

William & Mary Law School

William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository

Supreme Court Preview

Conferences, Events, and Lectures

9-13-2019

2019-2020 Supreme Court Preview: Contents

Institute of Bill of Rights Law, William & Mary Law School

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview>



Part of the [Supreme Court of the United States Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Institute of Bill of Rights Law, William & Mary Law School, "2019-2020 Supreme Court Preview: Contents" (2019). *Supreme Court Preview*. 294.

<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview/294>

Copyright c 2019 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.

<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview>

Supreme Court Preview

September 13-14, 2019

Williamsburg, VA



2019-2020 Supreme Court Preview

The Institute of Bill of Rights Law

THE INSTITUTE OF BILL OF RIGHTS LAW was established at William & Mary in 1982 to support research and education on the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. One of the principal missions of the Institute is to facilitate interaction between the professions of law and journalism. Through a discussion of key cases on the Supreme Court's docket at the start of each term, the annual SUPREME COURT PREVIEW provides in-depth education for journalists on the underlying issues to enhance press coverage of the decisions.

NEAL DEVINS

Sandra Day O'Connor Professor of Law
Director of the Institute of Bill of Rights Law


REBECCA GREEN

Professor of Practice

Student Editors

VICTORIA VACCARO, *Chief Student Editor*

SAMMY CAREY LAMB, SIRENA ROWLAND, AND SUSAN THOMAS, *Assistant Student Editors*



THE COLLEGE OF WILLIAM & MARY
LAW SCHOOL
WILLIAMSBURG, VIRGINIA 23187-8795
(757) 221-3810 • FAX (757) 221-3775
IBRL@wm.edu • <http://www.IBRL.org>

2019-2020 Supreme Court Preview

Table of Contents

Introduction

In This Section:

Schedule of Events	p. 11
Panel Members	pp. 12 – 26

I. Moot Court: *Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia; Altitude Express, Inc. v. Zarda*

Moot Court: <i>Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia; Altitude Express, Inc. v. Zarda</i>	p. 27
---	-------

“SUPREME COURT TO DECIDE WHETHER LANDMARK CIVIL RIGHTS LAW APPLIES TO GAY AND TRANSGENDER WORKERS”	
Adam Liptak	p. 79
“ON L.G.B.T. RIGHTS, THE SUPREME COURT ASKS THE QUESTION”	
Linda Greenhouse.....	p. 82
“SUPREME COURT TO RULE ON GAY, TRANSGENDER EMPLOYMENT RIGHTS”	
Jess Bravin and Brent Kendall	p. 86
“COURT TO TAKE UP LBGT RIGHTS IN THE WORKPLACE”	
Amy Howe	p. 89
“TITLE VII AND LGBT DISCRIMINATION: THE PATH TO THE HIGH COURT”	
Melissa Legault	p. 91
“THIS LANDMARK RULING COULD BRING LOGIC TO CIVIL RIGHTS LAWS”	
Caroline Polisi.....	p. 95
“TWO NEW PETITIONS CALL ON SCOTUS TO DECIDE WORKPLACE PROTECTIONS FOR GAYS, LESBIANS”	
Alison Frankel	p. 98
“11 TH CIRC. DRAWS JUDGE’S IRE WITH EN BANC REVIEW REFUSAL”	
Kat Green	p. 100
“11 TH CIRCUIT JOINS OTHERS IN HOLDING SEXUAL ORIENTATION DISCRIMINATION NOT COVERED TITLE VII”	
Julie Furer Stahr	p. 103
“2 ND CIRCUIT DEMOLISHES KEY DOJ ARGUMENT AGAINST WORKPLACE PROTECTION FOR GAYS”	

Alison Frankel	p. 105
“2ND CIRCUIT (AGAIN) FINDS ANTI-GAY DISCRIMINATION LEGAL UNDER TITLE VII”	
Chris Johnson	p. 108
“TRUMP’S BATTLE OVER LGBT DISCRIMINATION IS JUST BEGINNING”	
Emma Green	p. 110

II. What to Expect from the Roberts Court

In This Section:

“AFTER 14 YEARS, CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS TAKES CHARGE”	
Adam Liptak	p. 115
“CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN ROBERTS IS ABOUT TO SHOW HIS CARDS”	
Joan Biskupic	p. 119
“CHIEF JUSTICE TRIED TO ASSURE THE SUPREME COURT IS APOLITICAL, BUT TERM’S BIGGEST CASES PRESENT PARTISAN CHALLENGES”	
Robert Barnes	p. 122
“SUPREME COURT WRAP-UP: A SLATE OF CONSERVATIVE, IF LESS PREDICTABLE, RULINGS”	
Jess Bravin and Brent Kendall	p. 126
“SUPREME COURT WITH ROBERTS IN CHARGE: CONSERVATIVE, BUT NOT ALWAYS PREDICTABLE”	
David Savage	p. 129
“SUPREME COURT TERM FOUND TRUMP’S JUSTICES, AND OTHERS, FORMING UNPREDICTABLE ALLIANCES”	
Pete Williams	p. 132
“EMPIRICAL SCOUTS: CHANGES ARE AFOOT — 5-4 DECISION DURING OCTOBER TERM 2018”	
Adam Feldman	p. 134
“TRUMP ON COLLISION COURSE WITH SUPREME COURT; JUSTICES MAY AVOID INTERFERENCE IN 2020 ELECTION”	
Richard Wolf	p. 137
“THEY’RE NOT ‘WONDER TWINS’: GORSUCH, KAVANAUGH SHIRT THE SUPREME COURT, BUT THEIR DIFFERENCES ARE STRIKING”	
Robert Barnes	p. 140
“THE LATEST CHAPTER IN THE GORSUCH-KAVANAUGH SAGA IS THE MOST REVEALING YET”	
Leah Litman	p. 143

III. Criminal Law

In This Section:

New Case: <i>Kahler v. Kansas</i>	p. 147
“SUPREME COURT TO EXAMINE INSANITY DEFENSE, NEED FOR JURY UNANIMITY”	
Jess Bravin	p. 181
“KANSAS QUADRUPLE KILLER’S SCOTUS APPEAL COULD CHANGE INSANITY DEFENSES NATIONWIDE”	
Nick Viviani	p. 183
“CONSENSUS OF CONFUSION: DETERMINING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE INSANITY DEFENSE”	
Rafael Santa Maria	p. 186
“KANSAS SUPREME COURT UPHOLD JAMES KAHLER’S MURDER CONVICTION, DEATH SENTENCE”	
Morgan Chilson.....	p. 188
New Case: <i>Kelly v. United States</i>	p. 189
“HIGH COURT TAKES ON ‘BRIDGEGATE’ APPEAL”	
Bill Wichert.....	p. 219
“EX-CHRISTIE AIDE GETS SUPREME COURT HEARING ON BRIDGE SCANDAL”	
Greg Stohr.....	p. 221
“SUPREME COURT TO HEAR APPEAL IN BRIDGEGATE CASE”	
RYAN HUTCHINS	p. 223
“WHY THE ‘BRIDGEGATE’ SCANDAL COULD BACKFIRE ON PROSECUTORS”	
NICK CORASANITI	p. 225
“BARONI SENTENCED TO 2 YEARS IN PRISON FOR ROLE IN BRIDGEGATE SCANDAL; KELLY GETS 18 MONTHS”	
RYAN HUTCHINS.....	p. 229
“BRIDGEGATE CONVICTIONS FOR BARONI AND KELLY MOSTLY UPHELD”	
MATT FRIEDMAN	p. 233
New Case: <i>Ramos v. Louisiana</i>	p. 235
“SUPREME COURT TO EXAMINE WHETHER UNANIMOUS JURIES ARE REQUIRED FOR CRIMINAL CONVICTIONS”	
Robert Barnes.....	p. 245
“JURY UNANIMITY BID GETS HIGH COURT LOOK”	
Jordan S. Rubin	p. 247
“ARE UNANIMOUS JURIES REQUIRED IN STATE CRIMINAL CASES? SCOTUS WILL CONSIDER OVERRULING PRECEDENT”	
Debra Cassens Weiss	p. 248
New Case: <i>Mathena v. Malvo</i>	p. 249
“SUPREME COURT WILL HEAR CASE OF LEE MALVO, THE D.C. SNIPER”	
Adam Liptak	p. 261

“SUPREME COURT TO CONSIDER WHETHER BELTWAY SNIPER LEE BOYD MALVO DESERVES NEW SENTENCING”	
Robert Barnes	p. 263
“SUPREME COURT TO CONSIDER LIFE-WITHOUT-PAROLE FOR TEEN DC SNIPER”	
Debra Cassens Weiss	p. 265
“U.S. APPEALS COURT CALLS D.C. SNIPER’S LIFE SENTENCES ILLEGAL”	
Jonathan Stempel.....	p. 266

IV. Immigration Law

In This Section:

New Cases: <i>Department of Homeland Security v. Regents of the University of California; Trump v. NAACP; McAleenan v. Vidal</i>	p. 270
“IT’S NOW THE SUPREME COURT’S TURN TO TRY TO RESOLVE THE FATE OF THE DREAMERS”	
Michael D. Shear and Adam Liptak.....	p. 306
“SUPREME COURT TO REVIEW DACA PROGRAM PROTECTING YOUNG UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS”	
Robert Barnes	p. 309
“SUPREME COURT DOESN’T ACT ON TRUMP’S APPEAL IN ‘DREAMERS’ CASE”	
Adam Liptak	p. 312
“APPEALS COURT FINDS TRUMP ADMINISTRATION’S MOVE TO END DACA ‘ARBITRARY AND CAPRICIOUS’”	
Ann E. Marimow and Robert Barnes	p. 314
“FEDERAL APPELLATE COURT SIDES WITH UC REGENTS IN FIGHT TO PRESERVE DACA”	
Megana Sekar	p. 316
“U.S COURT ORDERS TRUMP ADMINISTRATION TO FULLY REINSTATE DACA PROGRAM”	
Andrew Chung	p. 318
“FEDERAL JUDGE SAYS TRUMP ADMINISTRATION FAILED TO JUSTIFY DACA RESCISSION”	
Miriam Valverde	p. 319
“SUPREME COURT SAYS WHITE HOUSE CAN WITHHOLD DACA DOCUMENTS FOR NOW”	
Jess Bravin and Brent Kendall.....	p. 320
“DACA HAS NOT BEEN SAVED—AND IT MAY BE IN ITS LAST DAYS”	
Jack Herrera	p. 323
New Case: <i>Kansas v. Garcia</i>	p. 327
“SUPREME COURT TO RULE ON IDENTITY FRAUD BY UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS”	
Greg Stohr.....	p. 342
“SUPREME COURT TAKES UP KANSAS IDENTITY THEFT CASE”	
Lawrence Hurley	p. 344
“FEDS, CONSERVATIVES WEIGH STATES’ USE OF I-9S IN PROSECUTIONS”	
Tiffany Hu.....	p. 346
“SHOCKER: KANSAS SUPREME COURT LICENSES IDENTITY THEFT—BUT ONLY BY ILLEGAL ALIENS”	

Jack Cashill	p. 349
New Case: <i>Hernandez v. Mesa</i>	p. 351
“JUSTICES TO HEAR CASE OF U.S. AGENT’S SHOOTING OF TEENAGE ACROSS THE MEXICAN BORDER”	
Adam Liptak	p. 368
“BORDER PATROL AGENTS MUST BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE”	
Steve Vladeck.....	p. 370
“IS THERE LIABILITY FOR CROSS-BORDER SHOOTING?”	
Charles Doyle	p. 373
“SUPREME COURT REVIVES SUIT AGAINST BORDER AGENT IN SHOOTING DEATH”	
Jess Bravin	p. 376
“DOES THE CONSTITUTION PROTECT SOMEONE ON THE MEXICAN SIDE OF THE BORDER?”	
David G. Savage	p. 378
New Case: <i>Barton v. Barr</i>	p. 380
“HIGH COURT TO REVIEW REMOVAL OF LAWFUL PERMANENT RESIDENTS”	
Kimberly Strawbridge Robinson	p. 388
“COURT FINDS GREEN CARD HOLDER IN THE UNITED STATES ‘INADMISSIBLE’ FOR DECADES-OLD CRIME”	
Dayna Lally	p. 389
“GREEN CARD HOLDER CAN’T BE ‘INADMISSIBLE,’ HIGH COURT TOLD”	
Suzanne Monyak.....	p. 391
“GREEN CARD HOLDER CAN’T BE ‘INADMISSIBLE,’ HIGH COURT TOLD”	
Nicole Narea	p. 394

V. Business Law

In This Section:

New Case: <i>Moda Health Plan Inc. v. United States; Maine Community Health Options v. United States; and Land of Lincoln Mutual Health Insurance Co. v. United States.</i>	p. 398
“U.S. SUPREME COURT TO HEAR MODA HEALTH’S \$24 MILLION ACA APPEAL”	
Elizabeth Hayes.....	p. 426
“SUPREME COURT TO CONSIDER CASES ACCUSING US OF SHORTING HEALTH INSURERS \$12B IN PROMISED PAYMENTS”	
Debra Cassens Weiss	p. 427
“SUPREME COURT TO HEAR INSURERS’ SUIT ON OBAMACARE”	
Adam Liptak	p. 428
“INSURER WINS FIRST CSR PAYMENT DECISION; UPDATES ON BHP AND RISK CORRIDORS LITIGATION”	
Katie Keith	p. 430
“MODA TAKES A HIT IN COURT OF APPEALS DECISION”	
Tom Holt	p. 434

“INSURERS PREPARE TO APPEAL RISK CORRIDOR CASE TO THE SUPREME COURT” Evan Sweeney	p. 436
New Case: <i>Allen v. Cooper</i>	p. 438
“HIGH COURT TO TACKLE PIRATE SHIP COPYRIGHT FIGHT” Bill Donahue	p. 455
“SCOTUS TO DECIDE WHETHER STATES CAN BE SUED FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT IN CASE INVOLVING BACKBEARD’S SHIP” Krista L. Cox.....	p. 457
“SUPREME COURT TO RULE WHETHER CONGRESS APPROPRIATELY ABROGATED STATE SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY FOR COPYRIGHT CLAIMS IN ALLEN V. COOPER” Steve Brachmann.....	p. 460
“YO HO NO: LACK OF EXPRESS LANGUAGE SCUTTLES CLAIM OF SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY WAIVER” Rebecca Harker Duttry	p. 463
“THE STATE CAN PLUNDER YOUR COPYRIGHT: <i>ALLEN V. COOPER</i> ” Brain Esler	p. 466
New Case: <i>Georgia v. Public.Resource.Org, Inc.</i>	p. 468
“SUPREME COURT WILL HEAR CASE OVER COPYRIGHTS TO LEGAL TEXTS” Bill Donahue	p. 492
“THE LAW©?: NO ONE OWNS THE LAW, AND NO ONE SHOULD BE ABLE TO COPYRIGHT IT” The New York Times Editorial Board	p. 494
“ACCUSED OF ‘TERRORISM’ FOR PUTTING LEGAL MATERIALS ONLINE” Adam Liptak	p. 496
“ELEVENTH CIRCUIT FINDS NO VALID COPYRIGHT IN OFFICIAL CODE OF GEORGIA ANNOTATED” Steve Bachmann.....	p. 499
New Case: <i>Aurelius Investment, LLC v. Puerto Rico</i>	p. 501
“PUERTO RICO BOARD APPOINTMENT DISPUTE GETS SUPREME COURT REVIEW” Greg Stohr, Michelle Kaske, and Steven Church	p. 520
“UPDATE 1- U.S. SUPREME COURT TO DECIDE LEGALITY OF PUERTO RICO FINANCIAL BOARD APPOINTMENTS” Lawrence Hurley	p. 522
“PUERTO RICO’S BANKRUPTCY PLAN IS ALMOST DONE, AND IT COULD START A FIGHT” Mary Williams Walsh.....	p. 523
“PUERTO RICO FACES HEDGE FUND LAWSUITS AS U.S. REPRIEVE ENDS” Michelle Kaske	p. 527
“FIRST CIRCUIT DECLARES APPOINTMENT OF FOMB MEMBERS VIOLATES APPOINTMENTS CLAUSE” Carlos J. Cuevas	p. 529

VI. Civil Rights

In This Section:

New Case: <i>R.G. & G.R. Harris Funeral Homes Inc. v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission</i>		p. 535
“SUPREME COURT TO DECIDE WHETHER LANDMARK CIVIL RIGHTS LAW APPLIES TO GAY AND TRANSGENDER WORKERS”		
Adam Liptak		p. 566
“TRUMP ADMINISTRATION ASKS SUPREME COURT TO PERMIT EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION AGAINST TRANSGENDER WORKERS”		
Tara Law		p. 569
“EEOC’S TRANS BIAS WIN EXPOSES SHAKINESS OF RFRA DEFENSE”		
Vin Gurrieri		p. 571
“TITLE VII DOESN’T PROTECT TRANS WORKERS, FUNERAL HOME SAY”		
Danielle Nichole Smith		p. 574
“DOJ ARGUES THAT LAW DOESN’T PROTECT TRANSGENDER WORKERS, OPPOSING THE EEOC”		
Lorelei Laird		p. 577
 New Case: <i>Comcast Corp. v. National Association of African-American Owned Media</i>		p. 579
“SUPREME COURT TO HEAR RACIAL DISCRIMINATION CASE AGAINST COMCAST”		
Adam Liptak		p. 582
“SUPREME COURT WILL DECIDE STANDARD FOR PROVING RACIAL BIAS IN DISCRIMINATION SUIT AGAINST COMCAST”		
Debra Cassens Weiss		p. 584
“SUPREME COURT TO CONSIDER CURBING RACIAL DISCRIMINATION CLAIMS”		
Greg Stohr		p. 586
“JUSTICE COULD BLUNT RACIAL BIAS CASES WITH COMCAST RULING”		
Anne Cullen		p. 588
“COMCAST, TIME WARNER CABLE HIT WITH \$20 BILLION RACIAL BIAS LAWSUIT”		
Jonathan Stempel.....		p. 592
“BLACK-OWNED NETWORK’S BIAS SUIT AGAINST COMCAST”		
Judy Greenwald		p. 594
“APPEALS COURT REJECTS CHARTER/COMCAST MOTION TO DISMISS BYRON ALLEN’S MULTIBILLION-DOLLAR CIVIL RIGHTS SUIT- UPDATE”		
Dawn C. Chmielewski		p. 596
“CALIF. JUDGE DISMISSED \$20B RACE BIAS SUIT AGAINST COMCAST”		
Bonnie Eslinger		p. 599
 New Case: <i>Babb v. Wilkie</i>		p. 602
“JUSTICES TO REVIEW HOW FEDERAL WORKERS PROVE JOB BIAS CLAIM”		
Hassan A. Kanu.....		p. 613
“JUSTICES TO MULL REQUIREMENTS FOR FED. WORKER ADEA CLAIMS”		
Danielle Nichole Smith		p. 615

“SUPREME COURT TO DETERMINE WHETHER “BUT-FOR” CAUSATION REQUIRED IN FEDERAL-SECTOR ADEA CLAIMS” Pamela Wolf.....	p. 617
New Case: <i>Klein v. Oregon Bureau of Labor and Industries</i>	p. 619
“SUPREME COURT PASSES, FOR NOW, ON A NEW WEDDING CAKE DISPUTE” David Savage	p. 653
“JUSTICES DODGE NEW CASE DEFENDING DENIAL OF SERVICE TO LGBT COUPLE” Marcia Coyle	p. 656
“THE SUPREME COURT IS SHOWING AN INSTINCT FOR SELF-PRESERVATION, AT LEAST UNTIL NEXT YEAR’S ELECTION” Linda Greenhouse	p. 658
“U.S. SUPREME COURT ORDERS NEW LOOK AT CLASH OVER GAY-WEDDING CAKE” Greg Stohr.....	p. 662
“APPEALS COURT UPHOLDS FINE AGAINST CHRISTIAN BAKERS WHO REFUSED TO MAKE SAME-SEX WEDDING CASE” Gordan R. Friedman	p. 664

VII. Constitutional Law

In This Section:

New Case: <i>New York State Rifle & Pistol Association Inc. v. City of New York, New York</i> .	p. 668
“SUPREME COURT ACCEPTS FIRST GUN CASE IN NEARLY A DECADE” Jess Bravin	p. 685
“FEARING SUPREME COURT LOSS, NEW YORK TRIED TO MAKE GUN CASE VANISH” Adam Liptak	p. 688
“NEW YORK EASED GUN LAW HOPEFUL SUPREME COURT WOULD DROP SECOND AMENDMENT CASE – BUT THAT HASN’T HAPPENED YET” Robert Barnes	p. 691
“NRA, GUN RIGHTS GROUP USING NEW YORK CITY RULE TO SEEK EXPANSION OF SECOND AMENDMENT IN SUPREME COURT” Richard Wolf.....	p. 694
“A CALL TO ARMS AT THE SUPREME COURT: CONSERVATIVE JUDGES WORRY THAT THE SECOND AMENDMENT HAS BECOME ‘A SECOND-CLASS RIGHT.’” Linda Greenhouse	p. 697
“TRUMP SAYS NRA IS ‘UNDER SIEGE BY CUOMO’ AFTER NEW YORK AG OPENS INVESTIGATION INTO GUN GROUP” Tucker Higgins	p. 702
“NEW YORK CITY LAW SURVIVES GUN RIGHTS GROUP’S LEGAL CHALLENGE” Jonathan Stempel	p. 704

New Case: <i>Espinoza v. Montana Department of Revenue</i>	p. 706
“SUPREME COURT TO HEAR SCHOOL CHOICE CASE”	
Lauren Camera	p. 739
“RELIGIOUS-SCHOOL SCHOLARSHIPS DRAW U.S. SUPREME COURT REVIEW”	
Greg Stohr	p. 741
“IF THE SUPREME COURT HEARS THIS CASE, IT COULD CHANGE THE FACE OF PUBLIC EDUCATION”	
Peter Greene	p. 742
“THE SUPREME COURT HAS A CHANCE TO UPHOLD SCHOOL CHOICE AND RELIGIOUS LIBERTY”	
Jamie Gass and Ben Degrow	p. 744
“MONTANA SUPREME COURT STRIKES DOWN TAX-CREDIT PROGRAM FOR PRIVATE SCHOOLS”	
Mark Walsh	p. 746
New Case: <i>June Medical Services v. Gee</i>	p. 748
“SUPREME COURT ON 5-TO-4 VOTE BLOCKS RESTRICTIVE LOUISIANA ABORTION LAW”	
Robert Barnes	p. 785
“SUPREME COURT BLOCKS LOUISIANA ABORTION LAW”	
Adam Liptak	p. 788
“ABORTION CASE PROVIDES AN UNEXPECTED QUICK TEST FOR SUPREME COURT CONSERVATIVES”	
Robert Barnes	p. 792
“A TEMPORARY WIN FOR ABORTION RIGHTS”	
Garrett Epps	p. 795
“WHEN JUDGES DEFY THE SUPREME COURT”	
Linda Greenhouse	p. 798
“SUPREME COURT ISSUES A GO-SLOW SIGNAL IN ITS FIRST ABORTION DECISION OF THE YEAR”	
David Savage	p. 802
“NEW LOUISIANA ANTI-ABORTION LAW ON HOLD AS DOCTORS CHALLENGE RECENT COURT RULING”	
Mark Ballard	p. 806
“SUPREME COURT WILL NOT HEAR BID TO REVIVE ALABAMA ABORTION BAN”	
Adam Liptak	p. 809
“SUPREME COURT SIDESTEPS ABORTION QUESTION IN RULING ON INDIANA LAW”	
Adam Liptak	p. 811