

2002

2002-2003 Supreme Court Preview: Contents

Institute of Bill of Rights Law at the William & Mary Law School

Repository Citation

Institute of Bill of Rights Law at the William & Mary Law School, "2002-2003 Supreme Court Preview: Contents" (2002). *Supreme Court Preview*. 142.

<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview/142>

Copyright c 2002 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.

<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview>



SUPREME

COURT

PREVIEW

**What to Expect
From the New Term**

September 20-21, 2002

Sponsored by the Institute of Bill of Rights Law, College of William & Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia

2002-2003 Supreme Court Preview

INSTITUTE OF BILL OF RIGHTS LAW

THE INSTITUTE OF BILL OF RIGHTS LAW was established at William & Mary in 1982 to support research and education on the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. One of the principal missions of the Institute is to facilitate interaction between the professions of law and journalism. Through a discussion of key cases on the Supreme Court's docket at the start of each term, the annual SUPREME COURT PREVIEW provides in-depth education for journalists on the underlying issues in order to enhance press coverage of the decisions.


STAFF

NEAL DEVINS, Acting Director, Goodrich Professor of Law,
and Professor of Government

MELODY S. NICHOLS, Program Coordinator

TODD H. MULDREW AND HARRY TASHJIAN IV
Editors, 2002-2003 Supreme Court Preview

ERIC D. COOK, MARY CAROL DALY, AND YVETTE F. ZASSENBRAKER
Editorial Assistants, 2002-2003 Supreme Court Preview



COLLEGE OF WILLIAM & MARY
SCHOOL OF LAW
WILLIAMSBURG, VIRGINIA 23187-8795
(757) 221-3810 ♦ FAX (757) 221-3775
E-MAIL: ibr1@wm.edu

2002-2003 Supreme Court Preview

Table of Contents

Who's Who on the Panels	xix
Schedule of Events	xxvi
Acknowledgements	xxvii
I. MOOT COURT ARGUMENT	
<i>In This Section</i>	1
New Case: 01-1127 <i>Lockyer v Andrade</i> (featured case)	
Synopsis and Question Presented	2
New Case: 01-6978 <i>Ewing v California</i> (related case)	
Synopsis and Question Presented	10
<i>Supreme Court to Hear Three-Strikes Challenge</i> David G. Savage	14
<i>Drive to Keep Repeat Felons in Prison Gains in California</i> Jane Gross	17
<i>Crimes and Punitives</i> Evan P. Schultz	21
<i>Perhaps a Court Ruling in a 'Three Strikes' Case Will Lead to Reform of the Draconian Law</i> Erwin Chemerinsky	24
<i>The Missing Piece in the Three-Strikes Puzzle</i> The Honorable Clay M. Smith	27
<i>The Insanity of the Three Strikes and You're Out Law</i> Charles Fannan	30
<i>'Three Strikes' Works -- Don't Start Tinkering</i> Mike Reynolds	34

II. ADVOCACY BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT

<i>In This Section</i>	36
<i>The Supreme Court: How It Was, How It Is</i> William H. Rehnquist	37
<i>Lawyering for the Government: Politics, Polemics & Principle</i> Rex E. Lee	41
<i>Defending Congress</i> Seth P. Waxman	43
<i>Government Lawyering: The Solicitor General and the Interests of the United States</i> David A. Strauss	46
<i>U.S. Changes Stance in Case On Obscenity</i> Linda Greenhouse	48
<i>Revisiting A darand: Solicitor General Dravus Fire Over Affirmative Action Law</i> Tony Mauro	50
<i>The Influence of Amicus Curiae Briefs on the Supreme Court</i> Joseph D. Kearney and Thomas W. Merrill	52
<i>Friends with Agendas: Amicus Curiae Briefs May Be More Popular Than Persuasive</i> Alexander Wohl	54
<i>Effective Amicus Briefs</i> Bruce J. Ennis	57
<i>Appealing Practice</i> Tony Mauro	59
<i>High Court Bar's 'Inner Circle'</i> Marcia Coyle	65

III. THE WAR ON TERRORISM

<i>In This Section</i>	71
<i>Bush Writes Off Congress, Takes Reins in War; Law Some Question the Constitutionality of the President's Decision to Fight Terrorism on U.S. Soil His Way, without Lawmakers' Approval</i> David G. Savage	73

<i>Ashcroft Defends Anti-Terrorism Steps; Civil Liberties Groups' Attacks 'Only Aid Terrorists,' Senate Panel Told</i> Dan Eggen	77
<i>Liberty and the Pursuit of Terrorists</i> Charles Lane	80
<i>Ideas & Trends: Executive Decisions; A Pendancy for Secrecy</i> Linda Greenhouse	83
<i>Historically, Laws Bend in Time of War, Rehnquist Says; Courts: Chief Justice Contends Judges Are Inclined to Back the Government in Crises. Lincoln's Suspension of Habeas Corpus Is Cited</i> David G. Savage	86
<i>National Security State. (Antiterrorism Act of 2001, Civil Liberties, and Government Investigations of Terrorism)</i> David Cole	88
<i>Security Versus Civil Liberties</i> Richard A. Posner	92
<i>War on Terror Makes for Odd Twists in Justice System</i> Katharine Q. Seelye	95
<i>Traces of Terror: The Detainees; Lawyers Argue Over Rights of a Citizen Seized on Enemy Land</i> Katharine Q. Seelye	98
<i>Holding Pattern; Why Congress Must Stop Ashcroft's Alien Detentions</i> Jeffrey Rosen	100
<i>Ashcroft Is Right to Detain Suspects in Terror Probe</i> Griffin Bell	104
<i>Judge: U.S. May Jail Material Witnesses; N.Y. Ruling Conflicts with Decision in Prior Case in Same Federal District</i> Steve Fainaru	106
<i>Judge Orders U.S. to Release Names of 9/11 Detainees</i> Neil A. Lewis	108
<i>Military Tribunals: Swift Judgments in Dire Times</i> Joan Biskupic and Richard Willing	111
<i>U.S. Adds Legal Rights in Tribunals; New Rules Also Allow Leeway on Evidence</i> John Mintz	116

<i>Having Their Day in (a Military) Court</i> Robert H. Bork	119
<i>Justice Can't Be Done in Secret: Why Public and Press Have a Right to Witness Proceedings of Military Tribunals</i> Edward J. Klaris	122
<i>Supreme Court Blocks Limit on Secret Hearings</i> Lyle Denniston	127
<i>Ruling Favors Limited Access to 9/11 Data</i> Benjamin Weiser	129
IV. FIRST AMENDMENT	
<i>In This Section</i>	131
New Case: 01-1107 <i>Virginia v Black</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	133
<i>Supreme Court Takes Up Virginia Cross-Burning Case; Justices to Decide If Practice Is Free Speech or Criminal</i> Charles Lane	142
<i>Klansman Guilty of Burning A Cross; All-White Jury Upholds Va. Law; Defense to Appeal</i> Donald P. Baker	144
<i>Warner Signs Bill Banning Cross-Burning Intimidation</i> Christina Nuckols	146
<i>Why It Was Right to Strike Down the Cross-Burning Law</i> Kevin E. Martingayle	147
<i>Why Striking Down the Cross-Burning Law Was Wrong</i> Scott Vachris and Afshin Farashahi	149
Looking Ahead: Child Internet Protection Act; <i>American Library Ass'n v United States</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	150
<i>Law Limiting Internet in Libraries Challenged</i> John Schwartz	159

<i>US Court Overturns Internet Smut Law Ruling in Library Case is 3rd Loss for Congress</i> Robert O'Harrow Jr.	161
<i>Internet Can Be a Safe Place for Kids and for Free Speech</i> Amitai Etzioni	163
<i>No Easy Fixes Are Seen To Curb Sex-Site Access</i> John Schwartz	165
<i>The Tricky Task of Shelving the Web</i> John Schwartz	167
Looking Ahead: Bipartisan Campaign Finance Reform Act of 2002	
<i>Campaign Reform Bill Passes Congress; Bush Says He'll Sign ; Politics: The Landmark Law Is the First Since Watergate to Seriously Curtail the Huge Gifts of Money Being Pumped into National Politics</i> Nick Anderson	171
<i>Starr Will Help Fight Finance Reform; Politics: The Former Independent Counsel Will Help Lead a Senator's Suit Against the Expected Law The Move Signals the Next Phase in a Long Battle</i> Nick Anderson	175
<i>Free Speech and Campaign Reform Don't Conflict</i> Seth P. Waxman	178
<i>Paying Up Is Speaking Up</i> Kathleen M. Sullivan	180
<i>McCain-Feingold: No Cause for Alarm</i> Norman Ornstein	182
<i>McCain-Feingold's Fatal Flaws</i> Michael Kelly	184
<i>Justices Back Outlay Limits on Campaigns; Court: Decision Upholds Law of Post-Watergate Spending Reforms of '70s. Idea of Free-speech Right to Raise Funds Is Rejected</i> David G. Savage	186
<i>Big Changes Loom, Some Unknowable; Bill to Transform Fundraising Tactics</i> Thomas B. Edsall	189
<i>Strong Reaction to Soft Money Changes in Wording Irks Campaign Bill Sponsors</i> Bret Ladine	192

V. BUSINESS

<i>In This Section</i>	194
New Case: 01-618 <i>Eldred v Ashcroft</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	197
<i>'Limitless' Copyright Case Faces High Court Review</i> David G. Savage	205
<i>Copyright Crusader</i> Daren Fonda	208
<i>Supreme Court to Review Copyright Term Extensions</i> E-Business Law Bulletin	212
<i>In Step with the World</i> Edward Samuels	214
<i>Breyer Seen as Key Justice on Copyright Issue</i> Victoria Slind-Flor	216
<i>Studios May Have the Most to Lose Courts: The Ownership of Early Depictions of Favorite Characters and Music Could be at Stake</i> Henry Weinstein, Ann W. O'Neill, and Meg James	219
<i>The Mouse That Ate the Public Domain: Disney, the Copyright Term Extension Act, and Eldred v. Ashcroft</i> Chris Sprigman	222
New Cases: 01-653 <i>Federal Communications Commission v NextWare Personal Communications</i> ; 01-657 <i>Arctic Slope Regional Corp. v NextWare Personal Communications</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	227
<i>Justices Agree to Resolve Wireless Dispute</i> Tony Mauro	235
<i>NextWare's Wireless Rights to Get High Court Scrutiny</i> Greg Stohr and Jonathan Cox	237
<i>The Price of FCC Integrity: \$15 Billion</i> Harold Furchtgott-Roth	240

<i>New Kids on the Block ; PCS Challenges Cellular Duopoly</i> Kristen Beckman	242
New Case: 01-1243 <i>Borden Ranch Partnership v U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	245
<i>Farm Case Wins High Court Review</i> Denny Walsh	252
<i>Soil Case Could Cut Wide Swath</i> Michael Kirkland	254
New Case: 01-0963 <i>Norfolk & Western Railway Co v Ayers</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	256
<i>The Real-life Tragedy of the Asbestos Theatre</i> Amity Shales	257
<i>Asbestos Is Gold For Trial Lawyers</i> Phyllis Schlafly	259
New Case: 01-1325 <i>Washington Legal Foundation v Legal Foundation of Washington</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	261
<i>Critics of Mandatory IOLTA Have Their Day</i> The National Law Journal	268
<i>A Matter of Principle</i> George M. Kraw	269
<i>IOLTA and the Takings Clause Scrooges</i> Connecticut Law Tribune	271
New Case: 01-1289 <i>State Farm v Campbell</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	273
<i>Top Court to Review Punitive Damages</i> Steven Brostoff	274
<i>State Farm to Pay \$146 Mln Award by Utah High Court</i> William McQuillen	276

<i>Jury Orders State Farm to Pay \$145 Million Insurer Ordered to Pay \$145 Million</i> Sheila R. McCann	277
VI. CIVIL RIGHTS	
<i>In This Section</i>	279
New Cases: 01-1118 <i>Scheidler v NOW</i> ; 01-1119 <i>Operation Rescue v NOW</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	282
<i>Abortion Clinic Protest Rules Face Review, Supreme Court: Justices Will Decide Whether a Law Targeting Mobsters Can Apply to Actions Meant to Halt Providers</i> David G. Savage	289
<i>And Liberty for Some; Switching Sides on Free Speech</i> Neil A. Lewis	291
<i>Behavior Modification; Illegal Conduct No Longer Tolerates</i> Gina Raith and Jennifer Koehler	294
<i>Antiabortion Racketeers?</i> Nat Hentoff	296
New Case: 01-1437 <i>Branch v Smith</i> ; 01-1596 <i>Smith v Branch</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	298
<i>Supreme Court to Rule on Drawing Congress Boundaries</i> Deborah McGregor	304
<i>Fight Over Political Map Centers on Race</i> David E. Rosenbaum	305
<i>Race Takes Back Seat as States Prepare to Redistrict</i> Robert Pear	308
<i>Legislatures Suit Up for Battle over Boundaries of Districts; Census Data Will Mean New Maps, with Lawmakers' Political Careers at Stake</i> Karen Branch-Brioso	311
New Case: 01-1067 <i>United States v White Mountain Apache Tribe</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	314

New Case: 01-1375 <i>United States v Navajo Nation</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	320
<i>Supreme Court Agrees to Consider Damage Awards to Navajo Nation</i> Associated Press	325
<i>Court to Rule on Indian Buildings' Repair</i> Associated Press	326
<i>Bush Wants Navajo Ruling Reversed</i> truthout	327
<i>Navajo Lawsuits Contend U.S. Government Failed the Tribe in Mining Royalty Deals</i> Barry Meier	329
<i>Fort Apache History</i> White Mountain Apache Tribe	333
New Case: 01-1120 <i>Meyer v Holley</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	335
<i>Supreme Court to Hear Real Estate Discrimination Case; Housing: Jurists Will Consider Whether a Firm's Owner May Be Liable for an Agent's Bias</i> David G. Savage and Daryl Strickland	341
New Case: 01-7662: <i>Miller-El, Thomas J. v Cokerell, Dir. TX DCJ</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	342
<i>Execution Is Stayed in a Case With Race Issues</i> Linda Greenhouse	348
<i>In Dallas, Dismissal of Black Jurors Leads to Appeal by Death Row Inmate</i> Sara Rimer	350
Looking Ahead: Affirmative Action; <i>Gutter v Bollinger</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	353
<i>Court Says Law School May Consider Race in Admissions</i> Jacques Steinberg	361
<i>Rolling Bakke</i> Bob Zelnick	363

<i>Learning From Diversity</i> Jeffrey S. Lehman	365
<i>Suit Tests Race's Role in College Admissions</i> Dennis Cauchon	367
<i>Discrimination, Not Diversity: At Michigan and Other Universities, 'Affirmative Action' Is Just Another Phrase for Racism</i> Roger Clegg	370
<i>Inclusive America, Under Attack</i> Gerald R. Ford	373
<i>Easing the Spring: Strict Scrutiny and Affirmative Action After the Redistricting Cases</i> Pamela S. Karlan	375
VII. FEDERALISM	
<i>In This Section</i>	379
New Case: 01-1368 <i>Nevada Dept. of Human Resources v Hibbs</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	381
<i>The Supreme Court: Federalism; Justices to Hear a Major State-Immunity Case</i> Linda Greenhouse	387
<i>Federalism and the Supreme Court</i> David G. Savage	388
<i>The Rehnquist Court. (Cases, Controversy, and the Court)</i> Erwin Chemerinsky	395
<i>Federalism's Benchmarks</i> Terry Eastland	400
<i>Will the Court Reassert National Authority?</i> Linda Greenhouse	402
<i>Stephen Breyer Restrains Himself: Modest Proposal</i> Jeffrey Rosen	405
New Case: 01-0706 <i>Sprietsma v Mercury Marine</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	408

<i>Boat Propeller Lawsuits to be Considered by U.S. Supreme Court</i> Greg Stohr	413
<i>The Supreme Court Term That Was and the One That Will Be</i> Michael S. Greve	415
<i>Federal Boating Law Kills State Suit over Accident</i> Daniel C. Vock	419
<i>Case Summary: Justice Department, A TLA Sxy Boat Motor Claim Not Preempted</i> Products Liability Litigation Reporter	421
New Case: 01-1229 <i>Pierce County v Guillen</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	423
<i>Supreme Court to Clarify Privacy Rights in Wash State Case</i> Gina Holland	431
<i>La. Appealing Ruling Ordering Disclosure in Road Hazard Suits</i> Bruce Schultz	433
New Case: 00-1471 <i>Kentucky Assoc. of Health Plans, Inc. v Miller</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	436
<i>ERISA: Health Benefit Plans Discriminating Against Providers</i> Mary A. Zendran	444
<i>Justices Accept Case on Maine's Drug Discount Program</i> Linda Greenhouse	446
<i>Court Backs Patient Appeals in Battle Over HMO Coverage</i> Sarah Lueck, Robert S. Greenberger and Rhonda Rundle	448
New Case: 01-1420 <i>Washington Dept. of Social and Health Services v Keffeler</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	451
<i>Orphan Takes DSHS to Task: Supreme Court Agrees to Review State's Claim to Social Security Payments</i> Jonathan Martin	457
<i>Supreme Court to Consider System for Taking Foster Childrens' Benefits</i> Gina Holland	460

New Case: 01-0188 *Pharmaceutical Research & Manufacturers of America v Concarmon*

Synopsis and Question Presented 462

High Court to Rule on Maine Curbs on Drug Cost
Lyle Denniston 471

Court Backs Maine Drug Price Curbs; Ruling Would Allow Controls If Pharmaceutical Firms Don't Give Discounts
Ceci Connolly 474

The Election and Why It Counts, A Special Report: Prescriptions Drugs, More to Issue than Meets Eye, Proposals Offer Little for Poor or Uninsured Who Aren't Elderly
Christian Murray 476

VIII. CRIMINAL LAW & PROCEDURE

In This Section 480

New Case: 01-1444 *Chavez v Martinez*

Synopsis and Question Presented 482

Justices Take Up Police Interrogation Case; Law The Supreme Court Will Consider Whether an Oxnard Officer Had the Right to Pressure a Badly Injured Man for a Statement
David G. Savage and Tracy Wilson 487

New Case: 01-1231 *Connecticut Dept. of Public Safety v Doe*

Synopsis and Question Presented 489

New Case: 01-729 *Godfrey v Doe*

Synopsis and Question Presented 498

Megan's Laws to Undergo Scrutiny
Joan Biskupic 504

States Push for Sex Offender Laws to Apply Retroactively
Joan Biskupic 506

Sloppy 'Megan's Laws' Hinder Goal of Boosting Public Safety
USA Today 508

<i>States Search for Fairness in Implementing Megan's Law</i> Dale Russakoff	510
<i>Clinton Signs Sex Offender Law</i> Lawrence L. Knutson	513
<i>Whitman Approves Stringent Restrictions on Sex Criminals</i> Joseph F. Sullivan	515
New Case: 01-9094 <i>Abdur'Rahman v Bell</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	518
<i>Before the High Court: Abdur'Rahman Case Could Clarify Issue of Effective Counsel for Poor Defendants</i> The Knoxville News-Sentinel	525
<i>Life of Violence, Abuse Set to End Early Wednesday</i> Amber McDowell	526
<i>Abu-Ali's Date with Death Called 'Utter Failure' of Entire System</i> David Waters	529
<i>The Changing Debate Over the Death Penalty</i> Stuart Banner	530
<i>Shouldn't We, the People, Be Heard More Often by This High Court?</i> Akhil Reed Amar	534
<i>Judge Says Executions Violate Constitution</i> Charles Lane	535
New Case: 01-1184 <i>United States v Recio</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	537
<i>Court Asked To Define Limits of Conspiracy</i> Michael Kirkland	542
<i>Court to Hear Case Involving Drug Smuggling and Terrorism</i> Gina Holland	544
IX. LOOKING AHEAD: UPCOMING ISSUES FOR THE COURT	
<i>In This Section</i>	546
Looking Ahead: Oregon Death with Dignity Act; <i>Oregon v Ashcroft</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	550

<i>Agency Won't Back Off Assisted Suicide Ruling</i> Los Angeles Times	554
<i>Suicide Law Upheld.</i> <i>The Ruling: A Judge Decides Ashcroft Lacks Authority to Decide Oregon's Law</i> Don Colburn	555
<i>A Chronology</i> The Oregonian	558
<i>Ashcroft Attacks Oregon's Suicide Law</i> Josh Meyer and Kim Murphy	559
<i>Move by U.S. on Suicide Law Draws Suit in Oregon</i> Sam Howe Verhovek	562
Looking Ahead: The Second Amendment; <i>Haney v United States</i>	
Synopsis	565
Looking Ahead: The Second Amendment; <i>United States v Emerson</i>	
Synopsis	570
<i>Supreme Court Sidesteps Gun-control Cases, Lower Courts to Weigh Personal Right to Bear Arms</i> Lyle Denniston	578
<i>Court Says That Individuals Have a Right to Own Guns; Law The Appellate Ruling Holds That the 2nd Amendment Goes Beyond the Issue of State Militias</i> David G. Savage	580
<i>U.S., in a Shift, Tells Justices Citizens Have a Right to Guns</i> Linda Greenhouse	583
<i>Revised View of 2nd Amendment Is Cited as Defense in Gun Cases</i> Adam Liptak	586
<i>Ashcroft's Assault on Gun Laws</i> Senators Edward M. Kennedy and Charles E. Schumer	589
<i>Word for Word: The Second Amendment Debate;</i> <i>To Bear or Not to Bear: It Depends on How You Read History</i> William Glaberson	591
<i>Dueling Scholars Join Fray Over a Constitutional Challenge to Gun Control Laws</i> William Glaberson	594

<i>Liberals Have Second Thoughts on the Second Amendment</i> Collin Levey	597
Looking Ahead: School Vouchers; <i>Dawey v Locke</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	600
<i>Another Surprise Church-State Ruling from the 9th Circuit; Court Strives for Neutrality In Washington State Case</i> The News Tribune	604
<i>In States, Hurdles Loom</i> Laurie Goodstein	605
<i>School Choice, Not an Echo: After the Supreme Court's Decision, the Future of the Movement</i> John J. Miller	607
Looking Ahead: Pledge of Allegiance; <i>Newdow v United States</i>	
Synopsis	611
<i>U.S. Court Votes to Bar Pledge of Allegiance; Use of 'God' Called Unconstitutional</i> Charles Lane	618
<i>'Under God' Iconoclast Looks to Next Targets</i> Evelyn Nieves	621
<i>Court That Ruled on Pledge Often Runs Afoul of Justices</i> Adam Liptak	624
<i>Court Statistics</i> John T. Noonan Jr	626
Looking Ahead: Executive Privilege	
<i>GAO Goes to Court to Get Cheney Data; Disclosure: It's the First Time the Watchdog Agency Has Sued the Executive Branch. White House Vows a Legal Battle</i> David G. Savage	627
<i>White House Told to Save Records</i> Stephen Labaton & Don Van Natta Jr.	630
<i>Cheney Should Stop Stalling</i> John W. Dean	633
<i>Cheney Is Right to Fight the GAO</i> Douglas W. Kmiec	635

<i>Judge Orders Cheney Panel's Files Released; Courts: Ruling Opens a New Path to Details of Secret U.S. Energy Policy Meetings That the Vice President Had Sought to Suppress</i> Edmund Sanders	638
<i>White House Subpoenaed Over Contacts with Enron; Inquiry: The Bush Team Defends Its Staff Actions and Provides the Senate Panel with Information about Letters, Phone Calls and Meetings</i> Richard Simon	640
<i>U.S. Judge Slams Administration; Says White House Defines too Broadly What Advice Can Be Kept Confidential</i> The Associated Press	642
Looking Ahead: The Nuremberg Files; <i>Planned Parenthood v. ACLA</i>	
Synopsis	643
<i>Abortion Foes Are Ruled a Threat; Court: 'Wanted' Posters Labeling Doctors 'Baby Butchers' Are Not Protected by the 1st Amendment, U.S. Appellate Judges Find</i> Henry Weinstein	651
<i>43 in Congress Ask Court to Revisit Clinic Threat Issue; Abortion: They Say a Web Site and Posters Calling Doctors Butchers ' Aren't Protected Free Speech</i> Henry Weinstein	654
<i>Free Speech Is Nothing to Fear</i> Eugene Volokh	657
<i>A Civil Action Becoming Doctors' Defense Weapon; Abortion: Providers Are Turning to the Courts for Relief. Current Case Raises Internet 1st Amendment Issues</i> Kim Murphy	659
Looking Ahead: Commercial Speech; <i>Kasky v. Nike</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	662
<i>Boardrooms Fret over Nike Ruling: Will U.S. Supreme Court Hear the Appeal?</i> Gary Young	667
<i>Beware Clever Metaphors! Eliminate Humor! California Supreme Court Opinion Tells Businesses: Anything You Say Can and Will Be Used Against You!</i> Thomas H. Clarke, Jr.	670
<i>Just Sue It</i> Corbett Miller	672
<i>Gagged by the Left</i> George Will	676

X. MISCELLANEOUS

In This Section 678

Also This Term: Other Cases for the 2002-2003 Term

01-188 *Pharmaceutical Research v. Conannon* 680

01-270 *Yellow Transportation, Inc. v. Michigan* 680

01-593 *Dole Food Co. v. Patrickson*; 01-594 *Dead Sea Co. v. Patrickson* 680

01-704 *United States v. Bean* 681

01-705 *Barnhart, Social Security Admin. Commissioner v. Peabody Coal Co.* 681

01-715 *Holland v. Bellaire Corp.*

01-757 *Syngenta Crop Protection Inc. v. Henson* 681

01-800 *Housam v. Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc.* 682

01-896 *Ford Motor Co. v. McCauley* 682

01-1015 *Moseley v. V. Secret Catalogue, Inc.* 682

01-1209 *Boeing Co. v. United States*; 01-1382 *United States v. Boeing Sales Corp.* 683

01-1269 *Cuyahoga Falls v. Buckeye Community Hope* 683

01-1418 *Archer v. Warner* 684

01-1491 *Demore v. Kim* 684

01-1500 *Clay v. United States* 685

01-1572 *Cook County v. United States* 685

01-7574 *Sattazahn v. Pennsylvania* 685

Justice Byron White

Byron R. White, Longtime Justice And a Football Legend, Dies at 84
Linda Greenhouse 686

Court's Unanimous View on White
Legal Times 694

<i>Sports of The Times; Justice Byron White: A Friend and a Mentor</i> Ira Berkow	696
<i>'So Much for History'</i> <i>Compassion and Humor Formed the Byron White the Public Didn't See</i> Dennis J. Hutchinson	698
Reflections at the End of the 2001-2002 Term	
<i>Retirement Rumors Swirl Around 3 Senior Justices</i> Mark Helm	702
<i>Judicial Intent; The Competing Visions of the Role of the Court</i> Linda Greenhouse	704
<i>Rehnquist</i> Joan Biskupic	707
<i>Clarence Thomas After Ten Years: Some Reflections</i> Stephen J. Wermeil	711