William & Mary Law Review

Volume 46 (2004-2005) Issue 4 Symposium: Dual Enforcement of

Article 1

February 2005

Constitutional Norms

Table of Contents (v. 46, no. 4)

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr

Repository Citation

Table of Contents (v. 46, no. 4), 46 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. (2005), https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr/vol46/iss4/1

Copyright c 2005 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.

https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr

William and Mary Law Review

VOLUME 46 FEBRUARY 2005 NUMBER 4 SYMPOSIUM: DUAL ENFORCEMENT OF CONSTITUTIONAL NORMS FOREWORD: THE NEW FRONTIER OF STATE CONSTITUTIONAL LAW James A. Gardner 1231 Jim Rossi WHOSE CONSTITUTION IS IT? WHY FEDERALISM AND CONSTITUTIONAL POSITIVISM DON'T MIX James A. Gardner 1245 THE STATE AND THE FEDERAL COURTS IN GOVERNANCE: VIVE LA DIFFÉRENCE! Hans A. Linde 1273 BRIDGING THE ENFORCEMENT GAP IN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW: A CRITIQUE OF THE SUPREME COURT'S THEORY THAT SELF-RESTRAINT PROMOTES FEDERALISM Robert J. Pushaw. Jr. 1289 DUAL CONSTITUTIONS AND CONSTITUTIONAL DUELS: SEPARATION OF POWERS AND STATE IMPLEMENTATION OF FEDERALLY INSPIRED REGULATORY 1343 COOL FEDERALISM AND THE LIFE-CYCLE OF MORAL PROGRESS Lawrence G. Sager 1385 INTERJURISDICTIONAL ENFORCEMENT OF RIGHTS IN A POST-ERIE WORLD Robert A. Schapiro 1399 IN A FEDERAL CASE, IS THE STATE CONSTITUTION SOMETHING IMPORTANT OR JUST ANOTHER PIECE OF PAPER? Randall T. Shepard 1437 THE FUTURE OF PARITY Michael E. Solimine 1457 STATE COURTS ADOPTING FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL DOCTRINE: CASE-BY-CASE ADOPTIONISM OR

PROSPECTIVE LOCKSTEPPING? Robert F. Williams

THE DIRECT SHIPMENT CONTROVERSY Jonathan W. Garlough

LIKELY WORSE THAN THE DISEASE James P. Langan

NOTES

WEIGHING IN ON THE WINE WARS: WHAT THE EUROPEAN UNION CAN TEACH US ABOUT

INSTANT RUNOFF VOTING: A CURE THAT IS

1499

1533

1569