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SO YOU THINK A WOMAN CAN'T CARRY OUT A SUICIDE BOMBING? TERRORISM, HOMELAND SECURITY, AND GENDER PROFILING: LEGAL DISCRIMINATION FOR NATIONAL SECURITY

INTRODUCTION

I mean, maybe one day we will live in a more optimum world where terrorists come in every color of the rainbow. But the truth is, now they don't. I mean, the people who are trying to get us are young Muslim men, period.1

Racial and ethnic-based profiling has a history in the United States,2 most notably occurring against the African-American community.3 In the Post-Reconstruction South, white groups kept watch over the newly “freed” blacks through Black Codes, Vagrancy Laws, and eventually Jim Crow statutes that “legitimized” their discriminatory actions.4 In the mid-nineteenth to early twentieth centuries, the police persecution of the Irish inspired the term “paddy wagon,” due to the number of raids and mass arrests of members of the group.5 In modern times the issue of racial profiling remains a pressing concern within minority groups, but today the focus has turned to police actions and inactions.6 It is a prevailing belief that most criminal acts, excluding the “intellectual” crimes of CEOs involved in the recent Enron and Martha Stewart fiascos, are typically committed by the poorest, darkest, and newest members of American society.7

By the mid-1990s society treated racial profiling by law enforcement as the great taboo.8 In 2000 eighty percent of Americans

4. See Coke, supra note 2, at 91.
5. Id.
7. Coke, supra note 2, at 91.
8. New York Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, supra note 6, at 1.
surveyed in a Gallup poll stated that they had not only heard of the act of profiling but believed the practice should be stopped.9 Between January 1999 and September 2001, thirteen states had moved to pass legislation that banned racial profiling or required police departments to collect data on the act.10 Yet, in the wake of the September 11 terrorist attacks, the consensus of profiling in the nation changed.11 All nineteen of the hijackers were Arabic men.12 In no less than "a month [after] the attack, surveys showed that a majority of Americans favored more intensive security checks for Arab and Middle Eastern people."13 Clearly, however, the profiling had to do with gender as well as race. Gender was the crux basis of the new terrorist profile because all of the hijackers were men;14 historically the gender of the members of such fundamentalist Islamic groups are male.15

This note will investigate the correlations, consequences, and effects of Department of Justice (DOJ) and Department of Homeland Security (DHS) programs, as well as the USA PATRIOT Act's allowances of race-based criminal profiling and its preponderant effect on minority men. Part I focuses on criminal law, the USA PATRIOT Act, and the elements of suicide terrorism, which have become the catalyst for requiring increased security in the United States. Part II examines profiling, providing explanations of criminal profiling, racial profiling and its underlying elements of gender, and the history of such law enforcement practices. That section further discusses the creation and conception of the Department of Homeland Security after September 11 and reviews the two major programs created by the DOJ and DHS, furthered by the USA PATRIOT Act, which had a profound and preponderant effect on minority men. Finally, Part III examines whether gender profiling, specifically the exclusion of women as terrorist suspects, is the best strategy for America to successfully combat terrorism. This note will demonstrate that through traditional profiling techniques, as well as non-traditional profiling, the DOJ and the DHS, as well as provisions in the USA PATRIOT Act, are targeting minority men. The gender bias, in addition to the assumptions concerning the racial profile of terrorists, will undoubtedly have a negative impact on the "War on Terror."16 Unless the

9. Id.
10. Coke, supra note 2, at 94.
11. Id.
12. Id.
13. Id.
14. Id.
16. The Bush Administration has referred to American defense policy in regards to acts of terrorism or potential terrorist threats as "the War on Terror." See, e.g., NATIONAL
government changes its position on the proper role of law investigation and enforcement, the terrorists may well continue to successfully complete their agendas.

I. CRIMINAL LAW AND TERRORISM: THE BASICS

To understand how the USA PATRIOT Act\textsuperscript{17} is such a unique and powerful piece of legislation, this note will first present a background of general federal criminal law to demonstrate how the USA PATRIOT Act trumps traditional criminal law\textsuperscript{18} and overrides precedents set by the Supreme Court for constitutional policing powers and conduct.\textsuperscript{19}

A. Search and Seizure: Warrants and Warrantless Searches

The Fourth Amendment protects people and their possessions against "unreasonable searches and seizures."\textsuperscript{20} Further, the amendment protects against the issuing of warrants without the prerequisite of probable cause.\textsuperscript{21} The Warrant Clause is engrossed in the Fourth Amendment.\textsuperscript{22} It requires expressly that "no Warrants shall issue but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized."\textsuperscript{23} In \textit{U.S. v. Verdugo-Urquidez}, the Court stated that "the purpose of the Fourth Amendment was to protect the people of the United States against arbitrary action by their own Government."\textsuperscript{24}

The Supreme Court typically has shown a preference for police officers to conduct searches with warrants.\textsuperscript{25} The Court has repeatedly enforced its preference for searches that take place only after law enforcement has properly obtained warrants and has stressed that warrantless searches should be limited.\textsuperscript{26} In \textit{Ornelas v. United States}...
the Court stated that the "Fourth Amendment demonstrates a 'strong preference for searches conducted pursuant to a warrant.'"\(^{27}\) The Court has directly stated that the purpose of the warrant requirement is to protect privacy rights by assuring citizens that the intrusions of searches and seizures are not random or arbitrary acts by law enforcement but are authorized and approved by law, as well as being narrowly limited in scope.\(^{28}\)

**B. Probable Cause**

The Fourth Amendment expressly restricts the issuing of a warrant without "probable cause."\(^{29}\) Black's Law Dictionary has defined probable cause as: "A reasonable ground to suspect that a person has committed or is committing a crime or that a place contains specific items connected with a crime."\(^{30}\) The text goes on to specify that to establish probable cause specifically under the Fourth Amendment, suspicion must amount to more than a bare suspicion but does not have to be enough evidence to convict a suspect.\(^{31}\) Law enforcement must be able to show probable cause before a court will issue an arrest warrant or a search warrant.\(^{32}\) Furthermore,

> the Supreme Court has concluded that probable cause requires a showing by the Government of "a fair probability" on each of the points that the prosecution must establish in order for a warrant to issue.

To demonstrate probable cause sufficient to obtain an arrest warrant, the Government must establish a fair probability that a crime has been committed *and* that the person to be arrested committed the crime.\(^{33}\)

By obtaining a search warrant, the Government demonstrates that it has cause to believe that the specified items sought are located in the space noted on the warrant and there is a fair probability that the specified items are evidence of a crime.\(^{34}\)

\(^{27}\) *Id.* (citing Ornelas v. U.S., 517 U.S. 690, 699 (1996)).

\(^{28}\) *Id.* at 63 (citing Skinner v. Railway Labor Executives Ass'n, 489 U.S. 602, 621-22 (1989)).

\(^{29}\) WEAVER ET AL., *supra* note 22, at 63.

\(^{30}\) BLA**CK'S LAW DICTIONARY** 1239 (8th ed. 2004).

\(^{31}\) *Id.*

\(^{32}\) *Id.*

\(^{33}\) WEAVER ET AL., *supra* note 22, at 63; *see also* Steagald v. U.S., 451 U.S. 204, 213 (1981) (explaining the difference between probable cause requirements for arrest and search warrants.)

A good faith belief in the existence of probable cause is not necessarily enough to pass constitutional muster. In *Beck v. State of Ohio* the Court stated that "[i]f subjective good faith alone were the test, the protections of the Fourth Amendment would evaporate, and the people would be 'secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects,' only in the discretion of the police." Search warrants and probable cause play a large factor in the War on Terror. As this note will examine in further detail below, the Government has utilized group detainment of Muslim and Arab men as a far-reaching net to "catch" potential terrorists, circumventing the requirements of probable cause.

C. Absence of Race and Gender in Supreme Court Holdings

There is a clear absence of racial and gender-based discussions in Supreme Court holdings. Professor Charles Ogletree has noted that "even in situations where the United States Supreme Court has determined police practices towards racial minorities to be unconstitutional . . . little attention has been focused on the race of the suspect." Tracey Maclin points out that the Court simply might not be interested in a debate about the influence of race on the criminal justice system.

A clear example of the Court's lack of concern about the effects of race and gender on criminal law would be the holding in *Coker v. Georgia*. The Supreme Court granted Coker a writ of certiorari to decide if death penalty applications for rape crimes violated the Eighth Amendment. The Court determined that the application of death sentences to a rape perpetrator violates the Eighth Amendment's ban against cruel and unusual punishment because the perpetrator did not take the victim's life. Nowhere in the majority decision did the Court address race or gender. The decision may indicate that "[t]he Court was either unconcerned or not impressed by the fact, that of 455 men executed [between 1930-1967] for rape, 405 (90%) were [black]." This lack of acknowledgment occurred despite the fact that

36. Id.
38. Id. at 262 n.78.
39. Id.
40. Id. at 263 n.82 (citing Coker v. Georgia, 433 U.S. 584, 584 (1977)).
42. Maclin, *supra* note 37, at 263.
43. Coker, 433 U.S. at 584.
44. Maclin, *supra* note 37, at 263 n.82 (citing JACK GREENBURG, JUDICIAL PROCESSES AND SOCIAL CHANGE: CONSTITUTIONAL LITIGATION 424 (1977)).
in *McCleskey v. Kemp* the Court clearly discussed that the historical roots of Georgia's death penalty law for rape grew from a "race conscious" criminal justice system.⁴⁵ The race and gender consciousness of Georgia's system was even codified during the Civil War.⁴⁶ The penal code

established that the rape of a free white female by a black [man] "shall be" punishable by death. However, rape by anyone else of a free white female was punishable by a prison term not less than 2 nor more than 20 years. The rape of blacks was punishable "by fine and imprisonment, at the discretion of the court."⁴⁷

The Court has attempted to be sympathetic towards concerns of mistrust that many minorities hold against law enforcement, however many of its rulings in the area of police investigative practices have inadequately addressed the issue of race.⁴⁸ For example, Maclin points out that "many of the confession cases decided before the landmark case of *Miranda v. Arizona* involved black defendants who claimed that their confessions were the result of brutal and coercive police tactics."⁴⁹

### D. Reasonable Person Standard of Review

The Court established the so-called "reasonable person" standard in *Michigan v. Chesternut.*⁵⁰ The Court declared that a person was seized under the Fourth Amendment "only if, in view of all the circumstances surrounding the incident, a reasonable person would have believed that he was not free to leave."⁵¹ The Court decided two key cases in 1991 that involved police-citizen encounters that have affected the "reasonable man" standard. In *California v. Hodari D.*⁵² and *Florida v. Bostick*⁵³ the Court examined whether the police had seized, through Fourth Amendment standards, a confronted individual.⁵⁴ The *Hodari* case concerned "a police chase and head-on confrontation of a person who ran at the sight of a police patrol car."⁵⁵ The Court held that a show of police authority directed at an individual did

---

not constitute a seizure under the Fourth Amendment, barring that the individual obeys and/or is placed in physical custody. Hodari affected the reasonable person standard because the Court held that the standard "stated only a partial declaration of what is required to trigger Fourth Amendment protection." The Court stated that the reasonable person test "states a necessary, but not a sufficient, condition for seizure."

In Bostick, two officers boarded a bus traveling from Miami to Atlanta on a routine drug search. The local police randomly searched individuals on bus trips, and asked to search their luggage as a way to continuously fight drug trafficking. The officers randomly picked the appellant-defendant to search. They checked the defendant's tickets and identification, then after finding nothing out of the ordinary they explained their purpose as narcotics officers and requested to search his luggage. There is a dispute on whether the defendant objected, but it is clear that the officers did notify the defendant that he had the right to refuse the search. The Court held that the Fourth Amendment did not automatically bar random bus searches, and the Florida ruling that rendered all bus searches unconstitutional was incorrect. So was Bostick seized under the Fourth Amendment if he felt unable to leave? Previously the law stated that a person was seized if he reasonably felt that he was not "free to leave" the encounter with law enforcement. Yet in Bostick, the Court held that although the defendant did not feel free to leave, this fact was not a deciding factor, as he was already on the bus and had no desire to leave. The Court reasoned that it was not the officer's fault that Bostick was on the bus and not in a place where he would feel able to come and go as he pleased. The dispositive issue was whether the police conduct had been deemed to be coercive. The Court then stated that the proper test concerning the Fourth Amendment was "whether a reasonable person would feel free to decline the officer's requests or otherwise terminate the encounter."

56. Hodari, 499 U.S. at 626.
57. Maclin, supra note 37, at 246.
58. Id. at 637.
60. Id.
61. Id. at 431.
62. Id. at 431-32.
63. Id. at 432 (deciding the facts in light most favorable to the State).
64. Maclin, supra note 37, at 247.
65. Id.
66. Id.
68. Id.
69. Id.
There are, thus, clearly problems with the "reasonable person" standard. The Court is assuming that there is "an average, hypothetical, reasonable person out there to serve as a model for deciding Fourth Amendment cases. . . . The Court has said that a reasonable person will not feel coerced when federal drug agents accost her in an airport and ask to see her identification and airline ticket." 70 This determination is true even if that person approached does not realize that she can deny the request. 71 The Court has held that there is no issue when agents come to question workers about their citizenship, even if other agents are posted at all exits so there is no way of leaving the area without being confronted. 72 The Court assumes that any person in that situation would naturally feel free to leave. 73 Further, as with the case in Michigan v. Chesternut, 74 the Court has held that a person being chased down a street by a carload of law enforcement would not be seized. 75

Tracey Maclin rightfully argues that these assumptions by the Court are unrealistic. 76 Most people would not feel free to walk away from any police confrontation, let alone ones in airports or guarded factories. 77 Maclin cites the difference in dynamics of the relationship between law enforcement and black males as a relevant example of how the "reasonable person" standard is inadequate, and as a visual example of gender and racial-based profiling. 78 Maclin asserts that the Court's view of the "reasonable man" standard is wrong because the definition is "out of touch" with reality, the reality being that most black men do not trust law enforcement officers to respect their constitutional rights. 79 History has shown that law enforcement officers are not afraid to "teach a lesson to any black male who, even in the slightest way challenges [their] authority." 80 In Boston during the late 1980s, the city was faced with the issue of what happens when the police are encouraged to ignore the constitutional rights of black men. 81 Boston was faced with a shooting of a white couple, and the police department created a mission to go after teenaged gangs. 82 A search-on-sight policy was instituted, which was later ruled unconstitutional. 83

70. Id. at 248.
71. Id. at 248-49
72. Id. at 249.
73. Id.
75. Maclin, supra note 37, at 249.
76. Id.
77. Id.
78. Id. at 250.
79. Id. at 254.
80. Id. at 256.
81. Id. at 257.
82. Id.
83. Id. at 257 n.58.
The policy was continuously backed by high-ranking police officials, however, even after the ruling of unconstitutionality. One judge stated the tactics that black males were subjected to at that time from the Boston Police department was "martial law." Under these circumstances, it is clear that any black male in Boston at the time would not have felt safe to refuse any requests of a law enforcement officer. The issues facing the reasonable person standard as applied to black men could easily be transferred to any minority men targeted by law enforcement. This note will critique the reasonable person standard in regards to law enforcement policies targeting Muslim and Arab men.

E. The USA PATRIOT Act and Other Companion Legislation

The lesson of the Palmer Raids is that the history of American immigration policy is often written in times of national emergency. It is a history not written with "the favorable judgment of experience," but instead out of panic and fear. Al Qaeda is not the first international terrorist movement to threaten American institutions and strike fear in Americans; John Ashcroft is not the first Attorney General to make immigrants the focus of his war on terrorism.

The allowances of the USA PATRIOT Act have been compared to the COINTELPRO program, or "Counterintelligence Program" of the 1950s, 60s, and 70s. COINTELPRO unfairly targeted the rights of many citizens and groups, such as the Black Panther Party, which were engaged in legal political activity. The FBI wished to silence so-called "radical" political opposition inside of the United States. The public discovered the existence of COINTELPRO in March of 1971 when secret files were removed from the Bureau's offices and

84. Id. at 257 n.58.
85. Id. at 257.
86. Id. at 257 n.58.
90. GLICK, supra note 88, at 9-10.
released to the media. The FBI revealed six counterintelligence programs: Communist Party-USA (1956-71); "Groups Seeking Independence for Puerto Rico" (1960-71); Socialist Workers Party (1961-71); "White Hate Groups" (1964-71); "Black Nationalist Hate Groups" (1967-71); and "New Left" (1968-71).

Multiple groups were victims or beneficiaries of the covert operation. The FBI revealed six counterintelligence programs: Communist Party-USA (1956-71); "Groups Seeking Independence for Puerto Rico" (1960-71); Socialist Workers Party (1961-71); "White Hate Groups" (1964-71); "Black Nationalist Hate Groups" (1967-71); and "New Left" (1968-71).

The entirety of the USA PATRIOT Act is not controversial. In fact many of its clauses and provisions are purely administrative. Nevertheless, many of the changes made by the act were rejected previously by Congress. Attorney General John Ashcroft drafted the act under its first name, the Anti-Terrorism Act of 2001, in secret. The USA PATRIOT Act acronym stands for "Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism." The Act was passed under great duress by both the House and the Senate, and President George W. Bush signed the bill into law on October 26, 2001.

Through section 201 and 901 of the Act, the FBI and CIA are given authority to share information and evidence. Section 201, Authority to Intercept Wire, Oral and Electronic Communications Relating to Terrorism amends section 2516(1) of Title 18 of the United States Code by:

(1) ... redesignating paragraph (p), as so redesignated by section 434(2) of the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-132; 110 Stat. 1274), as paragraph (r); and
(2) by inserting after paragraph (p), as so redesignated by section 201(3) of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (division C of Public Law 104-208; 110 Stat. 3009-565), the following new paragraph:

91. Id. at 7 (noting that further information was discovered through freedom of information requests, lawsuits, and former agents publicly confessing).
92. Id. at 11 (citing examples of actions against several racial groups).
93. Id. at 11-13 (noting that the "White Hate Groups" program was actually a program that aided groups such as the KKK to confine attacks to COINTELPRO targets).
95. Id.
96. Id. at 5.
98. USA PATRIOT Act, supra note 17.
99. See BRASCH, supra note 94, at 5-7 (noting the problematic nature of the introductions of amendments to the bill, Congress members' inability to even fully read the document before its passing, and chides from then-Attorney General John Ashcroft about opposition aiding terrorists).
100. Id. (explaining in detail the lengthy and issue-riddled process of approval through the House and Senate).
101. Id. at 8.
The administration felt that this alteration of 18 U.S.C. §2516(1) was necessary to remove the “wall” between the FBI and the CIA that was initiated in the 1970s. The “wall” was initiated to restrain civil liberties violations that arose from domestic spying.

Section 218 of the Act lowers the standard of proof required for a search warrant. The section changes the requirements from “probable cause” to “reasonable cause.” The Act gives FBI agents the ability to investigate any American citizen for criminal matters without the requirement of probable cause so long as it is for “intelligence purposes.”

Sections 806 and 213 of the Act allow even more liberal searches and seizures. Section 806 allows searches to seize an individual’s property without notification, going against the Fourth Amendment “knock and announce” precedent. Section 213, Authority For Delaying Notice of the Execution of a Warrant, amends 18 U.S.C. §3103(a) by:

(1) . . . inserting ‘(a) IN GENERAL.—’ before ‘In addition’; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

‘(b) DELAY.—With respect to the issuance of any warrant or court order under this section, or any other rule of law, to search for and seize any property or material that constitutes evidence of a criminal offense in violation of the laws of the United States, any notice required, or that may be required, to be given may be delayed if—

‘(1) the court finds reasonable cause to believe that providing immediate notification of the execution of the warrant may have an adverse result (as defined in section 2705);

‘(2) the warrant prohibits the seizure of any tangible property, any wire or electronic communication (as defined in section 2510), or, except as expressly provided in chapter 121, any stored wire or electronic information, except where the court finds reasonable necessity for the seizure; and

102. USA PATRIOT Act, supra note 17, at 14.

103. BRASCH, supra note 94, at 8 (noting that some commentators have stated that without the amending of 18 U.S.C. §2516(1) the wall was, and continues to be, imaginary).

104. Id.

105. Id. at 12.

106. Id.

107. ACLU, supra note 89.

108. BRASCH, supra note 94, at 13.

109. Id.
‘(3) the warrant provides for the giving of such notice within a reasonable period of its execution, which period may thereafter be extended by the court for good cause shown.’

The USA PATRIOT Act provides that a non-citizen may be detained without charges for seven days initially, so long as the Attorney General certifies that he is a national security threat. After that initial week, the State must formally charge the detainee to continue holding him. If the State does not have a valid crime with which to charge the detainee, the State must drop any proposed charges. The Act, however, enables the attorney general to declare the non-citizen who has a right to remain within the United States a “threat to national security” and jail him or her indefinitely with recertification every six months. In October of 2002, Representative Adam Schiff (D-Calif.) introduced the “Detention of Enemy Combatant Act” (H.R. 5684) requiring that the executive branch establish more black-letter standards for detention of United States Citizens and residents. The Act went on to require “timely access to judicial review” and limited “who may be called an ‘enemy combatant.’” The bill stalled in the Subcommittee on Military Personnel.

F. Suicide Bombing and Gender

Understand this, the terrorists don’t care if you’re black, white, green, blue or purple. Their sole purpose in life is to kill us, and so therefore, what people like Mr. Connelly need to do is distance themselves from the constant reminder that profiling only pertains to blacks [sic] people. We’re not looking to do that, that’s not what this means. What this means is that a black police officer is looking for a terrorist and if he believes that that man of Middle Eastern descent under 30 happens to look like a possible terrorist, or even if not, he needs to be pulled over.”

110. USA PATRIOT Act, supra note 17, at 33-34.
112. Id.
113. Id.
114. Id.
115. BRASCH, supra note 94, at 175.
116. Id.
117. Id.
According to Tel-Aviv University Law Professor Emanuel Gross, "suicide attacks...are a communitarian phenomenon within the context of which the individual gives up his life in order to benefit the collective he represents." This act moves directly against human intuition to remain alive, in such circumstances, to see the destructive outcome of a successful action. Instead, the desire to perform the ultimate sacrifice to assist in the achievement of the collective goal takes over.

Gender roles are an important consideration in traditional Muslim societies. Much of the Muslim world has been compared to the days of old, "when knights were bold — and fair maidens were kept behind veils, their virtue protected, their lives entirely controlled by men." Jihadist propaganda even ties the invasion and violation of Muslim land to the violation of women. Hamas, a Palestinian Islamist organization, stated in a 1998 covenant that "the Muslim woman [is] the maker of men" and the person charged with the education and preparation of future fighters. Even the act of foreign occupation can be considered by many Muslim men to be a form of emasculation.

Professor Gross notes that "between 1993, when the fundamentalist Palestinian organizations began carrying out suicide attacks and the outbreak of the al-Aqsa intifada in September 2000, all suicide bombers were males, most were married, and they possessed a high school and even higher education." To fulfill their goals, Islamic terrorist groups must recruit men and boys, the process of which "exploits not only their anxieties and fears but their basic sexual needs and desires." For example, the Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt capitalized upon the "frustrations and confusion of people whose patriarchal societies were being challenged by urbanization and the inroads of Western ideas." A middle-class man in Cairo traditionally provides his wife with an "owned and furnished apartment before...
a marriage can be performed, or consummated."\textsuperscript{130} As a result of this tradition, many men were forced to wait until their thirties to marry.\textsuperscript{131} The Brotherhood took advantage of this frustrating tradition by preaching that couples could wed earlier, minus the new Kitchen-Aid mixer, if they followed the proper spiritual course.\textsuperscript{132} A combination of "sexual frustration in this life and the lure of sexual as well as spiritual rewards in the next" are part of the propaganda of a jihadist looking to recruit men and boys.\textsuperscript{133} Many of the radical Islamic groups, including Al Qaeda, all speak on the Muslim teachings that promise seventy-two "virginal beings . . . to attend to the martyr's desires in paradise."\textsuperscript{134} At the apex of the al-Aqsa intifada, however, Palestinian women entered the world of suicide bombing.\textsuperscript{135} People both in and outside of Palestinian society consider the phenomenon of female suicide bombers as being primarily aimed at internal interests of women against the conservative leadership of society.\textsuperscript{136} For women, participation is less about the external military issues.\textsuperscript{137} Operationally, adding women into the equation opens the roster of potential bombers and adds a new issue to security.\textsuperscript{138} Security must now find creative ways to screen women for potential suicide bombers yet preserve the dignity and modesty of the many Middle Eastern women who are not involved in such missions.\textsuperscript{139} Groups such as Al Qaeda, however, had hoped for a moment to freeze the gender roles of old.\textsuperscript{140} Some members of the group still view "females as chattel in some cases, as revered mothers in others and almost always icons to be protected from outside influences."\textsuperscript{141}

II. PROFILING AND ITS HISTORY

\textit{Oh, it absolutely matters, and as I've been on the record of saying in the past, it's long overdue. Profiling works. . . . I can tell you unequivocally . . . that it works from past experiences when I was working with the cartels, I knew exactly who to}

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{130} \textit{Id.} at 30-31.
  \item \textsuperscript{131} \textit{Id.} at 31.
  \item \textsuperscript{132} \textit{Id.}
  \item \textsuperscript{133} \textit{Id.}
  \item \textsuperscript{134} \textit{Id.} at 31.
  \item \textsuperscript{135} Gross, supra note 119, at 634.
  \item \textsuperscript{136} \textit{Id.}
  \item \textsuperscript{137} \textit{Id.}
  \item \textsuperscript{138} \textit{Id.} at 634-35.
  \item \textsuperscript{139} \textit{Id.} at 635.
  \item \textsuperscript{140} Dickey, supra note 15, at 29.
  \item \textsuperscript{141} \textit{Id.} at 29-30.
\end{itemize}
look for, what to look for, and we were very successful. Today we just happen to know that certain ethnic groups, may, may be involved in terrorism, and certainly they should be afforded a little more attention, it doesn't mean that we neglect any other nationality, but it certainly means that we've [sic] to continue to make our airports and our railways safer, and profiling does that.142

To conduct effective criminal investigations, police officers often use profiling, which can be a "legitimate and necessary" law enforcement strategy.143 The inclusion of race or other factors, such as gender, does not immediately create a discriminatory practice.144 For example, the profiling of street gangs may include certain criteria and profiles to alert law enforcement that someone could be a member of the gang being targeted in an investigation.145

Although commentators describe criminal profiling in many different manners, "all definitions suggest a process whereby law enforcement personnel make judgments about another based on a number of overt and subtle factors."146 A possible list of these factors could be: "race, national origin, manner of dress and grooming, behavioral characteristics, when and where the observation is made, the circumstances under which the observation is made, and relative to information the officer/investigator may already possess."147 Proper criminal profiling works because many criminals will take on a modus operandi.148 Modus operandi, "M.O." or manner of operating, has been defined as "the distinctive features of the manner in which a criminal perpetrates his or her offenses, and it is the distinctive features that represent a pattern of criminal behavior resulting in what is referred to [as an M.O.]"149 As some authors express, "[p]rofiling does not provide the specific identity of the offender. Rather, it indicates the kind of individual most likely to have committed a crime."150

142. Simmons, supra note 118.
144. Id.
145. Id. at 17.
146. Id. at 15.
147. Id.
148. Id. at 18.
149. Id. at 18.
For example, serial killers are heavily profiled because of the consistency between their crimes and the people who commit them.\(^{151}\) In September of 1984, several criminology experts presented a seminal paper on serial murder at the tenth triennial meeting of the International Association of Forensic Sciences.\(^ {152}\) The report examined thirty-six jailed offenders, and offered a list of the top ten traits of serial killers.\(^ {153}\) The ten traits discovered included the fact that most serial killers are single white males;\(^ {154}\) most are fairly intelligent with a mean IQ of “bright normal;”\(^ {155}\) most come from troubled families and broken homes;\(^ {156}\) as children, they experienced abuse (physical, psychological, and/or sexual);\(^ {157}\) they have interests in deviant sexuality;\(^ {158}\) and they tend to have trouble with male authority figures.\(^ {159}\) Researchers have also identified three major warning signs from the personal histories of serial killers that lend to their criminal profile.\(^ {160}\) This “psychopathological triad” includes: “enuresis (bed-wetting), pyromania (fire-starting), and precocious sadism (generally in the form of animal torture).”\(^ {161}\)

Although it may have legitimate uses, criminal profiling is not a perfect aid for law enforcement.\(^ {162}\) From September 2001 to May 2003, five white women were raped and killed in and around Baton Rouge, Louisiana.\(^ {163}\) Statistics show that most serial killers choose victims of their own race, committing intraracial crimes as opposed to interracial, so profilers instantly described the perpetrator as being a white male.\(^ {164}\) Through DNA evidence, however, the murderer was identified as African-American Derrick Todd Lee.\(^ {165}\) Another example
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of a profile gone wrong occurred during the D.C. sniper attacks. In the fall of 2002, for twenty-two days, motorists in and around D.C. feared being faced with random and deadly gunfire. Traffic stops slowed travel well into southwestern Virginia, where the police frantically looked for the suspect in the largest manhunt that the Washington area had ever seen. The police were looking for a white man acting alone, the standard profile for a serial killer. In the end, John Allen Muhammad and John Lee Malvo were arrested and tried for the crimes. Muhammad is an African-American male, and Malvo is a male Jamaican citizen. Muhammad and Malvo were able to pass through numerous traffic stops with the murder weapon because they did not fit the profile on either account. Clearly, this is another example of when profiles fail the law enforcement system. Had race not played a factor in the manhunt, police may have found the perpetrators well before they claimed the lives of more victims.

A. Racial Profiling

There is a difference between profiling and racial profiling. Profiling in terms of behavior, in terms of dress, in terms of whether or not you check in your suitcase, acting nervously, buying a one way ticket — these are all acceptable profiles of potential terrorists. If ethnicity is one of many elements of a profile, then it makes some sense. But if ethnicity or race is the only criteria for differential treatment, that is not acceptable and more importantly it is not useful and in fact, counter-productive.

166. Coke, supra note 2, at 102.
168. Id.
169. Coke, supra note 2, at 102.
170. Duffy, supra note 167.
173. Coke, supra note 2, at 102-03.
Racial profiling is nothing new and has been a well-used tactic of law enforcement. Black’s Law Dictionary defines racial profiling as: “[t]he law-enforcement practice of using race, national origin, or ethnicity as a salient basis for suspicion of criminal activity.” For whatever the criminal plight of the time, our society often has assumed that the “poorest, darkest, or more recently arrived among us are at the center of vice.” In 1901, for example, President McKinley was assassinated, and the immediate suspect was a “dark complexioned man.” Jim Parker, an African-American man and a former constable, saved the President from a third bullet and then apprehended the actual assassin, Leon Czolgosz. Czolgosz was convicted of the crime and executed on October 29, 1901. One of McKinley’s Secret Service agents, George Foster, admitted to overlooking Czolgosz because he was not black.

Historically race, not gender, has played a more controversial role in criminal profiling. Many “police chiefs acknowledged that race played a sometimes significant role in deciding whom to stop and search.” American law enforcement’s policy in fighting drugs throughout history provides a clear illustration. The Chinese were persecuted in the nineteenth century for opium dens, yet opium was the drug of choice for middle-class white women who suffered from anxiety and malaise before the arrival of the minority group on the West Coast. Marijuana was banned in the 1930s only after authorities feared that Chicanos would be violent if they smoked it. Cocaine is known in pop culture as a popular white party drug. The acceptance of the drug for white America brings to mind the giant “Man-in-the-Moon” animated figure that snorted the substance from a silver spoon in the Studio 54 nightclub. As Tanya Coke states,
Cocaine use, although illegal, had been almost normalized as the drug of choice among the white upper and middle classes in the late 1970s and 1980s. It took the drug's adoption by inner-city blacks in the form of crack a decade later to launch a "war on drugs" worthy of a new cabinet post, billions of dollars in law enforcement, and penalties 100 times more severe than for powder cocaine.\footnote{189}

\section*{B. Gender Profiling: A Minority Race Phenomenon}

\textit{Rounding up and detaining black males is an old (unconstitutional) police habit.}\footnote{190}

The Black's Law Dictionary definition of the term "racial profiling" makes evident the link between racial profiling and gender:

Originally, the term referred to the practice of stopping a disproportionate number of male African-American drivers on the assumption that they had a heightened likelihood of being involved in criminal activity. After the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, the term was frequently used in reference to searching and interrogating Middle Eastern men at airports.\footnote{191}

Due to law enforcement actions, black men specifically are particularly hostile and distrustful of police.\footnote{192} In a Department of Justice report, African Americans are 50 percent more likely than whites to be stopped for any reason (traffic violation or random stop) more than once.\footnote{193} The African-American community has adopted the phrase "driving while black" ("DWB") in response to the harassment by police on the road that has persisted for years.\footnote{194} Nationwide, "black males have been treated with open disrespect and contempt by the police."\footnote{195} Don Wycliff states: "Even black men who share no other problem with the black underclass share this one. The most successful, respectable black man can find himself in a one-sided confrontation

\footnotesize{\textit{available at http://www.findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m1285/is_n8_v28/ai_21007968.\footnote{189} Coke, supra note 2, at 92.\footnote{190} Maclin, supra note 37, at 255.\footnote{191} BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY, supra note 173 (emphasis added).\footnote{192} Maclin, supra note 37, at 245.\footnote{193} CENTER FOR POLICY ALTERNATIVES, Racial Profiling, http://www.stateaction.org/issues/issue.cfm/issue/RacialProfiling.xml (last visited Feb 20. 2007) (citing polls that demonstrate dissatisfaction with police behavior is twice as high among blacks as among whites, which could be a result of the negative treatment from the police that African Americans receive).\footnote{194} Coke, supra note 2, at 92.\footnote{195} Maclin, supra note 37, at 245.}\normalsize}
with a cop who thinks his first name is 'Nigger' and his last name is 'Boy.'\textsuperscript{196}

Black men know that a different law exists on the street, and that they face random, unwarranted stops and searches at any time.\textsuperscript{197} Black men are taught not to question the authority of the police, because responses by the officers are quick and brutal.\textsuperscript{198} These issues between black men and police supersede all other factors, including: economic status, employment, and education.\textsuperscript{199} Clearly, black men constitute a specific race and gender profile for law enforcement.\textsuperscript{200} They are considered "suspicious and targeted for questioning not because of any objective or empirical evidence that they are involved in criminality, but because of police bias and societal indifference to the plight of black males who are on the receiving-end of aggressive police tactics."\textsuperscript{201} This form of gender-based profiling is akin to the gender-based profiling of Muslim and Arab men. The profiling seemingly is based in race; however, it is also deeply rooted in gender.

\textbf{C. Pre September 11: Trend Towards Anti-Profiling}

In 1995, profiling became a point of public debate.\textsuperscript{202} A Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) description of drug couriers was the first source of controversy.\textsuperscript{203} The description used in the Operation Pipeline initiative to crack down on interstate trafficking urged law enforcement agents "to look out for black and Latino males traveling together, or in rented cars, especially those driving carefully within the speed limit."\textsuperscript{204} Should individuals match this profile, the policy urged troopers to find \textit{some reason} to stop and search the drivers who met the description for illegal drugs.\textsuperscript{205}

In 1999, former President Bill Clinton spoke out about the act of profiling, calling it "morally indefensible and ordered federal law enforcement agencies to begin collecting racial data on all stops and searches."\textsuperscript{206} President George W. Bush stated that profiling was "wrong in America, and we've got to get rid of it."\textsuperscript{207} By the year 2000, data showed that more than 59 percent of Americans believed that
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racially-based profiling was widespread. In fact, "between January 1999 and September 2001, some thirteen states had passed legislation either requiring police departments to collect data on racial profiling or simply banning the practice."

D. Post-911: Forget the Past, Let's Get 'Em in the Future.

More significantly, 47 months have come and gone since 9/11 without a major terrorist attack on U.S. soil. The closest thing we had to a major bombing was the new Pauly Shore show on TBS. Even if the next attack comes tomorrow, it is worth pondering that we've gone 47 months without the savages being able to mount another terrorist attack in a country virtually designed for terrorist attacks, a country where we search the purses of little old ladies so that recent immigrants from Saudi Arabia named "Mohammed" wearing massive backpacks don't feel singled out.

One of the major repercussions of September 11 is the increase of racial profiling of Arabs and South Asians. Since the attacks, there has been an increase in complaints that the government has singled out Islamic, Arabic, or Middle Eastern people for increased inspection. Arab-Americans are now three times more likely than whites to experience racial profiling. A Gallup Poll reported that the majority of Americans favored the profiling of Arabs at airports in 2001. Oddly enough, seventy-one percent of black respondents favored the profiling despite their own struggles with racial profiling. Application of profiling in airports has resulted in airport security asking many male Arabs and South Asians to leave airplanes.
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simply on account of their appearance.\textsuperscript{217} Cultural callousness often accompanies profiling, and an example of this insensitivity has occurred when "many Sikh Americans have been asked to remove their turbans in airports — a violation of their religious freedom."\textsuperscript{218} During the build-up prior to the Iraq war, the FBI compiled a list of ten thousand Iraqi nationals and former citizens in the United States who were targeted for "voluntary interviews" based only on their nationality.\textsuperscript{219} In 2001 and 2002, there were about three hundred complaints filed against government agencies about the discriminatory practices, many dealing with Customs and Immigration officials.\textsuperscript{220} The Justice Department, however, only found one incident of misconduct in 2000, and they referred one 2001 case for disciplinary action.\textsuperscript{221} In addition, twenty-five civil suits were filed for racial profiling against multiple federal agencies between 2000 and 2001.\textsuperscript{222} Of the seven suits that remained in the court system, three were settled, and four were dismissed.\textsuperscript{223}

Hundreds of mostly Arab and South Asian men have been held in secret federal custody for weeks or months.\textsuperscript{224} Their basic rights under the criminal justice system have been denied, such as the process of being formally charged.\textsuperscript{225} The United States government has blatantly refused to publish either their names or their whereabouts, even under court order.\textsuperscript{226}

In September of 2002, "three [male] Muslim medical students traveling by car from Chicago to Florida to begin their residency training were stopped and detained."\textsuperscript{227} Law enforcement officials encountered and detained the students after a woman called in a tip, claiming to have overheard a suspicious conversation between the students in a restaurant.\textsuperscript{228} The police stopped and searched the students' car, and in the process closed off a twenty-mile stretch of I-75 in Georgia.\textsuperscript{229} The police then "detained and interrogated the students for seventeen hours before they concluded the men posed no threat and released

\begin{enumerate}
\item \textsuperscript{217} CENTER FOR POLICY ALTERNATIVES, supra note 193.
\item \textsuperscript{218} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{220} Bush Orders Racial Profiling Ban, supra note 212.
\item \textsuperscript{221} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{222} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{223} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{224} MARTIN, supra note 111, at 76.
\item \textsuperscript{225} Id. at 77.
\item \textsuperscript{226} Id. at 80.
\item \textsuperscript{227} Coke, supra note 2, at 97.
\item \textsuperscript{228} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{229} Id.
\end{enumerate}
them."\(^{230}\) Even after the discovery of the mistake, however, the students paid for their gender and race.\(^{231}\) The hospital withdrew their residency offers after being bombarded with hate mail.\(^{232}\) Furthermore, "Governor Jeb Bush and Senator Bob Graham effusively praised law enforcement officials and the tipster in Georgia as good patriots."\(^{233}\)

**E. Racial Profiling Ban**

*In 2001, with great fanfare, President [George W.] Bush pledged to end racial profiling. "It's wrong," he said, "and we will end it in America." Sadly, he has failed to fulfill this promise or to enforce virtually any aspect of his directive to the Justice Department to end profiling practices. Last July, the president boasted that he was the first president to ban racial profiling in federal law enforcement. That claim simply does not hold up: the federal policy does not cover profiling based on national origin or religion, does not include any enforcement mechanisms, and contains a blanket exception for "national security" and "border integrity" — a loophole big enough to drive that truck through.*\(^{234}\)

The Bush Administration ordered a sweeping ban on racial and ethnic profiling in all governmental agencies in June of 2003.\(^{235}\) The ban did not include gender and was two years in the making.\(^{236}\) In 2000, President Bush made a campaign trail commitment to end racial profiling.\(^{237}\) In a 2001 address the President furthered his commitment to a ban on the practice.\(^{238}\) The guidelines directly affected about 120,000 United States law enforcement officers in the FBI, DEA, and the DHS, among others.\(^{239}\) As a whole, "the policy makes a clear distinction between routine law enforcement work and that involving
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national security or border security." It forbids the reliance on racial or ethnic stereotypes, yet the policy allows certain racial or ethnic groups to undergo more rigorous scrutiny if information exists linking these groups to terrorist activities that could result in an attack. The policy also allows consideration of race, should there be credible information that the people of those identified groups are involved in specific crimes or are part of a criminal organization. Opponents have stated that the President created these loopholes to legally allow racial profiling, rather than really working to ban the practice. As Miriam Gohara of the NAACP Legal Defense Fund stated, "It looks to me that [the policy] is more interested in carving out exceptions to racial profiling than it is in enforcing a ban." The exceptions equate to the legal gender and ethnic profiling of Muslim men in airports, should information about an Al Qaeda plan to bomb United States airliners be discovered.

F. Internationally

Internationally, two key documents prohibit racial discrimination: the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (CERD) and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR). CERD was adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in December of 1965 and ratified by the United States in 1994 as a non-self-executing treaty to prevent the filing of domestic lawsuits by individuals. The CERD has a clear definition of racial profiling and asserts that "States Parties condemn racial discrimination and undertake to pursue by all appropriate means and without delay a policy of eliminating racial discrimination in all its forms and promoting understanding among all races. . . ." The United Nations General Assembly adopted the ICCPR in December of 1966; it entered into force in 1976 and was ratified by the United States in 1992. The ICCPR provides exceptions
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to adherences of the Covenant during times of public emergency.\textsuperscript{261} Even these exceptions, however, do not cover the sweeping negation of rights that the USA PATRIOT Act has legitimized. The ICCPR Part II, Article 4 states,

\begin{quote}
In time of public emergency which threatens the life of the nation and the existence of which is officially proclaimed the States Parties to the present Covenant may take measures derogating from their obligations under the present Covenant to the extent strictly required by the exigencies of the situation, \textit{provided that such measures are not inconsistent with their other obligations under international law and do not involve discrimination solely on the ground of race, color, sex, language, religion or social origin.}\textsuperscript{252} [Emphasis added]
\end{quote}

\textbf{G. The Department of Homeland Security}

President George W. Bush proposed creating the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) in June of 2002 in the aftermath of September 11, when the administration and the public questioned the state of national security.\textsuperscript{253} The President concluded that the nation needed a more unified national security structure, whose primary mission was to protect the American homeland.\textsuperscript{254} The DHS's mission is to “\textit{prevent terrorist attacks within the United States; reduce America's vulnerability to terrorism; and minimize the damage and recover from attacks that do occur.}\textsuperscript{255}” The DHS created a clear and efficient structure that housed four divisions: Border and Transportation Security; Emergency Preparedness and Response; Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear Countermeasures; and Information Analysis and Infrastructure Protection.\textsuperscript{256} The Department unified authority over federal security operations that related to border patrol, territorial waters, and transportation.\textsuperscript{257} Further, it assumed responsibility for the operational assets of the Coast Guard, Customs Service, Immigration and Naturalization Service and Border Patrol (“INS”), and the Transportation Security Administration.\textsuperscript{258} By combining those entities under one roof, the President made the DHS the sole governmental body tasked with managing entry into the
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The DHS oversees federal assistance during domestic disasters and is most noted for sliding the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) under its umbrella. In addition, the Department sets national policy and establishes guidelines for state and local governments on preparing for and responding to the full range of terrorist threats involving weapons of mass destruction (WMD). The DHS merged under one roof the identification and assessment of current and future threats to security, mapped those threats, and issued warnings on the threats as well as decisions on how to proceed with the appropriate preventative and protective actions in response to the threats. In the event of a threat against national security, the DHS would partner with the FBI Office of Intelligence, the CIA, the Department of Justice (DOJ), and other governmental organizations to achieve those tasks.

H. Security or Gender Discrimination? Detainment and Special Registration

In the early post-September 11 period, the United States endorsed policies that only continued to encourage profiling. Reportedly 1,200 people, mostly Arabic or Muslim men, were rounded up in a sweep for suspects immediately after the World Trade Center attacks. To facilitate quick interrogations, "[m]any [of the men] were detained and in June 2003, a report by the Office of the Inspector General found significant problems in the way the detainees were treated." They were not permitted adequate access to telephones, legal services, or their family members. The suspects were held for a prolonged period "based on unclear and underfunded FBI clearance policy," and, furthermore, there existed evidence of "physical and verbal abuse by prison officials." Out of the 1,200 arrested and jailed, only one was charged as a terrorist, yet more than six hundred were charged with immigration violations. Those suspects charged with immigration violations most likely did not have an attorney, although they "were subjected to secret hearings where the government
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excluded family and friends.\textsuperscript{271} The secrecy of the arrests led critics to suspect the silence was a cover for government misconduct as opposed to silence to protect national security.\textsuperscript{272} An analogous situation in American history is the Japanese Internment during World War II. During the war, "the federal government interned over 100,000 Japanese men, women, and children without regard to citizenship or length of residence in the U.S."\textsuperscript{273} The Japanese were placed in remote camps with their homes, businesses, and property confiscated.\textsuperscript{274} The case of \textit{Korematsu v. United States}\textsuperscript{275} challenged this government-authorized action, which bore striking similarities to World War II's massive arrest of Jews under Hitler. The Court stated that the "exclusion of those of Japanese origin was deemed necessary because of...the disloyal members of the group, most of whom we have no doubt were loyal to this country."\textsuperscript{276} When confronted with the comparison of the internment camps as concentration camps, the Supreme Court rebuked the comparison "with all the ugly connotations that term implies."\textsuperscript{277} The Court felt that this security measure was "nothing but an exclusion order,"\textsuperscript{278} and to bring up any racial issues "without reference to the real military dangers which were presented, merely confus[ed] the issue."\textsuperscript{279} The Court held that the exclusion order was not unjustified\textsuperscript{280} and, furthermore, that the exclusion was not issued due to hostility towards the Japanese but because the United States was at war with Japan and required this security measure.\textsuperscript{281}

The Palmer raids following World War I provide yet another historical link to the United States' reaction after September 11.\textsuperscript{282} Concern augmented regarding the growth of the Socialist party and the creation of the Communist Labor Party.\textsuperscript{283} At specific issue was the May 1919 "nationwide bomb conspiracy"\textsuperscript{284} where thirty-six bombs were mailed to prominent Americans,\textsuperscript{285} including one to then
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Attorney General A. Mitchell Palmer.286 The United States government immediately suspected Russian and Eastern European immigrants.287 In November of 1919 the Palmer raids commenced with the arrest of six hundred fifty people.288 Then in January of 1920, Palmer arrested another four thousand people.289 Law enforcement officials found the suspects at so-called "radical hangouts" and proceeded to detain them.290 The United States government deported more than three thousand aliens were deported during the Palmer raids,291 and it charged "more than 1400 Americans with violations of the newly coined criminal syndicalism statutes, which made it illegal to attempt to overthrow the government of the United States."292

I. Special Registration

On November 6, 2002, the Department of Justice announced that it would require men from five Muslim countries who were temporarily visiting the United States to be fingerprinted, photographed, and registered as a step in the "war on terror."293 National Security Entry/Exit Registration System (NSEERS) requires men age sixteen and up "from 24 Muslim and Middle-Eastern countries and [North] Korea to report for registration and interrogation at their local INS."294 The program was originally launched in airports two months earlier when citizens from Iran, Iraq, Libya, Sudan, and Syria were subjected to information gathering when they arrived in the United States.295 The program was extended to include male citizens of those countries who arrived in the United States before September 11.296 The Washington Post quoted Hussein Ibish, spokesman for the American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee, as emphasizing that none of the hijackers of the September 11 incidents came from the countries that were being targeted.297 The government stated that it would only affect "a tiny percentage of the tens of millions of tourists, students, business people and temporary workers who come to the United States
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each year,” yet it also affected people who have passports from two countries — one of which was not from one of the five selected nations. This form of non-traditional profiling has resulted in “over 13,000 men [being] placed in deportation proceedings, mostly on account of minor immigration violations or due to their immigration case being caught in INS backlogs.”299 Furthermore, when NSEERS began the government promised that this policy would be expanded to all visitors to the United States. 300 This promise was quickly reversed, and NSEERS will not expand to visitors from other countries. 301

III. EFFECTS ON TERRORISM: IS GENDER PROFILE HELPING OR HINDERING AMERICA?

We need a common sense approach for this very difficult question. Let me give you an analogy — if you witness a crime committed by an Anglo blue-eyed blonde male driving a white car, it would make sense for the police to focus on everybody who is an Anglo blue-eyed blonde male driving a white car, but it would not make any sense to stop every male who is blonde and blue-eyed just because they are white males and blue-eyed. Secondly the other common sense approach is to try to make us feel safe and not just make us feel better. So the point being that if we were to target all Arabs or all Arab looking people, that would not make us any safer as a society. What it would do would be to alienate an entire group of people against the rest of us. Thirdly, I can assure you that having been a victim of racial profiling, we see this issue from a very different perspective.
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302. Yzaguirre, supra note 174. Mr. Yzaguirre was answering the following question from a Washington, D.C. reader of the Washington Post:

Why are we having this debate? Racial and ethnic profiling works the way it’s intended to — over the extent of broad groups, not with respect to every individual member of the statistical group. So why doesn’t it make perfect sense to intelligently use racial and ethnic profiling as an appropriate analytic screen? If it was statistically unreliable, cops wouldn’t use profiling, because it wouldn’t make them any overtime and increase their pensions. I suspect that the real reasons many groups out there (including yours) oppose racial and ethnic profiling is that it identifies the most socialized members of the group directly with the least socialized members of the group. An example
During the government’s continued “war on terror” in a post-September 11 United States, three arrests clearly show the ineffective nature of profiling. The arrests of John Walker Lindh, Jose Padilla, and Richard Reid illustrate that visual profiling is not the answer. John Walker Lindh, a middle-class white man, "agreed to plead guilty to aiding the Taliban and carrying explosives while doing so." A general point of strong critique with the Lindh case is the fact that he was granted general privileges and options that other suspected terrorists are typically not provided, such as full access to an attorney and the ability to plea bargain. Jose Padilla, a United States citizen of Puerto Rican ancestry and alleged Chicano gang member, was arrested in May of 2002 after flying into Chicago’s O’Hare airport on suspicion of being on a reconnaissance mission to create and plant a dirty bomb. Richard Reid, a citizen of the United Kingdom of West Indian and European ancestry, pled guilty to all eight charges against him in the infamous American Airlines “shoe-bombing” incident on December 22, 2001. Reid also declared himself a follower of Osama Bin Laden. None of these three high profile cases involved men of Arabic descent.

A. Women as Terrorists

... the murderer will still pay the price, and we will not remain the only ones to cry. If our people cannot realize the dream and the goals of the victims, and live in freedom and dignity, then let the whole world be wiped out.

of this result: this emotionally forces the Hispanic Calif. Court of Appeals Judge to either prove that he’s not a posse man, or to defend those who are. The truth is, that’s just race-shame. And you know it. Racial and ethnic profiling — as a screen and not a proxy for actual guilt or innocence — works, and works well. Please stop hindering the public safety by opposing it.
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An easy and clear consequence of a non-gender-neutral profile is the negation of women as potential terrorists. Female suicide bombers first appeared in Lebanon twenty years ago. Around 2000, the phenomenon of female suicide bombers began to be prevalent in Palestinian territories during the al-Aqsa intifada. In regards to Palestinian female suicide bombers, this phenomenon may be less about being militant and may instead be primarily aimed at the female internal interest against the conservative leadership of the state. The women have come mainly from secular Arab nationalist groups, Kurdish rebels in Turkey, and non-Muslim Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam to fight the government of Sri Lanka. The past few years, however, have given birth to female attackers coming from other groups and geographic locations.

Thauriya Hamamreh, a Palestinian woman and orthodox Muslim, “was caught by the security forces just prior to departing on a mission to commit a suicide attack on behalf of the al-Aqsa Martyrs Brigades, an armed militia group affiliated to Fatah and financially supported by the Palestinian Authority.” In October of 2003, Hanadi Jeradati blew herself up in a crowded restaurant in Haifa killing twenty-one civilians on behalf of the Palestinian Islamic Jihad. In July of 2003, three female bombers were involved in two
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While the beginning of the Second Intifada consisted of tactics of civil disobedience similar to those in the First Intifada, the Second Intifada eventually involved much more violent resistance. This violence included direct armed conflict between Palestinian militias and the Israeli military inside the Occupied Palestinian Territories, as well as attacks against Israeli civilians inside Israel. According to the Israeli human rights organization B’Tselem, from September 29, 2000 until January 31, 2007 1,020 Israelis and 4,325 Palestinians have been killed in fighting.
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incidents in Moscow that killed fifteen people. The bombers were linked to the Chechen rebels. Known as “black widows,” the women are dressed in black and wear the “martyr’s belt” filled with explosives. In October of 2002, they took hostages in a Moscow theater, and in June of 2003, a woman killed seventeen members of Russia’s military forces by throwing herself under their bus and detonating explosives. On November 23, 2006, Hamas claimed responsibility for a sixty-four-year-old grandmother who attacked Israeli troops in northern Gaza. Fatima Omar An-Najar was a Palestinian grandmother who detonated her explosives after Israeli soldiers, who thought she was acting suspicious, threw a stun grenade towards her. An-Najar’s relatives cite that she was “the oldest of the more than 100 Palestinian suicide bombers who have attacked Israelis over the past six years.” An-Najar’s oldest daughter shed light on her reasoning to commit the attack stating: "They [Israelis] destroyed her house, they killed her grandson — my son. Another grandson is in a wheelchair with an amputated leg." For example, on February 25, 2007, a suicide attack occurred at the business school annex to Mustanshiryah University in Baghdad, Iraq. The attack killed at least forty-one people, mostly students at the University annex, and injured at least forty-six. Witnesses to the attack stated to authorities that a woman carried out the bombing,
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although the Interior Ministry of Iraq has yet to verify those statements.\textsuperscript{331} Neither Al Qaeda nor any other organization has claimed responsibility for the attack.\textsuperscript{332} As Associated Press writers Shafika Mattar and Yaha Bazanji stated, “suicide bombings by women are unusual but not unprecedented in Iraq’s chaos.”\textsuperscript{333}

Until recently, Al Qaeda held back from allowing women to become bombers.\textsuperscript{334} Ayman al-Zarqawi broke the taboo, enabling women to become Al Qaeda’s newest weapons of choice.\textsuperscript{335} The first woman to become a suicide bomber for Al Qaeda remains anonymous to this day.\textsuperscript{336} In September of 2005, she blew herself apart in the town of Tal Afar, killing five men and wounding thirty more.\textsuperscript{337} In October of 2005, Al Qaeda in Iraq claimed a second female bomber who was accompanied by her husband on their mission in Mosul, attacking an American patrol.\textsuperscript{338} Muriel Degauque, a fair-skinned woman of Belgian descent was the third Iraq-based Al Qaeda female bomber in November of 2005.\textsuperscript{339} She blew herself up attacking Iraqi police near the town of Baqubah, and her husband was killed by American troops shortly after her death.\textsuperscript{340} A fourth woman was claimed by Al Qaeda in Iraq the same night of Degauque’s mission in a bombing of three hotels in Amman, Jordan.\textsuperscript{341} Sajida Mubarak al-Rishawi, the fourth bomber,\textsuperscript{342} failed to detonate her bomb at the Jordan Radisson hotel.\textsuperscript{343} Al-Rishawi’s husband, Hussein Ali al-Shamari, also held bombs, which upon exploding killed thirty-eight civilians.\textsuperscript{344}

Clearly, the new influx of women attackers is a prevalent concern with United States officials and the state of national security.\textsuperscript{345} The threat of women suicide bombers was more of a theoretical problem until recently.\textsuperscript{346} It was assumed that Osama bin Laden and Ayman al-Zawahiri would resist using women as bombers.\textsuperscript{347} Yet in a matter
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of four months in 2005, the threat became more serious and very real to United States officials. These officials worry that the female suicide bombers will begin to carry out attacks in Western Europe and the United States, and their concern over "married couples" whose joining would either be legitimate or for the sole purpose of a mission is also growing.

One legitimate concern in regards to national security is that women could gain easy access to soft targets in Western countries. Degauque, the third Iraq Al Qaeda female bomber, was of Belgian descent, so her profile would not have been one to cause an alarm in Western countries. She retained a European Union passport that would have further enabled her to gain access to many soft targets, yet she blew herself up in Iraq. This action clearly shows that the terrorists are aware of their profiles and are working to throw officials off balance. Further, the general profile of a female bomber may sometimes be different than that of a male or other females. For example, although the motives could be identical, a woman bomber in Palestine typically has a higher level of education than the average Palestinian woman.

The mere threat of women suicide bombers will cause increased strain between peacekeepers and fundamentalist Islamic societies. Women suicide bombers have become, in addition to dangerous weapons of destruction, provocation tactics by terrorists. The involvement of women in such attacks broadens not only the number of available bodies for such missions but poses a new and complex threat to security keepers. Security will be charged with finding new and innovative methods to identify female suicide bombers without violating the dignity or modesty of innocent civilian women. It is important to note, however, that there are fewer women who have committed or have been caught attempting to commit suicide attacks than males in some states, such as Palestine. The Tamil Tigers in Sri Lanka.
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however, have had about thirty percent of their attacks carried out by women, and the Chechen “black widows” are all female.

CONCLUSION

Clearly, the prototype for profiling a “terrorist” is a male of Arabic descent, or a Muslim male who has brown or black skin. This profile has been utilized by law enforcement officials and resulted in seemingly unlawful detentions and the special registration set in place by the Government. This author concludes that the primary problem with such profiling tactics is that while the government detains and registers this prototype, terrorism continues with an altered face. Muriel Degauque is a startling example of the different shades and genders of a terrorist: a fair-skinned Belgian who blew herself up attacking Iraqi police in November of 2005. She defies the prototype, as does Jose Padilla, John Walker Lindh, and Richard Reid. So how is this statutorily allowed discrimination really helping the war on terror? Although the USA PATRIOT Act and the guidelines stated above do not exclude an open profile, law enforcement has chosen a narrow one: Muslim, Arabic Male. Should the United States continue with its narrow-minded focus on terror, one can only hope that another major tragedy does not strike as a result of exploiting the self-accepted gender bias that our legislature has permitted. With Al Qaeda now allowing and possibly soon encouraging female operatives,
the previous and current terrorist bombings by the Chechan "black widows," Jordanian, and Palestinian female suicide bombers, as well as the addition of non-Arab and potentially non-Muslim's working against the ideals and well-being of the United States, one can only hope that our government will open its eyes and see the reality that a woman can indeed commit an act of jihad.
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