animosity, economic concerns, and a desire to maintain pre–Civil War power dynamics, opponents of the Constitution attempted to undermine its implementation through voter intimidation, violence, legal challenges, and political maneuvering.

This history—from the Colonial period to the Antebellum period and on to the Postbellum era—reveals the initial oppression experienced by Black and impoverished individuals, as well as the early resistance against financing a public education system. The original objectives of public education revolved around religion and conversion and served to uphold the structure of the system of enslavement. Understanding this history sets the context for Part II, which delves into individual historical accounts of transcending the oppression detailed thus far, and thereby utilizing education as a route to freedom.

resisted the changes brought about by Reconstruction. See Brown v. Lambert’s Adm’r, 74 Va. 256, 266 (1880) (upholding the valuation of an estate including the value of “negros”).

172 See African American Legislators in Virginia, DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. MEM’L

173 See id.: During the era of Jim Crow, very few African Americans dared to brave the political and social realities of the time to run for public office or were able to register and vote under state constitutions and laws in force; from 1890 to 1968, African Americans were not represented in the Virginias General Assembly, the oldest continuous legislative body in the Western Hemisphere; in 1967, William Ferguson Reid, a Richmond doctor and community leader, became the first African American in the 20th Century elected to the Virginia House of Delegates.

174 See Constitutional Convention, supra note 170.

175 After the Underwood Constitution, the 1902 post-Reconstruction Constitution in Virginia was ratified undoing what the Underwood Constitution attempted to do during Reconstruction. Under the 1902 Constitution, segregation was required not only in education, but “[b]y the 1920’s, state legislation had expanded segregation to include virtually every aspect of life, and clarified how segregation would affect Virginia’s public schools. The results were devastating for black education.” Brian J. Daugherity, “Keep on Keeping On”: African Americans and the Implementation of Brown v. Board of Education in Virginia, in WITH ALL DELIBERATE SPEED: IMPLEMENTING BROWN V. BOARD OF EDUCATION 41–42 (Brian J. Daugherity & Charles C. Bolton eds., 2008); see also Reconstruction, VA. MUSEUM OF HIST. & CULTURE, https://virginiahistory.org/learn/story-of-virginia/chapter/reconstruction [https://perma.cc/73W2-JKUJ] (last visited Dec. 4, 2023).
II. SILENT NARRATIVES OF EDUCATION AS A PATHWAY TO LIBERTY

This Part acknowledges the silent narratives of formerly enslaved and free Black Virginians and their allies that were forged beyond the subjugation of Colonial, Antebellum, and Postbellum education laws and practices. These stories inform the intersection of education and liberty. Moreover, these are the narratives, which are poised to empower the underprivileged, that are often kept out of secondary education. However, if they are taught, their histories could push the narratives of education forward and transform how we understand the function of education and for whom it can work if allowed.

A. Forging Forward in the Face of Resistance

Black thought leaders have a long and intricate history of engaging with questions about the relationship between education and democracy. Thinkers, scholars, community leaders, and social advocates such as W.E.B. Du Bois, Carter G. Woodson, and Horace Mann Bond all held thoughtful views about the state of education and democracy. The history of their ideas is deeply relevant to the current education landscape, as their views on education can play a valuable role in informing contemporary debates regarding how to keep American education moving forward. As advocates chart paths for education reforms, this rich intellectual tradition can serve to help determine viable alternatives to the status quo. Below, I discuss the contributions of Black thinkers W.E.B. Du Bois, Carter G. Woodson, and Horace Mann Bond and surface the ways in which their work continues to be relevant today.

177 See id.
178 The advocates and activists also did not think about these issues in the same way. William Fontaine critiqued Black scholars as socialized to accept “the idealistic American democratic way of life” worldview. See Pero Gaglo Dagbovie, African American Intellectual History: The Past as a Porthole into the Present and Future of the Field, in THE BLACK INTELLECTUAL TRADITION: AFRICAN AMERICAN THOUGHT IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY 21 (Derrick P. Alridge et al. eds., 2021).
Du Bois, a prominent Black intellectual and activist, made significant intellectual contributions in multiple spheres throughout the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. While he is widely recognized as a historical scholar, particularly for his research on the African slave trade and the Reconstruction era, his role as a major thinker and philosopher of education is often overlooked. Du Bois’s intellectual pursuits extended beyond his well-known involvement in historical research and his instrumental role in the establishment of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP). His writings on education shed light on the crucial role that schools play in fostering democratic values and promoting social progress. In particular, he emphasized the need for educational systems to embrace cultural diversity and provide students with the necessary skills for active participation in a democratic society. Du Bois also emphasized the importance of incorporating Black Studies into the school curriculum. His insights on education and the importance of representing diverse perspectives in the curriculum remain relevant and continue to inspire contemporary discussions on equitable education.

At the outset of his career, Du Bois held the belief that education had inherent value on its own merits. However, as the challenges of racial discrimination and its associated issues intensified for Black Americans, his perspective evolved. He began to view education as a practical tool for societal advancement. This transformation was driven by the conviction that education could serve as a means to combat racial bias, enhance the economic and political standing of Black Americans, and contribute to broader social progress.

Furthermore, Du Bois connected the progress of socialism, the refinement of democracy, and the eradication of warfare with the transformative potential of education. However, as he distanced himself from democratic socialism, he may have relinquished some of the adaptability commonly associated with left-leaning intellectuals. He exhibited impatience with the gradual nature of improvements in
the conditions of Black America and displayed a lack of faith in democratic processes.\textsuperscript{192} This shift in his thinking ultimately culminated in his departure to Ghana in 1961, marking a definitive break with his allegiance to American democracy.\textsuperscript{193}

Like Du Bois, Carter G. Woodson believed in the inherent value of education, generally and as a response to systemic racial discrimination. Woodson authored a compilation of articles and speeches titled “The Mis-Education of the Negro” in 1933.\textsuperscript{194} This book has stood the test of time, becoming a timeless work. It not only called for a high standard of education for Black students but also vehemently demanded that educational systems nationwide rectify their deliberate efforts to “mis-educate” Black children.\textsuperscript{195} These systems had been perpetuating the false notion of white supremacy.

Woodson’s influential work remains as relevant today as it was when it was first published in 1933. Indeed, as contemporary efforts continue to downplay Black history and omit discussions about America’s racial past from public school curricula, this historical knowledge of Woodson’s and Du Bois’s work is of utmost importance for ongoing advocacy and the development of effective educational strategies that cater to all children.\textsuperscript{196} Teaching incomplete histories of America’s story or not teaching history at all aids in the “mis-education”—to recall Woodson’s term—of American children today. As Woodson once said, regarding taking race out of history, “I don’t understand why they would devote all this time [in history class] to Europe, descendants of Europe, and not give equal time to the origins of Africans.”\textsuperscript{197}

Another Black thought leader whose work offers insight into the pursuit of education was Horace Mann Bond. Bond was an important figure in Pan-African and African American education during the mid-twentieth century.\textsuperscript{198} He played a crucial role in the fight for desegregation and the improvement of education for African descendants. Coming from a distinguished family that produced many notable scholars and human rights advocates, Bond emerged as a prominent figure in his
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\item See DeNeen L. Brown, \textit{Black History Month Founder Showed How Schools Should Teach About Race}, WASH. POST (Feb. 1. 2022, 7:00 AM), https://www.washingtonpost.com/history/2022/02/01/carter-woodson-miseducation-negro-schools [https://perma.cc/DPL2-4Z4M].
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own right. His career encapsulated the challenging position of Black educators in the segregated South of the 1930s and 1940s. While vehemently opposing segregation and working quietly to dismantle it, Bond also dedicated himself to enhancing educational opportunities for individuals of African descent within the confines of the discriminatory system.

These figures added to the intellectual discussions of their time about what type of education to pursue, and the means for doing so as part of the Black American quest for equality and liberty. Additionally, these thought leaders were able to opine regarding the strengths and flaws of the educational system, while contributing in lasting and meaningful ways to the cause of education because they themselves had each received a quality education. Moreover, they had access to education in spite of the resistance they faced in attaining their levels of success, not because there existed (then or now) a proper K–12 public-funded education.

Du Bois, for example, was the first Black graduate of a classical, college preparatory school; he then went on to obtain bachelor’s, master’s, and doctoral degrees from Harvard University. Woodson, born to formerly enslaved parents who were illiterate, was largely self-taught for secondary school, then completed his bachelor’s at Berea College, a master’s degree at the University of Chicago, and a doctorate from Harvard University. Bond graduated high school early and obtained his bachelor’s degree from Lincoln University and his master’s and doctorate degrees from the University of Chicago. These men, therefore, are examples of how a quality education can lead to a more progressive society.

**B. Education as a Pathway to Law and Government Participation**

From 1865 to 1895 in Virginia, Black elected officials who were lawyers played a significant role in shaping the political landscape and advocating for the rights and

---

199 See id. at 30, 33 (describing Bond as a “significant member of the intellectual community” and listing the papers the Bond family published).
200 See id. at 40 (“Where government often ignored the needs of [B]lack education, many private foundations, for better or worse, historically paid heed to them. Horace Bond frequently connected with the private foundations that were contributing to the development of Afro-American schools in the South . . . .”).
203 Carter G. Woodson, supra note 179.
interests of the Black community. These individuals, referred to as Black Reconstruction politicians, emerged after the Civil War, when the state underwent significant political and social changes.

Black lawyers who became elected officials in Virginia, during this time, faced immense challenges due to the prevailing racial prejudices and the resistance from white supremacist groups that were seeking to regain political control. Despite these obstacles, these Black lawyers made notable contributions toward advancing civil rights and ensuring equal representation. Their narratives are offered because these lawyers are prime examples of what is possible when there is access to quality education. These educated Black people did not simply go on to use their educations haphazardly, but fully participated in government at some of the highest levels. Their narratives exemplify how education can be used as a key to full participation in American democracy. These narratives also evidence how these positions of influence, obtained by those who are afforded an education, can be used to help further the social advancement of all people. Examples of prominent Black elected officials who were lawyers during this period in Virginia include men like George Lewis Ruffin, John Mercer Langston, William W. Evans, James A. Fields, and Alfred W. Harris.

---

205 See generally Luther Porter Jackson, Negro Office-Holders in Virginia 1865–1895 (1945).
208 George Lewis Ruffin was one of the first African Americans to graduate from Harvard Law School and the first African American judge in the United States. J. Clay Smith, Jr., In Freedom’s Birthplace: The Making of George Lewis Ruffin: The First Black Law Graduate of Harvard University, 39 How. L.J. 201, 214, 230–31 (1995). He was an influential figure in Boston during the 19th century. See generally William J. Simmons, Men of Mark: Eminent, Progressive and Rising 740–43 (Cleveland, Ohio, Geo. M. Rewell & Co. 1887). While they shared the same last name and were active in the same general historical period, they are not the same person. They may have been distantly related.
209 Other lawyers include William H. Jordan, Richard G. L. Paige, John H. Robinson, R.D. Ruffin, William N. Stevens, and Luther Porter Jackson. I have only included lawyers turned politicians, but other professions also spurred Black people into politics this time including teaching, ministry, farming, carpentry, contractors, and merchants. See Jackson, supra note 205, at ix.
George Lewis Ruffin, not to be confused with R.D. Ruffin, was born in 1834 in Virginia.\textsuperscript{210} In 1834, Ruffin was born to free parents in Richmond, Virginia.\textsuperscript{211} Ruffin’s mother realized the value of education for her children.\textsuperscript{212} Therefore, in 1853 she left Richmond and moved him and his siblings to Boston, Massachusetts, to give her children better educational opportunities.\textsuperscript{213} Despite having to evade the oppressive circumstances of his upbringing in the South,\textsuperscript{214} Ruffin’s outstanding educational record in Boston public schools demonstrated his determination to seek freedom through education.\textsuperscript{215} Virginia’s prohibition on Black Americans receiving an education required that Ruffin and his family relocate to access equal educational opportunities.\textsuperscript{216}

In 1868, Ruffin enrolled at Harvard Law School, becoming the first Black person to graduate from the institution.\textsuperscript{217} His educational journey at Harvard was groundbreaking, as he navigated through a virtually predominately white institution during a time of intense racial prejudice.\textsuperscript{218}


\textsuperscript{212} Roy, supra note 211, at 135 (“[Ruffin’s] parents realized the value of education and were determined that their boys and girls should have as complete a one as they could possibly give them. Accordingly, they moved to Massachusetts when young George was nineteen years old.”).

\textsuperscript{213} See id.; see also Judge George L. Ruffin, LL.B.: From the Barber’s Chair to the Bench, supra note 211, at 3; Smith, supra note 208, at 205 (stating “Ms. Ruffin ostensibly left the South so that her children could receive a good education”).

\textsuperscript{214} See Smith, supra note 208, at 205 (discussing that despite the Dred Scott v. Sandford decision not yet being decided before Ms. Ruffin left, it was clear that even though they were free Black people, their race would not allow them to be treated as free in the South); see also Gladys J. Gray, George Lewis Ruffin, 5 NEGRO HIST. BULL. 18, 19 (Oct. 1941) (discussing how Nancy Ruffin was thoughtful about moving to Massachusetts to give her children “full moral and intellectual development,” and it was not possible to do this in Virginia because of “laws forbidding the teaching of reading or writing to Negroes.”).

\textsuperscript{215} See Gray, supra note 214, at 19 (discussing Ruffin’s excellence in Boston Public Schools as a manifestation of his desire to learn); Judge George L. Ruffin, LL.B.: From the Barber’s Chair to the Bench, supra note 211, at 3 (discussing Ruffin’s “remarkable scholarship record in the public schools.”); Roy, supra note 211, at 135 (discussing Ruffin’s eagerness to learn).

\textsuperscript{216} See Gray, supra note 214, at 19.

\textsuperscript{217} See Smith, supra note 208, at 209, 214.

\textsuperscript{218} See id. at 210–11, 214 (discussing how Ruffin was one of sixty-two students in Harvard history to study less than two years on an accelerated track and be awarded an LL.B. degree).
Ruffin went on to become one of the first Black members of the Massachusetts bar and made history as the first Black American judge in the state. \(^{219}\) Along with meeting the demands of his legal career, Ruffin actively participated in the abolitionist movement and the ongoing struggle for justice. \(^{220}\) Ruffin’s standing grew as he engaged with the state legislature. \(^{221}\) He immersed himself in abolitionist circles and gained recognition for his influential role in organizations dedicated to the advancement of Black Americans. \(^{222}\) He enjoyed close relationships with prominent abolitionists of that time. \(^{223}\)

James Apostle Fields, also born into slavery, in 1844 in Hanover County, emerged as a similarly remarkable figure, transcending life as the son of enslaved parents to become both a teacher and lawyer. \(^{224}\) His mother, Martha Ann Fields, lived on the Nutshell Plantation in Hanover; his father lived on a separate plantation. \(^{225}\) Amid the Civil War, his father fled his owner’s brutality, eventually reuniting with his family who had earlier escaped to Union army lines in 1863 in the Hampton area. \(^{226}\)

\(^{219}\) See George Lewis Ruffin, supra note 210. Shortly after receiving his LL.B., “Ruffin was admitted to the bar of the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts in September 1869.” \(^{220}\) Id. He joined the law firm Harvey Jewell, specializing in criminal law and representing African American and white clients. \(^{221}\) He won election to the Boston City Council, and seats in the state legislature in 1869 and 1870. \(^{222}\) Id. This appears to be the path of many firsts who blazed trails in the Black Freedom Struggle, especially using the law. \(^{223}\) See generally, e.g., Danielle Wingfield-Smith, Movement Lawyers: Henry L. Marsh’s Long Struggle for Educational Justice, U. RICH. L. REV. 1339 (2022) (detailing Henry Marsh’s path and its intersection with education justice).

\(^{224}\) See George Lewis Ruffin, supra note 210 (explaining that Ruffin’s contributions are commemorated through the Justice George Lewis Ruffin Society, which was established in 1984 and is dedicated to supporting minority professionals in the Massachusetts criminal justice system, paying homage to Ruffin’s legacy and commitment to promoting diversity and equity).

\(^{225}\) Id.

\(^{226}\) Id.

\(^{226}\) See id.

\(^{226}\) See id.

\(^{226}\) See id.


\(^{226}\) Gunter, LIBR. VA., supra note 225.
Part of the Fields family’s quests for the full attainment of liberty included prioritizing education.227 James likely acquired literacy during enslavement.228 He observed courtroom proceedings that ignited his interest in the law while maintaining attorneys’ horses outside the Hanover courthouse as a teenager.229 Fields enrolled in an American Missionary Association school dedicated to educating Black people.230

In 1864, he was employed at Fort Monroe with the army’s Quartermaster Department and later assumed the role of a watchman for the Bureau of Refugees, Freedmen, and Abandoned Lands between 1865 and 1866.231 In 1869, he became a member of the first-ever class at the Hampton Normal and Agricultural Institute, which would later become Hampton University.232 The subsequent year, Fields taught in Williamsburg and graduated from Hampton in 1871.233 Fields then furthered his education at Howard University from which he earned a law degree in 1882.234 In addition to his legal endeavors, he engaged in teaching before and after law school, while also serving as doorkeeper of the Virginia House of Delegates during the 1879–1880 session.235 In the Virginia House of Delegates, Fields represented Elizabeth City, James City, Warwick, and York counties, as well as Williamsburg, in the Virginia House of Delegates from 1889 to 1890.236

John Mercer Langston, another accomplished attorney, served as the first African American to the United States Congress from Virginia, elected in 1888.237 He was a staunch advocate for civil rights and fought against racial discrimination through his legal expertise and political influence.238 Langston was born on December 14, 1829, in Virginia.239 He was of mixed-race heritage; his mother was a free Black woman and his father was a white plantation owner.240 Langston moved to Ohio in

227 See Martha Ann Fields Building, supra note 224. James A. Fields’ siblings were also educationally exceptional. His brother, George Washington Fields, was the first Black American graduate in law from Cornell University. Id.

228 See Gunter, ENCYCLOPEDIA VA., supra note 224.

229 Id.

230 Id.

231 Id.

232 Id.

233 Id.

234 Id.

235 Id.


238 See id.; see id.

239 See Luis-Alejandro Dinnella-Borrego & Dictionary of Virginia Biography, supra note 237.

240 See id.
the 1830s, which is no surprise given the social milieu and racial oppression in Virginia at the time. Langston’s move to Ohio marked the beginning of his early education. Orphaned, young Langston first lived with Colonel William Gooch and his family, who were friends of Langston’s father. Colonel Gooch, responsible for educating Langston, directed his youngest daughter, Virginia, to tutor Langston. Langston’s father knew that for him to receive “public educational advantages” he would have to send Langston away; Langston’s father also realized that such educational opportunities would allow him to be a “useful, influential member of society.”

Virginia tutored Langston until 1837 when he began public school in Chillicothe, where he was placed in the more advanced class. Langston thrived in school and with the Gooches, who had become his family. His time with the Gooches came to an end when they moved and a judge decided that Langston could not move with them. Langston briefly stayed with a man who purchased the Gooches’ farm, but he thought Langston should work and not learn. Therefore, Langston left and moved to Cincinnati for better schooling.

Langston first enrolled in a private school in the basement of Baker Street Baptist Church, where he quickly advanced. However, here is where Langston felt the pronouncement of racial prejudice. Langston returned to Chillicothe, Ohio, where he was taught by the first Black men to graduate from Oberlin College. Langston was so sharp, that at the age of fourteen, he enrolled in the Preparatory Department of Oberlin College in 1844; he completed the program at the top of the class and was the class speaker.

---
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The college was a leading abolitionist institution and one of the first in the United States to admit students of all races and genders.\textsuperscript{254} Langston was able to return to Oberlin for his formal collegiate studies; his time at Oberlin was marked by a commitment to his studies and his involvement in the abolitionist movement.\textsuperscript{255} After completing his secondary education at Oberlin, Langston went on to attend Oberlin College’s Collegiate Department, where he continued his education.\textsuperscript{256} He later pursued legal studies, becoming the first Black attorney in Ohio\textsuperscript{257} and one of the first Black Americans to earn a law degree in the United States.\textsuperscript{258} Not only that, but Langston was one of the first Black Americans to hold public office in the United States. He was a city councilman, was appointed to the Board of Education, helped found Howard University’s law school, and later served as Acting President at Howard University.\textsuperscript{259} In 1885, Langston returned to Virginia to serve as President of the Virginia Normal and Collegiate Institute.\textsuperscript{260}

Blanche Kelso Bruce, born on March 1, 1841, in Prince Edward County, Virginia,\textsuperscript{261} was similarly biracial, though he was the child of an enslaved woman and her enslaver.\textsuperscript{262} He spent his early years enslaved on his father’s plantation.\textsuperscript{263} After the death of his father, Bruce’s family moved to Missouri.\textsuperscript{264} After arriving in


\textsuperscript{255} See LANGSTON, supra note 243, at 81–82 (“[Langston’s] taste for study, with more matured purpose as to his general and thorough culture, had grown and developed itself, and he had been moved by an earnest desire and serious determination to secure for himself at all hazards a complete academic, collegiate, and professional education.”). Langston had a brief period where he did not know if he would be able to return to Oberlin to continue his studies; he almost was forced into a life of mechanical labor. \textit{Id.} at 88–90. In his plea to be allowed to return to Oberlin, the still underaged Langston said that he would take his studies seriously. \textit{Id.} at 90; see also \textit{id.} at 98–100 (discussing how the mission of the school included speaking against slavery).
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Missouri, Bruce learned to read and write by a tutor for hire. The Quakers, as noted earlier, were known for their support of education and abolitionism, making their schools an attractive option for many Black children seeking education during and after the Civil War. This education would form the foundation for his future pursuits in academia and law. Like Langston, Bruce also attended Oberlin College in Ohio and later taught school in Missouri before eventually moving to Mississippi. In Mississippi, he became involved in education and politics, which set the stage for his remarkable career.

Bruce studied law and was admitted to the bar in 1870, becoming one of the first Black Americans to practice law in the state. He soon became active in Republican Party politics and held various public offices. His political career took off during the Reconstruction era, the period after the Civil War when efforts were made to rebuild and integrate the Southern states. He was appointed by the governor of Mississippi to fill an unexpired term in the U.S. Senate in 1875, and in 1876, he was elected by the state legislature to serve a full term in the U.S. Senate, becoming the first Black American to be elected to serve a full term in the Senate. During his time in the U.S. Senate, Bruce advocated for civil rights, education, and economic opportunities for African Americans.

Blanche K. Bruce’s political career was marked by his determination to improve the lives of Black Americans during a challenging period in American history. He left the Senate in 1881 once his term ended. After his political career, Bruce served as the register of the U.S. Treasury from 1881 to 1885, making him the first African American to have a signature on U.S. currency. His legacy is a testament
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to his resilience, his advocacy for civil rights, and commitment to public service. He played a significant role in advancing the rights of African Americans during a critical time in American history.

Alfred W. Harris also played a pivotal role in law and politics by proposing the legislation that established the charter for Virginia Normal and Collegiate Institute, which would later be known as Virginia State University, during his tenure in the House of Delegates from 1881 to 1888. Born into enslavement in Fairfax County, his family’s journey led them to Alexandria during the American Civil War. There, he received his education at a school operated by the Bureau of Refugees, Freedmen, and Abandoned Lands, before eventually attending the city’s first racially segregated public schools.

Harris’s engagement with public service began early: he secured a seat on the Alexandria Common Council while still in his twenties. With dedication and determination, he pursued a legal career and achieved the status of a lawyer. He subsequently relocated to Petersburg, embarking on a notable political trajectory. In 1881, he clinched the first of four consecutive terms as a representative of Dinwiddie County in the House of Delegates.

Harris’s influence in education, politics, and law extended to the nascent stages of the Virginia Normal and Collegiate Institute. Beyond his vote for the Institute’s charter, as noted above, he held significant roles at the institution, including serving as the de facto treasurer and assuming the position of the first secretary of the board of visitors. Harris aligned himself firmly with the Readjuster Party, ardently supporting its leader William Mahone and later the Republican Party. Notably, he
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threw his support behind William Mahone’s candidacy during the 1888 congressional election, even though Mahone was pitted against John Mercer Langston.\(^{287}\)

Following his tenure in the House of Delegates, Harris continued to contribute to the community in various capacities. He served as a special customs inspector in Newport News and later undertook the role of a census enumerator in Petersburg, Virginia.\(^{288}\) He passed away in 1920 at his residence in Petersburg.\(^{289}\) Alfred W. Harris’s legacy is defined by his dedication to education, advocacy for political causes, and his enduring commitment to public welfare and advancement.\(^{290}\)

William W. Evans, also born into enslavement in Dinwiddie County, was educated in a private school run by Major Giles B. Cooke in Petersburg, Virginia.\(^{291}\) He began his career as a barber before turning to law and, like some of his contemporaries described so far, served in the House of Delegates from 1887 to 1888.\(^{292}\)

Indeed, whether born enslaved or free, one common thread for these lawyers who turned politicians was a good education, either from educated families or from private groups committed to education. These lawyers were more than legal practitioners—they were local leaders. As leaders, they sought out every possible avenue and used every available tool to create social change for their communities.

More specifically, these Black elected officials, who were lawyers, utilized their legal expertise to advocate for educational opportunities, fight for voting rights, and push for social and economic equality.\(^{293}\) They played a crucial role in shaping the political landscape of Virginia during a tumultuous time of racial tension and transition.\(^{294}\) Their education was essential to their ability to become public officials, and then, as public officials, they became active representatives in our democracy and used their standing to advocate for racial progress.

\(^{287}\) See id.
\(^{288}\) See id.
\(^{289}\) See id.
\(^{290}\) See id.
\(^{291}\) JACKSON, supra note 205, at 15.
\(^{292}\) Id.
\(^{293}\) For example, John Mercer Langston journeyed across the Southern states, championing the cause of educational access, political equity, and economic fairness, all while emphasizing the importance of individual accountability. His speeches garnered widespread approval from both Black and white communities, which was partly responsible for elevating him to a position of national prominence. OBERLIN COLL. ARCHIVES, supra note 257.
\(^{294}\) This progress did not come without challenges. From 1865 to 1895, Virginia also saw significant challenges for Black elected officials, as the Reconstruction era eventually gave way to the rise of Jim Crow laws and widespread efforts to suppress the political power of African Americans. Many gains made during Reconstruction were eroded, and Black elected officials faced increasing opposition and disenfranchisement. See Richard Lowe, Local Black Leaders During Reconstruction in Virginia, 103 VA. MAG. HIST. & BIOGRAPHY 181, 181 (1995). For more on Black elected officials in Virginia, see generally Michael B. Chesson, Richmond’s Black Councilmen, 1871–96, in SOUTHERN BLACK LEADERS OF THE RECONSTRUCTION ERA 191–222 (Howard N. Rabinowitz ed., 1982).
Despite the challenges they faced, Black elected officials who were lawyers in Virginia during this period left a lasting impact on the state’s history. They played a vital role in the struggle for civil rights and equal representation, laying the foundation for future generations of Black leaders and activists in Virginia and beyond. This was possible, in part, because of their access to quality education. Langston provides one such example. As a teenager, he attended a number of public and private schools, with some of the most devoted teachers, where he continued to develop his academic skills. However, it was his time at Oberlin College, the renowned institution in Ohio, that truly shaped his educational path—the one that led him to make great progress toward the advancement of justice and equity for all people.

This section has highlighted the transformative role of education in empowering Black leaders from Virginia, enabling them to drive progress and enact significant change. Education—which equipped these leaders with the tools to actively participate in our representative democracy and thus advocate for the betterment of constituents and the nation—emerges as a catalyst for their impactful contributions. These narratives of Black leaders, and the narratives that follow, collectively underscore the enduring resilience of Black individuals throughout history and how education profoundly shaped the trajectories of their lives. In the present moment, marked as it is by fragility of the democratic process and instances of protest and insurrection, these narratives offer a glimmer of hope—and this hope can only be realized through a continued emphasis on prioritizing education moving forward.

C. Education, Civil Disobedience, and Pioneering: Mary Smith Peake

While the preceding section emphasized the significance of education in the experiences of Black leaders from Virginia, this section delves into the paramount role that educators played in challenging the prevailing norms for Black Americans. To illuminate the educator’s role in this era, the focus turns to the narrative of Mary Smith Peake, a figure who deeply understood the value of education in advancing the cause of racial progress and dedicated herself to educating as many individuals as possible.

Mary Smith Peake, before named Mary Smith Kelsey, was born into freedom in Norfolk, Virginia. Her father, an Englishman of high social standing and culture, and her mother, a light-skinned, free woman of color, raised her. At six years
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of age, Mary was sent to Alexandria, then part of the District of Columbia, to receive an education. She lived with her aunt and studied for approximately ten years until the United States Congress enacted a law prohibiting education for free people of color in the District of Columbia, similar to the restrictions in other southern states. This law resulted in the closure of all schools for free Black individuals in Alexandria. These measures, which mirrored the actions taken in Virginia, were implemented after the Nat Turner Rebellion of 1831. It is worth noting that Alexandria was retroceded to Virginia in 1846. At the age of sixteen, in 1839, Peake returned to live with her mother. Despite the risks involved, she courageously taught enslaved individuals and free Black Americans how to read and write even though it was against the law.

Recognizing the importance of education for her community, Peake dedicated herself to this cause. She ultimately became an esteemed member of the Black community in Hampton, Virginia, known for her significant contributions as an educator and humanitarian. While living in Hampton, she commenced teaching the children of formerly enslaved individuals; in order to do so, Peake received a salary from the American Missionary Association (AMA).

On September 17, 1861, she began conducting her classes for the children of formerly enslaved persons outdoors beneath a majestic oak tree in Phoebus, a quaint town situated near Hampton in Elizabeth City County. In 1863, this tree, located near Fort Monroe in present-day Hampton, became where the Virginia peninsula community gathered to hear President Abraham Lincoln’s Emancipation Proclamation, leading “the Emancipation Oak” to become its namesake.

Over time, Peake’s school gained prominence, and the AMA soon provided Mary Peake with Brown Cottage, which is now widely recognized as the first building of Hampton Institute, now known as Hampton University. In this way,
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Peake played an important role in the Hampton University’s 1868 founding. This contribution is an interesting feat, considering that Peake’s defiance of unjust education laws as a young woman ultimately led to the establishment a preeminent historically Black university. This point—that defiance of unjust laws may ultimately be put to impactful ends—is one potential response that teachers and advocates can take in the face of unjust laws that do not serve their causes. Indeed, Peake’s story demonstrates the value to society of having education available to all children because, as the Emancipation Oak symbolizes, true emancipation is difficult to come by without the freedom to learn and be taught. An ancillary point is that today’s school children should be provided these histories as part of receiving a quality education because, armed with these histories, students can understand how to leverage their education and use it to create meaningful futures. This history shows the importance of education and educators to democracy.

D. Freedmen’s Teachers and Allyship: Lucy and Sarah Chase

While Black educators, exemplified by figures such as Mary Smith Peake, made significant advancements in the education of Black Americans during the 1800s, it is important to recognize that Peake was just one individual. The presence of allies played a crucial role in the educational efforts of the 1800s, as seen in the story of John Langston, with Colonel Gooch and his family ensuring Langston’s early access to a quality education. Similar allies also emerged in support of other Black Americans, including the Chase sisters, demonstrating the power in collective commitment to advancing educational opportunities.

Sisters Lucy Chase and Sarah Chase were born in Massachusetts in 1822 and 1836, respectively. The Chase sisters, both white women, decided to go to the South after the Civil War to organize and teach in schools for formerly enslaved persons. When they asked their father if they could join this education effort, he responded:

We are all here for a purpose—we have missions, and if we are true to ourselves we shall seek to know what that mission is, and knowing, endeavour to fulfill it. Whatever our suffering, whatever our privation may be, if we come out of it with the consciousness of having been instrumental in saving a brother, a husband, a father, or soothing their pain in the last struggle of
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human existence, we feel abundantly compensated. . . . I disapprove of all wars and fightings, but not of the office of good Samaritan [sic]. 315

The sisters then began their teaching careers, focusing on providing quality education to Black students. 316 They became known for their dedication, innovative teaching methods, and commitment to empowering their students. 317 The Chase sisters believed in the power of education to uplift the community and fought against the prevailing prejudices and inequalities of their time. 318 They taught in various schools, including in Richmond, Virginia, where they added value to their students’ lives and the educational landscape. 319

Lucy and Sarah Chase’s work as white women teaching freedmen, in which they risked their lives in a war zone, is a lesson in allyship that carries forward to the present moment. They contributed to the broader movement for educational equity and social progress for Black people because they felt it was the correct and humane thing to do. The legacy of Lucy and Sarah Chase as allies underscores not only the significance of education for advancing equality and empowerment—and ultimately freedom—but also the role of allies, those with agency and privilege, in the quest for education justice.

These types of stories—including those of the Chase sisters, Mary Peake, and the Black lawyers-cum-elected-officials—are kept out of secondary school curricula. Yet knowledge of these stories could change America’s social landscape by providing a bridge to educational triumphs and entrance into the legal profession to enlighten young people. Politicians try to sell racial history as one of divisiveness; yet, on the contrary, it could actually promote a greater unity, as all students learn about the variety of incredible stories that came out of America’s origin story. 320

316 See DEAR ONES AT HOME: LETTERS FROM CONTRABAND CAMPS 5–6 (Henry L. Swint ed., 1966) (discussing how they wrote these letters as they began work in 1863 in Craney teaching 2,000 Black people “the three R’s” in the schools that they opened).
317 See id. at 7. A testament to their dedication and devotion, the sisters often turned down working in quieter and less difficult areas in schools that were already established and instead chose work relevant to “their interest in the Negro, in reform, and in intellectual activity.” Id.
318 Id. at 6 (“They worked as labor superintendents as well as teachers, for they hoped to train the Negroes in skills which might be put to use in the coming industrialization of the South.”).
With this point in mind, Part III of this Article highlights lessons learned from these historical narratives of educational attainment.

III. LESSONS LEARNED: REIMAGINING EDUCATION, LAW, AND DEMOCRACY AS TOOLS FOR LIBERTY

Education historically has been established as one of the necessary conditions for the success of democracy—that is, for the healthy function of institutions that make up the American republic.\(^{321}\) Jefferson and Madison recognized that if done in the correct way, education has the capacity, without question, to advance democracy.\(^{322}\) The United States Supreme Court, in education jurisprudence, has acknowledged the nexus between education and democracy,\(^{323}\) and legal scholars write about this connection.\(^{324}\) Political leaders dating back to America’s founding also mentioned this connection.\(^{325}\) There is also, of course, a logical connection between education and democracy as tools for liberty. Democracy is a system of government where power rests with the people who can participate in decision-making processes through elected representatives. And, if public education is understood as a public service to society, wherein children are taught a curriculum that promotes the fulfillment of promise in individuals and fosters social mobility, then the link between an educated citizenry and a representative democracy is apparent on the most basic level.

Yet even with the connection between education and democracy established, “the wrong kind of ‘education’ promote[s] its own kind of tyranny,” as James Madison noted.\(^{326}\) Indeed, American founders were aware of what education could
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do for a republican government; 327 Black thought leaders, too, understood why education was important to equality and freedom. W.E.B. Du Bois even called for education to be a part of the Niagara Movement, a precursor to the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) and the Civil Rights Movement. 328 Du Bois made very clear what type of education—the right kind of education, to recall Madison’s terms—was necessary for the liberty and upward mobility of Black people into society:

[W]hen we call for education we mean real education. We believe in work. We ourselves are workers, but work is not necessarily education. Education is the development of power and ideal. We want our children trained as intelligent human beings should be . . . . They have a right to know, to think, to aspire. 329

With this context in mind, the Article now turns to the present day, where education and freedom are both under assault. In addition to the political climate outlined in the Introduction, and despite education jurisprudence and legislation articulating the importance of education to democracy, the Supreme Court recently radically curtailed affirmative action, a mechanism formerly used to establish fair access to education for persons of color. 330 Furthermore, there is still no guaranteed federal right to an education, which helps explain why some students are still expected to learn in the most deplorable of conditions. Moreover, teachers are now leaving the classroom in record numbers. 331 History shows that education for the

**CONCEPT OF EDUCATION (R. S. Peters ed., 1967)). Recognizing:**

While some degree of indoctrination is no doubt an indispensable component for civic education under any constitutional scheme, including democracy, R. S. Peters suggests that it is incompatible by definition with the acquisition of critical thought which is essential to the liberal education I shall argue is necessary for the success of democratic institutions.

*See id.*

327 See Black, America’s Founders, supra note 8 (quoting Jefferson and Madison about what democracy requires).


329 *Id.*


general populace, regarding America’s complicated history and Black American history, has always been lacking. Now is an especially urgent moment to reevaluate the history and civics curriculum taught in public-funded schools. Although the United States has historically recognized the significance of education to the American experiment, there has also been a long history of what this Article calls the “anti-education movement,” which seeks to prevent a truly, and fully, liberated and upwardly mobile society. This third Part explores the lessons from Colonial, Antebellum, and Postbellum education in Virginia.

A. Recognizing the Role of Education in the Pursuit of Liberty

The most important lesson from the history presented here is that education matters. Education is the key to freedom, and a liberated society is an educated society. For this reason, education has historically been the battleground for social progress and civil rights, and a major source of resistance from those who maintain power. Education—that is, access to tools that work for varied kinds of intelligence—has the power to increase enthusiasm as it relates to civic responsibility, to encourage social progress through law and policies, and enforce a truly representative government that enables people to solve their own problems and rectify their own social ills. Thus, it stands to reason that advocates who are focused on liberation and justice must also focus on education.

As this Article has shown, education played a vital role in the reconstruction efforts following the American Civil War and beyond. It was widely understood as


332 In Virginia, textbooks creators intentionally downplayed race in America’s story. See Hankerson, supra note 4; see also Minton, supra note 5 (discussing the history of the inaccuracy of textbooks in Virginia).

333 All ideological sides have long regarded education as a pathway to liberty. See Barbara A. Sizemore, Education for Liberation, 81 SCH. REV. 389, 389–95 (1973); Joyce E. Williams & Ron Ladd, On the Relevance of Education for Black Liberation, 47 J. NEGRO EDUC. 266, 266–73 (1978); see also Black, America’s Founders, supra note 8; Black, Freedom, Democracy, and the Right to Education, supra note 111, at 1034–35 (discussing how during the Colonial and Antebellum periods, education was intricately linked to freedom and the South criminalized education to maintain power and preserve the status quo).

an essential tool for achieving social, political, and economic advancement in the aftermath of slavery and the devastating impact of the war. There are several reasons, outlined below, why education has been a focal point in both the Black freedom struggle and the maintenance of social structures more generally.

First, emancipation and citizenship play a significant role in the stories narrated thus far. The abolition of slavery and the recognition of citizenship rights for formerly enslaved Black Americans created an urgent need for education. In this context, education was seen as a means to empower individuals with the knowledge and skills necessary to exercise their rights as citizens, actively participate in the democratic process, and engage meaningfully in civic life.

Then there is the connection between social progress and integration. Beyond an understanding of education as essential for civic engagement, education was also regarded as a catalyst for social progress and integration. Advocates believed that providing educational opportunities to African Americans would help overcome racial prejudice and discrimination, promote social equality, and foster a sense of unity among diverse populations. Those working to advance education viewed it as a tool to both bridge gaps in progress and foster togetherness between ethnicities.
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Next is the importance of education for economic empowerment. Education was recognized as a pathway to break the cycle of poverty and economic dependency that many Black Americans faced after emancipation. By equipping individuals with literacy, numeracy, vocational skills, and knowledge of various trades, education aimed to empower them to secure better employment opportunities, establish businesses, and improve their economic conditions. It was viewed as a means to provide individuals with the tools for self-sufficiency and upward mobility.

Reconstruction efforts acknowledged the significance of human capital development for the overall progress and prosperity of the nation, and thus education was considered an investment in the future, as it enhanced the skills, knowledge, and productivity of the workforce. By investing in education, society could build a stronger and more capable generation capable of contributing to the nation’s growth and development.

To meet this growing demand for education, new educational institutions, such as schools and universities, were established or expanded during the Reconstruction era. Organizations like the Freedmen’s Bureau and various missionary societies played pivotal roles in providing necessary resources, teachers, and support for these educational initiatives. These institutions became important centers of learning and community development.

As noted, education during the Reconstruction era was seen as a dramatically powerful force, as educators and advocates aimed to empower formerly enslaved individuals, foster social integration, provide economic opportunities, and contribute to the long-term progress of society. By prioritizing education, Reconstruction efforts sought to build a more equitable and prosperous nation for all its citizens. In sum, education was recognized as a transformative force during the Reconstruction era, aiming to empower formerly enslaved individuals, promote social integration, enhance economic opportunities, and foster long-term societal progress.

As demonstrated in the above historical narratives, education was significant to the success of the prominent Black legislators, lawyers, and educators in these historical narratives. Whether they were born enslaved or free, these renowned figures were educated either by their families, private groups, other people, or even themselves. Their education often led them to be educators themselves, in addition to the other occupations they held.
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Indeed, as the narratives and histories outlined here have shown, equipping children with knowledge and nurturing independent thinking can serve as a potent catalyst for shaping the lives of future change agents. However, history also reveals that liberation is usually synonymous with challenging established norms—and when the existing social order faces upheaval, education is either restricted or obscured. Or, in another response to such challenges, the use of education to subjugate marginalized groups is intensified. We see that response today, as education is manipulated in ways similar to past efforts to use education against empowerment and for oppression.344 In the current context, the persistence of certain curricula that downplay or distort the histories and contributions of Black individuals, cultures, and communities exemplifies that manipulation.345 Those efforts, in turn, sustain a limited perspective of history and society, in a way that—again—mirrors methods of subordination from bygone eras. Consequently, the need for culturally responsive curricula, antibias training for educators, enhanced representation, and policies fostering equitable educational practices become paramount. Indeed, such measures are essential for crafting a comprehensive and robust education that is accessible to all students, regardless of their background.

B. The Anti-Education Movement Requires a Pro-Education Response

As this Article has demonstrated, history shows—and present-day initiatives confirm—that impacted communities who are denied access to education will either continue to fight for it or create it for themselves. W.E.B. Du Bois long ago warned that the anti-education movement would persist in perpetuity. Thus, Du Bois suggested, “[w]hat the Negro needs, therefore, of the world and civilization, he must largely teach himself; what he learns of social organization and efficiency, he must learn from his own people.”346

Indeed, the educational philosophies of W.E.B. Du Bois, Carter G. Woodson, and Horace Mann Bond, outlined in detail in Part II, offer valuable insights into contemporary education challenges and reveal the enduring relevance of these thinkers. For example, Du Bois suggested that it is the responsibility of Black educated people to take up the call to educate their own.347 Du Bois emphasized the importance of education as a means to achieve full citizenship and social equality.348 With his concept of the “Talented Tenth,” he argued that a well-educated elite from the Black community could uplift the entire race.349
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The silent narratives in Part II, however, show that the work of Black Americans uplifts not only their entire race, but also the nation by moving society forward. During these early periods in American history, before those like Horace Mann Bond and other more mainstream advocates of public education began to push for a more streamlined system, Black Americans educated themselves—and then went on to educate others. The same occurred for those from lower economic positions. In the Colonial era, families who could not financially provide an outside tutor to educate their children would do the tutoring themselves or pool together their resources to provide an education. We see the same occurrences during the Antebellum and Postbellum periods with enslaved and free Black people putting great effort to create their own schools or escape their conditions to a place where they could freely become educated.

Yet given that some Black Americans chose to leave the place of their subordination for freedom and educational opportunities, the Slave or Black Codes they were escaping, depending upon the era, may have in fact done the opposite of what these codes were created to accomplish. For instance, stories like Ruffin’s demonstrate that the resistance applied with the intention of limiting education for Black people during these earlier periods actually created a greater urgency for Black people to learn. Virginia’s prohibition on education drove Ruffin to a place where he could freely become educated. In this way, Virginia’s goal of obstructing education backfired and, as a result, produced the first Black person to graduate from any law school in the United States; in this case, Ruffin completed his education at Harvard Law School. Moreover, Ruffin completed a three-year program in one year, all while working at a barbershop. Ruffin’s story confirms that the fear of success and freedom that motivates some educational obstructionists is founded: there is a great possibility that if all children are given the opportunity to succeed, they just might actually succeed.

In the present day, the emerging Black home education movement is positioned to heed the counsel of visionaries like Du Bois and Woodson, wherein Black
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communities embrace autonomy to shield and educate their own children. This movement arises in response to the potential exclusion of these children from a just and accessible education—a right long overdue, denied for centuries due to the enduring legacy of enslavement and racism. Indeed, despite strides within the American education system, racial bias persists, inflicting lasting wounds on Black children. As Professor Najarian Peters’ research into Black home education underscores, these children grapple with psychological strain emanating from daily racial microaggressions and assaults. They are disproportionately subjected to punitive discipline and juvenile incarceration. In the face of these persistently traumatic experiences, a growing number of Black parents are choosing to withdraw their children from conventional schooling. Opting for home education, these parents seek to safeguard their children’s right to flourish during their formative years. The current moment represents a juncture where the educational detriment stemming from the prevailing anti-education agenda—anti-identity, anti-truth, anti-history, and anti-Black—casts a shadow on all children in a similar manner.

As the history in Part II showed, Woodson championed the study of Black history to counter the omission and distortion of Black contributions in mainstream education—that is, to counter precisely the kinds of aims embodied by this current anti-education agenda. Woodson’s creation of what is now known as “Black History Month” aimed to rectify this oversight. Woodson’s work still highlights the need for a more inclusive and accurate curriculum that acknowledges the diversity of contributions from different racial and cultural groups. His ideas also align with present-day calls for culturally responsive education that reflects the experiences and achievements of historically marginalized communities.

Lastly, Bond similarly emphasized the significance of education for fostering critical thinking, creativity, and the ability to question societal norms. His concept of “education for freedom” underscored the role of education in enabling individuals to think independently and challenge injustices. Today, Bond’s emphasis on
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fostering critical thinking and nurturing a socially conscious citizenry resonates as educators strive to equip students with the skills to engage with complex societal issues and navigate an ever-changing world.

Collectively, then, the thinking of Du Bois, Woodson, and Bond underscores the enduring importance of education in addressing social inequalities, promoting cultural inclusivity, and nurturing active, engaged citizens. Their insights continue to guide efforts to create a more equitable and just educational system that empowers individuals to contribute positively to society.

C. A Necessary Reimagining of Public Education

In the absence of a federal mandate ensuring universal access to education, the responsibility for education falls heavily upon communities, which must demand accountability from state, local, and federal authorities regarding publicly funded education. The premise of this Article is not that liberty is impossible without a robust education; rather, a robust educational framework fosters a society that is more liberated and bolsters the democratic foundation. Hence, striving for true liberation necessarily prompts a reconsideration of how to successfully integrate comprehensive history and narratives previously overlooked within public education, thereby envisioning how such inclusion would enhance the quality of education and contribute to a more enlightened society.

As this Article has shown, the exploration of the aforementioned challenges to accessing education gives rise to several themes that urge a reevaluation of public education curricula. First and foremost, public education was established partially to level the educational playing field for a wider array of children.367 Second, the significance of preserving history is evident. Last, it is important to heed calls to broaden our comprehension of education while maintaining ongoing engagement with the public and communities at-large.

One lesson from this history of public-funded education is that the existing model of public education has failed to be truly public and accessible to all children.368 While public education is often considered a cornerstone of democratic societies, the reality is that not all children have equal access to quality education. This lack of access is rooted in various factors that perpetuate educational disparities. Socio-economic disparities, in particular, play a significant role, as economically disadvantaged children face barriers such as underfunded schools and a lack of resources.369 Unequal distribution of resources also contributes to education justice issues, with schools in wealthier neighborhoods offering better facilities, more
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experienced teachers, and advanced curricula. Racial and ethnic disparities further compound the issue, as minority students face systemic biases and discrimination within the education system.\textsuperscript{370} Additionally, children in remote or rural areas often encounter unique challenges in accessing quality education due to limited school options and a lack of resources.

Another lesson is the significance of preserving and passing along a complete and accurate history of America to children without causing harm. The history of the United States shows that teaching a full and complete history about the founding of the nation or the Civil War or other unflattering parts of United States history has not necessarily been at the center of American children’s education.\textsuperscript{371} In the same vein, and more specifically, historical narratives about traversing or overcoming the subjugation of Black and poor Americans is also not always included in civics and history instruction in American public-funded schools.\textsuperscript{372} However, a fact-based history that includes these narratives could be useful for children because telling the truth about fraught histories fosters a sense of hope and fills children with a sense of possibility. Modifying or removing the history of slavery and Black advancement from curricular deprives Black students, and all other students, of the complete and accurate education necessary to prepare them as active members of our democracy.

Ultimately, the value of education lies in achieving higher knowledge and the ability to think, reason critically, and innovatively find solutions. This type of education can occur within the bounds of the formal education system, but with the anti-education movement in full swing, it is incumbent upon mainstream society to push for and demonstrate where and how real knowledge acquisition occurs. In this vein, education must be redefined as more than reading, writing, and arithmetic. Indeed, the same type of rearticulation applies to how we think about a healthy democracy. It is no longer enough to have the legal ability to engage civically—e.g., the legal right to vote—the vote and engagement must carry weight. In other words, people must have the actual ability to create democratic change. It can no longer be merely rhetorical, with the real political power resting within the hands of just a few. Similarly, the law and its function in society related to education and democracy must also be reordered—it is not enough for there to be mere access to education; the education that children access must carry weight.


\textsuperscript{372} See Rainone, supra note 176; LaGarrett J. King & Crystal Simmons, Narratives of Black History in Textbooks: Canada and the United States, in THE WILEY INTERNATIONAL HANDBOOK OF HISTORY TEACHING AND LEARNING 93, 93–94 (Scott Alan Metzger & Lauren McArthur Harris eds., 2018) (discussing the how history text books often marginalize Black history and have limited nuanced racial discourse).
Some in the United States believe that the country—including the public education system—should push to get beyond race; the corollary to this premise is that in order to move beyond race, one must stop talking about race. However, in the words of Justice Jackson, “[o]ur country has never been colorblind.” Therefore, it may be worth considering, from a legal, legislative, and civil standpoint, how to push through legislation that would encourage the provision of an education that fully covers history and civics, as a means toward achieving the ends of a healthy constitutional or representative democracy.

Indeed, since the No Child Left Behind Act was introduced in 2002, civics and history have received less instructional time. However, in 2020, the United States Senate passed the Educating for Democracy Act—a promising bill that would authorize the Department of Education to fund states, non-profits, researchers, and higher education institutions to expand access to civics and history education. However, the bill failed to become law. In 2021, the Senate tried again with the Civics Secures Democracy Act, and in 2023 with the Civics Learning Act. The federal attempts have failed. Still, some states have attempted to fill the gap in the history curricula about race and society, by including slavery and another important history that composes America’s story. Now, however, many of these efforts are trending in the opposite direction as there is a push to censor and keep this crucial history out of schools. Some books, out of those currently being banned, have no honest basis for being taken out of schools. Further, anti—Critical Race Theory, anti-identity, and anti-inclusion political rhetoric are front and center of the current iteration of the anti-education movement.

---

373 Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President & Fellows of Harvard Coll., 600 U.S. 181, 385 (2023) (Jackson, J., dissenting) (“Given the lengthy history of state-sponsored race-based preferences in America, to say that anyone is now victimized if a college considers whether that legacy of discrimination has unequally advantaged its applicants fails to acknowledge the well-documented ‘intergenerational transmission of inequality’ that still plagues our citizenry.”).
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When members of Congress Rosa DeLauro and Tom Cole introduced the *Educating for Democracy* bill on Constitution Day, Representative DeLauro stated, “if students of all ages are not given the information in their classrooms to actively participate in our democracy, we cannot expect them to stay engaged and informed as adults.” Representative DeLauro further suggested that:

[T]he divide in this country continues to grow as we neglect civic education and fail to build a nation adequately informed of our democratic principles, norms, and institutions. We must focus on educating our citizens in order to sustain our democracy, and the federal government must provide the resources to support this learning.

As has been alluded to in this Article, there is good reason that proposed legislation, like the Educating for Democracy Act, Civics Secures Democracy Act, or Civics Learning Act, should be reconsidered, as this is a time when American society at-large is reflecting on the role of history and civics in the public education curriculum.

Martin Luther King Jr., a spirited activist following in the footsteps of Du Bois and Woodson, emphasized the importance of recognizing and addressing the historical injustices and systemic barriers faced by marginalized groups. He argued that a society cannot claim neutrality if it fails to actively dismantle discriminatory laws, policies, and practices that have perpetuated inequality for centuries. Reverend King’s message during the March on Washington highlighted the contradiction between the promises of equality enshrined in the Fourteenth Amendment and the harsh realities experienced by marginalized communities. He metaphorically referred to the promise of equality as a rubber check that bounced due to insufficient funds. To demand justice, Dr. King and others marched in order to demand justice, using their collective actions to protest against inequality and move towards truth, rather than being swayed by misleading propaganda.

---
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Education is ultimately in the hands of many. Public education originated with parents and communities disseminating knowledge and learning. Gatekeeping—and the fact that some families could not, due to a lack of social or other capital, educate their children in the most desirable way—led to the establishment of public-funded education. This Article’s suggestion is ultimately not to abandon public-funded education, but rather to expand what “education” is, and to what ends we employ it, and then to allow communities to play a vital role in educating each other and the world around them.

CONCLUSION

Public Education paves multiple pathways to freedom. Such liberating avenues encompass the acquisition of citizenship rights for civic engagement, the means to upward social and economic mobility, and the knowledge necessary for physical liberation. Within this Article’s historical narratives, we witness illustrations of this transformative journey. Unfortunately, however, students are too often deprived of the enriching perspectives and the sense of hope that emerges from a more comprehensive understanding of these narratives and similar accounts embraced by America’s intricate story. In sum, these narratives are examples of how much better-served society can be when students have access to a quality and comprehensive education.

Indeed, the historical narratives in this Article, like that of the Chase sisters who educated free Black individuals amidst challenging circumstances, not only emphasize the pivotal role of public education in driving equality, empowerment, and ultimately freedom, but also highlight the essential contributions of allies who have wielded agency and privilege in the pursuit of educational justice. These types of histories provide a roadmap for lawyers and educator leaders who have the same opportunity to effect change over time. While access to education can enable these lawyers and educators to be direct participants in our representative democracy, they can also influence the next generation of civic actors through community education and serving in more formal education functions. Now’s the time, arguably more than

390 Kober & Rentner, supra note 350, at 1:
Without a formal system for funding education, local schools were dependent on parents’ tuition payments, charitable contributions, property taxes, fuel contributions, and in some cases state support. At the time of the American Revolution, some cities and towns in the Northeast had free local schools paid for by all town residents, but this was not the norm.

391 It is worth noting that federally guaranteeing a right to access quality education could do the work that affirmative action was created to do. There is an opportunity for real affirmative action, which is to fully and federally fund public education throughout the United States. A federal right paired with resources to support that right would be required. This would all be required in pursuit of liberty and a representative, stable, enduring democracy. For a discussion of affirmative action, see generally STUBBS & HILL, supra note 126.
ever, when lawyers must make themselves available as nation builders through civic leadership to strategize how to establish and maintain a viable democracy that best serves all.

Ultimately, education justice advocates must steadfastly champion education as a route to liberty. Advocates must acknowledge public education’s potential to propel representative democracy forward. The crux of the challenge remains: education’s importance is paramount. The pivotal task is to ensure access to quality education for every child. While laws and individuals may, in the end, fail to quell critical thinking, they can nevertheless amplify difficulties. Proponents of independent, wide-ranging thinking, must work to dismantle these barriers and teach children to rise above them. In this way, defenders of freedom of thought can pave the way for further societal advancement. Within this paradigm, freedom of thought advocates can help to establish and preserve the integrity of America’s history. Moreover, based on comprehensive access to and understanding of facts, young people can blossom as civic leaders. A broad fact-based educational curriculum can inspire leaders to prioritize developing a society that is enlightened and free.

In short, today’s persistent quality education access as well as educational achievement gaps are rooted in laws and practices extending back to colonial times. This Article has presented a historical overview to shed light on background for today’s “anti-education movement.” Originating in earlier eras and resurfacing in a modern manifestation, current anti-education efforts hinder the march towards a truly emancipated and upwardly mobile society for all persons. Despite positive strides made in the American education system, the enduring lack of access from the Colonial era to the present, continues to disproportionately afflict all children, but particularly marginalized ones, resulting in enduring harm. Advocates must pivot their mission toward and persevere in seeking to eliminate this harm.