

2003

## 2003-2004 Supreme Court Preview: Contents

Institute of Bill of Rights Law at the William & Mary Law School

---

### Repository Citation

Institute of Bill of Rights Law at the William & Mary Law School, "2003-2004 Supreme Court Preview: Contents" (2003). *Supreme Court Preview*. 157.

<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview/157>

Copyright c 2003 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.

<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview>

# SUPREME COURT PREVIEW

What to Expect  
From the New Term  
September 19 & 20, 2003

the Institute of Bill of Rights Law, College of William & Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia

# 2003-2004 Supreme Court Preview

---

## INSTITUTE OF BILL OF RIGHTS LAW


THE INSTITUTE OF BILL OF RIGHTS LAW was established at William & Mary in 1982 to support research and education on the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. One of the principal missions of the Institute is to facilitate interaction between the professions of law and journalism. Through a discussion of key cases on the Supreme Court's docket at the start of each term, the annual SUPREME COURT PREVIEW provides in-depth education for journalists on the underlying issues in order to enhance press coverage of the decisions.

### STAFF

**DAVISON M. DOUGLAS**, Director, Arthur B. Hanson Professor of Law

**MELODY S. NICHOLS**, Program Coordinator

**RYAN G. DOLAN AND ALEXANDER A. MINARD**  
Editors, 2003-2004 Supreme Court Preview



WILLIAM & MARY SCHOOL OF LAW  
WILLIAMSBURG, VIRGINIA 23187-8795  
(757) 221-3810 ♦ FAX (757) 221-3775  
E-MAIL: [ibr1@wm.edu](mailto:ibr1@wm.edu)  
Web: [IBRL.org](http://IBRL.org)

# 2003-2004 Supreme Court Preview

## Table of Contents

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Acknowledgements   | xiv |
| <b>I. MOOT COURT ARGUMENT</b>  |     |
| <i>In This Section</i>   | 1   |
| <b>New Case:</b> 02-1315 <i>Locke v. Davey</i>   |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>   | 2   |
| <i>Justices to Hear Religious Rights Case; Supreme Court / State of Washington, Student Battle Over Aid</i><br>Robert Marshall Wells and Janet I. Tu                       | 15  |
| <i>Justices Again Asked to Draw Church-State Line If Government Aid Goes to Private Education; it Must also go to Religious Schools, Activists Say.</i><br>David G. Savage | 18  |
| <i>Supreme Court Agrees To Decide If Scholarship Program Can Exclude Theology Students</i><br>Oakstone Legal & Business Publishing   | 21  |
| <i>Courts Weighing Rights of States To Curb Aid for Religion Majors</i><br>Adam Liptak   | 23  |
| <i>Zelman's Future: Vouchers, Sectarian Providers, and the Next Round of Constitutional Battles</i><br>Ira C. Lupu, Robert W. Tuttle                                       | 26  |
| <i>Vouchers After Zelman</i><br>Mark Tushnet   | 33  |
| <i>The Costs of Denying Religious Organization The Right To Staff On a Religious Basis When They Join Federal Social Service Efforts</i><br>Paul Taylor                    | 38  |

## II. JUDICIAL APPOINTMENTS PROCESS

|   |    |
|---|----|
| <i>In This Section</i>  | 40 |
| <i>Bush Unwavering in Push for Conservative Bench</i><br>Joan Biskupic  | 41 |
| <i>High Court Nominations May Hinge On Filibuster Debate; Senate Is Divided on Constitutionality</i><br>Lyle Denniston                      | 44 |
| <i>Bush Spurns Proposal on Court Picks; White House Rejects Democrats' Offer to Consult on Supreme Court Nominations</i><br>David G. Savage | 47 |
| <i>Getting Guns Ready for Next Nominations War</i><br>Jonathan Groner and Tony Mauro  | 49 |
| <i>Ideology and the Selection of Federal Judges</i><br>Erwin Chemerinsky  | 53 |
| <i>The Limited Nature of the Senate's Advice and Consent Role</i><br>John C. Eastman  | 58 |

## III. AFTER LAWRENCE v. TEXAS: GAY RIGHTS IN AMERICA

|  |    |
|--|----|
| <i>In This Section</i>   | 61 |
| <i>Court's Opinion on Gay Rights Reflects Trends</i><br>Joan Biskupic                          | 62 |
| <i>A Debate on Marriage, And More, Now Looms</i><br>David Von Drehle                           | 65 |
| <i>High Court Term Ends</i><br>Mitchell Landsberg and John M. Glionna                          | 67 |
| <i>Ruling Seen as Precursor to Same-Sex Marriages</i><br>David G. Savage                       | 70 |
| <i>Backers of Amendment Against Same-Sex Unions See Texas Ruling as Boost</i><br>Stephen Dinan | 72 |
| <i>Gay Unions: A matter of Rights or a Threat to Traditional Marriage?</i><br>Rick Santorum    | 74 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <i>“Don’t Ask, Don’t Tell” Faces Challenge</i><br>Rowan Scarborough  | 76  |
| <i>Scalia Jab at “Law-Profession” Culture Debated</i><br>Tony Mauro  | 78  |
| <i>Lawsuit Challenges Military’s Gay Policy</i><br>George Edmonson   | 81  |
| <i>Gay ‘Marriages’ Ahead</i><br>Cheryl Wetzstein   | 84  |
| <i>Lawyers Consider Gay Adoption Rights</i><br>Bill Rankin   | 89  |
| <b>IV. CIVIL RIGHTS/EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION</b>  |     |
| <i>In This Section</i>   | 91  |
| <b>NEW CASE:</b> 02-749 <i>Raytheon Co. v. Hernandez</i>   |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>   | 93  |
| <i>Justices to Test Refusal to Hire Over Drug Use</i><br>David G. Savage   | 99  |
| <i>Courts Debate Rehiring Substance Abusers</i><br>Gillian Flynn   | 101 |
| <i>Justices Hear Case on Company Policy on Employees Disabled<br/>Due to Drugs</i><br>Drug Detection Report                          | 104 |
| <b>NEW CASE:</b> 02-1080 <i>General Dynamics Land Systems Inc. v. Cline</i>  |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>   | 106 |
| <i>Supreme Court to Hear Reverse Age Bias Case</i><br>David G. Savage  | 112 |
| <i>Commentary: Courts Ponder Whether Young Can Benefit From ADEA</i><br>Marshall Tanick  | 114 |
| <i>ADEA – Reverse Discrimination Suits – Sixth Circuit Permits<br/>Reverse Age Discrimination Suit Proceed</i><br>Harvard Law Review | 117 |

**NEW CASE: 02-954 *Office of Independent Counsel v. Favish***

*Synopsis and Question Presented* 122

*Justices to Rule in Death Photos Case*  
David G. Savage 129

*Should Public See Foster Death Photos?*  
Tony Mauro 131

**NEW CASE: 02-9065 *Muhammad v. Close***

*Synopsis and Question Presented* 133

*Supreme Court Accepts Appeal from Inmate*  
Gina Holland 135

*Heck v. Humphrey: What Should State Prisoners Use When Seeking Damages from State Officials . . . Section 1983 or Federal Habeas Corpus?*  
Eric J. Savoy 136

**ALSO THIS TERM**

02-763 *Barnhart v. Thomas*  
*Synopsis and Question Presented* 139

02-1205 *Jones v. R.R. Donnelley & Sons Co.*  
*Synopsis and Question Presented* 139

**V. First Amendment/Election Law**

*In This Section* 140

**NEW CASE: *Campaign Finance Cases***

*Synopsis and Question Presented* 141

*Squaring Off Over Campaign Finance Suits Seem Bound for Highest Court*  
Marcia Coyle 144

*Soft Money Ban is Dealt Legal Blow but Prohibition on Attack Funding Upheld*  
Lyle Denniston 148

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <i>Three-Judge Panel’s 1,638 – Page Decision on Campaign Finance Law Has Interest Across Political Spectrum Seeking High court Review</i> | 151 |
| Tony Mauro  |     |
| <i>Court to Hear Campaign Finance Arguments Sept. 8</i>   | 155 |
| Charles Lane  |     |
| <b>NEW CASE: 02-1580 Vieth v. Jubelirer</b>   |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>  | 157 |
| <i>Supreme Court Takes Up State Redistricting</i>   | 161 |
| Linda Greenhouse  |     |
| <i>Gerrymandering and Political Cartels</i>   | 163 |
| Samuel Issacharoff  |     |
| <i>Doing Our Politics in Court: Gerrymandering, “Fair Representation” and an Exegesis into the Judicial Role</i>                          | 165 |
| Luis Fuentes-Rohwer   |     |
| <b>VI. Criminal Procedure</b>   |     |
| <i>In This Section</i>  | 168 |
| <b>NEW CASE: 02-1060 Illinois v. Lidster</b>  |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>  | 171 |
| <i>Justices Weight Police Roadblocks in Crime Probes; Fairness Of Unrelated Arrests at Issue before Supreme Court</i>                     | 179 |
| Dan Rozak   |     |
| <i>Lombard Case Could Affect Police Roadblocks</i>  | 180 |
| Robert Sanchez  |     |
| <i>Ruling Draws Line on Police Use of Roadblocks</i>  | 182 |
| Patrick J. Cotter   |     |
| <i>Factions Take Different Paths to Assess Roadblock</i>  | 186 |
| Patrick J. Cotter   |     |
| <i>A Better Interpretation of “Special Needs” Doctrine After Edmond and Ferguson</i>  | 190 |
| Jonathan Kravis   |     |



|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>NEW CASE: 02-6320 <i>Fellers v. United States</i></b>  |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>  | 194 |
| <i>High Court to Revisit Miranda; Issue Pits Officers vs. Accused</i><br>A.J. Flick   | 199 |
| <i>Inmate Takes Case to High Court without Lawyer; The U.S. Supreme Court Will Revisit Miranda in an Ex-Nebraskan's Appeal</i><br>Robynn Tysver | 201 |
| <i>It's All in the Timing/Police Should Lean Toward Individual Rights When Question Suspects</i><br>Opinion                                     | 203 |
| <i>Dickerson v. United States: Miranda is Deemed a Constitutional Rule, but does it really Matter?</i><br>Conor G. Bateman                      | 204 |
| <b>NEW CASE: 02-1183 <i>United States v. Patane</i></b>   |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>  | 207 |
| <i>Justices Take Case on Scope of Miranda</i><br>Charles Lane   | 219 |
| <i>Common Sense and Miranda Rights</i><br>Editorial   | 221 |
| <i>Will the High Court Revisit 'Miranda' – Again?</i><br>Tony Mauro   | 223 |
| <i>The Exclusionary Rule</i><br>Miles Clark   | 225 |
| <b>NEW CASE: 02-1371 <i>Missouri v. Seibert</i></b>   |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>  | 228 |
| <i>High Court Orders Retrial For Woman Who Wasn't Read Rights</i><br>David Lieb   | 240 |
| <i>New Clamor on Right to Silence Miranda</i><br>Andrea Siegel  | 242 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <i>Right Against Self-Discrimination – Consecutive Confessions</i><br>Harvard Law Review  | 245 |
| <b>NEW CASE:</b> 02-1019 <i>Arizona v. Gant</i>   |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>  | 249 |
| <i>Court Agrees to Look at Police Search Rules</i><br>AP Newswire   | 257 |
| <i>The Supreme Court, Criminal Procedure and Judicial Integrity</i><br>Stephen A. Saltzburg   | 258 |
| <i>Discretionary Warrantless Searches and Seizures and the Fourth<br/>Amendment: A need for Clearer Guidelines</i><br>Jennifer Ison Cooke                   | 262 |
| <b>NEW CASE:</b> 02-809 <i>Maryland v. Pringle</i>  |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>  | 266 |
| <i>High Court to Review Traffic Stop Arrests</i><br>Anne Gearan   | 276 |
| <i>Without a Warrant, Probable Cause, or Reasonable Suspicion:<br/>Is There any Meaning to the Fourth Amendment While Driving a Car?</i><br>Chris K. Visser | 277 |
| <b>NEW CASE:</b> 02-811 <i>Groh v. Ramirez</i>  |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>  | 279 |
| <i>Liability in Searches is Debated</i><br>David G. Savage  | 285 |
| <b>NEW CASE:</b> 02-473 <i>United States v. Banks</i>   |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>  | 286 |
| <i>Supreme Court to Consider Police Search</i><br>Gina Holland  | 295 |
| <i>In The Ninth We Trust</i><br>Milton Hirsch and David Oscar Markus  | 297 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <i>Why Knock? The Door Will Inevitably Open: An Analysis of<br/>People v. Stevens and the Michigan Supreme Court's Departure<br/>From Fourteenth Amendment Protection</i><br>Robin L. Gentry | 299 |
| <b>NEW CASE:</b> 02-9410 <i>Crawford v. Washington</i>   |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>   | 301 |
| <b>ALSO THIS TERM</b>  |     |
| 02-6683 <i>Castro v. United States</i><br><i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>   | 310 |
| 02-8286 <i>Banks v. Cockrell</i><br><i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>   | 310 |
| 02-964 <i>Baldwin v. Reese</i><br><i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>   | 311 |
| <b>VII. Federalism in the Rehnquist Court</b>  |     |
| <i>In This Section</i>   | 312 |
| <b>NEW CASE:</b> 02-1667 <i>Tennessee v. Lane</i>  |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>   | 313 |
| <i>Justices to Hear Case on Whether the Disabled Can Sue<br/>States on Access to Courtrooms</i><br>Linda Greenhouse  | 316 |
| <i>Don't Shield States from ADA Responsibilities</i><br>Editorial  | 318 |
| <i>State Immunity and the Americans with Disabilities Act After<br/>Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett</i><br>Ryan Gill   | 319 |
| <b>NEW CASE:</b> 02-628 <i>Frew v. Hawkins</i>   |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>   | 324 |
| <i>High Court Eyes States' Right in Lawsuits</i><br>Anne Gearan  | 334 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <i>Case Summary, 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit</i><br>Texas Lawyer  | 335 |
| <b>VIII. Business Law</b>  |     |
| <i>In This Section</i>   | 339 |
| <b>NEW CASE:</b> 02-658 <i>Alaska Dep't of Environmental Conservation</i><br><i>v. Environmental Protection Agency</i>                                       |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>   | 341 |
| <i>Federalism Arises in Clean Air Act Case; Supreme Court to</i><br><i>Decide if EPA's Authority Supersedes a State's</i><br>Lewis Goldshore and Marsha Wolf | 349 |
| <i>Alaska and the Red Dog Mine Bite Back After the EPA Tightens</i><br><i>The Leash</i><br>John P. Halfpenny   | 352 |
| <b>NEW CASE:</b> 02-626 <i>South Florida Water Management District v.</i><br><i>Miccosukee Tribe of Indians</i>  |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>   | 356 |
| <i>Court to Study Glades Pollution Case</i><br>St. Petersburg Times  | 363 |
| <i>No Permit to Pollute</i><br>Opinion   | 364 |
| <i>Supreme Court Docket Report</i><br>Miriam Nemetz and Robert L. Bronston   | 365 |
| <b>NEW CASE:</b> 02-314 <i>Engine Manufacturers Ass'n v. South Coast</i><br><i>Air Quality Management District</i>   |     |
| <i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>   | 367 |
| <i>Clean-Air Challenge to get Day in Court</i><br>David G. Savage  | 381 |
| <i>High Legal horsepower: U.S. Supreme Court to rule on</i><br><i>SCAQMD Diesel Rules</i><br>Mike Osenga   | 383 |

**NEW CASE:** 02-1290 *United States Postal Service v. Flamingo Industries (U.S.A.) Ltd.*

*Synopsis and Question Presented* 385

*Justices to Decide Postal Service Antitrust Case*  
Robert Greenberger 390

**ALSO THIS TERM**

02-1348 *Olympic Airways v. Husain*  
*Synopsis and Question Presented* 392

02-1196 *Securities and Exchange Commission v. Edwards*  
*Synopsis and Question Presented* 392

02-819 *Kontrick v. Ryan*  
*Synopsis and Question Presented* 392

**IX. Looking Ahead: Upcoming Issues in the Court**

*In This Section* 393

DIRECTION OF THE COURT 396

*High Court's Course Debated After Rulings*  
Lyle Denniston 396

*A Timeout for Conservative Agenda*  
Tony Mauro 399

*Justices Take a Turn to the Left*  
David G. Savage 403

*2 Justices Influence Felt in Last Term*  
Joan Biskupic 407

*Civil Liberties Were Term's Big Winners*  
Charles Lane 410

*At High Court, How They Rule Is a Matter of Opinion*  
David G. Savage 414

*Polls: Americans Say Court Is 'About Right'*  
Charles Lane 416

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE; <i>Newdow v. U.S. Congress</i>  | 418 |
| <i>'Under God' Underdog?</i><br>Tony Mauro  | 428 |
| <i>US Urges Supreme Court to Keep 'Under God' in Pledge,<br/>'Religious Heritage' Cited By Ashcroft in Appeal of Ruling</i><br>Lyle Denniston   | 431 |
| <i>What's the Big Deal? The Unconstitutionality of god in the Pledge<br/>Of Allegiance</i><br>John E. Thompson  | 433 |
| MEDICAL MARIJUANA; <i>Conant v. Walters</i>   | 438 |
| <i>9<sup>th</sup> Circuit Backs Doctors' Right to Discuss Marijuana</i><br>Jason Hoppin   | 447 |
| <i>White House Escalates Pot War/It Asks High Court to let Doctors be<br/>Punished</i><br>Bob Egelko  | 449 |
| <i>The Medical Necessity Defense and De Minimis Protection for<br/>A Patient Who Would Benefit from Using Marijuana for medical<br/>Purposes: A Proposal to Establish Comprehensive Protection<br/>Under Federal Drug Laws</i><br>Ronald Timothy Fletcher | 451 |
| ASSISTED SUICIDE; <i>Oregon v. Ashcroft</i>   | 457 |
| <i>Suicide law</i><br>Laurence M. Cruz  | 457 |
| <i>Clash in Court Over Oregon's Law on Suicide</i><br>Tom Gorman  | 460 |
| <i>Federal Interference in Assisted Suicide</i><br>Editorial  | 462 |
| <i>Physician-Assisted Suicide and Federalism</i><br>Brian H. Bix  | 463 |
| <i>Physician-Assisted suicide Permits Dignity in Dying;<br/>Oregon Takes on Attorney General Ashcroft</i><br>Christina E. Manuel  | 467 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| SECOND AMENDMENT: RIGHT TO BEAR ARMS;<br><i>Silveira v. Lockyer</i>                           | 473 |
| <i>S.F. Court Won't Rule on Right to Bear Arms</i><br>David Kravets                           | 480 |
| <i>Explaining Constitutional Law Publicly or, Everyman's Constitution</i><br>Philip C. Kissam | 482 |
| FILE-SHARING  | 487 |
| <i>Recording Firms Win Copyright Ruling</i><br>Jonathan Krim                                  | 487 |
| <i>SBC Unit Sues RIAA Over Push to Identify Net Music Sharers</i><br>From Associated Press    | 489 |
| PARTIAL-BIRTH ABORTION  | 490 |
| <i>Judge Blocks Va. 'Partial-Birth' Abortion Ban</i><br>Michael D. Shear                      | 490 |
| <i>House Votes to Restrict Abortions</i><br>Juliet Eilperin                                   | 492 |
| 10 COMMANDMENTS IN PUBLIC BUILDINGS;<br><i>Glassroth v. Moore</i>                             | 495 |
| <i>Ten Commandments Monument Removal Ordered</i><br>Bob Johnson                               | 503 |
| <i>Moore Vows to Fight Ruling</i><br>Todd Kleffman  | 505 |
| WAR ON TERRORISM; <i>Hamdi v. Rumsfeld</i>  | 507 |
| <i>Dissent on Detention</i><br>Editorial  | 513 |
| <i>Jailing of Hamdi Upheld As Rehearing Is Denied</i><br>Jerry Markon                         | 515 |
| <i>Padilla Kept Out of Sight, But Case is Very Visible</i><br>Stevenson Swanson               | 517 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <i>U.S. Rebuked Over Padilla</i><br>Dan Mihalopoulos                          | 520 |
| <i>War on Terror</i><br>Louis Fisher  | 523 |
| <i>Lawyers at War</i><br>Ruth Wedgwood  | 526 |
| SCHOOL PRAYER AT THE VIRGINIA MILITARY INSTITUTE;<br><i>Mellen v. Bunting</i> | 529 |
| <i>Federal Court Upholds Ban on VMI Prayers</i><br>Jerry Markon               | 529 |

#### **X. Also This Term**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 02-682 <i>Verizon Communications Inc. v. Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko LLP</i><br><i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i> | 531 |
| 02-693 <i>Lamie v. U.S. Trustee</i><br><i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>  | 531 |
| 02-1016 <i>Till v. SCS Credit Corp.</i><br><i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>  | 531 |
| 02-1405 <i>Southwestern Bell Telephone LP v. Missouri Municipal League</i><br><i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>       | 532 |
| 02-1238 <i>Nixon v. Missouri Municipal League</i><br><i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>                                | 532 |
| 02-1386 <i>Federal Communications Commission v. Missouri Municipal League</i><br><i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>    | 532 |
| 02-1389 <i>United States v. Galletti</i><br><i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>   | 533 |
| 02-1377 <i>Doe v. Chao</i><br><i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>   | 533 |
| 02-458 <i>Yates v. Hendon</i><br><i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>  | 533 |
| 02-857 <i>Household Credit Services Inc. v. Pfennig</i><br><i>Synopsis and Question Presented</i>                          | 534 |