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I. MOOT COURT ARGUMENT: FCC v. Fox Television Stations

In This Section:

New Case: 07-582 FCC v. Fox Television Stations

Synopsis and Question Presented

“Supreme Court to Rule on Broadcast Indecency”
David Savage

“Decency Ruling Thwarts FCC on Vulgarities”
Stephen Labaton

“Court Tosses FCC ‘Wardrobe Malfunction’ Fine”
Joann Lovigold

“Decency over the Airwaves Is a Public Good”
Joe Pitts

“A Federal Appeals Court Strikes down the FCC’s ‘Fleeting Expletives’ Policy on Administrative Law Grounds: Was it Right to Do so?”
Julie Hilden

“FCC Backtracks on 2 Charges of Indecency”
Jim Puzzanghera

“The Price for On-Air Indecency Goes up”
Frank Ahrens

“Bush Taps FCC’s Martin as Chairman”
Bloomberg News

“FCC Rules Bono Remark Is Indecent”
Jube Shiver Jr.

“Nasty Language on Live TV Renews Old Debate”
Frank Ahrens
"Warning Is Upheld on 'Filthy Words'"
Morton Mintz

II. 2008 ELECTION AND THE SUPREME COURT

In This Section:

"In the Balance"
Stuart Taylor

"Some Legal Activists Have Hearts Set on 'True Liberal'"
Robert Barnes and Kevin Merida

"What Will the Outcome of the 2008 Election Mean for the Supreme Court?"
Edward Lazarus

"Constitutional Drift: Obama Veers to the Right, but Does He Need to Take the Constitution with Him?"
Doug Kendall and Dahlia Lithwick

"McCain Newly Assertive on Judicial Views"
Avi Zenilman and Bed Adler

"Over Guantanamo, Justices Come Under Election-Year Spotlight"
Linda Greenhouse

"John McCain and Barack Obama: Two Visions of the Supreme Court"
David Savage

"McCain Assures Conservatives of His Stance on Judges"
Elisabeth Bumiller

"Obama's Constitution"
Edward Whelan

"Activists Mobilize over Shaping Supreme Court"
Richard Simon and David G. Savage

"Dismissed in Boston: Why Won't the Democrats Talk About Judges?"
Dahlia Lithwick

"Fighting over the Court: It's Tough to Make the Supreme Court into an Election Issue"
William G. Ross
III. ELECTION LAW

In This Section:

New Case: 07-689  Bartlett v. Strickland

Synopsis and Questions Presented  p. 78

"Racial Makeup of ‘Influence’ Districts to Be Basis of Case”  p. 91
Associated Press

"Pender Districts Voided”  p. 93
Dan Kane and Ryan Teague Beckwith

"Pender County Will Sue over New House Districts”  p. 95
Sam Scott

"Voting Act Overshadows Race Debate”  p. 96
Joseph Williams

“Supreme Court Upholds Texas Redistricting”  p. 99
David Savage

“The Supreme Court: Voting Rights”  p. 101
Adam Clymer

LOOKING BACK: CRAWFORD V. MARION COUNTY ELECTIONS BOARD

“In a 6-to-3 Vote, Justices Uphold a Voter ID Law”  p. 103
Linda Greenhouse

“Initial Thoughts on the Supreme Court’s Opinion in Crawford”  p. 106
Rick Hansen

“ID Law Keeps Nuns, Students from Polls”  p. 108
Scott Martelle

“Voter ID Battle Shifts to Proof of Citizenship”  p. 109
Ian Urbina

“Voter ID Law’s Opponents Focused on a Non-issue”  p. 112
Bradley A. Smith

“Voter Fraud”  p. 114
Erwin Chemerinsky

“Voter ID Law Sequels?”  p. 116
Michael W. Hoskins
VOTING RIGHTS ACT REAUTHORIZATION

“Vote Law Appeal Likely by Early Fall”
Lyle Denniston p. 119

“Court Upholds Key Provisions of the Voting Rights Act”
Neil A. Lewis p. 121

“Congressional Power to Extend Preclearance Under the Voting Rights Act”
Pamela S. Karlan p. 122

“Tiny Water District Is Challenging Voting Rights Act”
Janet Elliot p. 130

“Voting Rights Act Extension Passes in Senate, 98 to 0”
Charles Babington p. 132

“Some Officials, Scholars Say Voting Rights Act Needs Update”
Frank James p. 134

“An Insulting Provision”
Edward Blum p. 136

“Extension of Voting Rights Act Is Likely Despite Criticism”
Rick Lyman p. 138

IV. BUSINESS

In This Section:

New Case: 07-1216 Philip Morris USA v. Williams

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 144

“Justices to See Philip Morris Case a Third Time”
Linda Greenhouse p. 154

“Justices Uphold Cigarette Damages”
Ashbel Green p. 155

“Justices Overturn Tobacco Award”
Robert Barnes p. 157

“Oregon Supreme Court Backs $79.5 Million Tobacco Award”
Ashbel Green p. 159
“High Court Sends back Tobacco Case Award”
David Savage

“Jury Awards $81 Million to Oregon Smoker’s Family”
Barry Meier

“A New Day on Punitive Damages Law”
Lyle Denniston

**New Case: 07-562 Altria Group v. Good**

Synopsis and Questions Presented

“Altria Gets U.S. High Court Hearing on ‘Lights’ Suit”
Greg Stohr

“Light Cigarette Case not Preempted, First Circuit Says”
Allison Torres Burtka

“Bid to Shift Tobacco Cases to U.S. Courts Denied”
John Donnelly

**New Case: 06-1249 Wyeth v. Levine**

Synopsis and Questions Presented

“Justices to Hear Cases on Products Liability”
Linda Greenhouse

“Court Considers Protecting Drug Makers from Lawsuits”
Gardier Harris

“Patients’ Ability to Sue at Risk”
Daniel Costello

“The State of Medical Device Tort Litigation in the Wake of Riegel”
Eric J. Parker and Richard S. Cabelus

“No Special Treatment”
Sol Weiss

**New Case: 07-512 Pacific Bell v. linkLine**

Synopsis and Questions Presented

“High Court Agrees to Hear AT&T ISP Dispute”
EWeek.com
“Ninth Circuit Case Alleging DSL ‘Price Squeeze’ Can Proceed”  
*Telecommunications Reports*  
p. 219

“Ninth Circuit Prequels and Sequels”  
Neal R. Stoll and Shepard Goldfein  
p. 221

“ISPs File Antitrust Lawsuit Against SBC in California”  
*Telecommunications Reports*  
p. 224

“U.S. High Court Rules in Favor of Verizon”  
James S. Granelli  
p. 225

**New Case: 07-1059 United States v. Eurodif**

Synopsis and Questions Presented  
p. 227

“High Court to Hear Uranium Case”  
Robert Barnes  
p. 231

“ITC Rules in Favor of USEC Position on French Uranium Imports”  
*Business Wire*  
p. 233

“Sole U.S. Company that Enriches Uranium Is Struggling to Stay in Business”  
Matthew L. Wald  
p. 234

**New Case: 07-1239 Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council**

Synopsis and Questions Presented  
p. 237

“Justices Take Case on Navy Use of Sonar”  
Linda Greenhouse  
p. 248

“Court Upholds Whale Protection in Navy Exercises”  
Bob Egelko  
p. 250

“White House Went too Far in Sonar Case, Judge Rules”  
Marc Kaufman  
p. 252

“Navy Wins Exemption from Bush to Continue Sonar Exercises in California”  
Mark Kaufman  
p. 254

“Judge Imposes Stricter Rules on Navy to Protect Marine Life”  
Carolyn Marshall  
p. 256

“Navy Given Choice: New Safeguards or No Sonar”  
Kenneth Weiss  
p. 257
V. CIVIL RIGHTS

In This Section:

New Case: 07-665  *Pleasant Grove v. Summum*

Synopsis and Questions Presented  p. 266

*Summum v. Pleasant Grove*  p. 274

“With the Commandments, Must City Make Room?”  p. 282
Robert Barnes

“No Rehearing in Summum Case”  p. 283
Geoffrey Fattah

“Summum May Display Aphorisms”  p. 284
Amy Choat-Nielsen

“Justice Denies a Motion on Summum Display: Religious Group’s Battle Likely to Face a Bench Trial”  p. 286
Geoffrey Fattah

“‘Aphorisms’ in Pleasant Grove?”  p. 288
Leigh Dethman

“Monuments in Cross Hairs: Attorney Aims to Rid Public Lands of the Markers”  p. 290
Laura Sanderson

New Case: 06-1595  *Crawford v. Metro. Gov’t of Nashville and Davidson County, Tennessee*

Synopsis and Questions Presented  p. 292

“Supreme Court to Hear Key Anti-Discrimination Case”  p. 296
David Savage

“High Court Hasn’t Closed the Book on Retaliation”  p. 298
Marcia Coyle

“Court Expands Right to Sue over Retaliation on the Job”  p. 302
Charles Lane
New Case: 07-1125 Fitzgerald v. Barnstable School Committee

Synopsis and Questions Presented

“Court Rejects ‘Class of One’ Argument”
Linda Greenhouse

“Fist Circuit Says School May Be Liable for Student-on-Student Sexual Harassment”
Lawyers USA

“1st U.S. Civil Court of Appeals Rules Civil Rights Claim over Alleged Student Harassment Precluded”
Eric T. Berkman

New Case: 07-543 AT&T v. Hulteen

Synopsis and Questions Presented

“High Court to Take up Pregnancy Leave Case”
Christopher Twarowski

“AT&T Pregnancy Suit Ruling Is Overturned”
Bob Egelko

“Court Won’t Hear Case”
Jack Thompson

“$25 Million Settlement by Pac Bell”
Harriet Chiang

“Working Mothers Score a Major Victory in Court”
Seth Rosenfeld

New Case: 07-869 Ysura v. Pocatello Education Association

Synopsis and Questions Presented

“Court to Weigh Deduction for Union PACs”
Mark Walsh

“Nonunion Educators Organization Applauds U.S. Supreme Court Decision to Review Idaho Voluntary Contributions Act”
PR Newswire

“Union Leaders Welcome Ruling”
Dean Ferguson
New Case: 07-610 Locke v. Karass

Synopsis and Questions Presented

"Court Will Hear Labor Union Fee Dispute"
Associated Press

"First U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals Rules Nonmembers Can Be Charged for National Union's Litigation Costs"
Eric Berkman

"Justices Curb Unions' Use of Fees for Politics"
David Savage

"Court Ruling Stipulates Kinds of Fees Public Unions Can Assess Nonmembers"
Ruth Marcus

New Case: 07-581 14 Penn Plaza v. Pyett

Synopsis and Questions Presented

"Arbitration of Bias Claims"
Michael Starr and Christine M. Wilson

"Mandatory Arbitration Is Raising Challenges"
T. Shawn Taylor

"High Court Upholds Forced Arbitration"
Sarah Schafer and Charles Lane

"High Court Backs Workers' Right to Sue"
David Savage

New Case: 07-1015 Ashcroft v. Iqbal

Synopsis and Questions Presented

"Court to Hear Challenge from Muslims Held After 9/11"
Linda Greenhouse

"U.S. Officials Fail to Win Release from 9/11 Suit"
Mark Hamblett

"Top Officials Told to Testify in Muslims' Suit"
Nina Bernstein
“Prison Tapes Show Abuse of Terrorism Suspects”
Dan Eggen

New Case: 07-499 Negusie v. Mukasey

Synopsis and Questions Presented

“Fresno Couple in Limbo After Court Withdraws Eligibility for Asylum”
Bob Egelko

“Supreme Court Accepts African Prison Guard’s Asylum Appeal”
Debra Cassens Weiss

“Courts Criticize Judges’ Handling of Asylum Cases”
Adam Liptak

VI. THEORIES OF INTERPRETATION

In This Section:

LOOKING BACK: DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA V. HELLER

“In Defense of Looseness”
Richard A. Posner

“History’s Lessons on Gun Rights”
Lyle Denniston

“Justices, Ruling 5-4, Endorse Personal Right to Own Gun”
Linda Greenhouse

“Supreme Court Finds History Is a Matter of Opinions”
David Savage

“What Did the Framers Have in Mind?”
Stanley Fish

“News Flash: The Constitution Means What It Says”
Randy E. Barnett

“A Liberal Case for the Individual Right to Own Guns Helps Sway the Federal Judiciary”
Adam Liptak
JUSTICE SCALIA'S A MATTER OF INTERPRETATION

"Judge Dread"
David Franklin p. 440

"Courtroom Arguments"
John O. McGinnis p. 443

JUSTICE BREYER'S ACTIVE LIBERTY

"Consent of the Governed"
Kathleen Sullivan p. 445

"Active Liberty: A Progressive Alternative to Textualism and Originalism?"
Michael W. McConnell p. 448

"How Should Judges Judge?"
Bernard G. Prusak p. 452

"The Philosopher-Justice"
Cass R. Sunstein p. 458

COMMENTARY ON THEORIES OF INTERPRETATION

"Justice Grover Versus Justice Oscar: Scalia and Breyer Sell Very Different Constitutional Worldviews"
Dahlia Lithwick p. 464

"Alive and Kicking: Why No One Truly Believes in a Dead Constitution"
Jack Balkin p. 467

"Rethinking Originalism: Original Intent for Liberals (and for Conservatives and Moderates, too)"
Akhil Reed Amar p. 471

"In Praise of Judicial Modesty"
Stuart Taylor p. 474

VII. CRIMINAL

In This Section:

New Case: 07-542 Arizona v. Gant

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 480
“High Court to Rule on Warrantless Search of Vehicle”  
David Savage  
p. 489

“Court: Search Warrant Required for Traffic Stops”  
Jill Redhage  
p. 490

“Warrant Ruling Not a Concern to Pima Officers”  
David Teibel  
p. 491

“High Court to Weigh Arizona Case on Car Searches”  
Billy House  
p. 493

“High Court Sidesteps Key Arizona Drug Case”  
Billy House  
p. 495

New Case: 07-854 *Van de Kamp v. Goldstein*

Synopsis and Questions Presented  
p. 497

“Justices Accept Question of Prosecutors as Lawyers or Managers”  
Linda Greenhouse  
p. 503

“A Fight 24 Years in the Making”  
Henry Weinstein  
p. 505

“Free at Last: Long Beach Man Released After 25 Years in Prison for Murder”  
Tracy Manzer  
p. 507

“Judges Want a Convicted Killer Freed”  
Henry Weinstein  
p. 509

“Grand Jury Criticizes D.A. on Informants”  
Ted Rohrlich  
p. 513

“Justices Rule Prosecutors May Be Sued for Non-Court Acts”  
Joan Biskupic  
p. 517

New Case: 07-751 *Pearson v. Callahan*

Synopsis and Questions Presented  
p. 519

“Justices to Weigh Search and Consent”  
Linda Greenhouse  
p. 529

“6th Circuit Rules Warrantless Entry Did Not Violate Fourth Amendment”  
*Lawyers Weekly USA*  
p. 531
“Justices Give Police Latitude”
Glen Elasser

p. 532

“Ruling Exposes Misuse of Search Consent”
David W. Gleischer

p. 534

“When Should Courts Address Qualified Immunity?”
Ilann Margalit Maazel

p. 536

New Case: 07-901 Oregon v. Ice

Synopsis and Questions Presented

p. 540

“Court to Take a Look at Oregon’s Consecutive Sentencing Law”
Onthedocket.org

p. 550

“Court Ruling Cuts Prison Sentence”
Nick Budnick

p. 551

“Sentencing Tsunami”
Laurie L. Levenson

p. 553

“Sentence Enhancements Curbed”
David Savage

p. 557

New Case: 07-513 Herring v. United States

Synopsis and Questions Presented

p. 559

“Evidence Rule to Be Reviewed”
David Savage

p. 565

“In Alabama Drug Case, a Question of Timing”
Tom Goldstein

p. 566

“Federal Appeals Court Rules on Case Involving Coffee, Dale Counties”
Lance Griffin

p. 568

“Justices Validate Seizure Based on Error on Warrant”
Linda Greenhouse

p. 570

New Case: 07-591 Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts

Synopsis and Questions Presented

p. 572

“A ‘Sleeper’ Mass. Case Takes an Unlikely Path to the Supreme Court”
David Frank

p. 576
“Not so Perfect Together: Municipal Courts and Hearsay”  p. 579
Ken Vercammen

“Supreme Court Roundup: Court Alters Rule on Statements of Unavailable Witnesses”  p. 583
Linda Greenhouse

VIII. BUSH’S LEGAL LEGACY

In This Section:

HOW THE BUSH ADMINISTRATION PURSUED ITS AGENDA IN THE COURTS

LOOKING BACK: WAR ON TERROR

“Executive Power in the War on Terror”  p. 588
John O. McGinnis

“Justices Say Detainees Can Seek Release”  p. 598
Robert Barnes

“For Justices, Another Day on Detainees”  p. 601
Linda Greenhouse

“Due Process for Jihadists?”  p. 604
Andrew McCarthy

“Shifting Power to a President”  p. 607
Adam Liptak

“The Guantanamo Decision: High Court Rejects Bush’s Claim that He Alone Sets Detainee Rules”  p. 610
David G. Savage

“Because I Say so”  p. 615
Dahlia Lithwick

“Detention Cases Before Supreme Court Will Test Limits of Presidential Power”  p. 618
Linda Greenhouse

“Invoking Secrets Privilege Becomes a More Popular Legal Tactic by U.S.”  p. 621
Scott Shane
LOOKING BACK: PRO-BUSINESS COURT?

“Bush’s Approval Rating Remains High with Court”  
Michael Doyle  
p. 624

“Trumping the States: Business Is Finding Success in Federal Pre-Emption Cases”  
David G. Savage  
p. 627

“Big Business’s Big Term”  
Doug Kendall  
p. 630

“Is the Supreme Court Biased in Favor of Business?”  
Eric Posner  
p. 633

LOOKING BACK: LEDBETTER V. GOODYEAR TIRE AND RUBBER COMPANY, INC.

“Justices Restrict Pay Bias Lawsuits”  
Robert Manor  
p. 637

“Court Explores Complexities in Employment Discrimination Case”  
Linda Greenhouse  
p. 639

LOOKING BACK: GONZALES V. CARHART

“High Court Upholds Curb on Abortion”  
Robert Barnes  
p. 641

“Good May Yet Come”  
Hadley Arkes  
p. 644

“‘Partial-Birth’ Cases Test Abortion Rights’ Limits”  
Joan Biskupic  
p. 648

LOOKING BACK: HEIN V. FREEDOM from RELIGION FOUNDATION

“Justices Quash Suit over Funds for Faith Groups”  
William Branigin  
p. 652

“Court Hears Arguments Linking Right to Sue and Spending on Religion”  
Linda Greenhouse  
p. 654

“Bagel Breakfast”  
Dahlia Lithwick  
p. 657
LOOKING BACK: RUMSFELD V. FAIR

"Court Upholds Military Recruiting Law"
Joan Biskupic

"Law Schools Battle U.S. in High Court Argument over Military Recruiters"
Allison Torres Burtka

"Wisdom of Solomon Redux"
New York Sun

"Solomon Amendment Likely to Survive"
Lyle Denniston

LOOKING BACK: CHENEY V. U.S. DISTRICT COURT

"Court Lets Cheney Avoid Disclosure"
David G. Savage

"High Court to Hear Cheney Secrecy Case"
Michael Kirkland

HOW THE BUSH ADMINISTRATION PROMOTED ITS AGENDA THROUGH JUDICIAL APPOINTMENTS

"Bush's Conservatism to Live Long in the U.S. Courts"
Joan Biskupic

"Conservative Courts Likely Bush Legacy"
David Savage

"Roberts, Alito Help Define New Supreme Court"
Tom Curry

"The Difference Alito Makes"
Michael Dorf

"Conservatives Cheer Court Nominee"
Warren Vieth