William & Mary Environmental Law and Policy Review

Volume 2 (1976-1977) Issue 3 Environmental Practice News

Article 2

April 1977

Coastal Management in Virginia: At the Crossroads

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmelpr



Part of the Water Law Commons, and the Water Resource Management Commons

Repository Citation

Coastal Management in Virginia: At the Crossroads, 2 Wm. & Mary Envtl L. & Pol'y Rev. 1 (1977), https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmelpr/vol2/iss3/2

Copyright c 1977 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.

https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmelpr

environmental practice news

A Publication of the Environmental Law Group Marshall-Wythe School of Law, College of William and Mary in Virginia

____ Vol. 2, No. 3 _____

EDITORIAL

COASTAL MANAGEMENT IN VIRGINIA:

AT THE CROSSROADS

Coastal zone management will soon be ntering a critical phase in it's brief hisory in Wirginia. The Commonwealth's Coastal esource Management Program, born of the Fedral Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 and arrently in it's third year of development, fill soon be deciding the organizational structure which the proposed regulatory and slanning program will take. To those unfamilar with coastal zone management, it is issentially a process by which federal, state and local governments coordinate their sten haphazard and conflicting regulation of land and water resources in the nation's scologically fragile coastal areas. Public learings concerning the program are scheduled for the fall, with possible legislation for implementing the proposals to be submitted to the 1978 General Assembly.

Two areas of critical importance in orpanizing the structure of coastal zone mangement in Virginia will be the allocation of
responsibility for management between the
state and local governments, and the determinstion of how the state agency itself will be
reganized and staffed. While the Coastal Zone
stanagement Act is flexible in permitting
sither a sharing of control between the state
and localities or direct state regulation of
coastal zone activities, it appears to be
generally agreed that any final management
plan will provide for generally local adminlstration in conformity with state guidelines

Ind review. Such an allocation of responsisility is a political necessity if the final program is to have any chance of legislative passage. It does not, as some suggest, signal ifield day for local politicking. The 1972 Pirginia Wetlands Act provided for a similar tharing of power, with the administration of the Act being retained by the localities, and with review and authority for the establishment of guidelines being vested in the state. From our reports, the Wetlands Act has been effective, resulting in a dramatic decrease from the rate of destruction of the state's wetland acreage. Perhaps the more conexaversial issue relates to the organization of the state agency itself. In our view, the most favorable alternative would be the consolidation of all state environmental responsibilities, including coastal zone management, into one comprehensive environmental agency. Rather than fragmenting coastal zone management functions (grant administration, fiscal management, local government review, etc.) among several offices, housing all these responsibilities in one agency could help to insure the coordinated effort which is the very heart of coastal zone management. By combining the state's other environmental regulatory offices into one large agency, broad based planning could become more efficient, and duplication of staff and effort could be minimized. Such an agency would demonstrate the commitment of the Commonwealth to environmental matters, of which coastal zone management is only a part.

JOHN C. LAAGER Editor-in-Chief

STEPHEN C. PIELOCIK SARAH E. SLESINGER MICHAEL H. SMITH WILLIAM B. TALTY Contributing Staff