

# William & Mary Environmental Law and Policy Review

---

Volume 23 (1998-1999)  
Issue 2 *United States v. Smithfield Foods, Inc.*

Article 1

---

April 1999

## Table of Contents (v. 23, no. 2)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmelpr>

---

### Repository Citation

*Table of Contents (v. 23, no. 2)*, 23 Wm. & Mary Envtl. L. & Pol'y Rev. (1999),  
<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmelpr/vol23/iss2/1>

Copyright c 1999 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.  
<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmelpr>

---



---

**William and Mary  
Environmental Law and Policy  
Review**

**Special Issue:**

***United States v. Smithfield Foods, Inc.***

**Articles:**

<i>Smithfield Foods: A Case for Federal Action</i>	<i>Lee R. Okster</i>	381
Explaining the Controversy Surrounding <i>United States v. Smithfield Foods, Inc.</i>	<i>Robert H. Fuhrman</i> <i>Patrick D. Traylor</i>	479
<i>United States v. Smithfield: A Paradigmatic Example of Lax Enforcement of the Clean Water Act by the Commonwealth of Virginia</i>	<i>Derek A. Yeo</i> <i>Roy A. Hoagland</i>	513
<i>Gwaltney of Smithfield Revisited</i>	<i>Ann Powers</i>	557

**Notes:**

State Permitting: <i>United States v. Smithfield Foods, Inc.</i> and Federal Overfiling Under the Clean Water Act	<i>Stephen C. Robertson</i>	593
Virginia as a Case Study: EPA Should be Willing to Withdraw NPDES Permitting Authority from Deficient States	<i>Erik R. Lehtinen</i>	617
How the Antarctic Science, Tourism, and Conservation Act of 1996 Fails Antarctica	<i>Kathleen T. Mulville</i>	649
Evaluating U.S. Endangered Species Legislation - The Endangered Species Act as an International Example	<i>Charlene D. Daniel</i>	683

---



---