

William & Mary Law School

William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository

Supreme Court Preview

Conferences, Events, and Lectures

9-2017

2017-2018 Supreme Court Preview: Contents

Institute of Bill of Rights Law, William & Mary Law School

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview>



Part of the [Supreme Court of the United States Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Institute of Bill of Rights Law, William & Mary Law School, "2017-2018 Supreme Court Preview: Contents" (2017). *Supreme Court Preview*. 276.

<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview/276>

Copyright c 2017 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.

<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview>

2017-2018 Supreme Court Preview

The Institute of Bill of Rights Law

THE INSTITUTE OF BILL OF RIGHTS LAW was established at William & Mary in 1982 to support research and education on the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. One of the principal missions of the Institute is to facilitate interaction between the professions of law and journalism. Through a discussion of key cases on the Supreme Court's docket at the start of each term, the annual SUPREME COURT PREVIEW provides in-depth education for journalists on the underlying issues to enhance press coverage of the decisions.

NEAL DEVINS

Sandra Day O'Connor Professor of Law
Director of the Institute of Bill of Rights Law

REBECCA GREEN

Professor of Practice

Student Editors


SYLVIA DONAHOO

ETHAN EMERY

Additional Edits

ASHLEA EDWARDS

Conference & Event Coordinator



THE COLLEGE OF WILLIAM & MARY
SCHOOL OF LAW

WILLIAMSBURG, VIRGINIA 23187-8795
(757) 221-3810 • FAX (757) 221-3775
IBRL@wm.edu • <http://www.IBRL.org>

2017-2018 Supreme Court Preview

Table of Contents

Introduction

In This Section:

Schedule of Events	viii
Panel Members	x

I. Moot Court: *Masterpiece Cakeshop, Ltd.*

<i>Moot Court</i>	17
<i>Masterpiece Cakeshop, Ltd. v. Colorado Civil Rights Commission</i>	18
“A BAKER REFUSED TO MAKE A CAKE FOR A GAY COUPLE DUE TO RELIGIOUS BELIEFS. SUPREME COURT WILL RULE ON THE CASE IN FALL” David Savage	34
“A SUPREME COURT MYSTERY: HAS ROBERTS EMBRACED SAME-SEX MARRIAGE RULING?” Robert Barnes.....	37
“GAY RIGHTS GROUPS SEEK ONE MORE WIN FROM JUSTICE KENNEDY” Adam Liptak	40
“COURT RULES BAKER CAN’T REFUSE TO MAKE WEDDING CAKE FOR GAY COUPLE” Jacob Gershman and Tamara Audi	43
“COLORADO BAKER WANTS U.S. SUPREME COURT TO HEAR GAY WEDDING CAKE CASE” Yesenia Robles.....	45
“OPINION: THE SLEEPER ISSUE IN THE ‘GAY WEDDING CAKE’ CONTROVERSY” Michael McGough	47
“CAKE-BAKERS HAVE THE RIGHT TO DRAW A LINE IN THE ICING” David Harsanyi	49
“USING ‘FREE SPEECH’ AS A COVER FOR DISCRIMINATION” Boston Globe	51

II. Trump and the Court

<i>In This Section</i>	53
“CONFIDENT AND ASSERTIVE, GORSUCH HURRIES TO MAKE HIS MARK” Adam Liptak	54
“JUSTICE NEIL GORSUCH LEANS CONSERVATIVE, FULFILLING EXPECTATIONS” Brent Kendall and Jess Bravin.....	57

“GORSUCH MAKES A MARK ON THE COURT” Sai Prakash and Jon Yoo.....	60
“TRUMP’S LIFE-TENURED JUDICIAL AVATAR” Linda Greenhouse.....	62
“GORSUCH JOINS THOMAS AS SUPREME COURT’S NEW CONSERVATIVE ANCHOR” Greg Stohr.....	67
“TRUMP: NEXT SUPREME COURT NOMINEE WILL COME FROM CONSERVATIVE LIST” Joseph P. Williams.....	69
“WHITE HOUSE ANNOUNCES SLATE OF 11 JUDICIAL NOMINEES” Adam Liptak.....	71
“JUDGES KEEP A VERY CLOSE EYE ON TRUMP” Joan Biskupic.....	73
“KENNEDY STILL IN CONTROL ON SUPREME COURT AS DIVISIVE ISSUES LOOM” Greg Stohr.....	76
“WILL SUPREME COURT RETIREMENT BRING ‘KENNEDY COURT’ TO AN END?” Erin Chemerinsky.....	78
“ANTHONY KENNEDY LOVES HIS JOB -- AND HE'S STILL HERE” Joan Biskupic.....	80

III. Business Law Panel

<i>In This Section</i>	83
New Case: <i>Digital Realty Trust, Inc. v. Somers</i>	85
“U.S. HIGH COURT TO REVIEW SCOPE OF DODD-FRANK WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTIONS” Sarah N. Lynch.....	91
“THE BUMPY ROAD TO PROTECTION FOR INTERNAL WHISTLEBLOWERS” Ryan S. Hedges.....	93
“SUPREME COURT TO CONSIDER THE SCOPE OF DODD-FRANK WHISTLEBLOWER PROVISIONS” <i>Lexology</i>	99
“9TH CIRC. SAYS DODD-FRANK PROTECTS NON-SEC WHISTLEBLOWERS” Carmen Germaine.....	102
New Case: <i>Christie v. National Collegiate Athletic Association</i>	105
“NEW JERSEY’S APPEAL OF SPORTS BETTING BAN HEADS TO SUPREME COURT” Nick Corasanit and Joe Drape.....	122
“SUPREME COURT STILL MULLING OVER HEARING NEW JERSEY SPORTS BETTING CASE” Darren Heitner.....	124
“NEW JERSEY’S ATTEMPT AT LEGALIZED SPORTS BETTING SUFFERS ANOTHER BIG SETBACK IN COURT” Matt Bonsteel.....	126

New Case: <i>Jesner v. Arab Bank, PLC</i>	128
“SUPREME COURT TO WEIGH IF FIRMS CAN BE SUED IN HUMAN RIGHTS CASES”	
Adam Liptak	137
“DOJ’S CURIOUS SUPREME COURT BRIEF IN ARAB BANK ALIEN TORT CASE”	
Alison Frankel.....	139
“PLAINTIFFS PETITION U.S. SUPREME COURT IN HIGH-PROFILE ALIEN TORT STATUTE CASE”	
Emily Holland.....	142
“CORPORATE LIABILITY AND THE ATS: ARAB BANK APPEAL CONTINUES TO DEFINE KIOBEL LEGACY”	
Sarah Freuden and Alex Zerden	144
New Case: <i>National Labor Relations Board v. Murphy Oil USA, Inc</i>	147
“SCOTUS TO DECIDE ARBITRATION ISSUE; UNCLEAR IF TRUMP PICK WILL BE ON BENCH IN TIME”	
Jessica Karmasek	154
“MURPHY OIL’S LAW: SOLICITOR GENERAL’S OFFICE REVERSES COURSE IN ARBITRATION CASES, SUPPORTS EMPLOYERS”	
Amy Howe.....	157
“5TH CIRC. REVERSES NLRB IN MURPHY OIL CLASS WAIVER FIGHT”	
Y. Peter Kang.....	159
New Case: <i>Patchak v. Zinke</i>	161
“JUSTICES MAY REIN IN CONGRESS’ ABILITY TO UPEND LAWSUITS”	
Andrew Westney.....	170
“LAW BLOCKING TRIBAL CASINO FIGHT CONSTITUTIONAL, DC CIRC. SAYS”	
Andrew Westney.....	173

IV. Immigration Law Panel

<i>In This Section</i>	176
New Case: <i>Trump v. Hawaii</i>	178
New Case: <i>Trump v. International Refugee Assistance Project</i>	209
“SUPREME COURT FINDS A COMPROMISE IN REVIVING TRUMP’S TRAVEL BAN”	
David G Savage, Laura King, and Noah Bierman.....	233
“TRUMP REFUGEE RESTRICTIONS ALLOWED FOR NOW; BAN ON GRANDPARENTS IS REJECTED”	
Adam Liptak	236
“HAWAII CHALLENGES TRUMP ADMINISTRATION AGAIN AS TRAVEL BAN TAKES EFFECT”	
<i>Associated Press</i>	239
“TRUMP TAKES TRAVEL BAN DISPUTE TO U.S. SUPREME COURT AGAIN”	
Greg Stohr.....	241

“CHALLENGERS FILE BRIEFS IN SUPREME COURT ON TRAVEL BAN, WHILE 9TH CIRCUIT LEAVES FREEZE ON BAN IN PLACE”	
Amy Howe	243
“TRUMP’S NEW TRAVEL BAN BLOCKS MIGRANTS FROM SIX NATIONS, SPARING IRAQ”	
Glenn Thrush	246
“TRUMP CONCEDES DEFEAT ON TRAVEL BAN—FOR NOW”	
Matt Ford	249
“TRAVEL BAN 2.0 IN EFFECT, COURT CHALLENGES BEGIN”	
Laura Jarret and Elise Labbot	252
“TRUMP’S BIG TRAVEL BAN WIN? LET’S NOT GET CARRIED AWAY.”	
Aaron Blake	255
“COURT HANDS EACH SIDE A PARTIAL VICTORY IN DISPUTE OVER SCOPE OF TRAVEL BAN”	
Amy Howe	257
New Case: <i>Jennings v. Rodriguez</i>	259
“NO DECISION IN TWO IMMIGRATION-ENFORCEMENT CASES”	
Kevin Johnson	280
“SUPREME COURT TO REVIEW NO-BAIL POLICY FOR IMMIGRANTS AWAITING HEARINGS”	
Jess Bravin	282
“HIGH COURT TO DECIDE IF IMMIGRANTS ENTITLED TO BOND HEARINGS”	
Allissa Wickham	284
“COURTS SAY DETAINED NON-CITIZENS HAVE THE RIGHT TO BOND HEARINGS”	
Richard Gonzales	286
New Case: <i>Sessions v. Dimaya</i>	288
“U.S. SUPREME COURT ORDERS SECOND ARGUMENT IN DEPORTATION CASE”	
Greg Stohr	304
“SUPREME COURT JUSTICES SKEPTICAL OF DEPORTATION ORDER AGAINST BAY AREA BURGLAR”	
David G Savage	305
“WHEN CAN IMMIGRANTS BE DEPORTED FOR CRIMES? JUSTICES HEAR SIDES”	
Adam Liptak	307
“9TH CIRC. RULES BIA'S 'CRIME OF VIOLENCE' STANDARD VAGUE”	
Daniel Siegal	309

V. Criminal Law Panel

<i>In This Section</i>	311
New Case: <i>Carpenter v. United States</i>	312
“U.S. SUPREME COURT TO SETTLE MAJOR CELLPHONE PRIVACY CASE”	
Lawrence Hurley	326

“DIGITAL PRIVACY TO COME UNDER SUPREME COURT’S SCRUTINY”	
Peter J. Henning.....	328
“CELL PHONE PRIVACY CASE OF MICHIGAN CRIMINAL GOES TO U.S. SUPREME COURT”	
Taryn Asher	331
“THIS VERY COMMON CELLPHONE SURVEILLANCE STILL DOESN'T REQUIRE A WARRANT”	
Robinson Meyer.....	333
New Case: Class v. United States	336
“JUSTICES TO DECIDE IF GUILTY PLEA ENDS CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIMS”	
Jody Godoy	339
“GUILTY PLEA'S CONSTITUTIONAL CONSEQUENCE HEADS TO HIGH COURT”	
Daniel Wedder and Danielle Corcione	341
New Case: District of Columbia v. Wesby	345
“SUPREME COURT TO HEAR CASE ABOUT ARRESTS AT PARTY IN D.C. HOUSE”	
Robert Barnes.....	365
“SUPREME COURT TO HEAR CASE ABOUT PARTY IN VACANT DC HOUSE”	
Mark Sherman.....	367
“CHEMERINSKY: WHEN CAN GOVERNMENT OFFICERS BE HELD LIABLE?”	
Erwin Chemerinsky	368

VI. Election Law Panel

<i>In This Section</i>	<i>371</i>
New Case: Gill v. Whitford	372
“PARTISAN GERRYMANDERING IS ALMOST AS OLD AS AMERICA, BUT WILL THE SUPREME COURT DECIDE IT HAS GONE TOO FAR?”	
David G. Savage	432
“THE SUPREME COURT TAKES ON PARTISAN GERRYMANDERING”	
Vann R. Newkirk II.....	435
“HOW 2 ACADEMICS GOT THE SUPREME COURT TO REEXAMINE GERRYMANDERING”	
Dylan Matthews.....	437
“HOW THIS SUPREME COURT CASE WILL AFFECT THE NEXT ELECTION”	
Thomas Wolf	440
“DOES PARTISAN GERRYMANDERING VIOLATE THE FIRST AMENDMENT?”	
Mark Joseph Stern.....	443
“WISCONSIN FEDERAL COURT PERMANENTLY BLOCKS STATE REDISTRICTING PLAN”	
Urban Milwaukee.....	447
New Case: Husted v. A. Philip Randolph Institute	449
“HIGH COURT TO REVIEW OHIO’S METHOD FOR REMOVING VOTERS FROM REGISTRATION ROLLS”	
Robert Barnes.....	463

“USE IT OR LOSE IT?” Matt Ford	465
“FEDERAL APPEALS COURT RULES AGAINST OHIO VOTER-ROLL PURGES” Sean Sullivan and Sari Horwitz	468
“OHIO CAN'T PURGE INFREQUENT VOTERS FROM ITS ROLLS” David A Graham	470