

2007

2007-2008 Supreme Court Preview: Contents

Institute of Bill of Rights Law at the William & Mary Law School

Repository Citation

Institute of Bill of Rights Law at the William & Mary Law School, "2007-2008 Supreme Court Preview: Contents" (2007). *Supreme Court Preview*. 229.

<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview/229>

Copyright c 2007 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.

<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview>

SUPREME COURT PREVIEW

What to Expect
From the New Term

September 14-16, 2007

2007-2008 Supreme Court Preview

Institute of Bills of Rights Law

THE INSTITUTE OF BILL OF RIGHTS LAW was established at William & Mary in 1982 to support research and education on the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. One of the principal missions of the Institute is to facilitate interaction between the professions of law and journalism. Through a discussion of key cases on the Supreme Court's docket at the start of each term, the annual SUPREME COURT PREVIEW provides in-depth education for journalists on the underlying issues in order to enhance press coverage of the decisions.

STAFF

NEAL DEVINS, Goodrich Professor of Law, Director of the Institute of Bill of Rights Law,
and Professor of Government

LINDA QUIGLEY, Assistant Director of the Institute of Bill of Rights Law


MELODY S. NICHOLS, Assistant Director of the Institute of Bill of Rights Law

ASSISTANT EDITORS

JONATHAN HYSLOP ADRIENNE JOHNSON LINDSEY KAPLAN

TIMOTHY SLATTERY

HEATHER STANGLE BRIAN STERLING JASON WOOL



WILLIAM & MARY SCHOOL OF LAW
WILLIAMSBURG, VIRGINIA 23187-8795
(757) 221-3810 ♦ FAX (757) 221-3775
E-MAIL: IBRL@wm.edu ♦ Web: IBRL.org

2007-2008 Supreme Court Preview

Table of Contents

| | |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Schedule of Events | p. i |
| Who's Who on the Panels | p. ii |

I. THE EXECUTIVE POWER AND THE WAR ON TERROR

In This Section:

Military Commissions and Enemy Combatants

| | |
|--|-------|
| “Justices Reject Gitmo Tribunals” <i>Joan Biskupic and Laura Parker</i> | p. 3 |
| “Analysis: Constitutional Issues, Post-Hamdan” <i>Lyle Denniston</i> | p. 5 |
| “What Rights for Terrorists? <i>Hamdan v. Rumsfeld</i> ” <i>Glen Sulmasy and John Yoo</i> | p. 7 |
| “Will the Supreme Court Shackle New Tribunal Law?” <i>Warren Richey</i> | p. 10 |
| “Guantanamo Back in the Courts” <i>Corine Hegland</i> | p. 14 |
| “Circuit Puts Hamdan Case on Hold” <i>Lyle Denniston</i> | p. 17 |
| “Senate Committee Approves Bill for Detainee Hearings” <i>Josh White</i> | p. 18 |
| “Military Judges Dismiss Charges for 2 Detainees” <i>William Glaberson</i> | p. 19 |

AL-Marri

| | |
|--|-------|
| “Judges Rule Against U.S. on Detained ‘Combatant’” <i>Carol D. Leonnig</i> | p. 22 |
| “Government Calls Al-Marri Ruling a Threat to Security” <i>Lyle Denniston</i> | p. 24 |

“Impunity for al-Qaeda: The Implications of a Bad Ruling on ‘UnlawfulEnemy Combatants’” p. 26
David B. Rivkin Jr. and Lee A. Casey

“When ‘Enemy Combatants’ Aren’t” p. 28
Robyn Blumner

NSA Wiretapping

“Domestic Wiretaps Ruled OK” p. 30
Henry Weinstein

“Wiretap Subpoenas Prod Administration” p. 33
Josh Meyer

“Court to Oversee U.S. Wiretapping in Terror Cases” p. 36
Eric Lichtblau and David Johnston

II. MOOT COURT ARGUMENT:

The Guantanamo Detainees and the Military Commissions Act

In This Section:

New Case: 06-1195 *Boumediene v. Bush*; 06-1196 *Al-Odah v. United States*

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 40

“Justices to Weigh Detainee Rights” p. 56
Robert Barnes

“Justices Reject Appeals at Guantanamo—For Now” p. 58
Joan Biskupic

“Risking Testy Justices and Faulty Justice” p. 60
Adam Liptak

III. THE ROBERTS COURT

In This Section:

The Court

“In Steps Big and Small, Supreme Court Moved Right” p. 64
Linda Greenhouse

“Roberts Steers Court Right Back to Reagan” p. 70
Joan Biskupic

| | |
|--|--------|
| “Newest Justice Tips High Court to Right” <i>Robert Barnes</i> | p. 73 |
| “Courting Controversy” <i>Jeffrey Rosen</i> | p. 76 |
| “Five to Four” <i>Jeffrey Toobin</i> | p. 78 |
| “The Bush Court” <i>William Schneider</i> | p. 81 |
| “How Conservative Is This Court?” <i>Jonathan H. Adler</i> | p. 83 |
| “Few See Balance in Court’s Decisions” <i>Robert Barnes and Jon Cohen</i> | p. 86 |
| “Conservative Justice: Forget the Promises—Roberts and Alito Delivered High Court Ideology” <i>Erwin Chemerinsky</i> | p. 89 |
| <i>Justice Kennedy’s Role</i> | |
| “The Fragile Kennedy Court” <i>The New York Times</i> | p. 91 |
| “Supreme Court Tilt to Right Has Its Limits” <i>Warren Richey</i> | p. 93 |
| “The Impact of Fervent Dissent” <i>Lyle Denniston</i> | p. 96 |
| “Justice Kennedy’s Remarkable OT06” <i>Jason Harrow</i> | p. 98 |
| “5-4 Decisions in OT06” <i>Ben Winograd</i> | p. 99 |
| “The High Court’s Danish Prince: Whether to Be or not to Be a Liberal or Conservative Majority, Kennedy Decides” <i>David Savage</i> | p. 100 |
| “Supreme Leader—The Arrogance of Justice Anthony Kennedy” <i>Jeffrey Rosen</i> | p. 103 |

IV. INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS

In This Section:

New Case: 06-984 *Medellin v. Texas*

| | |
|---|--------|
| Synopsis and Questions Presented | p. 109 |
| “Supreme Court to Hear Appeal of Mexican Death Row Inmate” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i> | p. 125 |
| “New Claim of Presidential Power” <i>Lyle Denniston</i> | p. 127 |
| “CCA Plurality Says Bush Exceeded His Authority” <i>Mary Alice Robbins</i> | p. 130 |
| “Texas Appeals Court Takes Bush to Task for Stepping in for Foreign-Born Death Row Inmate” <i>Michael Graczyk</i> | p. 133 |
| “Appeals of Mexicans on Death Row Rejected” <i>Hope Yen</i> | p. 135 |
| “Justices Consider Rights of Foreigners” <i>Charles Lane</i> | p. 136 |
| “Bush Orders Hearings for Mexicans on Death Row” <i>David G. Savage</i> | p. 138 |
| “Mexicans on Death Row Win Review” <i>Charles Lane</i> | p. 141 |
| “Death Term Upheld for 5th in Gang” <i>Associated Press</i> | p. 143 |

New Case: 06-694 *United States v. Williams*

| | |
|--|--------|
| Synopsis and Question Presented | p. 144 |
| “Justices Agree to Revisit Child Pornography Laws” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i> | p. 156 |
| “Court First to Strike Pandering Provision of Child Porn Law” <i>Alyson M. Palmer</i> | p. 158 |
| “Struggle Continues to Write Laws that Protect Children Online” <i>Stephen V. Treglia</i> | p. 161 |

New Case: 06-1322 *Federal Exp. Corp. v. Holowecki*

Synopsis and Question Presented p. 166

“FedEx Case Goes to Supreme Court—Justices Will Hear
Discrimination Suit in October” p. 175
Associated Press

“2nd Circuit Rules Filing of EEOC Questionnaire Satisfied ADEA
Exhaustion Requirement” p. 176
Lawyers Weekly USA Staff

New Case: 06-637 *Board of Education of New York v. Tom F.*

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 177

“‘Unilateral’ Placements Face Review” p. 188
Education Week

“Private Schooling for the Disabled, and the Fight Over Who Pays” p. 191
Joseph Berger

“Court Rejects Limit on Payment of Tuition for Disabled Students” p. 193
Tom Perrotta

“Special-Ed Ruling Benefits City” p. 195
Julia Levy

“Ex-MTV Big Takes on Schools” p. 197
Erin Einhorn

New Case: 06-856 *LaRue v. DeWolff, Boberg, & Associates*

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 199

“401(k) Case Could Boost Plan Fiduciaries’ Liability” p. 205
Sally Roberts

“Invitation Brief in No. 06-856, *LaRue v. DeWolff, Boberg & Associates*” p. 206
Amy Howe

“Dismissal of Granted ERISA Case Urged” p. 207
Lyle Denniston

New Case: 06-1221 *Mendelsohn v. Sprint/United Management Company*

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 209

| | |
|---|--------|
| “Workplace Bias Evidence Draws Supreme Court Scrutiny” <i>Greg Stohr</i> | p. 218 |
| “Job Bias and “Me, too” Evidence” <i>Lyle Denniston</i> | p. 219 |

V. BUSINESS

In This Section:

New Case: 06-666 *Dept. of Revenue of KY v. Davis*

| | |
|--|--------|
| Synopsis and Question Presented | p. 224 |
| “Supreme Court to Address State Tax Breaks for Bonds” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i> | p. 229 |
| “Ky. Ruling Would Lift Tax on Out-of-State Bonds” <i>Tedra DeSue</i> | p. 231 |
| “Tax Report: Kentucky Suffers Setback in Muni-Bond Tax Case” <i>Tom Herman</i> | p. 233 |
| “Treasurers’ Group Urges Supreme Court to Hear Kentucky Case” <i>Andrew Ackerman</i> | p. 235 |
| “Muni Bonds and the Commerce Clause After <i>United Haulers</i> ” <i>Ethan Yale and Brian Galle</i> | p. 237 |

New Case: 06-179 *Riegel v. Medtronic, Inc.*

| | |
|--|--------|
| Synopsis and Questions Presented | p. 241 |
| “Supreme Court to Weigh Limits on Cases Involving Medical Devices” <i>Stephen Labaton</i> | p. 255 |
| “510(k) Premarket Clearance Could Land Some Devicemakers in Court” <i>Device and Diagnostic Letter</i> | p. 257 |
| “Second Circuit Review; News; Court Clarifies Right of Pre-Emption in Medical Device Case” <i>Martin Flumenbaum and Brad S. Karp</i> | p. 259 |

New Case: 06-43 *Stoneridge v. Scientific Atlanta*

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Synopsis and Questions Presented | p. 262 |
|----------------------------------|--------|

| | |
|--|--------|
| “Court to Consider ‘Scheme Liability’ in StoneRidge Suit Against Motorola, Scientific-Atlanta” <i>Christopher S. Rugaber</i> | p. 268 |
| “US Lets Pass Deadline To Back Holders In High Court Case” <i>Siobhan Hughes</i> | p. 270 |
| “Supreme Court Appears Set to Finally Clarify Concept of Primary Liability in Private Securities Fraud Actions” <i>Todd Gordinier and Damian Moos</i> | p. 272 |
| “The Stoneridge Showdown—Securities Lawsuits” <i>The Economist</i> | p. 274 |
| “Scientific-Atlanta Gets Securities Win” <i>Alyson M. Palmer</i> | p. 276 |
| “Is ‘Deceptive’ Conduct Only Misstatements or Omissions” <i>Jeff G. Hammel and Robert J. Malionek</i> | p. 279 |
| §10(b) Secondary Actor Liability: High Court to Resolve” <i>Sarah S. Gold and Richard L. Spinogatti</i> | p. 283 |
| “Government Wants Securities Liability Limited” <i>Lyle Denniston</i> | p. 286 |
| New Case: 06-1265 <i>Klein & Co. Futures, Inc. v. Board of Trade of the City of New York</i> | |
| Synopsis and Questions Presented | p. 289 |
| “Court to Consider Commodities Futures Trading Question” <i>Kevin Russell</i> | p. 295 |
| “NYMEX Sues NYBOT Over Handling of Firm’s Woes” <i>Peter A. McKay</i> | p. 297 |
| “Klein Sues NYBOT for \$100 Million, Blaming Exchange for Firm’s Collapse” <i>Peter A. McKay and Enza Tedesco</i> | p. 299 |
| New Case: 06-457 <i>Rowe v. New Hampshire Motor Transport Ass’n.</i> | |
| Synopsis and Questions Presented | p. 301 |
| “Court to Hear Web Cigarette Sales Fight” <i>The Associated Press</i> | p. 315 |

| | |
|--|--------|
| “U.S. Supreme Court to Hear State’s Appeal in Internet-Tobacco Sales Case” <i>U.S. States News</i> | p. 316 |
| “Invitation Brief in No. 06-457, <i>Rowe v. New Hampshire Motor Transport Association</i> & Final (?) CVSG Tally” <i>Amy Howe</i> | p. 318 |

VI. CRIMINAL

In This Section:

New Case: 06-6330 *Kimbrough v. United States*

| | |
|---|--------|
| Synopsis and Questions Presented | p. 321 |
| “Crack Sentences Questioned” <i>David G. Savage</i> | p. 323 |
| “‘Crack’ vs. Powder Cocaine: The Sentencing Dilemma” <i>Lyle Denniston</i> | p. 324 |
| “Commission Recommends Lighter Minimum Sentence for Crack Cocaine Conviction” <i>Kasie Hunt</i> | p. 327 |
| “Lawmakers Consider Lessening Crack Penalties” <i>Donna Leinwand</i> | p. 329 |
| “Report to Congress on Federal Cocaine Sentencing Policy <i>United States Sentencing Commission, 2007</i> | p. 331 |
| “Justice Department Releases Report Analyzing Crack and Powder Cocaine Penalties” <i>United States Department of Justice, 2002</i> | p. 335 |

New Case: 06-7949 *Gall v. United States*

| | |
|--|--------|
| Synopsis and Questions Presented | p. 337 |
| “U.S. Supreme Court Picks Up Replacements for St. Louis Man” <i>Allison Retka</i> | p. 343 |
| “A Possible Sentencing ‘Safe Harbor’ in New Rulings” <i>Marcia Coyle</i> | p. 344 |

New Case: 06-10119 *Snyder v. Louisiana*

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Synopsis and Questions Presented | p. 346 |
|----------------------------------|--------|

| | |
|--|--------|
| “Batson and the ‘O.J. factor’” <i>Lyle Denniston</i> | p. 360 |
| “Court Rejects Rehearing for Death Row Inmate” <i>Paul Purpura</i> | p. 363 |
| “Racial Currents May Drag Verdict Under” <i>James Gill</i> | p. 364 |
| “Court Ordered to Revisit Ruling” <i>Paul Purpura</i> | p. 366 |
| “Killer Gets Death in Slashing” <i>Joe Darby</i> | p. 368 |
| “Man Gets Death Penalty” <i>Joe Darby</i> | p. 369 |
| New Case: 06-8273 <i>Danforth v. Minnesota</i> | |
| Synopsis and Questions Presented | p. 371 |
| “Court Query on <i>Teague</i> Retroactivity” <i>Lyle Denniston</i> | p. 378 |
| “Judge Raises Repeat Pedophile’s Sentence Because of His History” <i>James Walsh</i> | p. 380 |
| “Jury Ponders Sex Abuse Case Against Ex-Attorney” <i>David Peterson</i> | p. 381 |
| “Former Attorney Practices Self-Defense in Child Sex Abuse Case” <i>John McIntyre</i> | p. 383 |
| “Child Sex Abuser Given Prison Sentence” <i>Larry Oakes</i> | p. 385 |

VII. ELECTION LAW

In This Section:

New Case: 06-766 *Lopez Torres v. New York State Board of Elections*

| | |
|--|--------|
| Synopsis and Questions Presented | p. 390 |
| “Judge Selection To Be Reviewed By U.S. Justices” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i> | p. 405 |

“2nd Circuit Rejects N.Y. Judicial Conventions: Court Says System Violates Rights of Voters and Candidates Alike” p. 407
Daniel Wise

“Citizens’ Group and Others Sue New York State Over System for Selecting Top Trial Judges” p. 410
William Glaberson

“Questioning Conventional Behavior” p. 412
Mark Hansen

New Case: 06-730 *Washington v. Washington State Republican Party*

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 415

“High Court to Rule on State Primary” p. 422
Susan Gilmore

“State to Contest Ruling on Primaries” p. 424
David Ammons

“Parties Take Primary Down Slippery Slope” p. 425
Peter Callaghan

“Judges Hear Arguments on Primary” p. 427
Gene Johnson

“Judge Tosses State’s New Primary” p. 429
Susan Gilmore

“Initiative 872: Top 2 Primary” p. 432
Seattle Post-Intelligencer

Looking Back: FEC v. Wisconsin Right to Life

“Justices Loosen Ad Restrictions in Campaign Law” p. 434
Linda Greenhouse and David D. Kirkpatrick

“Shackles Off ‘Issue Ads’ Naming Candidates, but Who Benefits up for Debate” p. 437
Richard Wolf and David Jackson

“FEC to Write a ‘WRTL Rule’” p. 439
Lyle Denniston

“The Right to Spend” p. 440
Jeffrey Rosen

“Polarizing Campaign Finance Law” p. 442
Stuart Taylor Jr.

Voter Identification

“Seeking Cert in Voter ID Suit” p. 445
Michael W. Hoskins

“No Court Consensus on Voter ID Laws” p. 448
Joan Biskupic

“Appeals Court Upholds Law Requiring a Photo ID to Vote” p. 450
Henry Weinstein

“Democrats Predict Voter ID Problems” p. 452
Amy Goldstein

VIII. JUDICIAL MODESTY

In This Section

“Adam Cohen on ‘Conservative Judicial Activism’” p. 457
Ilya Somin

“Bowling to Precedent” p. 459
Robert F. Nagel

“Roberts, Alito and the Rule of Law” p. 462
Geoffrey R. Stone

“In Praise of Judicial Modesty” p. 464
Stuart Taylor, Jr.

“Last Term’s Winner at the Supreme Court: Judicial Activism” p. 467
Adam Cohen

“Confirmation Report” p. 469
Dahlia Lithwick

“Originalism, Stare Decisis and the Promotion of Judicial Restraint” p. 471
Thomas W. Merrill

“Narrow Victories Move Roberts Court to Right: Decisions Ignore Precedent,
Liberal Justices Contend” p. 474
Charles Lane

“The Intrinsically Corrupting Influence of Precedent” p. 477
Michael Stokes Paulsen

| | |
|---|--------|
| “Precedents Begin Falling for Roberts Court” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i> | p. 479 |
| “Process Makes Perfect” <i>Roger Citron</i> | p. 481 |
| “Split Decision” <i>Cass R. Sunstein</i> | p. 484 |
| “So, Do You Believe in Superprecedent?” <i>Jeffrey Rosen</i> | p. 487 |
| “Supreme Confusion” <i>Charles Fried</i> | p. 490 |
| “Supreme Humility” <i>Michael W. McConnell</i> | p. 492 |
| “The Assault on ‘Faux Judicial Restraint’” <i>Lyle Denniston</i> | p. 495 |
| “The Roberts Court and the Role of Precedent” <i>Nina Totenberg</i> | p. 497 |
| Excerpt from “The Power of Precedent” <i>Michael Gerhardt</i> | p. 500 |

IX. LOOKING AHEAD

In This Section:

Second Amendment

| | |
|--|--------|
| “D.C. Wants High Court to Hear Gun Case” <i>David Nakamura</i> | p. 502 |
| “District of Columbia Set Back in Fight Over Its Gun Law” <i>Adam Liptak</i> | p. 504 |
| “D.C.’s Ban on Handguns in Homes Is Thrown Out” <i>David Nakamura and Robert Barnes</i> | p. 505 |
| “Plaintiffs Reflect on Gun Ruling; Residents Suing D.C. Explain Motivation” <i>Elissa Silverman and Allison Klein</i> | p. 508 |
| “The Second Amendment: Is the Court Interested?” <i>Lyle Denniston</i> | p. 511 |

“A Liberal Case for the Individual Right to Own Guns Helps Sway
the Federal Judiciary” p. 514
Adam Liptak

“Gun Advocates Worry About D.C. Case” p. 517
Tony Mauro

“Bearing Arms in D.C.” p. 520
Robert A. Levy