

2009

2009-2010 Supreme Court Preview: Contents

Institute of Bill of Rights Law at the William & Mary Law School

Repository Citation

Institute of Bill of Rights Law at the William & Mary Law School, "2009-2010 Supreme Court Preview: Contents" (2009). *Supreme Court Preview*. 208.

<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview/208>

Copyright c 2009 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.

<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview>

SUPREME COURT PREVIEW

What to Expect
From the New Term

October 2 & 3, 2009

2009-2010 Supreme Court Preview

Institute of Bills of Rights Law

THE INSTITUTE OF BILL OF RIGHTS LAW was established at William & Mary in 1982 to support research and education on the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. One of the principal missions of the Institute is to facilitate interaction between the professions of law and journalism. Through a discussion of key cases on the Supreme Court's docket at the start of each term, the annual SUPREME COURT PREVIEW provides in-depth education for journalists on the underlying issues to enhance press coverage of the decisions.

STAFF

NEAL DEVINS, Goodrich Professor of Law, Director of the Institute of Bill of Rights Law, and Professor of Government

MELODY S. NICHOLS, Assistant Director of the Institute of Bill of Rights Law

LINDA QUIGLEY, Adjunct Professor of Law and Consultant to the Institute of Bill of Rights Law for the Supreme Court Preview

LEAD STUDENT EDITOR

RYAN MILLETT

ASSISTANT STUDENT EDITORS


EDWARD FINN

KEVIN FERRIGAN

JOE MASSICOTTE

MELISSA McDOWELL

BRANDON WATERMAN



WILLIAM & MARY SCHOOL OF LAW
WILLIAMSBURG, VIRGINIA 23187-8795
(757) 221-3810 ♦ FAX (757) 221-3775
E-MAIL: IBRL@wm.edu ♦ Web: IBRL.org

2009-2010 Supreme Court Preview

Table of Contents

Schedule of Events	p. i
Who's Who on the Panels	p. ii
I. MOOT COURT: <i>GRAHAM v. FLORIDA</i>	
<i>In This Section:</i>	
New Cases: 08-7412 <i>Graham v. Florida</i> ; 08-7621 <i>Sullivan v. Florida</i>	
Synopsis and Questions Presented	p. 2
Synopsis and Questions Presented	p. 12
“High Court to Look at Life Sentences for Juveniles” Robert Barnes	p. 14
“Why Two Juvenile Sentence Cases?” Lyle Denniston	p. 16
“Sentenced as Teen, Convicted Rapist Appeals to Highest Court” Bill Mears	p. 18
“Judge Gives Teen-Ager Life Term in Rape Case” The Associated Press	p. 21
“Police Arrest 3 Robbery Suspects” Tia Mitchell	p. 22
“Defining ‘Cruel and Unusual’ When Offender Is 13” Adam Liptak	p. 23
“States Reconsider Life Behind Bars for Youth” Amanda Paulson	p. 26
“Supreme Court Bans Execution of Juveniles” David G. Savage	p. 28
“U.S. Supreme Court vs. the World” Tony Mauro	p. 31

“The Young and the Reckless: The Supreme Court Contemplates Executing Juveniles” Dahlia Lithwick	p. 33
---	-------

II. JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR AND THE SUPREME COURT

In this Section:

“Obama Chooses Sotomayor for Supreme Court Nominee” Jeff Zeleny	p. 39
“From Humble Orgins, a Court Nominee in Obama’s Image” Joan Biskupic and Martha T. Moore	p. 41
“Sotomayor’s Record Sets off Few Ideological Alarm Bells” David G. Savage and Christie Parsons	p. 45
“Uncommon Detail Marks Rulings by Sotomayor” Jerry Markon	p. 48
“Queries on Abortion and Guns Fail to Break Judge’s Stride” Sheryl Gay Stolberg and Neil A. Lewis	p. 52
“Diversity a Touchy Subject at Sotomayor Hearings” James Oliphant and David G. Savage	p. 55
“She’s Come Redone” Dahlia Lithwick	p. 58
“A Judge’s View of Judging Is on the Record” Charles Savage	p. 61
“Identity Politics and Sotomayor” Stuart Taylor	p. 63
“Her Justice Is Blind” Thomas Goldstein	p. 66
“The Sotomayor Nomination” Richard Epstein	p. 68
“Opposing View: A Confirmation Conversation” Jeff Sessions	p. 70
“Vote No on Sotomayor” David McIntosh	p. 71

“Why Sotomayor Is Such a Good Pick” Erwin Chemerinsky	p. 73
“The Judge Sotomayor I’ve Faced” Floyd Abrams	p. 74
“Every Justice Creates a New Court” Linda Greenhouse	p. 76

III. THE SUPREME COURT IN 2020 – *No Materials*

In America, all things political have a way of turning into judicial controversies. Increasingly, major issues on the national policy agenda turn into litigation, and, ultimately, controversies before the U.S. Supreme Court. Accordingly, it is worthwhile to contemplate how today's politics will translate into future judicial battles. The panel, "The Supreme Court in 2020" will consider what those issues might be, which of today's political controversies are most likely work their way to the Court, what the Court's role in political controversies ought to be, and whether the Court will likely facilitate or limit some of these reforms.

IV. BUSINESS

In This Section:

New Case: 08-964 *Bilski v. Doll*

Synopsis and Questions Presented	p. 80
“Justices to Weigh Issue of Patenting Business Methods” Adam Liptak	p. 95
“Supremes Asked to Review Key Patent Decision” <i>Client Server News (USA)</i>	p. 97
“Patent Ruling May Undercut Intellectual Property Rights” Rick Stouffer	p. 98
“Patent Rules out of Date, Inventors Say” Carol J. Williams	p. 100

New Case: 08-905 *Merck v. Reynolds*

Synopsis and Questions Presented	p. 102
“Merck Gets High Court Hearing on Investor Vioxx Suit” Greg Stohr	p. 116
“Court Revives Merck Class-Action Suit”	p. 118

Sophia Pearson

“Pension Fund of New York Files Suit Against Merck” p. 119
Barry Meier

“Justice Dept. and S.E.C. Investigating Merck Drug” p. 121
Andrew Pollack

“Revisiting the Limitations Period for Securities Fraud” p. 123
Sarah S. Gold and Richard L. Spinogatti

New Case: 08-661 *American Needle Inc. v. NFL*

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 127

“Court Takes Case over Licensing of NFL Apparel” p. 133
Frederic J. Frommer

“NFL-Reebok Licensing Accord Gets U.S. Supreme Court Scrutiny” p. 135
Greg Stohr

“Analysis: Obama, the Hat, and the Court” p. 136
Lyle Denniston

“Antitrust Case Could Be Armageddon” p. 138
Lester Munson

New Case: 08-586 *Jones v. Harris Associates*

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 144

“The Supreme Court’s Review of a Section 36(b) Fee Suit” p. 150
Thomas O. Gorman

“High Court Review of Mutual Fund Case Likely” p. 152
Lea Anne Copenhefer, Steven R. Howard, Roger P. Joseph, and
Joshua B. Sterling

“United States: Two Recent Decisions Impact Mutual Fund Fees
and Income Interest Taxability” p. 156
Amy Pershkov

“Court Rejects Thrust of Investor Suit Against Funds” p. 158
Fund Action

“Practical Implications of a Supreme Court Ruling in *Jones v. Harris*” p. 159
William Birdthistle

New Case: 08-240 *Mac's Shell Service v. Shell Oil Products*
08-372 *Shell Oil Products v. Mac's Shell Service*

Synopsis and Questions Presented	p. 161
“Gas Station Lease Dispute Will Get U.S. Supreme Court Scrutiny” Greg Stohr	p. 169
“1 st Circuit Upholds \$4.5M Verdict in Gas Price Suit Against Shell” <i>The National Law Journal</i>	p. 170
“Jury Rules for Franchises in Shell Trial” Kimberly Blanton	p. 172
“Shell Oil Tightens Noose—Dealers Take Operator to Court” L. Kim Tan	p. 173

V. CRIMINAL

In This Section:

New Case: 08-876 *Black v. United States*

Synopsis and Questions Presented	p. 178
“Conrad Black’s Anti-Fraud Case Will Go to Supreme Court” David G. Savage	p. 184
“Convictions of Black, 3 Other Execs Upheld” Mary Wisniewski	p. 185
“Judges Appear Cool to Black Appeal” Susan Chandler	p. 186
“Media Tycoon Begins Term in Federal Prison” Stephen Hudak	p. 187
“Unbowed Black Gets 6 1/2 Years” David Savage	p. 189
“Dark Day for Lord Black” Ameet Sachdev, David Greising and Susan Chandler	p. 192
“Lord Black Is Indicted by U.S.” Geraldine Fabrikant	p. 195
“DOJ May Rein in Use of ‘Honest Services’ Statute”	p. 198

Lynne Marek

New Case: 08-1196 *Weyhrauch v. United States*

Synopsis and Questions Presented	p. 201
“Supreme Court Takes Weyhrauch Mail-Fraud Question” Erika Bolstad	p. 207
“Court OKs Weyhrauch Evidence” Lisa Demer and Richard Mauer	p. 208
“Corruption Trials Divided; Kott’s Hearing Continues, but Weyhrauch’s Stalls on Federal Appeal” Richard Mauer and Lisa Demer	p. 210
“Indictment: Weyhrauch Sought Work with VECO” Pat Forgery	p. 212

New Case: 08-1224 *United States v. Comstock*

Synopsis and Questions Presented	p. 213
“Supreme Court to Review Sex Offender Law” Warren Richey	p. 221
“Release of Sex Offenders Delayed” Lyle Denniston	p. 223
“4th Circuit Strikes Down Indefinite Lock-up of Those Tagged ‘Sexually Dangerous’” Pamela A. McLean	p. 225
“8th Circuit Says Adam Walsh Act Constitutional” Angela Riley	p. 227
“4th Circuit Got It Right in <i>Comstock</i> ” Corey Rayburn Yung	p. 229
“U.S. Plans to Detain Worst Sex Offenders” <i>USA Today</i>	p. 232
“New Law Designed to Provide More Protection for Children” Sonya Kimbrell	p. 234

New Case: 07-11191 *Briscoe v. Virginia*

Synopsis and Questions Presented	p. 236
----------------------------------	--------

“Analysis: Is Melendez-Diaz Already Endangered?” Lyle Denniston	p. 251
“U.S. Supreme Court to Hear Virginia Confrontation Case” Alan Cooper	p. 253
“Requiring Accused to Demand Presence of Analyst Doesn’t Deny Confrontation Right” <i>US Law Week</i>	p. 254
“AG Candidate Wants Special Session After Supreme Court Ruling” <i>Associated Press</i>	p. 256

VI. FIRST AMENDMENT

In This Section:

Reargument: 08-205 *Citizens United v. F.E.C.*

Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 261
“Court Appears Poised to Rewrite Spending Rules” Adam Liptak	p. 267
“High Court Hears Arguments over Anti-Clinton Film” Joan Biskupic	p. 269
“The Supreme Court Reviews <i>Hillary: The Movie</i> ” Dahlia Lithwick	p. 271
“ <i>Hillary: The Movie</i> to Get a Supreme Court Review” David G. Savage	p. 274
“Now Showing: <i>Hilary: The Movie</i> and Election-Law Gripes” Warren Richey	p. 276
“Campaign ’08: Advertising; Makers of Anti-Clinton Film Lose Ruling” The Associated Press	p. 279
“Some Who Fight for McCain Are Fighting Against McCain-Feingold” Matthew Mosk and Robert Barnes	p. 280
“Citizens United: Did the Supreme Court Ask for a Briefing to Cure an Incurable Defect?” Rick Hasen	p. 282

“Justices Restrict Corporate Gifts for Politicians” p. 285
Linda Greenhouse

“Justices Uphold Campaign Finance Law” p. 288
Charles Lane

New Case: 08-769 *United States v. Stevens*

Synopsis and Question Presented p. 292

“Animal Cruelty Law Draws U.S. High Court Review in Speech Case” p. 305
Greg Stohr

“No Crime to Depict Animal Cruelty, Court Rules” p. 307
David G. Savage

“Dogfighting Underworld Stretches Through Western Pennsylvania” p. 309
Torsten Ove

“Authorities out to Crush Animal Snuff Films” p. 311
Martin Kasindorf

“They’re Perverted, but Are They Protected?” p. 313
Jessica Reaves

New Case: 08-472 *Salazar v. Buono*

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 314

“Cross Display Draws U.S. Supreme Court Review in Test for Obama” p. 323
Greg Stohr

“Intriguing Issues About Religion” p. 325
Lyle Denniston

“High Noon at Sunrise Rock” p. 327
Christopher Levenick

“Veterans Fight to Be Remembered” p. 329
Jennifer Grisham

“Supreme Court Agrees to Hear Mojave Cross Case” p. 331
David G. Savage

“Desert Cross May Lead to Landmark Church-State Ruling” p. 333

David G. Savage	
“9th Circuit Topples Mojave Desert Cross” The Associated Press	p. 335
“Judge Orders Cross Removed from Mojave National Preserve” Kurt Repanshek	p. 336
“Critics Say the Park Service Is Letting Religion and Politics Affect Its Policies” Michael Janofsky	p. 338
“Lawmaker Seeks Land Swap to Let Mojave Cross Stand” Julie Cart	p. 341
“Context Is Key to Sorting out Commandments Rulings” Tony Mauro	p. 343

New Case: 08-103 *Reed Elsevier, Inc. v. Muchnick*

Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 346
“Court to Rule on Copyright Settlement” Lyle Denniston	p. 359
“Supreme Court to Revisit a Case on Breach of Copyright” Adam Liptak	p. 361
“ <i>Tasini</i> Parties Ask Supreme Court to Overturn Settlement Rejection” Andrew R. Albanese	p. 362
“Second Circuit Holds Copyright Class Action Claims Must Be Based on Registered Copyright” Andrew Ungberg	p. 363
“Appeals Court Voids Agreement to Pay Freelancers for Work Published on the Web” Richard Pérez-Peña	p. 364
“Righting a Wrong Against Writers” Shannon P. Duffy	p. 366
“Copyright Developments You Should Know About” Leonard D. DuBoff	p. 368
“Did Second Circuit Eviscerate Copyright Class Actions?” Peter L. Simmons and Mitchell Epner	p. 372

VII. Individual Rights

In This Section:

New Case: 08-1151 *Stop the Beach Renourishment v. Florida*

Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 377
“Supreme Court Takes up Property-Rights Case” Warren Richey	p. 392
“Beach Renourishment Victory: In a Long-Awaited Decision, High Court Says It’s State’s Duty to Restore Sand” Fraser Sherman	p. 394
“Walton Resumes Beach Restoration near Pompano Joe’s” Heather Civil	p. 396
“A Frontline in the Sand Against Erosion” Robbyn Brooks	p. 398
“Judge Says Renourishment Permit Should Be Issued” Heather Civil	p. 401
“Justices Uphold Taking Property for Development” Linda Greenhouse	p. 402

Looking Ahead: 08-1234 *Kiyemba v. Obama*

Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 405
“Detainees’ Case Put Off” Lyle Denniston	p. 416
“Court Blocks Release of 17 Uighurs into U.S.” Del Quentin Webber and Carrie Johnson	p. 418
“Judge Orders Guantanamo Releases” David G. Savage	p. 420
“For 20 at Guantanamo, Court Victories Fall Short” William Glaberson	p. 422
“Analysis: What Are Detainees’ Rights Now?” Lyle Denniston	p. 424
“Analysis: Congress Moves to Control Detainees”	p. 428

Lyle Denniston

“Detainees Challenge New Law” p. 431
Lyle Denniston

Looking Ahead: 08-1497 *National Rifle Association v. City of Chicago*

Synopsis and Question Presented p. 432

“NRA Takes Gun Case to High Court” p. 436
Joan Biskupic

“Court Upholds Chicago’s Gun Laws” p. 438
David G. Savage

“The Best Nine-Page Opinion Ever Written!” p. 440
Sandy Levinson

“Judge: Chicago’s Handgun Ban Legal” p. 443
Fran Spielman

“Conflicting Rulings on Guns Open Way to Supreme Court Review” p. 444
John Schwartz

“Gun Ruling Was Called a Landmark, but That Remains to Be Seen” p. 446
Adam Liptak