

William & Mary Law Review

Volume 45 (2003-2004)
Issue 2

Article 2

December 2003

Errata (v. 45, no. 2)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr>

Repository Citation

Errata (v. 45, no. 2), 45 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. (2003), <https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr/vol45/iss2/2>

Copyright c 2003 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.
<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr>

ERRATA

The *William and Mary Law Review* regrets the following errata that were published in Issue 2 of Volume 45:

Page 477, lines 10-11. Delete “and has in fact issued several patents that encompass humans, despite its earlier pronouncements.”

Page 478, line 8. For “a patent her invention” read “a patent on her invention”

Page 478, line 12. For “tangentially” read “tangentially,”

Page 507, footnote 175, line 12. For “DiMauor” read “DiMauro”

Page 508, line 22. For “misappropriation” read “misapprehension”

Page 509, footnote 183, lines 6-7. Delete “What members of Congress fail to realize is that the USPTO “position” is neither the law, nor even the current practice of the USPTO.”

Page 511, footnote 191, line 4. For “ethids.” read “ethics.”

Page 514, block quote, lines 1-6. Delete quotation mark before “by empowering”

Page 523, line 6. For “vallowed” read “allowed”

Page 532, footnote 294, line 4. For “tehcology” read “technology.”

Page 537, line 14. For “thus patent” read “thus the patent”

Page 541, line 13. For “judiciary” read “judicial”