

William & Mary Law Review

Volume 38 (1996-1997)
Issue 1 *W.M. Keck Foundation Forum on the
Teaching of Legal Ethics*

Article 1

October 1996

Table of Contents and Masthead (v. 38, no. 1)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr>

Repository Citation

Table of Contents and Masthead (v. 38, no. 1), 38 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. (1996),
<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr/vol38/iss1/1>

Copyright c 1996 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.
<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr>

William and Mary Law Review

VOLUME 38

OCTOBER 1996

W.M. KECK FOUNDATION FORUM ON THE TEACHING OF LEGAL ETHICS

INTRODUCTION TO THE KECK FORUM ON THE TEACHING OF LEGAL ETHICS.....	<i>Thomas G. Krattenmaker</i>	1
The Trouble with the Adversary System in a Postmodern, Multicultural World.....	<i>Carrie Menkel-Meadow</i>	5
LAWYERING IN A HYBRID ADVERSARY SYSTEM.....	<i>John S. Dzienkowski</i>	45
THE TROUBLE WITH POSTMODERN ZEAL.....	<i>Monroe H. Freedman</i>	63
Legal Education, Experiential Education, and Professional Responsibility.....	<i>James E. Moliterno</i>	71
JIM'S MODEST PROPOSAL.....	<i>Kenney F. Hegland</i>	125
COMMENT ON MOLITERNO, <i>LEGAL EDUCATION, EXPERIENTIAL EDUCATION, AND PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY</i>	<i>Lance Liebman</i>	137
Rule, Story, and Commitment in the Teaching of Legal Ethics.....	<i>Roger C. Cramton</i> <i>Susan P. Koniak</i>	145
ETHICAL COMMITMENTS.....	<i>Anthony V. Alfieri</i>	199
COMMENT ON <i>RULE, STORY, AND COMMITMENT IN THE TEACHING OF LEGAL ETHICS</i> , BY ROGER C. CRAMTON AND SUSAN P. KONIAK.....	<i>John M. Levy</i>	207
Should Lawyers Obey the Law?.....	<i>William H. Simon</i>	217
LEGAL IDEALS AND MORAL OBLIGATIONS: A COMMENT ON SIMON.....	<i>David Luban</i>	255
IN DEFENSE OF LAW AND MORALITY: WHY LAWYERS SHOULD HAVE A PRIMA FACIE DUTY TO OBEY THE LAW.....	<i>David B. Wilkins</i>	269
STEVENS'S PROFESSIONALISM AND OURS.....	<i>David Luban</i>	297

NOTES

TIPPING THE BALANCE: *HILTON DAVIS* AND THE SHAPE OF
EQUITY IN THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS..... 319

THE LAY VIEW OF WHAT “DISABILITY” MEANS MUST GIVE
WAY TO WHAT CONGRESS SAYS IT MEANS: INFERTILITY
AS A “DISABILITY” UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH
DISABILITIES ACT..... 371