1991

Amicus Curiae (Vol. 2, Issue 4)

Repository Citation
https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/newspapers/389

Copyright © 1991 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.
https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/newspapers
Bill of Rights bicentennial to be hosted by Marshall-Wythe

By BRIAN GOLDEN
Sunday morning, a convey of Marshall-Wythe students will depart Williamsburg to pick up hundreds of V.I.P.’s at area airports. The precious cargo to be retrieved will be more than 400 federal judges in town for a four-day conference celebrating the bicentennial of the U.S. Constitution’s Bill of Rights.

Chief Justice William H. Rehnquist will be a featured guest at the conference, which will be the largest gathering of federal judges in American history. Other celebrities will include former Chief Justice Warren Burger and former Associate Justice Lewis Powell.

In addition to prominent members of the federal and state benches, conference attendees will also include distinguished figures in American government, academia, and the press. The conference is being co-sponsored by the Institute of Bill of Rights Law and the U.S. Judicial Conference.

Law students will not only have the opportunity to ferry judges back from the airports, but many will also rub elbows with the federal judiciary by volunteering their assistance for other activities during the week. Social functions, as well as substantive sessions, will involve student ushers who will guide judges through various events.

The festivities begin Sunday evening when volunteers, judges, and other conference guests will be serenaded by singer Dionne Warwick, who is tentatively scheduled, at a reception in the Wren Courtyard hosted by Governor L. Douglas Wilder and William and Mary President Paul Verkuil.

Monday’s program will kick off with remarks by Chief Justice Rehnquist followed by a roundtable discussion of the Bill of Rights from a historical perspective. Former Associate Justice Lewis Powell will participate in the panel.

The conference will afford the opportunity to display its recent Moot Court talent on Tuesday morning. Ann Meyers ’91 and Steve Nachman ’91, both of the 1991 National Moot Court Championship Team, will return to Williamsburg to argue before three federal appeals court judges. The event is entitled, “New Technology, Due Process, and Privacy in Judicial Conferences.”

See HOOPLA, page 16

Town meeting on Thomas appointment

By DEBBI HOLMES
A panel of the law school faculty reviewed Clarence Thomas’ recent confirmation hearings last Wednesday at a “town meeting” organized by Professor Devins. The eight-person panel, which consisted of professors Jayne Barnard, Neal Devins, Dave Douglas, Michael Gerhardt, Susan Grover, Paul Marcus, Rodney Smolla, and Steve Wermiel, joined with an audience of approximately one hundred to discuss various aspects of the confirmation process.

Marcus set the tone of the meeting by referring to the hearings as a “sad spectacle” and stating that the members of the Senate Judiciary Committee should be “utterly ashamed of themselves.” According to Marcus, throughout the confirmation process, the issue of Thomas’ qualifications was obscured and largely ignored. Smolla suggested that apprehension about alternative nominees led Thomas’ supporters to describe him as highly qualified. In response to a question from the audience, Marcus suggested that the use of trained legal interrogators might improve the quality of questioning in future hearings.

Many of those attending felt that televising the proceedings encouraged grandstanding by the participants. Wermiel, however, defended the intense media coverage by stating that it wouldn’t have been worse if the issue of sexual harassment came to light only after Thomas’ confirmation. According to a member of the audience having personal experience with Congress, the Senators’ behavior in the televised hearings was comparable to that in closed-door pro-

See THOMAS, page 16
From the Editors’ Desks...

Welcome back alums! Or has Marshall-Wythe changed beyond your recognition? The present first year class boasts the highest median LSAT and undergrad G.P.A. statistics of any M-W class ever. And the present third year class dreads entering, or not entering as the case may be, the worst legal job market in recent history. As the caliber of the student body increases, the prospects for gainful employment decrease.

Of course, some things never change, like the computers in the library. If you attended M-W four or five years ago, you may notice that the computers haven’t been upgraded much since then. The paltry technology added includes a pay-per-print system that charges student users seven cents to laser print a page that it costs the University less than five cents to produce. Logos is often confused with community. Includes the recent addition of an attacker who sexually assaults female students. In an effort not to sway too much in the winds of change, the University hasn’t replaced the burned out lights in front of the law school or the broken security phone in the student parking lot. Rumor has it this level of administrative irresponsiveness to student safety concerns has remained constant over the years.

One of the best changes at M-W is that the Amicus Curiae exists and thrives. Alums may not know we presently receive no funding from the University, despite ABA recognition as the best law school newspaper in the country. (Alums note: during your entire workday the next time you will encounter no more subtle plea for generosity than this). Graduates write to tell us we are a lot like the official law school newspaper they remember. Sometimes the more things change, the more they stay the same.

Letters

Dear Editor:

I am an other contestant involved in the Moot Court controversy. I am acquainted with both the judge and other contestant, but I am not close friends with either. I offer this so the law school community can pass judgment on the incident having heard from all concerned.

At my final argument, five of my friends (Thanks guys!) came to watch. In attendance were John Lohnman and another friend who had both competed in the Moot Court Tournament. Mr. Hugans was asked questions about jurisdiction that he had trouble answering. I followed and similarly faced very tough questions which I had trouble answering. Dan was angry outside the court after the argument while waiting for the critique. I too was upset by my performance, but I felt all the judges were fair in questioning. So did those who watched.

Mychal criticized first and simply stated that Dan would need to do more research on the jurisdiction issue and that he felt Dan had lost the initiative spirit as the argument went on. He said Dan had “given up in rebuttal.” Dan was visibly angry and stated (paraphrase) that as long as the scores were in, he asked if he could speak freely. He went on to say angrily that the question on jurisdiction was unfair and that it wasn’t a central issue of the case.

Mychal responded in a raised voice that “Jurisdiction is a question anytime, and if an appellate judge makes it an issue you better believe its important.” Dan was still angry and was about again respond when Mychal said (paraphrase), “It is apparent that you are not interested in my criticism. If your goal was to make the team you did that, I am finished with you!” Then he began his critique. That was the final interaction between the parties.

I learned hours later that Mychal was removed as a judge from the next round. I went to the Board independently and stated that I did not believe his questioning or critique were improper. Robert Bryant said, “He was removed in the interest of fairness to the other 2L’s who were still in the competition. No opinion was formally expressed by the Board on Mychal’s actions or behavior except for his removal.”

I was not a party to the Moot Court Board’s actions or inactions. However, next year I hope to take part in the running of the tournament.

Richard A. Hricik (2L)

Dear Editor:

It seems there are some lessons to being a successful attorney that law school just can’t teach. There’s more to being a successful attorney than a degree from Marshall-Wythe, a passing grade on the bar, and a rudimentary knowledge of legal ethics. Although these are prerequisites, alone they will not take the law student far. The road to being a true professional is not a smooth road, filled with bright choices, and not all of them legal choices.

For instance, examine the choice made by the 2L who, quite by mistake, dropped resumes for two firms scheduled to interview last Friday. Much to his dismay, he was granted the interviews late in the afternoon after his planned departure for our first law school Fall Break. He had several choices: complaining about his misfortune and bad luck, trying to switch times with another student, canceling the interviews and writing to the employers, or driving out of town later than he had planned. Instead of choosing any of these alternatives, he went to Linda Spaulding and demanded she realize the importance of his plans. When she explained there was nothing she could do once the interviews had been scheduled, he stalked out of her office while verbally abusing Linda, the Office of Career Placement, and the entire school. Of all his choices, he found himself the most harm by this last one. I don’t mean immediate harm, like being banned from interviewing on campus, although that may have been justifiable in this case. It seems that he undermined his own talents and achievements to date by expecting Linda to share the burden of his mistake and resulting stress. Why?

I realize this is school, and not gainful employment, but should that make a difference? Old habits are hard to break. Last summer I worked with a bright and pleasant young woman from an Ivy League law school. I won’t have the opportunity to work with her again anytime soon, partially because she told...
process of upgrading the emergency phone "blue light" system, Galloway also spoke out against interracial dating decided not to participate. While the panel itself lacked a dissenting viewpoint, some students in the audience expressed opposition to dating someone outside their own race or cultural heritage. When asked if they were tired of defending their choice to date interracially, panel members cited a variety of reactions from their families and friends, as well as from strangers. Janie Kong (1L) said that while her father strongly believes she should marry a Korean, her mother is black and he and her mother are supportive of her choices. Angela Henley (2L) said although she got looks from strangers, she says that she sometimes feels uncomfortable having friendships with white males because of attitudes from strangers. Stephanie Reyer (3L) talked about the first time she took her fiancé Michael Chu (3L) home to meet her family. Although both sets of parents have been very supportive of the couple’s decisions to date and to marry, Reyer laughingly described her grandmother’s reaction when the older woman discovered that Chu was of Filipino and Chinese ancestry. According to Reyer, her grandmother asked Chu if his parents owned a Chinese restaurant. Upon finding out that they did not, she asked if they owned a Mexican restaurant. When Chu asked her not to worry, she responded, "It’s all right, I’ll just have it delivered." Michael Philip (3L) said that his family reacted very poorly when they dated predominately white men. Philip and his sister dated an Irish Catholic who went to St. Mary’s or Notre Dame and was black. Providing another perspective on their relationship, Reyer said that her mother would prefer that Schultz be black, but that she accepts him for who he is. Her father has no problem with their dating because Coleman’s stepmother is white. Minimizing the differences in dating someone outside her race, Coleman said that although she is not a Republican and is not into hunting and fishing, her boyfriend happens to be white. When asked if one of the reasons that they dated interracially was to shock their parents or friends, the consensus among panel members was that they did not consciously sit down and decide to date someone that would shock the family. The members also said that dating outside their race was not something they had done "just to try it." One of the more thought-provoking questions posed to the panel asked if being involved in an interracial relationship means losing some of one’s own ethnicity. Brian Bonner (2L) responded by saying that you don’t have to sacrifice your ethnicity because of whom you choose to date.

Some audience members disagreed, particularly if an interracial couple decides to have children. Judy McKenzie (3L) and Pam Hampton (2L), both audience members, stated that they only date black men because of their pride for their heritage and their desire to pass that heritage fully intact to their future children. Another audience comment that sparked debate was the idea that some black men choose to date outside their race as a "status thing." Several audience members and panelist Janie Kong remarked that it appears as if these men ignore attractive black women who are interested in them in order to date white women who are less attractive. Afterward, audience member Tom Martinich (3L) said he was glad to see a big turn-out and that he thought the discussion had been successful in sparking debate. Duryll Mitchell (1L) remarked that he expected the discussion to be "more of a shouting match," but that it had fairly covered the subject matter, despite the lack of contrasting viewpoints.

Kim Van Horn (1L) thought "it painted too rosy a picture" of interracial relationships. She particularly liked the exchange of ideas when audience members gave their reasons for not dating outside their race.

Although the panel discussion centered on approval and acceptance of interracial relationships, panelists and audience members agreed that it is not racist to date only within your race. The discussion ended with the consensus that while interracial dating may not be right for everyone, condemnation of interracial couples is definitely wrong.

Student security officer and self-defense combat safety issues

By ANDREW SMITH

Given the recent rash of sexually related assaults on and around campus, the inordinate distance between the student parking lot and the relatively poor lighting on campus and in the dormitories, many law students have become increasingly concerned about security and safety issues.

The administration, in conjunction with the police department, has taken several measures to address some of these concerns with the provision, commencing October 7, of a student security patrol at the law school. The patrol effort consists of one student security officer from the main campus walking between the hours of 7 p.m. and 1 a.m. around the law school and the parking lots with a police radio and a flashlight.

Associate Dean Connie Galloway said the purpose of the patrols is to "establish a visible presence of security," particularly in the parking areas. She credits Campus Police Chief Richard McGrew with "taking [this] initiative to make our environment more safe.

With regard to the lighting of the grassy knoll between the law school and the student parking lot, Galloway said that the lights currently installed are all that were "initially contemplated," but that if these prove to be inadequate, the Administration may request more. Galloway also said that the Campus Police are in the process of upgrading the emergency phone system, in view of the fact that the present "blue light" phones are easily vandalized.

Many observers believe that more has to be done to protect law student safety than simply placing a student with a walkie-talkie in the parking lot. Galloway pointed out that "the most beneficial means of alleviating [the perceived threat] is people helping each other out" by means such as not walking alone in poorly-lit areas and enlisting friends to walk with them. She also suggests that students have the resources to provide extensive escort services and other programs necessary to effect "100 percent safety." One student group concerned with promoting a safer and more secure environment at the law school is the Campus Security Committee (CSC). On October 8, the Committee held a lecture and demonstration on safety and self-defense techniques. Jan Barrymore, of Williams & Mary Campus Police, and Bob Horvath, a local judo instructor, spoke to a group of about 25 students about the dangers inherent to living in Williamsburg and measures students can take for self-protection.

Barrymore began the lecture by demonstrating a street defense maneuver to the audience to counter an attacker. Horvath then gave a brief demonstration on simple judo techniques that anyone, male or female, can use to escape an attacker. Horvath will be teaching a class on self-defense, open to both men and women, at the College later this year. He will also teach courses in the community in October and November.

Barrymore will be teaching a self-defense class, limited to 12 students, at the law school. Students interested in either program should contact Kate Atkins (3L), chairperson of the Campus Security Committee. The programs can be scheduled to fit participants’ needs.

He began with a discourse on the different types of rapists one is likely to encounter, pointing out that anybody — parent, teacher, friend, co-worker — may be a potential rapist. Horvath then gave a brief demonstration on simple judo techniques that anyone, male or female, can use to escape an attacker. Horvath will be teaching a class on self-defense, open to both men and women, at the College later this year. He will also teach courses in the community in October and November.

Barrymore will be teaching a self-defense class, limited to 12 students, at the law school. Students interested in either program should contact Kate Atkins (3L), chairperson of the Campus Security Committee. The programs can be scheduled to fit participants’ needs.

Atkins said CSC’s approach to fostering increased awareness of personal safety among law students is threefold. According to Atkins, the Committee will: (1) continue to disseminate information on dealing with household security, obscene phone calls, sexual harassment, etc., (2) establish safety and education programs at the law school, and (3) cooperate with the administration in instituting safety measures that are unique to the Williamsburg law school walkways and on-campus phones with direct access to the campus police in both the student lounge and the faculty parking lot.

Other members of this year’s Committee are Ellen Chapin (3L), Natalie Guterman (3L), Lee Stokes (1L) and Laura Livacari (1L). All five urge students to contact them with questions and concerns.

From Classic Cameos to Airplanes and Lizards
The Place to Shop for Jewelry Is Parlett’s!

Sterling Silver Earrings, Pendants, Necklaces and Rings...

JEWELRY FOR THE FUN OF IT!

Parlett’s
CARD and GIFT BOUTIQUE
421 Prince George St., Williamsburg
Open Mon.-Sat. 9:30-5:30 Sunday 12-5
229-7878
Weenie wagon offers law students lunch "à la carte"

"The desirability of the weenie cart is directly proportional to the magnitude of hunger..."

By SUZANNE FITZGERALD

Amidst anxious anticipation, on Monday, October 6, the Marriott's weenie cart began serving the Marshall-Wythe community. Response to the cart has been largely positive.

First-year Chris Jones, a weenie wagon regular, called it, "an excellent addition to the campus cuisine." Jones explained that they wanted to add a "too crispy, they make too many crumbs," said Kong, who apparently shares the Administration's concern about the bug and rodent problem which could ensue.

Other student reactions to the weenie wagon cuisine were couched in terms of language. Rick Schwartzbard (1L) posited a calculus of hunger theory. As Schwartzbard hypothesized, "The desirability of the weenie cart is directly proportional to the magnitude of hunger." Schwartzbard won opening day "la car" honors, making two trips to the wagon. In terms of prices, most of those queried agreed that they are very reasonable. Andrew Herzig (1L), lauded the service as "Economical, sanitary and damned convenient." Mike Rausch (1L) though, thought the prices were too expensive and opposed the cart on moral grounds, calling it a monopoly. Besides getting a fairly-priced lunch - which Tara Flynn (1L) claims "rivals dining hall food," — weenie wagon patrons get service with a smile. The Marriott not employees were rated as very friendly. A random first-year called the workers, "courteous, thrifty and well-dressed."

Pro-choice group meets

By BOB DICKINSON

Visitors to the West Point Crab Carnival on Saturday, October 5, were treated to more than soft crab sandwiches - they witnessed a political ferver. The affair was strictly small town America, with a parade featuring every vehicle in the area capable of propulsion: fire trucks, horse drawn wagons, bicycles - even heating oil tanks - all shined to a sparkle for the occasion. As could be expected in an election year, the streets were lined with the booths of political candidates for offices ranging from the local to the Virginia House of Delegates.

Members of the Marshall-Wythe student organization, Law Students for Choice (LSC) were at the carnival, working the crowd on behalf of Delegate Shirley Cooper (D). Cooper, incumbent representative of the 96th district, supports women's right to choose to have abortions.

Cooper says that with the latest round of redistricting she represents "five bridges instead of one." The 96th district now includes King William, King and Queen, and portions of Gloucester and York counties; previously, Cooper's district included Williamsburg.

Cooper has served nine years in the House, and is a member of the Education, Cities and Towns, Health and Welfare, and Privileges and Elections committees. Citing her past representation of the interests of William and Mary, Cooper said that the students' support and assistance "meant a lot" to her.

When questioned on the issue of abortion, Cooper said that she does not believe that Roe v. Wade will be overturned by the Supreme court, even if Clarence Thomas is confirmed as such a change is forthcoming, Cooper feels that the laws regulating abortion in Virginia will not change.

Despite her belief that the Virginia legislature will not strict abortion further, Delegate Cooper suggested that people who believe in a woman's right to make her own reproductive decisions should remain vigilant. LSC offers a vehicle for Marshall-Wythe students who want to be involved in the effort. The group was formed last year, and now boasts a membership of ninety students, one third of whom are men, according to Chairperson Linda Jackson, 1L. Jackson said that the group, which makes decisions by consensus and is not dominated by any one individual, aims to be "the "arms and legs" of existing pro-choice organizations. LSC has been in touch with Planned Parenthood, the National Organization of Women, the Religious Coalition for Abortion Rights, and the National Abortion Rights Action League.

According to Jackson, LSC's primary goal is to increase awareness in the law school community about the issues surrounding abortion rights. A lesser goal is to bring several speakers to Marshall-Wythe. Ellen Applebaum, Director of Communications for the Religious Coalition for Abortion Rights, is scheduled to speak on November 12 at 4:30 p.m. concerning the reconciliation of religious and moral beliefs with a pro-choice stance.

Informal discussion sessions are also in the works. Jackson has invited Professor Gerhardt to lead such a session, which will involve discussion of the issues from a non-partisan, legal/academic perspective. Another session involving the National Abortion Rights League is planned on the topic of federal legislation. Jackson hopes that other groups will join with LSC in co-sponsoring such sessions.

In addition to involving themselves in the election of pro-choice candidates, members of LSC participate in letter writing campaigns regarding proposed legislation, and plans are being made to institute a phone tree to alert people of pending legislative action concerning abortion rights. Some members will travel to Richmond soon to participate in a march at the state capitol and LSC is also pursing the possibility of providing escorts for patients utilizing the services of local clinics that perform abortions.

LSC receives no money from the College, and fund raising to support the organization's activities is also planned. Other officers include Jennie Johnson, 1L, Treasurer, Susie Brown, 1L, and Nancy Delogu, 1L, Secretaries. The group meets every other Tuesday at 4:00 p.m., and welcomes new members.
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FRIDAY, OCTOBER 18
- W&M THEATRE: “All the King’s Men,” PBK, 8:15 p.m.

SATURDAY, OCTOBER 19
- COMPREHENSIVE RECYCLING PROGRAM COLLECTION: W&M Hall parking lot and Crim Dell amphitheater, 9 a.m. to 1 p.m.
- GIFTED LEARNERS CLASSES: 9 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.
- CHILDREN’S ART CLASSES: Muscarelle Museum, 9 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.
- FIELD HOCKEY: W&M vs. Loyola, Busch Field, 11 a.m.
- WOMEN’S SOCCER: W&M vs. Villanova (Homecoming), Barksdale, 11 a.m.
- FOOTBALL: W&M vs. The Citadel, Zable Stadium, 1 p.m. For ticket information call ext. 13344.
- MEN’S SOCCER: W&M vs. George Washington University, Busch Field, 7:30 p.m.
- MEN’S AND WOMEN’S CROSS COUNTRY: VIL Championship, Dillard, TBA.
- EWELL CONCERT SERIES: Andrew Burns, pianist, Ewell Hall, 8 p.m.
- W&M THEATRE: “All the King’s Men,” PBK, 8:15 p.m.

SUNDAY, OCTOBER 20
- Bill of Rights Bicentennial Conference, sponsored by Institute of Bill of Rights Law, Marshall-Wythe School of Law, 8 a.m.
- W&M THEATRE: “All the King’s Men,” PBK, 2 p.m.
- FILM: “Togu na and Cheko: Change and Continuity in the Art of Mali,” Muscarelle Museum of Art, 4 p.m. Free

MONDAY, OCTOBER 21
- W&M THEATRE SECOND SEASON: Directors’ Workshop, Studio Theatre, PBK, 7 p.m.

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 22
- W&M THEATRE SECOND SEASON: Directors’ Workshop, Studio Theatre, PBK, 7 p.m.
- STUDENT PUGWASH: rooms A&B, 8 p.m.

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 24
- TOWN & GOWN LUNCHEON: Campus Center ballroom, 12:15 p.m.
- WOMEN’S STUDIES BROWN BAG LUNCH SERIES: “Women and the Modern Islamic Resurgence,” John Williams, religion, Charles Ctr. lounge, Tucker, noon to 1:15 p.m.
- CHRISTIAN LAW FELLOWSHIP: Bible Study of First Peter, Chapter 2, 6:15 - 7:30 p.m.
- W&M THEATRE SECOND SEASON: “Burn This,” senior directorial project, Studio Theatre, PBK.

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 25
- W&M THEATRE SECOND SEASON: “Burn This,” senior directorial project, Studio Theatre, PBK.

SATURDAY, OCTOBER 26
- COMPREHENSIVE RECYCLING PROGRAM COLLECTION: W&M Hall parking lot and Crim Dell amphitheater, 9 a.m. to 1 p.m.
- GIFTED LEARNERS CLASSES: 9 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.
- CHILDREN’S ART CLASSES: Muscarelle Museum, 9 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.
- W&M THEATRE SECOND SEASON: “Burn This,” senior directorial project, Studio Theatre, PBK.

SUNDAY, OCTOBER 27
- WOMEN’S SOCCER: W&M vs. New Hampshire, Barksdale, 1 p.m.
- W&M THEATRE SECOND SEASON: “Burn This,” senior directorial project, Studio Theatre, PBK.

MONDAY, OCTOBER 28
- CHRISTOPHER WREN ASSOCIATION: Session B begins. (Schedule of courses available by calling ext. 11079.)

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 29
- STUDENT PUGWASH: rooms A&B, 8 p.m.

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 30
- MEN’S SOCCER: W&M vs. ODU, Busch Field, 7:30 p.m.

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 31
- TOWN & GOWN LUNCHEON: Jim McCord and Dave Kleppinger will speaker on the Historic Rivers Land Trust, Campus Center ballroom, 12:15 p.m.

The William and Mary Law School Association invites you to attend

HOMECOMING 1991
October 18-20

SCHEDULE OF EVENTS

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 18
CASINO NIGHT
8:00 p.m. - 12:00 a.m.; $10.00 per person; $15.00 at the door

SATURDAY, OCTOBER 19
CONTINENTAL BREAKFAST WITH DEAN SULLIVAN 8:30 - 9:30 a.m.; $5.00 per person

STUDENT-ALUMNI-FACULTY GOLF TOURNAMENT 10:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m.; Deer Run Golf Course; $10.00 per person plus $7.00 cart fees

VICTORY BARBECUE 5:00 - 7:00 p.m.; Front Lawn; $7.00 per person/$5.00 for students assisting with events
Students forced to limit search jump through added hoops

Kevin Kroner

I do not have a job. In fact, I have not had any interviews. However, this is not due to the top ten percent of the second year class hogging all of the on-campus interviews. I am presently unemployed because my academic credentials fall short of what these employers want in a summer associate, and because my charm, wit, and good looks only go so far.

OCPPP’s policy of restricting the “resume dropping” of students who have impressive credentials purports to protect students like myself without hindering the job search of those top ten percenters. Unfortunately, the present policy accomplishes neither of these goals. At best, it provides mediocre students with a false sense of security. As for the students forced to limit their search, they must jump through added hoops in a job search with no guarantees.

Supposedly, the reasoning behind the policy is that those students at the top of the class do not have to worry about getting a job. Supposedly, if they are permitted to drop resumes indiscriminately, they will occupy interview slots for jobs in which they have no interest and will never accept. Meanwhile, those students with lesser credentials are shut out from interviews where they might have otherwise received job offers. Certainly, this is a well-intentioned policy. The only problem is that it contains some false assumptions.

It is true that if no member from the upper portion of my class drops a resume for a firm, I will have a better chance of getting an on-campus interview with that firm. However, this fact has no impact on the probability of my receiving a job offer from that firm. Law firms do not come to our school with the goal of offering jobs to the best student interviewed. Like any other employment situation, a firm has certain minimum standards. If I fall short of those standards, a wonderful interview will make no difference, the firm will find an associate elsewhere. Meanwhile, I am under the mistaken impression that I am being considered by those firms who interviewed me.

This brings us to the students who were prevented from dropping resumes with the firm in question. I realize that these students do not exactly evoke a great deal of sympathy when they complain about the tight job market, however, they do not have it as easy as we might think. The market is tight and there are a record number of students, at the top of their class, from good schools competing for a shrinking number of positions.

Perhaps more importantly, the policy does not prevent law firms from considering this portion of the M-W student body. When students are prevented from dropping resumes to firms they are genuinely interested in, they will go ahead and contact the firm on their own. I am aware of one situation where a student arranged an interview with the associate conducting the on-campus interviews, during the interviewer’s lunch break. The only difference that the OCPPP policy made was to force the interview to occur off-campus. The present OCPPP policy forced this particular student to go through added legwork in order to save space on the on-campus interview schedule for someone that the firm was not honestly considering for employment.

Certainly, it is unfair for students with impressive credentials to drop resumes to firms with whom they have no intention of working, but what is the limit? Not every student has his search narrowed to one city and two areas of practice. One purpose of summer jobs is to get a better idea of what areas and locations interest us. The OCPPP policy requires those at the top of the class to have an unrealistically clear idea of what and where they want to practice this summer. That is a luxury that none of us can afford in this tight job market.

Finally, I cannot help but wonder what kind of school image this projects to law firms. One of the goals of OCPPP is to interest employers in M-W students. How interested will a firm be when we do not send them the students that they might be interested in? It seems arrogant to assume that a firm will tailor its needs to the composition of our applicant pool. If Tom, Dick, & Harry, P.C. cannot find what it is looking for here, they will go elsewhere. Forcing them to talk with deserving, though less qualified, students is a waste of everyone’s time and resources.

If I thought that this policy had even a remote probability of increasing my chances of finding employment, I would tell those students in the top ten percent to stop whining. Instead, it just looks like a mindless waste.
No accusations needed to discuss sexual harassment

Linda Jackson

There are interesting lessons to be learned from Professor Anita Hill's allegation that she was sexually harassed by then U.S. Supreme Court nominee Clarence Thomas, the least of which are the pros and cons associated with eleven hour political smear tactics. The lessons have to do with how society perceives allegations of sexual harassment, whether those perceptions differ between genders, and what those perceptions mean in terms of how we respond to these allegations.

The Senate Judiciary Committee is an all-male body. Mary McGrory stated quite well in a recent commentary that "any woman watching Hill knows what she was talking about." Inherent in this statement is the understanding that most women recognize sexual harassment because they have either experienced it themselves or know someone who has. I'm not certain that level of recognition exists from our male counterparts. It might not exist because not all men are perpetrators of sexual harassment and non-offenders might have difficulty understanding, if not believing, its existence and significance. Alternatively, it might not exist because certain behavioral patterns are more acceptable in the eyes of one group than another. If either of these theories is true, we need to think about the implications they would necessarily have on our existing power structure and the level of consideration as well as investigation this power structure affords these types of allegations.

Equally important is how this allegation has been subsequently discussed. Whether or not you believe Hill's allegation to be true, it is disturbing to see a number of individuals respond to the topic with a rolling of the eyes, a telling smirk or an immediate dismissal of the idea that Hill's credibility could possibly be equal to or greater than Thomas'. Why is this? Why is it that some politicians, as well as some media, find it necessary in their references to Hill to subtly debase her with slanted tones in their voice and their words? And why is it that, in building arguments for Thomas, discounting support is found in Hill's containing professional activities as they relate with and to Thomas? Are we requiring the victim to further victimize herself by automatically limiting access to professional avenues that non-victims have? If, as government statistics suggest, wholly 42 percent of women experience sexual harassment in the workplace, we would at a minimum, by requiring these limitations, be providing major reinforcement to an existing structure that some individuals claim already prevent women from advancing as highly or as quickly as their male counterparts.

The law governing sexual harassment is a difficult one. It is difficult not simply because of its sensitive nature, but because of the inherent difficulty in establishing what is appropriate evidence, how that evidence should be weighed and who the burden of that evidence falls on. When we step back to consider these important questions, it is imperative that we exclude from our thought process judgmental preconceptions of the charge, the accuser and/or the accused.

A professor of mine raised the issue of Hill's allegation and the role that it might play in Thomas' confirmation process. In leading the discussion he gave a thoughtful description of events and suggested questions we might ask ourselves when thinking about this matter and its potential impact. My reaction was one of triumph. I felt vindicated, as though there was something I had just won. It took a while for me to figure out what prompted my elation and my need to say thank you: he had not offered or argued an opinion on one side or the other. Finally, I got it. It was so completely invigorating to hear someone, particularly someone of authority, address this issue from a completely non-biased, objective perspective. There was no negative slant. There was no accusing slant. There were only the facts of the issue, a definition of the law and a mediator prompting questions and discussion. This is the first step in recognizing and investigating charges of sexual harassment and this is the first lesson we should learn from Professor Hill's allegations.

Feelings on interracial relationships need to be explored

Brian Bonner

This past Thursday the student organization known as S.E.R.C.H. (Students for Racial, Ethnic, and Cultural Harmony) conducted a much needed forum on interracial/intercultural dating and relationships. The forum consisted of a panel of students which represented different opinions and perspectives on the forum's main issue. What does the law school community at large think of interracial/intercultural dating/relationships? The impression is one of mixed reviews.

Perhaps, people should not date outside of their culture or race because "Almighty God created the races White, Black, Yellow, Malay and Red, and he placed them on separate continents. And but for the interference with his arrangement there would be no cause for such relationships. The fact that he separated the races shows that he did not intend for the races to mix." Loving v. Virginia, 388 U.S. 1, 87 S.Ct. 1817, 18 L.Ed. 2d. 1010. But this idea is seemingly too radical to be held by members of this progressive, modern day law school community (or at least such views are not expressed openly). Perhaps, dating other people outside your race is a form of self-hatred and dilutes from the purity of one's heritage. But why does one have to hate themselves or give up part of their heritage in order to experience people for who they are regardless of the color of their skin or the culture from which they are borne? Perhaps, people should date anyone they wish in the hopes of creating one unified race where all races, colors, and creeds are melded into the great melting pot of America, resulting in a uniform species. But why can't differences be celebrated, as one forum audience member suggested, and not be sacrificed because one accepts people for who they are and not where they come from?

These and many other variations could be the overall view at this academic institution attended by Jefferson, but it is difficult to tell. The community here at Marshall-Wythe is seemingly tight-lipped when it comes to this issue, but one thing is certain, there is more than one view present.

Obviously, this institution is not as closed-minded as it can be. There are interracial couples as well as intercultural couples in the lobby of our school, daily. There are intimate friendships composed of men and women of different races, cultures and backgrounds. What does this suggest? Perhaps there is hope that our generation is the generation of change. Perhaps there is a way to maintain our ethnicity and heritage as well as have intimate relationships with persons from other races, cultures, religions, and nationalities and celebrate our differences as individuals.

Cultural tradition has for too long dictated that to date persons of different cultures and races is to dilute a culture or lose a heritage. But now is the time that Marshall-Wythe students of good conscience cannot blindly follow tradition. Perhaps the time has come for us to stop looking at interracial/intercultural couples and friendships with a skeptical eye and treat such relationships as equally as we would treat a homogeneous racial and/or cultural relationship.

Perhaps people who are considering involving themselves in interracial/intercultural relationships should not be worried about what their family, friends or the community will say. Perhaps true friends will not harass individuals who are involved in such relationships. Perhaps the community at large should not completely influence the decisions we as individuals make, although the community cannot be completely ignored. Perhaps our families will not like every decision we make in our lives, but through understanding, compassion, and a little love they can try.

But this won't work for everyone. The bottom line is this entire issue is still as grey and confusing as ever, but at least S.E.R.C.H. has taken the first step.

No one ever said that the acceptance of interracial/inter-cultural relationships would be easy. The opinions, prejudices, and biases that we all grew up with won't be washed away with conversation. We as educated individuals would be fools to believe that prejudice, racism, and discrimination are dead in our society or that what remnants remain will disappear in only a few short decades.

But in the end, we are not powerless to effectuate change. Every revolution begins with one voice speaking out against injustice. Every social change begins with a communication of ideas. Every acceptance begins with an understanding. Changes in attitudes and ideas will not be easy or short, my friends, but that does not mean that it won't occur. Acceptance of ideas, no matter how radical or different from our own, for what they are is the first step towards understanding. It's a people thing, let's all try to understand. Peace.
Ask Miss Demeanor

By MISS DEMEANOR
Greetings once again Happy Students! I simply must extend my heart-felt thanks to that lovely young woman, Jennifer, for yet another opportunity to address all of your burning etiquette queries. I would also like to welcome all the Happy Students back from what I hope was a pleasant and relaxing fall break. I trust that none of you first year students bothered your friends and families with constant reminders of all those "torts waiting to happen" in their workshops and kitchens. A little knowledge can be extremely aggravating to those who leave an occasional rake, broom, or spring-gun lying around.

With your return I'm certain you have noticed the subtle signs of Autumn: The occasional gust of wind, the brilliantly colored Virginia foliage, the long soft Williamsburg rain, and the appearance of registration packets strewn about the lobby. Yes, Fall is upon us and with it, Fall formal Grace.

Marshall-Wythe's annual semi-formal remains one of the most splendid of social events. With any important occasion, however, comes many questions concerning proper etiquette. Although I prefer to answer each letter directly, the volume of mail concerning this event contain many similar queries, so I will first address some of the more general topics and then continue to with individual letters.

Semi-formal is simply that. Gentle­men should be comfortable in anything from a sport coat and slacks to a nice suit. Women should be comfortable in any­thing from a dress appropriate of the day and age, for a lady to ask a gentleman out on a date; specifically to Fall from Grace;

signaled, "Approachable, yet Unapproached"

Dear Miss Demeanor,
Is it considered proper, in this day and age, for a lady to ask a gentleman out on a date; specifically to Fall from Grace?

"Approachable, yet Unapproached"

Dear "AYU".
Yes! Not only in this day and age, but more specifically, in this environment. Most of the young men about the age of your fellow students have spent the last five or six years developing a greater sense of self esteem, therefore allaying some of their fear of rejection and building up courage to "ask out" a young lady. However, for all but the exceedingly arro­gant, law school has destroyed any shred of confidence painstakingly earned in recent their past. Whether battling the terror of being called upon in Con Law, suffering the belittling anonymity of graduate education, or realizing that the job market has stripped him of that grace period between pimples and grey hair, your hollow-eyed co-eds are mere shells of what they thought they were at their last fandango party. By all means, take initia­tive, you may give someone who needs it a real ego boost. And besides, with spring call-backs coming up, you might do well to learn a bit more about rejection.

Dear Miss Demeanor,
I'd really like to ask someone to Fall from Grace this year, but I can't dance. What should I do?

signed, "Two Left Feet"

Dear "TLL".
No law student can dance. Attend any law school social event and you may observe for yourself. Marshall-Wythe's annual senti-formal and coordination were Honor Code violations. Go. Enjoy yourself, and as you gnitate haplessly about the dance floor, remember: they're not laughing at you, they're just trying to keep their balance.

Dear Miss Demeanor,
I've been, like, explaining all semes­ter how my girlfriend from college and I have this, sort of, "understanding" and, well, now she's going to be coming to the dance and I'm afraid that, well, she might not really, like, "understand" things the way I do. How should I, like, handle this?

signed, "In Too Deep"

Dear "Shine".
How should you handle this? Well, I bet you thought you were going to come to the hallowed halls of Marshall-Wythe, be a real cunning litigant, you little worm. Lying and manipulating for base selfish pleasure or purely personal may be the norm in some professional schools, but this is not one, cowboy. And after misleading and abusing the emo­tions of so many of your classmates (not to mention your pining hometown honey) you expect me to assist in your attempts to defraud the female population with some puppy dog innocent "ooh I'm in too deep" plea? I'll tell you how to handle this, you pusillanimous pond scum, tell everyone the truth. Then I'm certain you'll have no more fear of being "in too deep". In fact, you'll probably become more adept at, "like", handling things on your own.

Dear Miss Demeanor,
I've just received my spring registra­tion packet and it seems I'm finally in the first group. But since I've pretty much taken all the stuff I really need and the stuff I want isn't being offered anymore, I was thinking that I should get to school really early anyway and sign-up for all the classes that are really a hoot. Try out for your school's band and take spring break. Add next semester I could get people to pay me to drop classes and move them up a spot on the waiting list. Neat plan, huh?

signed, "Still Showing Initiative"

Dear Brian Fusone,
Good luck on the MPRE.

Confidential to "C. Thomas", Thank you for the lovely gift, mum's word. Oh yes, I'm certain that Sandra enjoys educational films.

Confidential to "Feyes Can't Help It" Under no circumstances is it ever appropriate to inform a professor that he should consider wearing pleated pants. Despite obvious probable cause, you have no standing to assert that objection.

************
Questions for Ask Miss Demeanor should be placed in the Amicus hanging file. The editors will make certain that she gets them. All letters can be anonymous and will remain that way unless Miss De­meanor figures out who you are.

WHAT TO SAY WHEN THE INTERVIEW IS GOING POORLY AND YOU DON'T MIND SHOOTING THE WHOLE THING TO HELL...

By BRETT JOHNSON
Imagine you're a 2L on a callback interview with a prestigious Chicago law firm. Your on-campus interview was great and you have dreams about a $1,200-a-week summer associate position.

Although you go to law school in a very small town, you've previously lived in Chicago itself, as well as New York City and Mexico City—with 19 million people, the largest metropolitan area in the world. You're sitting in a job interview with the partner of a firm, and for the first time in your life, you have landed a callback. You can't believe your good fortune. You've already studied the cases and have formed some ideas about how your own firm could handle the case. You're feeling confident and have decided to bring this to the firm.

"My firm is interested in the case. I have prepared a detailed analysis packet and it seems I'm just the right person for the job."

The experience above actually happened to a friend of mine. Needless to say, she didn't get the job. But making off made her feel a lot better. To help anyone else who may be sitting in a job interview that is going nowhere in a hurry with an interviewer that is grating on your nerves, here are a few suggestions on what to say if you don't mind blowing your already slim chances for getting a job and want to be shown the door.

1. YOUR FIRM RESUMES LOOKS GREAT ON PAPER. I'M WONDERING IF EVERYONE ELSE IN THE FIRM IS AS INCREDIBLY BORING AS YOU, OR ARE WE ALL ABERRATION?

2. WHAT IS THE FIRM'S POLICY ON MOON-LIGHTING? I HAVE A SUCCESSFUL ELECTROLYSIS BUSINESS OPERATING OUT OF MY APARTMENT THAT I WOULD HATE TO GIVE UP.

3. WHEN ASKED TO STATE WHY YOU ARE INTERESTED IN THE INTERVIEWER'S FIRM, REPLY WITH, "FRANKLY, AFTER SPEAKING WITH YOU, I'M NOT." 4. MY LIFE'S AMBITION IS TO REPRESENT JEFFREY DAHMER OR SOMEONE JUST LIKE HIM.

5. I'VE WANTED TO BE A LAWYER EVER SINCE I STARTED WATCHING L.A. LAW AND I REALIZED THE POSSIBILITIES FOR IN-OFFICE ROMANCE.

6. EXCUSE ME, BUT IS THAT SOMETHING HANGING FROM YOUR NOSE?

7. I CAN'T STAND THE IDEA OF PRACTICING WITH A FIRM, I'M ONLY INTERESTED IN THE MONEY.

8. I'M NOT SURE I CAN HANDLE 2,500 BILLABLE HOURS A YEAR. UNLIKE YOU, I HAVE A LIFE.

9. DO YOU MIND IF I CLIP MY TOENAILS WHILE WE ARE TALKING?

10. YOU'RE A LAW FIRM?!? I THOUGHT THIS WAS THE PRELIMINARY INTERVIEW TO GET ON THE LOVE CONNECTION.

Actually the possibilities for having an intentional "crash and burn" interview are limitless. If the above suggestions don't seem like they fit your interview but you still want out, you can try flirting regardless of the interviewer's sex, working on personal hygiene or grooming, or either yawning or laughing uncontrollably. Hopefully you will never need to use the suggestions in this tough to get into. Then during Drop. Add next semester I could get people to pay me to drop classes and move them up a spot on the waiting list. Neat plan, huh?

signed, "Still Showing Initiative"

Dear Brian Fusone,
Good luck on the MPRE.

Confidential to "C. Thomas", Thank you for the lovely gift, mum's word. Oh yes, I'm certain that Sandra enjoys educational films.

Confidential to "Feyes Can't Help It" Under no circumstances is it ever appropriate to inform a professor that he should consider wearing pleated pants. Despite obvious probable cause, you have no standing to assert that objection.

************
Questions for Ask Miss Demeanor should be placed in the Amicus hanging file. The editors will make certain that she gets them. All letters can be anonymous and will remain that way unless Miss De­meanor figures out who you are.
If hungover friends can't look at it, don't wear it to an interview

By RICHARD A. HRICIK

Let me begin by saying that I worked for both an upscale men's clothier and the recruiting department at Arthur Andersen & Co., so I know what I'm talking about. If you don't like what I'm about to say or disagree; TOUGH!

• OVERVIEW: Conservative, Conservative (much like the Court). Are you getting the message? You want to look like you are ready for a night on the town.

The best investment you can make is to spend around $300-$400 on a decent suit. It's a nice touch. The pants should be pleated. Also, cuffs add a little dash to a suit. Cuffs should be in, not out. The width should at least 3 inches wide at the bottom of the suit. The design of a double breasted suit is to break the lapels of the suit close to your neck. The Oxford shirt makes the lapel of the suit and the collar of the shirt meet when buttoned. A major fashion misconduct penalty. Also, no jewelry on your collar. What is OK for Mr. T is not OK for work. Collar bars are out. So are the little chains that are sometimes unfortunately seen with them.

• SHOES: They should be black (preferred) or cordovan and anything else. For a traditional look Dad's wingtips are a safe choice. (And you thought you'd never own a pair.) If you don't like those, go with a cap-toe design.
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Marshall-Wythe students spotted hustling the night away in polyester garb

By PAM ARLUK

The seventies - that rockin' decade of bellbottoms, leisure suits, disco, and the Bee Gees. Although most of us were barely out of elementary school when the disco decade ended, on Saturday, October 5th, the SBA and the Super Grad Thing gave William and Mary graduate students a brief opportunity to relive the past.

Approximately 175 graduate students attended the Seventies Super Grad Thing at the Campus Center. It was an evening of reminiscing about what you were doing during those embarrassing years when the clothes were ugly and the music was worse. Students were encouraged to dress up in their best seventies outfits, and some students actually had the courage to do so.

Michael Chu had one of the best outfits - a dark polyester leisure suit, and with painted-on chest hairs to offset his heavy "macho" gold chain. Stephanie Rever coupled his look with a daring polyester evening gown. Hanna Symmes was seen hustling the night away in some fab boots and a glittering lil' number of a dress. Whether this was a thrift store purchase or, more believably, an old favorite was without a doubt the buzz of Trinkle Hall.

Though polyester abounded at the Campus Center, many people arrived at the party in their current '90s attire. The reasons given for failure to dress up ranged from students' inability to find an appropriate outfit to the intense emotional scars many still feel from their appearances in old family home movies.

The music at the party brought back nostalgic memories of Junior High dances, roller skating rinks, and Saturday Night Fever. Although the Bee Gees seemed to be the most popular group of the evening, a wide range of musicians were represented. DJ Richard Brooks played songs from the Village People (the crowd went alphabet crazy with "YMCA"), Blondie, the Partridge Family, and such hits as "Funkytown," and "Do the Hustle."

Overall, the party was quite a success. Students drank, danced, mingled and made merry. Friends grew closer as they shared their common polyester pasts. Perhaps most importantly though, the twenty-something crowd left thankful that it is definitely 1992.

This anonymous John Travolta look-alike eagerly displays his chest hair - a rare opportunity here at W&M, to be sure.
George Mason faculty take over law review editorship

By STEVE SHEBEST
On June 1, 1992, George Mason University School of Law (GMUSL) will become the first in this nation's history to usurp editorial control of the school law review, replacing the current student editors with faculty members in an effort to improve the quality of the publication.

In a surprise news release and memorandum dated September 10, Dean Henry G. Manne announced his intention to restructure the George Mason University Law Review. According to Manne, the current law review will be replaced by two scholarly publications, the Supreme Court Economic Review, and a revised Law Review.

The Economic Review will be dedicated to "systematically bringing market economic literacy to bear on the work of the Court" and will publish articles from academicians around the nation. The Law Review will be reorganized as a "compendium of the best student scholarship at the Law School" and will not publish articles from the faculty or students of other universities.

Of dramatic significance was Manne's decision that the student Board of Editors will have "full responsibility for the administration of the two journals, but will have no substantive editorial authority -- that will be given exclusively to a faculty editor or editorial committee."

Manne, known as an opponent of student-edited law reviews, cited arguments by scholars that student editorship was "inappropriate for journals that purport to be presenting high quality and often technically difficult new scholarship." Manne further noted in his memorandum that "much of the work that law review students did was of the most menial and repetitive sort and thus did not contribute significantly to a student's education."

GMUSL Assistant Dean Steve M. Crafton -- speaking for Manne, who is on sabbatical -- captured the essence of what this change will do to increase the educational significance of a law review student's work in a statement to the Prince William Journal. "Instead of selecting and editing articles, students will check footnotes and do administrative tasks," explained Crafton.

Student editors were shocked and angered by the decision, reacted by issuing a rebuttal to Manne's memorandum, citing scholarly authority on the propriety of student editorship of professional writings. In addition, the Student Bar Association called for the administration for making its decision without seeking the input of the student body.

GMUSL's student run newspaper, The Docket, reported an emergency meeting was called to discuss the crisis with faculty members. Carolyn Lampe, Editor-in-Chief of the Law Review, said that the students would "continue to publish with or without official administrative recognition." However Crafton, in the same story, emphasized that the take-over was "not a matter of debate." Crafton also referred to student-edited law reviews as "a dinosaur" whose time may have passed.

Michael Chu, an executive editor of the William and Mary Law Review, was skeptical of such an idea. "I doubt if this will be a trend," Chu said. "Law reviews are unique journals. They have a tradition as being one of the only scholarly publications basically run entirely by students."

"The students are just as concerned about the quality of the publication as the faculty would be. The Law Review is a reflection on both the students and the school as a whole. I would be surprised if the faculty would have the necessary time to devote to the publication," Chu said.

This matter may become an issue at GMUSL, as the faculty there has yet to approve Manne's decision, and voted against a similar proposal two years ago.

The debate about student editing arises from the fact that law is the only field of academia where a professional's advancement and career depend upon a group of student editors. All other fields have publications in which article selection and editing are done anonymously, by a professional's peers. This remains a legitimate cause of concern for many, including Manne.

However, some people question the motivation behind Manne's decision. Laura Jones, business editor of the GMUSL Law Review, cited some initial hostility between the Dean and the Board of Editors based upon the Dean's law and economics orientation.

Since becoming Dean in 1986, Manne's pressure to move the focus of the school towards law and economics has met with resistance from many groups, including the Law Review. "There has never been a strong tie between the administration and the Board of Editors," Jones said. "That has always been an area where there was room for improvement."

While many schools sponsor a journal with some faculty editors, in addition to the traditional student-edited publication, Manne's move is without precedent. At Marshall-Wythe, Professor Charles Koch serves as Editor-in-Chief of the Administrative Law Review and selects the articles to be published in the journal. However, students are still involved in editing that publication.

The initial news release announced that funding for the new faculty-edited journal at George Mason has been anonymously provided for by a private foundation.

Though the George Mason administration insists the decision has been made, it may still face a legal battle over the use of the law review's name. This issue is currently under consideration by the George Mason administration. As student editor Dave Foley reported to the Prince William Journal, "We intend to keep our name. The Law Review's intention is to continue to publish in our tradi-
W&M Theatre presents an ambitious “All the King’s Men”

By KEVIN WALSH

The William and Mary Theatre has opened its 1991-92 season with “All The King’s Men,” an ambitious musical set in Louisiana in the early 20th century. The story, which follows a fictitious, ruthless Southern politician named Willie Stark, is adapted from Robert Penn Warren’s classic novel and parallels the historic political career of Louisiana governor Huey Long.

The play is seen—at times in flashbacksthrough the eyes of Stark’s advisor, Jack Burden, a former newspaper reporter, played by Michael Harding. The action of the play tracks Stark (played by Lem Huntington) as he progresses from optimistic, teetotaling, wide-eyed goody-two-shoes, to womanizing, power-mongering, egotistical politico. Harding and Huntington together have to carry the play and they acquit themselves well. Harding’s Burden is that when his inevitable fall-from-bitterness occurs, it’s too easy to predict. Harding’s performance is perhaps too cynical too early, so that when his inevitable fall-from-bitterness occurs, it’s too easy to predict. Huntington is especially believable as the idealistic, innocent Stark in the play’s early scenes; his boyish demeanor is harder to take as he gets more corrupt and Huntington leans a little too heavily on gritted teeth and raised fists to convey the man’s ambitions.

The other stars in this young cast acquit themselves neatly as well. Leanne Gonzalez deserves to be singled out for her portrayal of Sadie, Stark’s worldly-weary mistress. Her singing voice is stunning and, despite a scene or two where she went over the top dramatically, her acting was top-notch. Joe Whitmore was also quite effective as Tiny Duffy, the good-old-boy lieutenant governor. Whitmore plays Duffy as sort of a big goofy Southern Dan Quayle with little more than tobacco juice between his ears.

The stage design is interesting and functional and the players moved about on it with little problem. There were some problems with sound the night I saw it, making it hard to hear some of the actors over the band in the orchestra pit, but for the most part it was adequate.

The music for the show is taken from Ruddy (“Short People”) Newman’s Good Old Boys record and integrated into the show for, as the press release puts it, “thematically purposes.” Overall, the songs are well-done. The cast sings them effectively (especially Gonzalez on the torch song “GUILTY”). Some may find the song “Rednecks” offensive, with its chorus of “We’re keeping the niggers down” especially since the show avoids confronting the racial question almost entirely; in fact, the crowd at the show that I saw did not seem offended by it and laughed at it in the spirit it was presented.

The show is not without its flaws. For one thing, the structure of the play was confusing initially since it began in one time, moved into flashbacks, and then went back to the first time and moved on from there. At close to three hours, the length of the show was also a bit of a problem, especially since the last half an hour or so, after the show’s denouement, is a relatively sloppy tying up of all the loose ends.

“All The King’s Men” is an ambitious production, maybe even too ambitious. Still, it’s not often that something this interesting is going on in Williamsburg.

If for that reason only, it’s probably a good idea to go see it.

“All The King’s Men” is playing at the William and Mary Theatre October 18 (Friday) and 19 (Saturday) at 8:15 and there is a matinee on October 20 (Sunday) at 2:00. For more information call 221-2660.
The Fisher King: a "crazy quilt" of story and theme

By MICHAEL REYNOLDS

The Fisher King—(starring Jeff Bridges and Robin Williams; directed by Terry Gilliam; now playing at local theaters.)

Tragedy, self-pity, guilt, insanity, love, and redemption are the complex elements that director Terry Gilliam attempts to bring together in this interesting, though flawed, film.

Gilliam has always been known for producing films that are big on imagery (Brazil, Adventures of Baron Munchausen, and Time Bandits) and Fisher King is no exception. As with his other films, however, there can be a sacrifice for having too much imagery, and even fantasy, for its own sake. There are certainly scenes which are nearly comedic troupe's classic featuring the killer rabbit, which bears no ostensible connection they share and seeks to help the Williams character change his life.

The story itself centers on a prominent, arrogant New York decayer (Bridges) whose life takes a rather rapid turn for the worse. His life approaches rock-bottom when he encounters a wild and deuded street-person (Williams) who saves his life. Bridges' character is drawn toward the ranting and hallucinating Williams after he learns of a life that has many facets and an involved plot. Some aspects are quite simple while others are nearly incomprehensible.

This film has some truly great moments and dialogue, as well as some very mundane parts. Both stars are very good, if not excellent, and it would not be surprising to see some Oscar nominations come their way. Williams is often at his frenetic and comic best here. As mentioned before, there are some very imaginative and inventive images on the screen that tend to stem from the mind of the disturbed character played by Williams. His mind will not give him peace and we are witness to some of that which only he can perceive.

Fisher King on the whole, however, tends to offer a troubling juxtaposition of humor and pain. At times it is quite touching and then it can be very shocking or disturbing. While this sort of contrast is typical for Gilliam; it does not work to quite the same effect as it did in Brazil, for example. The viewer's perceptions and emotions are taken on something of a rollercoaster ride. This up and down ride often detracts from the points that Gilliam is trying to make about both the characters and society in general. The story is obviously meant to be a parable for modern times—an indictment of selfishness and the fact that we neglect so many troubled people in our society. The overall effect lacks a certain flow and never quite meshes into a satisfactory "tapestry" of story and theme. Instead we seem to get a "crazy quilt".

It's Time for Domino's Pizza

It's Time for Domino's Pizza

Call us! 229-8885

Sun. - Thurs. 11:00 - 1:00 a.m.
Fri. - Sat. 11:00 - 2:00 a.m.

Travel Service

We Support the Marshall-Wythe Public Service Fund

Mention this ad and U Travel will donate $5 to the Fund with your purchase of $250 or more.*

Call 253-1212 or 877-7227

Plan now for Fall break and Holiday travel!

U Travel Service • The Village Shops at Kingsmill • 1915 Pocahontas Trail • Williamsburg, VA 23185


Firm Finders

Legal Job Services.

We Help You Put Your Best Resume Forward.

Firm Finders is an employment service created specifically to help attorneys and other legal professionals find jobs. You simply complete a questionnaire telling what geographic area, firm size and practice area you prefer. From there, Firm Finders will compile a list of firms that match your criteria.

Firm Finders then works with you to ensure that your resume and cover letters are properly prepared, and returns them to you completely ready for mailing. You just sign the letters and drop the materials in the mail.

We offer four affordable and convenient plans. Included in the price of each is the preparation of all cover letters and envelopes, postage costs, and access to our extensive data base on firms throughout the United States and Canada.

Plan A: Identification of 50 firms
Cost: $185.00
Plan B: Identification of 100 firms
Cost: $275.00
Plan C: Identification of 150 firms
Cost: $362.50
Plan D: Identification of 200 firms
Cost: $452.00

For an additional $35, our experts will polish and professionalize your resume or cover letter. Consider the value of your resume, the importance of efficiency, and the seriousness of your future. Contact us today for more information.

112 W. Kirk Ave., S.W., Roanoke, Virginia 24011
(703) 982-3711

TRAVEL SERVICE
Holy happy hour! Cheap food and drink save the day

By HEATHER SUE RAMSEY

Williamsburg lacks many wonderful things that big cities have, including a wide selection of watering holes. However, big city watering holes lack one vital element that abounds in Williamsburg - cheap drinks and free, or close to free, food. Without further comment, here's an annotated list of a few favorites.

- **The Hospitality House**: It's been called The Royce or The Wyndam in the past, now it's the Ho' House. It's been a real bar, so those who don't like alcohol can tell you, it's a little closer than the Hilton, so stop on your way back if you like the drinks and the free food tends to be more healthy, lots of veggies and less cholesterol.

- **Fort Magruder Inn**: I never really liked this place, but since lots of others do, I'm including it. It's a little closer than the Hilton's and the free food tends to be more healthy, lots of veggies and less cholesterol.

- **Paul's Deli**: Okay, so you have to pay for your food, but the $3.25 cheeseburger with fries and cole slaw is still darned cheap. Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday nights they usually have some pitcher special for three dollars. As anyone who lived in Washington, D.C. can tell you, that's only a bit more than the going price for one bottle of beer. Additionally, Paul's has a real bar, so those who don't like beer can get smashed with their beer guzzling friends.

- **Bassett's Restaurant**: One of the many truly wonderful things about the Polo Club is the beer and munchie specials starting at 9:00, when everyone else is charging full price for their drinks. The restaurant offers a special menu after 9:00, so ask if you don't see it on the table.

- **The White Oak Lodge**: Okay, this one is not in Williamsburg. It's all the way down in Hampton, but I'm including it because its well worth the 22 minute drive. It's not for the timid, but the crowd rarely gets as rough as it looks. From the outside, it's a dump, but its what's on the inside that really counts.

They have several pool tables, cheap pitchers and sweet, good sized crabs for $2 a dozen. Since the crabs aren't always available, you may want to call first. As the owner tells it, a waterman and he have an arrangement. If you want an offbeat night of drinking beer, picking crabs and playing pool, this is where you want to be.

---

**10% Discount on all food orders with college I.D.!**

**Bassett's Restaurant**

207 Bypass Road • 229-3614

Join us for great food!

- Open 11:30 a.m. to 2:00 a.m. every day
- Kitchen open late
- Fresh, local seafood . . .
- Grilled steaks . . .
- Delicious sandwiches . . .

Daily lunch and dinner specials

---

**THE TRADITION CONTINUES**

October is Reggae Month at the Green Leafe

---

**Green Leafe**

---

**Discount on all food orders with college I.D.!**
Mychal’s Myriad Myopia

Financial blahs for collegiate sports; Butler’s baby rumored to be “Biodegradable”

By MYCHAL SCHULZ

The end of the baseball season is finally in sight. Those amazing, miracle Twins rolled through the (hapless?) Toronto Blue Jays to win the American League pennant, a feat neither amazing nor miraculous. What is amazing and miraculous is that Professor Lebel didn’t gloss too long or hard. Of course, there’s still time. Speaking of time, isn’t it time for Toronto sports fans to give up on their Blue Jays and concentrate on the most exciting attraction in town? I’m talking, of course, about Rocket Ismail in the CFL. Excuse me while I yawn. Even the CFL has to be more exciting than watching the Blue Jays collapse, again.

Congratulations also to those amazing Atlanta Braves, who squeaked by the Pirates for the National League pennant. The only downside to the Braves victory is that we have to listen to that obnoxious tomahawk chant for two more weeks. By the way, the Twins will win it in 6.

************

Is it just me, or does this seem to be an especially busy, and confusing time of the sports year? Turn on the television and you are apt to see a baseball game, pro football, college football, exhibition pro football, professional basketball, professional hockey, soccer, golf, tennis or the Ms. Olympia contest. Remember when sports were “seasonal”? I can’t believe that anybody can think that sports seasons are too long.

************

In a pathetic showing of athletic non-talent, the second-year Abuse of Discretion softball team bumbled their way to victory over the even more inept third-year team of Marshall’s Maddams. To show that they were truly no good, each team promptly went out and lost in the playoffs. When last I checked, only one law school team made it to the semi-finals of softball, the co-ed team of Plenty-o’-Nothing. And the search for athletic talent in this law school continues ....

************

A recent story in USA Today highlighted the poor state of college athletic programs at large schools. The story summarized the results of a four-month investigation into the finances of the college athletic programs, and included information from 81 of the 106 members of Division I-A. The results were staggering. While a few schools, such as Washington ($55 million dollars in profit, $15 million in cash reserves), LSU ($2 million profit) and Notre Dame ($32 million from NBC contract, all to academies) operate in the black, the overwhelming number of schools operate in the red. Consider this:

• Duke, which won the NCAA basketball championship last year, lost $3 million during the same year.
• Michigan, which always puts more than 100,000 fans into its stadium for football games, and which won the 1989 NCAA basketball championship, barely broke even the last two years, and only after tapping a reserve fund to cover a looming deficit.
• Auburn, long a football power in the SEC, and consistently competitive in men’s and women’s basketball, had the largest reported deficit for 1988-89: $3.76 million.
• Maryland is awash in total debt of over $4.74 million.

Only eight schools in Division I-A appear to make money without the benefit of supplemental student fees and general fund support. In all, Dick Schultz, Executive Director of the NCAA, estimates that about 70% of the 298 NCAA Division I athletic programs are operating at a deficit. These are sobering numbers which indicate that college sports as we know it will not be around for long.

************

From the rumor department:

Yes, Houston still has a football team; however, there is doubt as to whether they play football. There is no truth to the allegation that all those “David Klingler for the Heisman” posters will now be used as diplomas for the team. Anybody who knows anything about the SWC knows that football players simply don’t get diplomas.

Eric Chaffe was in fact drafted in the 42nd round of the free agent draft for the Minnesota Twins, as he so often does when he is horizontal. Congratulations, Kevin. Until next time, peace.

Gloria was not paid by the Atlanta Braves to start the tomahawk cheer in the law school. The tomahawk motion that you may see her do is practice for the next time a student asks her a really stupid question, as opposed to the kinds stupid questions that she gets all the time. There may be truth to the rumor that Liz Jackson will have a real tomahawk at registration, but Dean Sullivan may not let her use it.

Negotiations continue.

The missing SBA funds did not go into the athletic fund of SBA sports commissioner Bobby Cardil. One look at the athletic teams fielded by the law school proves as much.

Finally, this week’s coveted Professor Charles Koch Award, given to the person who actually has athletic talent, though you’d never know it by looking at them, goes to Kevin Walsh. Kevin displayed athletic prowess rarely seen in a law student by winning his firm’s golf tournament this past summer, including a contest for the longest drive. He apparently amazed even the employees of the Putt-Putt course at which the tournament was held. Kevin also slid into third base in a recent softball game without 1) hurting himself, 2) hurting others, or 3) wetting his pants, as he so often does when he is horizontal. Congratulations, Kevin. Until next time, peace.

Golf fantasies and you

By TOM BOOK

Jack Nicklaus, as well as many other golfing greats, believes getting it in the hole is around 90% mental, 9% alignment and 1% other. This tip will deal with the mental aspect, and the next two will discuss alignment and the elusive “Other” aspects.

The way you think about getting it in the hole has a huge impact on your success in that endeavor. All great golfers are optimistic to the extreme. They believe their next shot may well find its way into the hole. You, too, should keep an optimistic attitude. Even if you have had a long dry spell, the next time you play may just be the time when Lady Luck is on your side.

A key ingredient to success is visualization. Before each attempt at getting in the hole, picture the shot in your mind. The more vivid you can make these fantasies, the more likely that result will be achieved.

Visualize the release, the resulting good shot and the kudos from your partner after completion of the act. Fantasy is a healthy part of any athlete’s success, so have fun with your visualizations. You can make them as vivid as you desire them to be, and you shouldn’t be embarrassed. They should make the experience more pleasant.

If you are playing with a partner, it is often fun for both of you to share your fantasies. One of my favorite partners and I used to fantasize that we were Jack Nicklaus and Tom Watson at the 1977 British Open. Fantasies are unique to individuals, but often sharing them makes playing more interesting.

Besides getting your mind in shape to make the body perform properly you need to develop what Ben Hogan called “active tension.” In this state, the mind is excited and alert but not to the point of causing the body to be unable to perform. Most golfers release prematurely when they get excited. You can avoid this problem by hours of practice and concentration.

Other prophylactics to ward off such premature activities include long slow deep breaths and thinking about other things except getting in the hole. My personal favorite is thinking about the “Sultan of Swat” George Herman “Babe” Ruth, but really any baseball player will do.

I hope these tips will lead you to better control over your mind and make you a more confident golfer. Don’t be bothered by players behind you, and don’t worry if your partners are complaining that you are taking too long, just relax and make that shot you’ve been fantasizing about. I’ll see you on the links.
Another exciting, if not potentially embarrassing event, is the Alumni Faculty/Student golf tournament at Deer Run. The cost of $17.00 includes green fees and a cart. Of course, there is also the football game and the Co-Counsel reception, 1 p.m. and 4:15 p.m. respectively.

The big event of the weekend is the "Victory!" Barbecue scheduled for 5-7 p.m. at the law school. The annual event is sponsored by Moot Court and the Law Review.

This year, the $7 student ticket includes Pierce's barbecue and blue grass music, however, there is a nominal charge for drinks. For those interested in helping a little, a $5 charge is also the football game and the Co-Counsel reception will be available to the entire Marshall-Wythe student body. For those who wish to see other substantive sessions, the Bill of Rights Institute has arranged for coverage by the C-Span cable television network.

Professor Martha Minow of Harvard Law School, and the reception Monday evening at the National Center for State Courts will be available to the entire Marshall-Wythe student body. For those who wish to see other substantive sessions, the Bill of Rights Institute has arranged for coverage by the C-Span cable television network.

SCHMOOZE, from p.1

Professor Martha Minow of Harvard Law School, and the reception Monday evening at the National Center for State Courts will be available to the entire Marshall-Wythe student body. For those who wish to see other substantive sessions, the Bill of Rights Institute has arranged for coverage by the C-Span cable television network.

THOMAS, from page 1

Another exciting, if not potentially embarrassing event, is an evening's agenda will include constitutional issues and privacy discussions, while the evening's program features a keynote address by Jan Martenson, United Nations Under-Secretary General for Human Rights, and a performance of the musical, "We The People."

The conference will officially conclude on Wednesday at noon. Among the morning's speakers will be William Webster, outgoing Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, who will offer his thoughts on the Bill of Rights in the international arena.

Most events during the four-day conference will be open only to students in their capacities as volunteer ushers and escorts. However, the Cutler Lecture on Monday afternoon, delivered by Devins compared the media coverage to that of the Gulf War, the Iran-Contra Hearings, or Watergate. He suggested that the public was fascinated by issues involving race and sex, and that the intense questioning established a poor pattern for the future. "Sexual harassment is by definition an aspect of private life relevant to public life, but this a precedent that will be expanded beyond its holding," Devins said.

Barnard introduced a more positive note into the discussion by speculating that Thomas may be improved by his experience, expressing the hope that the hearing, which has been accused himself will increase the future Justice's sympathy for defendants. She also raised the possibility that Thomas' refusal to discuss his personal life reflects a high regard for privacy that may influence his decisions. Gerhardt added that often the goal of a nominee's opponents is to indicate to the nominee what issues they find important.

The comments extended beyond the hearings themselves to the public and the President. Rich Hricik (2L) suggested that the public's low standards for elected officials has left us with Senators whose only purpose is "to launch their five second sound bite." Marcus labelled Bush's decision to nominate Thomas "cynical," and pointed out that given Thomas' relative inexperience and limited responses, the Senate had no choice but to judge him by his character.

A number of women in the audience said that in light of the hearings, they felt that sexual harassment suits had little chance of success, and Grover noted that employment discrimination claims are generally detrimental to the plaintiff's career. When asked whether the hearings had at least raised public awareness of sexual harassment, Grover replied that men were likely to become more paranoid about interacting with women in the workplace.

HOOPLA, from page 1

the Next Century: A Futuristic Moot Court Argument."

Also on Tuesday, Federal Bureau of Investigations Director William Sessions will speak on criminal procedure issues. The afternoon's agenda will include additional criminal procedure and privacy discussions, while the evening's program features a keynote address by Jan Martenson, United Nations Under-Secretary General for Human Rights, and a performance of the musical, "We The People."

The conference will officially conclude on Wednesday at noon. Among the morning's speakers will be William Webster, outgoing Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, who will offer his thoughts on the Bill of Rights in the international arena.

Most events during the four-day conference will be open only to students in their capacities as volunteer ushers and escorts. However, the Cutler Lecture on Monday afternoon, delivered by