William & Mary Law School

William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository

2013, Adaptive Planning for Flooding and Coastal Change in Virginia

Virginia Coastal Policy Center Annual Conference

9-13-2013

Framing the Legal Problems: Is a Holistic Strategy for Managing Stormwater, Flooding, and the Bay TMDL Possible or Advisable?

Henry R. Pollard

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/vcpcannual2013

Part of the Environmental Policy Commons

Copyright c 2013 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.

https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/vcpcannual2013

Adaptive Planning for Flooding and Coastal Change in Virginia: Legal and Policy Issues for Local Government September 13, 2013

Framing the Legal Problems: Is a Holistic Strategy for Managing Stormwater, Flooding, and the Bay TMDL Possible or Advisable? Henry R. Pollard, V Christian & Barton, LLP

Overview

- Key factors
 - Stormwater
 - Relative sea level rise and recurrent flooding
 - Chesapeake Bay Total Maximum Daily Load ("TMDL")
- Underlying practical concerns
- Current legal framework
- Potential holistic strategy opportunities
 - Benefits
 - Challenges

Key Factors – Stormwater

- Federal
 - Evolving standards for stormwater discharges to Chesapeake Bay watershed
 - Changes in construction stormwater general permit
 - Stormwater and wastewater blending policy status
 - Federal jurisdictional waters controversy

Key Factors – Stormwater

- State
 - Merger of stormwater discharge permitting, compliance and enforcement programs into DEQ (7/1/13)
 - State delegation of construction stormwater program to localities
 - Evolving state stormwater program regulations and implementation roll-out

Key Factors – Stormwater

- Local
 - Delegation of construction stormwater program to localities
 - Integration and implementation of stormwater related programs (construction discharges, E&S Control, CBPA, and flood control)
 - "One-stop shopping" concept
 - Evolving Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) status
 - Evolving MS4 permit obligations to meet new discharge standards

Key Factors – Sea Level Rise & Recurrent Flooding

- Importance of coastal/shoreline property:
 - Among most desirable, valuable & productive land
 - Among highest per acre source of tax revenue
 - Mix of uses: commercial, industrial, military, municipal, transportation, recreational, historical, and residential
 - Shoreline uses are surprise! often water dependent or heavily developed, so typically cannot be moved inland
 - Undeveloped shoreline (wetlands, dunes, and forests) offers key protective measures and natural habitat

Key Factors – Sea Level Rise & Recurrent Flooding

- Average tidal elevations increased; projected to continue
- Greater impacts being felt in coastal communities
 - Higher tides aggravate flooding and storm surges
 - Compromise engineered direction and rate of flow from stormwater discharge and wastewater discharge outfalls
 - Greater groundwater pressure leading to increased infiltration and inflow into sanitary sewers, compromising designed capacity

Key Factors –

Chesapeake Bay TMDL

- TMDL Generally
 - When waters don't meet water quality standards, state has to develop plan to improve water quality leads to TMDL
 - Accounts for loadings from point sources, non-point sources, nature and also margin of safety
 - Pollutant diet that gets translated into discharge permits
- Bay TMDL Rule
 - Issued by EPA in December 2010
 - Focuses on nutrients (Nitrogen and Phosphorous) and sediments
 - Applicable throughout Bay watershed (Virginia, five other states and District of Columbia)
 - Watershed Implementation Plan ("WIP"): compliance strategy

Key Factors –

Chesapeake Bay TMDL

- Bay TMDL Purpose and Results
 - Achieve better water quality in Bay watershed, goals of Clean Water Act: good for aquatic life and human uses
 - Tougher permit limits for wastewater and stormwater discharges
 - Could impact surface water withdrawals: TMDL assumes certain flow levels in streams and rivers will be present to absorb effects of allowed discharges
 - Translation into wastewater and stormwater permitting programs and permits now underway at federal, state and local levels

- Stormwater
 - Localities' readiness and cost to implement construction stormwater discharge permit program - staffing and training
 - Localities' roles as the "permitter" (for construction activities) and the "permittee" (as a MS4) – potential for conflicts
 - Restricted availability of space to locate new or larger stormwater controls (especially for urbanized areas)
 - Evolution of nutrient credit program
 - Funding at local level

- Sea level rise and recurrent flooding
 - Causes and projections: uncertainty and controversy
 - Shoreline and flood risk assessment reliability
 - Quality of baseline data: detailed and accurate elevation maps
 - Overlaying accurate elation with land use maps
 - Shoreline impact data and modeling evolving
 - Risk assessments methodology evolving
 - Land subsidence in some areas as added complication/factor

- Sea level rise and recurrent flooding (cont.)
 - Insurance cost and availability for shoreline property
 - Transportation routes and emergency services access
 - Utility infrastructure risk and service feasibility: electricity, gas, water, sewer, cable, telephone
 - Cost of defensive or adaptive measures
 - Capital
 - Ongoing maintenance
 - Shoreline property marketability and value
 - Potential for displacement/loss of current shoreline uses and tax base

- Sea level rise and recurrent flooding (cont.)
 - Two horns of the dilemma:
 - Can localities (and others) afford to be wrong?
 - Costs and risks of not addressing the problem could be substantial, of not overwhelming, depending on exposure
 - But can localities (and others) afford to be right?
 - The cost to address sea level rise itself could be beyond reach: protection v. adaptation v. relinquishment
 - In the end: a question of risk tolerance
 - But if neighboring localities have different risk tolerances, what does that mean for the region and watershed?

- Chesapeake Bay TMDL
 - Positive effects Bay and tributaries should have better water quality, which in turn should result in:
 - Easier raw water treatment for public water supplies and industrial users
 - Improved aquatic life and habitats
 - Safer recreational uses
 - Associated economic benefits with improved fisheries, recreation and tourism

- Chesapeake Bay TMDL (cont.)
 - Concerns
 - Greater costs of compliance with wastewater permits
 - Still greater nutrient reduction or pay for nutrient credits
 - More difficult and expensive for MS4's to comply with permits
 - Greater MS4 stormwater controls or pay for nutrient credits
 - More stringent standards for those discharging into MS4's
 - More extensive construction and post-development controls

- Chesapeake Bay TMDL (cont.)
 - Concerns (cont.)
 - Negative impacts on new growth and redevelopment projects
 - More costly to develop and operate due to stricter standards
 - Need for more space to achieve controls to meet standards
 - Push them to "greenfield" sites or areas not subject to Bay TMDL?
 - Under Virginia WIP, other programs for agriculture
 - Keep livestock out of streams: alternative water sources?
 - Manage field runoff with buffers and other practices: reduces productive crop acreage?

• General concerns

- Economic development impacts due to loss of commercially productive real estate due to more extensive stormwater controls and sea level rise
- Aging water and sewer systems: substantial infrastructure needs and costs area already looming
- Availability and costs of qualified engineers and technical assistance within DEQ, localities and consulting firms
- Potential for disruption within community when addressing hard choices and costs
- Public education about issues, risks, needs, costs and revenue options (taxes, fees, etc.)
- Existing financial stress felt by localities and tax bases

- Stormwater
 - Well developed legal and regulatory framework, but also still evolving in scope and program administration
 - Authority to control stormwater discharge quality from point sources exists, but has limits and nuances
 - Dictating land use not allowed
 - Federal v. state jurisdictional regulated waters
 - Authority to control stormwater quality from non-point sources largely incentive-based
 - Authority to control stormwater volume exists mainly a local function

• Sea Level Rise and Recurrent Flooding

- Virginia Comprehensive Flood Control Program
 - Va. Code § 10.1-658. State interest in flood control.
 - Va. Code § 10.1-659. Flood protection programs; coordination

- Sea Level Rise and Recurrent Flooding (cont.)
 - Virginia Comprehensive Flood Control Program (cont.)
 - Va. Code § 10.1-659 (cont.)
 - Specific elements of flood control program coordination to include:
 - Flood prevention, flood plain management, small watershed protection, dam safety, soil conservation, stormwater management and erosion and sediment control programs;
 - The construction activities of the Department of Transportation which result in hydrologic modification of rivers, streams and flood plains;
 - The water quality and other water management programs of the State Water Control Board;
 - Forested watershed management programs of the Department of Forestry;
 - The statewide building code and other land use control programs of the Department of Housing and Community Development;
 - The habitat management programs of the Virginia Marine Resources Commission;
 - The hazard mitigation planning and disaster response programs of the Department of Emergency Management;
 - The fish habitat protection programs of the Department of Game and Inland Fisheries; the mineral extraction regulatory program of the Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy; and
 - The flood plain restrictions of the Department of Waste Management.

- Sea Level Rise and Recurrent Flooding
 - Police power is sea level rise a threat to public health and welfare?
 - Land use/zoning and site plan controls can a planning regime be developed to manage these issues strategically?
 - Best form of public entity is there a need for special governmental entity to address some of these issues?
 - Public finance mechanisms are there sufficient means of revenue generation to pay for sea level rise management activities?
 - Dillon Rule always lurking for localities
 - VIMS study to inform General Assembly

• Bay TMDL

- As with stormwater, integrated into federal, state and soon – local law and regulation
- Implemented through wastewater and stormwater discharge permitting and other Clean Water Act associated programs

- Synergistic Relationships
 - Stormwater and Bay TMDL
 - Stormwater program already incorporating Bay TMDL
 - EPA efforts encourage (mandating?) green design
 - Stormwater and Sea Level Rise/Recurrent Flooding
 - Excessive stormwater flow aggravates sea level rise and recurrent flooding: some areas getting it from both directions
 - TMDL and Sea Level Rise/Recurrent Flooding (?)
 - Reduction of nutrient and sediment loadings into tidal flooding should help when tides/floodwaters recede

- Process of Integrating Issues and Actions
 - Stormwater and Bay TMDL aspects
 - Already underway with legal frameworks and timelines
 - Will move forward irrespective of seal level and recurrent flooding issues due to current legal mandate
 - Relative Sea Level Rise and Recurrent Flooding
 - Newer issues, so not as far along
 - Localities and planning districts seeking to address
 - Legal and informational gaps
 - Policy development

- First Point to Address: What, if anything, should be done about sea level rise and recurrent flooding impacts?
 - Why this first?
 - Biggest unknowns in terms of potential impacts, costs, physical disruption to community, and legal authority needs
 - Long lead time in one sense, but the response would be longterm as well
 - Stormwater and TMDL already have established programmatic frameworks, objectives and legal parameters
 - Stormwater and TMDL could complement a sea level rise response strategy but only if a strategy has been developed

- First Point: (cont.)
 - Impact assessment and analysis
 - Scope/Nature of Threat
 - What areas are being affected and will be affected?
 - How are they being and to be affected?
 - Timetable for problem unfolding yields timetable for necessary responses
 - Alternatives Analysis
 - Options for response: defend v. adapt v. relinquish
 - Options for use of impacted land/improvements

- First Point: (cont.)
 - Response decision-making: risk analysis and tolerance
 - Which areas warrant protection/defense, adaptation or alternative use, or even relinquishment to a submerged or unused state?
 - Are there feasible alternative uses of property based on projected impacts that would help overall strategy?
 - What are the relative costs and impacts of implementing protection, adaptation or relinquishment measures?
 - Who should bear the costs and how?
 - How is the private market responding and acting (e.g., insurance, lending, and enterprise and utility investment/relocation)

• Leveraging available synergies

- How would onsite post-development stormwater control measures best serve sea level rise response for the community?
- How could natural features be restored or constructed on public land or within MS4's to best serve sea level rise response?
- How could stormwater measures complement transportation and emergency access for areas to be protected what dual use options exist?
- How could comprehensive planning and easements for stormwater management needs incorporate sea level rise defensive and adaptive measures?

- Potential Supporting Legal Mechanisms
 - Specific charter powers granted by General Assembly
 - Comprehensive Planning (Va. Code §§ 15.2-2223 et seq.)
 - Zoning (Va. Code §§ 15.2-2280 *et seq.*)
 - Overlay districts to ensure sea level rise and stormwater management strategies implemented in any site development
 - Subdivision and site plan approval (Va. Code §§ 15.2-2240 *et seq.*)
 - Authority to require removal, repair, etc., of wharves, piers, pilings, bulkheads, vessels or abandoned, obstructing or hazardous property (Va. Code § 15.2-909)

- Potential Supporting Legal Mechanisms (cont.)
 - Service districts (Va. Code §§ 15.2-2400 15.2-2413)
 - Public-Private Partnerships
 - Urban Public-Private Partnership Redevelopment Fund (Va. Code § 15.2-2415)
 - The Public-Private Education Facilities and Infrastructure Act of 2002 (Va. Code §§ 56-575.1 *et seq*.)

- Potential Supporting Legal Mechanisms (cont.)
 - Purchase of real estate (Va. Code § 15.2-1800)
 - Joint exercise of powers by political subdivisions. (Va. Code § 15.2-1300)
 - Regional Competitiveness Act. (Va. Code §§ 15.2-1306 15.2-1310)
 - Participation in certain federal development programs granting funds for housing, community development or economic development purposes (Va. Code § 15.2-956)
 - When floodwaters may be captured and stored by riparian owners (Va. Code § 62.1-104 *et seq*.)

- Potential Supporting Legal Mechanisms (cont.)
 - Eminent Domain (Va. Code §§ 15.2-1900 15.2-1907.1)
 - Allowed "public uses" for eminent domain (Va. Code § 1-219.1):
 - "Ownership, occupation, and enjoyment of property by the public or a public corporation"
 - "Construction, maintenance, or operation of public facilities by public corporations or by private entities provided that there is a written agreement with a public corporation providing for use of the facility by the public"
 - "Elimination of blight provided that the property itself is a blighted property"
 - "Property is in a redevelopment or conservation area and is abandoned"

- Potential Supporting Legal Mechanisms (cont.)
 - Eminent Domain (cont.)
 - "Public facilities" includes (per Va. Code § 1-219.1):
 - "Flood control, bank and shore protection, watershed protection, and dams,"
 - "Parks so designated by the Commonwealth or by the locality in its comprehensive plan;"
 - "Stormwater facilities;"
 - "Transportation facilities including highways, roads, streets, and bridges, traffic signals, related easements and rights-of-way, mass transit, ports, and any components of federal, state, or local transportation facilities;" and
 - "Such other facilities that are necessary to the construction, maintenance, or operation of a public facility as [noted above]."

• Potential Supporting Legal Mechanisms (cont.)

- Cautionary points
 - Dillon Rule
 - Takings and Inverse Condemnation

- Better to take holistic approach?
 - Any one of the stormwater, Bay TMDL or sea level rise/recurrent flooding topics is already sizeable and costly
 - Synergies probably exist, but each community should evaluate extent and compare to risks
 - Integrated approaches may reduce overall costs or produce greater value for community, region and state
 - Stakeholders, partnership options, and regional perspective
 - Risk analysis should drive trajectory of action and spending
 - Costs, funding and expertise availability key factors for feasibility and potential success

Holistic Strategy Framework

- Evaluate current and likely legal requirements and related deadlines, lead times to accomplish
 - Stormwater regulation changes
 - Program delegation to localities
 - MS4 status evolution
 - Bay TMDL implementation
 - Expected EPA rule-making on post-development stormwater discharge standards
- Assess likely impacts and risks posed by sea level rise and recurrent flooding
- Assess market forces at work or to be expected
- Ultimately hangs on level of risk tolerance and what that would mean for community

Questions?

Henry R. ("Speaker") Pollard, V Christian & Barton, LLP 909 East Main Street, Suite 1200 Richmond, VA 23219 Telephone: (804) 697-4118 Fax: (804) 697-6118 E-mail: <u>hpollard@cblaw.com</u> www.cblaw.com



This is provided as an informational service and does not constitute legal counsel or advice, which can only be rendered in the context of specific factual situations.

If a legal issue should arise, please retain the assistance of competent legal counsel.