William & Mary Law Review

Volume *57 (2015-2016)* Issue 4 *Plea Bargaining Regulation: The Next Criminal Procedure Frontier Symposium*

Article 1

3-15-2016

Table of Contents (v. 57, no. 4)

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr

Repository Citation

Table of Contents (v. 57, no. 4), 57 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. (2016), https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr/vol57/iss4/1

Copyright c 2016 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.

https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmlr

William & Mary Law Review

March 2016

Number 4

1309

1343

1395

1415

VOLUME 57

PLEA BARGAINING REGULATION: THE NEXT CRIMINAL PROCEDURE FRONTIER SYMPOSIUM DESIGNING PLEA BARGAINING FROM THE GROUND UP: ACCURACY AND FAIRNESS WITHOUT TRIALS AS BACKSTOPS Stephanos Bibas 1055 PLEA BARGAINING'S BASELINES Josh Bowers 1083 A COMPARATIVE LOOK AT PLEA BARGAINING IN AUSTRALIA, CANADA, ENGLAND, NEW ZEALAND, AND THE United States Carol A. Brook 1147 Hon. Justice Bruno Fiannaca Hon. Judge David Harvey Paul Marcus Jenny McEwan Hon. Justice Renee Pomerance JUDICIAL POWER TO REGULATE PLEA BARGAINING Darryl K. Brown 1225 The Prosecutor's Turn I. Bennett Capers 1277

PLEADING GUILTY WITHOUT CLIENT CONSENT . . Gabriel J. Chin

PLEA BARGAINING Donald A. Dripps

GUILT, INNOCENCE, AND DUE PROCESS OF

DEPLOYING THE GRAND JURY IN THE

THINKING OUTSIDE THE JURY BOX:

WHY PLEA BARGAINS ARE NOT

Training for Bargaining Jenny Roberts Ronald F. Wright	1445
PLEA BARGAINING AND THE SUBSTANTIVE AND	
PROCEDURAL GOALS OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE:	
FROM RETRIBUTION AND ADVERSARIALISM TO	
PREVENTIVE JUSTICE AND HYBRID-	
INQUISITORIALISM Christopher Slobogin	1505
PLEA BARGAINING AND DISCLOSURE IN	
GERMANY AND THE UNITED STATES:	
Comparative Lessons Jenia I. Turner	1549