

2006

## 2006-2007 Supreme Court Preview: Contents

Institute of Bill of Rights Law at the William & Mary Law School

---

### Repository Citation

Institute of Bill of Rights Law at the William & Mary Law School, "2006-2007 Supreme Court Preview: Contents" (2006). *Supreme Court Preview*. 240.

<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview/240>

Copyright c 2006 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.

<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview>

**SUPREME  
COURT  
PREVIEW**

**What to Expect  
From the New Term**

**September 15-16, 2006**

# 2006-2007 Supreme Court Preview

---

## Institute of Bills of Rights Law

THE INSTITUTE OF BILL OF RIGHTS LAW was established at William & Mary in 1982 to support research and education on the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. One of the principal missions of the Institute is to facilitate interaction between the professions of law and journalism. Through a discussion of key cases on the Supreme Court's docket at the start of each term, the annual SUPREME COURT PREVIEW provides in-depth education for journalists on the underlying issues in order to enhance press coverage of the decisions.

### STAFF


**NEAL DEVINS**, Goodrich Professor of Law, Director of Institute of Bill of Rights Law, and Professor of Government

**MELODY S. NICHOLS**, Program Coordinator

### ASSISTANT EDITORS

MATTHEW DELESDERNIER    WILL FEDERSPIEL    JOSHUA KOVACS

JOSHUA MCKINLEY    BRAD MEACHAM    JOHN MILLER



WILLIAM & MARY SCHOOL OF LAW  
WILLIAMSBURG, VIRGINIA 23187-8795  
(757) 221-3810 ♦ FAX (757) 221-3775  
E-MAIL: [IBRL@wm.edu](mailto:IBRL@wm.edu) ♦ Web: [IBRL.org](http://IBRL.org)

# 2006-2007 Supreme Court Preview

---

## Table of Contents

Schedule of Events i

Who's Who on the Panels ii

### I. MOOT COURT ARGUMENT: Partial Birth Abortion

*In This Section:*

**New Case: 05-380 *Gonzales v. Carhart***

Synopsis and Question Presented p. 3

**New Case: 05-1382 *Gonzales v. Planned Parenthood***

Synopsis and Question Presented p.15

“High Court to Hear Case on Abortion”  
*Joan Biskupic* p. 27

“Justices to Expand Review of 'Partial-Birth' Abortion Ban”  
*Linda Greenhouse* p. 29

“Bush Lawyers Ask Justices to Revive Limit on Abortion”  
*David G. Savage* p. 30

“Two Courts Reject Ban on Abortion Procedure”  
*Henry Weinstein* p. 32

“8th U.S. Circuit Finds Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Unconstitutional”  
*Donna Walter* p. 35

“Abortion on the Horizon”  
*Daveed Gartenstein-Ross & Adam White* p. 37

“The Nebraska Case”  
*Linda Greenhouse* p. 41

“Nominee's Reasoning Points to a Likely Vote Against *Roe v. Wade*”  
*Charles Lane* p. 44

“For Alito, a Tricky Question of Statements vs. Thoughts” <i>Charles Lane</i>	p. 47
“Bush Signs Law Outlawing Some Abortions” <i>Seattle Times News Service</i>	p. 49
“Senate Approves Bill to Prohibit Type of Abortion” <i>Sheryl Gay Stolberg</i>	p. 51
“Court Challenge Likely to Focus on 2 `Flaws” <i>Jan Crawford Greenburg</i>	p. 54
“If at First You Don't Succeed . . .” <i>Rachel DiCarlo</i>	p. 56
“Never Say Never” <i>William Saletan</i>	p. 58
“Partial-birth Gets Full Court” <i>Cathleen Cleaver Ruse</i>	p. 61

## II. WAR ON TERROR

### *In This Section:*

#### *Hamdan and its Aftermath*

“Justices, 5-3, Broadly Reject Bush Plan to Try Detainees” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 65
“High Court Rejects Detainee Tribunals” <i>Charles Lane</i>	p. 69
“Court Wants More Guantanamo Arguments” <i>Toni Locy</i>	p. 72
“The High Court's Hamdan Power Grab” <i>John Yoo</i>	p. 73
“Invent This Wheel” <i>Neal Katyal</i>	p. 75
“Supreme Repudiation . . . and Spinmanship” <i>Bruce Fein</i>	p. 79

“The Court Enters the War, Loudly” <i>Adam Liptak</i>	p. 81
“Misreading Hamdan v. Rumsfeld” <i>David B. Rivkin Jr. and Lee A. Casey</i>	p. 84
“U.S. Officials Scramble to Find options” <i>Josh White</i>	p. 86
“Detainee Rights Create a Divide on Capitol Hill” <i>Kate Zernike and Sheryl Gay Stolberg</i>	p. 89
“Scholars Agree That Congress Could Reject Conventions” <i>Adam Liptak</i>	p. 93
“Administration Prods Congress to Curb the Rights of Detainees” <i>Kate Zernike, Mark Mazzetti and Sheryl Gay Stolberg</i>	p. 96
“White Houses Bill Proposes System to Try Detainees” <i>Davis S. Cloud and Sheryl Gay Stolberg</i>	p. 99

#### NSA Wiretapping

U.S. Judge Finds Wiretap Actions Violate the Law	A
“What the Hamdan Decision Might Mean” <i>Tim Grieve</i>	p. 102
“Bush Compromises on Spying Program” <i>Charles Babington and Peter Baker</i>	p. 104
“Fair Weather Friend of the Court” <i>Daveed Gardenstein-Ross and Adam J. White</i>	p. 107
“Domestic Spying Faces First Challenge” <i>Henry Weinstein</i>	p. 111

### III. THE ROBERTS COURT

#### *In This Section:*

#### *The Court*

“Roberts is at Court’s Helm, But He Isn’t Yet in Control” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 116
--	--------

“Five Justices to Watch as the Roberts Court Evolves” <i>Joan Biskupic</i>	p. 125
“The First Voting Statistics” <i>Tom Goldstein</i>	p. 128
“The Case of Alito v. O’Connor” <i>Stuart Taylor, Jr.</i>	p. 132
“How Scalia Lost His Mojo” <i>Conor Clarke</i>	p. 135
“Term Analysis: A ‘Split-the-Difference’ Court” <i>Lyle Denniston</i>	p. 138
“His Hipness” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 141
“Disorder In the Court” <i>Jeffrey Rosen</i>	p. 144
“How John Roberts Might Change the Law” <i>Stuart Taylor, Jr.</i>	p. 148

*Justice Kennedy’s Role*

“Kennedy Reigns Supreme on Court” <i>Charles Lane</i>	p. 151
“Swing Time” <i>Dahlia Lithwick</i>	p. 154
“Kennedy Moves Front and Center” <i>David G. Savage</i>	p. 156
“Swing for the Bleachers” <i>Dahlia Lithwick</i>	p. 160

## **IV. Advocacy**

*In This Section:*

“In the Roberts Court, There’s More Room for Argument” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 165
---	--------

“The Letterman Justice” <i>Dahlia Lithwick</i>	p. 167
“2005-06 Supreme Court: The Advocates’ View” <i>Tony Mauro</i>	p. 169
“A Supreme Court Conversation” <i>Walter Dellinger</i>	p. 171
“Numbers That Don’t Benefit the Court” <i>Margaret and Richard Cordray</i>	p. 172
“Fewer grants for next Term” <i>Lyle Denniston</i>	p. 174
“Roberts Dips Toe Into Cert Pool” <i>Tony Mauro</i>	p. 175
“Commentary: The Court’s Caseload” <i>Lyle Denniston</i>	p. 176

## V. CRIMINAL LAW

*In This Section:*

**New Case:** 05-785 *Carey v. Musladin*

Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 181
Dissent from <i>Musladin v. Lamarque</i>	p. 191
“Justices to Rule on Photos at Trial” <i>David G. Savage</i>	p. 196
“COURTS; Buttons of victim’s family prompt new trial” <i>Bob Egelko</i>	p. 198
“Ninth Circuit Will Not Review Ruling That Wearing of Buttons Depicting Victim in Court Requires New Trial” <i>Kenneth Ofgang</i>	p. 199
“San Jose Man Fatally Shot; Lover’s Spouse Arrested” <i>Rodney Foo and Sandra Gonzales</i>	p. 201



**New Case:** 05-493 *Ornaski v. Belmontes*

Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 202
“THE NATION; Voided Death Sentence to Be Reconsidered” <i>David G. Savage</i>	p. 217
“Appeals Court Overturns Death Sentence” <i>Henry Weinstein</i>	p. 219
“California; U.S. Appeals Court Voids Death Penalty in '81 Killing” <i>Henry Weinstein</i>	p. 221
High court: Jury acted properly in killer's case” <i>Hope Yen</i>	p. 224

**New Case:** 05-595 *Whorton v. Bockting*

Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 225
“Nevadan's Supreme Court case could nullify countless convictions” <i>Martha Bellisle</i>	p. 237
“LV Case Could have National Impact” <i>Cy Ryan</i>	p. 239
“Appeals court: Hearsay ruling is retroactive” <i>David Kravets</i>	p. 241
“Justices Rule Against Statements Made Out of Court” <i>Charles Lane</i>	p. 243

**New Case:** 05-547 *Lopez v. Gonzales*

Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 245
---------------------------------	--------

**New Case:** 04-41378 *Toledo-Flores v. United States*

Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 249
“High Court to Consider Deportation Cases” <i>Associated Press</i>	p. 251
“Justices Decline Terror Case of a U.S. Citizen” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 252

“On The Docket: Lopez, Jose v. Gonzales, Alberto ” <i>Katherine Boyle</i>	p. 253
“Expanding powers of immigration authorities” <i>Katherine Boyle</i>	p. 256
“Immigrants Facing Deportation Get Second Chance” <i>Daniela Gerson</i>	p. 260
<b>New Case:</b> 05-9222 <i>Burton v. Waddington</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 262
“Supreme Court to hear Washington case” <i>Natalie Singer</i>	p. 265
“Blakely issues dominate court docket in 2005” <i>Michael W. Hoskins</i>	p. 266
<b>New Case:</b> 05-6551 <i>Cunningham v. California</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 268
“State Sentencing Guidelines Draw U.S. Supreme Court Scrutiny” <i>Bloomberg.com</i>	p. 279
<b>New Case:</b> 05-7142 <i>Williams v. Overton</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 280
<b>New Case:</b> 05-7142 <i>Walton v. Bouchard</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 284
<b>New Case:</b> 05-7058 <i>Jones v. Bock</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 287
“Supreme Court to Hear Inmates’ Appeal” <i>Toni Locy</i>	p. 289
<b>New Case:</b> 05-8820 <i>Lawrence v. Florida</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 290

“On the Docket: Lawrence, Gary v. Florida” p. 296  
*Amy Held*

“Floridian Sentenced in Iowan's Death” p. 298  
*Stephen Buttry*

## VI. BUSINESS

*In This Section:*

**New Case:** 05-1256 *Philip Morris USA v. Williams*

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 302

“Supreme Court to Weigh Award in a Smoker’s Death” p. 313  
*Linda Greenhouse*

“Court Affirms Tobacco Award” p. 315  
*Ashbel S. Green*

“Court Upholds \$79.5 Million Punitive Damages Award  
Against Tobacco Company” p. 317  
*Health Law Week*

“High Court Sends Back Tobacco Case Award” p. 318  
*David G. Savage*

“Jury Awards \$81 Million to Oregon Smoker’s Family” p. 320  
*Barry Meier*

“Addiction Overtaken” p. 322  
*Douglas W. Kmiec*

“Jurors Vent Outrage at Industry;” p. 324  
*Joan Biskupic*

“Big Tobacco, in Court Again. But the Stock Is Still Up” p. 329  
*Michael Janofsky*

**New Case:** 05-1120 *Massachusetts v. E.P.A.*

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 332

“Justices Take Up Climate Debate” p. 345  
*David G. Savage*

“High Court to Hear Greenhouse Gas Case” p. 347  
*Juliet Eilperin*

“Court Says E.P.A. Can Limit Its Regulation of Emissions” p. 349  
*Anthony DePalma*

“2 Sides Do Battle in Court on Whether E.P.A.  
Should Regulate Carbon Dioxide” p. 351  
*Michael Janofsky*

“EPA Won’t Regulate ‘Greenhouse Gases’” p. 353  
*Aaron Zitner, Gary Polakovic and Elizabeth Shogren*

“Bush Defends Emissions Stance” p. 355  
*Douglas Jehl*

**New Case: 05-848 *Environmental Defense v. Duke Energy Corp.***

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 358

“Justices to Hear Environmental Appeal on EPA Emissions Rule” p. 366  
*Charles Lane*

“On Divided Court, Kennedy Emerges as Key in Future  
Environment Suits” p. 368  
*Dawn Reeves and Matt Shipman*

“DOJ Tells Court Duke Decision is ‘Fundamentally Flawed’” p. 371  
*Electric Power Daily*

“Duke Energy Did Not Break Law, Court Says” p. 372  
*Jerry Markon*

“Appeals Court Affirms Lower Court’s Decision to  
Grant Summary Judgement in EPA’s New Source Review  
Enforcement Action Against Duke Energy” p. 374  
*Foster Electric Report*

**New Case: 05-1126 *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly***

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 377

“Supreme Court to Review Antitrust Case Against Phone Companies” p. 390  
*Stephen Labaton*

“High Court Loads Up on Business Cases” p. 392  
*Shaheen Pasha*

“Wrong Standard Used in Dismissing Antitrust Complaint;  
Action Reinstated; ‘Modest Burden’” p. 394  
*Mark Hamblett*

**New Case:** 05-381 *Weyerhaeuser Co. v. Ross-Simmons Hardwood Lumber Co.*

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 397

“High Court Will Revisit Weyerhaeuser Case” p. 408  
*Dylan Rivera*

“What Standard Should Be Applied in Predatory Bidding Cases?” p. 411  
*Neal R. Stoll and Shepard Goldfein*

“Weyerhaeuser Monopoly Verdict Upheld” p. 415  
*Dylan Rivera*

**New Case:** 04-1350 *KSR Intern. Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 418

“Supreme Court Agrees to Hear Patent and Clean Air Act Cases” p. 426  
*Tony Mauro*

“Justices Get Down to Business ” p. 427  
*Ben Winograd and Jess Bravin*

“The Patent Epidemic” p. 429  
*Michael Orey*

“Teleflex Patent Declared Valid in Infringement Complaint  
Against KSR” p. 433  
*Intellectual Property Today*

## VII. CIVIL RIGHTS

*In This Section:*

**New Case:** 05-908 *Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School Dist. No. 1*

Synopsis and Question Presented p. 436

**New Case: 05-915 *Meredith v. Jefferson County Board of Education***

Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 450
“Justices to Hear Cases of Race-Conscious School Placements” <i>Charles Lane</i>	p. 465
“Court to Weigh Race as Factor in School Rolls” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 468
“Supreme Court Will Hear Affirmative-Action Cases With Potentially Broad Meaning for Higher Education” <i>Jeffrey Selinger</i>	p. 470
“An Unanswered, Delicate Race Question” <i>Lyle Denniston</i>	p. 472
“The Alito Difference” <i>Bruce Fein</i>	p. 475
“Perhaps Not All Affirmative Action Is Created Equal” <i>Jeffrey Rosen</i>	p. 477
“Ninth Circuit, in En Banc Ruling, Allows Use of Race As 'Tiebreaker' in High School Pupil Assignments”	p. 480

*Metropolitan News*

“Rulings may back Seattle schools' racial tiebreaker” <i>Keith Ervin</i>	p. 482
“On the Docket: Meredith, Crystal v. Jefferson County Bd. of Education, et al.” <i>David Gialanella</i>	p. 485
“Schools' Efforts Hinge on Justices' Ruling in Cases on Race and School Assignments” <i>Sam Dillon</i>	p. 487
“Court backs Lynn use of race in school plan” <i>Shelley Murphy and Maria Sacchetti</i>	p. 491

## VIII. ELECTION LAW

*In This Section:*

### *Partisan Redistricting*

- “High Court Upholds Texas Redistricting” p. 496  
*David G. Savage*
- “Justices Express Concern Over Aspects Of Some Texas Redistricting” p. 499  
*Linda Greenhouse*
- “Justices Set For Redistricting Cases” p. 502  
*Joan Biskupic and Jim Drinkard*
- “First Thoughts On Voting Rights, Gerrymandering, and Texas” p. 505  
*Rick Pildes*
- “The Trouble With Texas” p. 507  
*Stuart Taylor, Jr.*
- “Comments on *LULAC v. Perry*” p. 510  
*Daniel H. Lowenstein*
- “Ruling Has Texans Puzzling Over Districts” p. 512  
*Rick Lyman*
- “New Texas Congressional Districts” p. 515  
*Lyle Denniston*

### *Campaign Finance*

- “Justices Reject Vermont’s Campaign Finance Law” p. 517  
*Charles Lane*
- “Vermont Campaign Limits Get Cool Reception At Court” p. 520  
*Linda Greenhouse*
- “Some Initial Thoughts on the Vermont Campaign Finance Decision” p. 523  
*Rick Hasen*
- “There’s No Future in the Past of Campaign Finance” p. 525  
*Ronald D. Rotunda*
- “Supreme Court Ruling Could Spur Partisan Judicial Campaigns” p. 527  
*David G. Savage*

*Campaign-Time Broadcasts*

“Advocacy Groups Can Challenges Limit on ‘Issue Ads’” p. 530  
*Joan Biskupic*

“Electioneering Blackout Upheld” p. 532  
*Lyle Denniston*

“Court Refuses to Speed Election Case” p. 534  
*Lyle Denniston*

*Voting Rights*

“Bush Signs Voting Rights Act Extension” p. 536  
*Hamil R. Harris and Michael Abramwitz*

“Voting Rights Act Extension Passes In Senate 98 To 0” p. 537  
*Charles Babington*

“More Racial Gerrymanders” p. 539  
*Stuart Taylor, Jr.*

*DeLay Ballot*

“DeLay Must Stay on Ballot as Court Rejects Appeal” p. 542  
*Ralph Blumenthal*

“DeLay Ballot Issue Heads For Court” p. 544  
*Lyle Denniston*

“DeLay Departing On Own Terms” p. 546  
*R. Jeffrey Smith and Jonathan Weisman\*

**IX. MISCELLANEOUS**

*In This Section:*

**Also this Term: Other Cases from the 2006-07 Term**

*BP Am. Prod. Co. v. Watson* p. 550

*Global Crossing, Inc. v. Metrophones, Inc.* p. 550

*James v. United States* p. 551



<i>Ledbetter v. Goodyear Tire and Rubber Co., Inc.</i>	p. 551
<i>Marrama v. Citizens Bank of MA</i>	p. 552
<i>MedImmune, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc.</i>	p. 552
<i>Norfolk Southern Railway Co. v. Sorrell</i>	p. 553
<i>Osborn v. Haley</i>	p. 553
<i>U.S. v. Resendiz-Ponce</i>	p. 554
<i>Wallace v. City of Chicago</i>	p. 554
<i>Watters v. Wachovia Bank</i>	p. 554

**Other:**

“Exploring the Myths About the Ninth Circuit” <i>Stephen J. Wermiel</i>	p. 556
--	--------