The Amicus Curiae (Vol. 11, Issue 3)
La w Students Get High rollers take over law school for night of fun and games courtesy of October 20.

The law school was transformed into a game room with chips and money changing hands at a furious pace. Attendees were given a cup full of chips and "money" to use at the various tables. At the end of the night, a pile of money or chips could boost your ego, but it would do nothing to help pay for your books.

Over 25 volunteers made the night possible by helping to transform the lobby into a mini Las Vegas, selling tickets, and acting as dealers at the tables.

Five law school professors also helped work the tables, including Multimes and Levy.

New Moot Court Members Chosen As Bushrod Tournament Ends

by Carolyn Jackson

The Bushrod T. Washington Tournament ended October 22 with Scott Maule, as petitioner, prevailing in his oral argument against Mark Race to secure the top Circuit Court team.

Ninety-six people began the seventeen day tournament on October 5 with a total of 32 competitors making the final cut for the final round of competition was argued in front of a panel of five judges.

Judge Lydia Calvery Taylor, Chief Judge in Norfolk; Judge H. Vincent Conway, Jr., Circuit Court Judge in Newport News; Judge Vundel, Pauls; Chief Judge in Portsmouth; Loretta Duggan, Esq., former Charleston Office of the Public Defender; and, Leonard C. Heath, Jr., from Jones, Blechman, Webby & Levy in Newport News heard arguments from the finalists.

Judge Piemall acted as Chief Judge for the final Bushrod round.

The Bushrod Tournament determines the members of the Moot Court team on the basis of their demonstrated excellence in oral advocacy. The members of the Moot Court team on the basis of the skill they display in one-on-one oral advocacy. The rounds are structured to be similar to appellate court arguments, with a panel of judges asking questions of the competitors as they present their arguments.

Attention is paid not only to the quality of the argument, but also to the other refinements of appellate practice, such as deference to the judges, ability to keep on track despite the judges' questions, speaking trees, and presentation.
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U.S. Supreme Court Justice Breyer To Receive Marshall-Wythe Medallion

By Katie Riley

Supreme Court Associate Justice Stephen Breyer will be on the William & Mary campus on October 25, 2000 to receive the law school's most prestigious award, the Marshall-Wythe Medallion. The Marshall-Wythe Medallion is an annual award to distinguished members of the legal community, either nationally or internationally. The law school faculty selects the recipient each year, making sure to rotate the nominees through three categories: judges, law professors, and practicing attorneys.

Justice Breyer was the 1990-2000 recipient of the Medallion, and was scheduled to visit the law school in January 2000. However, due to a harsh winter in Williamsburg and Breyer's contract's previous engagee of the flu, the presentation of the award was postponed until this fall. Breyer will receive the Medallion as a dinner in his honor on Thursday, November 9th. From 4:45 to 5:00 PM that same afternoon, Justice Breyer will meet with students and faculty in a question and answer session that will be televised by C-SPAN.

By the next recipient, Breyer will meet with a small group of students over breakfast. He will then tour Courtroom 21, meet with faculty, and visit with another small group of students over lunch. Justice Breyer will also attend the Institute of Bill of Rights Law Symposium on "The Federal Appointments Process," to be televised on C-SPAN.

Breyer was born on August 19, 1938 in San Francisco, California. He received his AB from Stanford University in 1959 and received his LLB in 1964 from Harvard Law School.

Like recent visitor Chief Justice William Rehnquist, Justice Breyer clerked for a Supreme Court justice when he was younger, working for Associate Justice Arthur J. Goldberg from 1964-1965. Breyer has also taught law throughout his career in public service. He was in the faculty of Harvard Law School from 1967-1980, working with the John F. Kennedy School of Government for the last three years.

He also taught law internationally as a visiting professor at the College of Law in Sydney, Australia in 1975, and most recently at the University of Rome in 1993.

Justice Breyer held various legal positions prior to his Supreme Court appointment, entering federal government service as Special Assistant to the Assistant Attorney General from 1965-1967.

After that, he acted as Assistant Special Prosecutor in the Watergate Special Prosecution Force in 1973; Special Counsel to the U.S. Judiciary Committee, Subcommittee on Administrative Practice from 1974-1975; and as Chief Counsel to the U.S. Judiciary Committee from 1979-1980.

Breyer was elevated to the bench in 1990 when President Jimmy Carter nominated him for a position on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit. He was Chief Judge of the First Circuit from 1990-1994. It was in 1994 that President Bill Clinton nominated Breyer to fill a vacancy on the United States Supreme Court.

Breyer's hobbies include bicycling, jogging, cooking and reading.

The law school faculty members have already selected the 2000-2001 recipient of the Marshall-Wythe Medallion. Morris Dees, the chief trial counsel of the Southern Poverty Law Center located in Montgomery, Alabama, is slated to receive the next recipient.

Dees is renowned for his fight against racial and religious bigotry. He has repeatedly been the target of hate mail and death threats for many years because of his commitment to securing equal rights for all through the judicial system.

One of Dees' most famous cases involved a suit against the United Klans of America, then one of the largest and most powerful racist organizations in the country, on behalf of a black Alabamian woman whose son had been brutally murdered because of his race.

In a nationally-heralded triumph for Dees, the court held the United Klan responsible for the murder and awarded the bereaved mother a multimillion dollar judgment. This bankrupted the Klan and put it out of business.

Dees is expected to visit Marshall-Wythe next coming fall to receive the Medallion.

Bushrod Tournament Results Are In
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after school assembly to address the issue raised by the web-site that conflicted with a other after school activities.

Finally, students were concerned that Morrison would harm Jewish students in the school, and formed a club to promote unity within the school.

Morrison filed a lawsuit against the school alleging that the Wythe School District violated his First Amendment right to free speech.

The District Court ruled in favor of the school district on the basis that the web-site was on-campus speech and subject to school discipline. The court further held that disciplining Morrison was appropriate because the speech caused a substantial disruption of the operation of the school.

On appeal, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourteenth Circuit (as its majority of the State of Wythe) reversed and found the suspension of Morrison to be unconstitutional.

The U.S. Supreme Court then granted cert on three issues: whether the speech was on campus or not, whether the school could discipline a student's off campus speech, and, finally, whether the school could discipline a student because the speech caused a substantial disruption of the operation of the school.

Welcome to law school: Sleep is optional.

Westlaw, a necessity.

Time is precious, especially in law school.

Make the most of your research time with Westlaw.

It's easy to learn and a snap to use.

Hey, the quicker you research, the more time you have to sleep.

Access Westlaw at: lawschool.westlaw.com
Federal Appointments And The Presidential Election Featured In Upcoming IBRL Events

By Katie Riley
The Institute of Bill of Rights Law has a number of activities coming up in the next few weeks. Some of these events include:

November 10th
In continuation of the law school year-long celebration of the 200th anniversary of Justice Marshall’s appointment to the Supreme Court, on Friday, November 10th, there will be a scholarly symposium on the “Federal Appointments Process.” The symposium will examine the way in which judicial appointments are appointed and confirmed. The symposium will feature four speakers. Professor Erwin Chemerinsky of the University of California, Professor Chris mcElrory from New York University, Professor David Herron of the University of Chicago, and William and Mary’s own Professor Michael Gerhardt. Professor Gerhardt’s new book, The Federal Appointments Process, will be featured during the discussion. The visiting U.S. Supreme Court Associate Justice Breyer will also be in attendance as well as several other non-law school practitioners. O’CONNAN will co-sponsor the event. The symposium is scheduled for 2:00 P.M. in the McChesney Commons.

November 15th
The Institute of Bill of Rights Law Student Division is featuring the popularity of the IBRL’s symposium on federal appointments. One of the visitors to the symposium, Charles Fishman, will tell students about his career at the Claims Resolution Tribunal in Zurich, Switzerland. The Tribunal resolves claims against dormant Swiss bank accounts from the World War II era. Most of these claims are from the families of Jews killed in the Holocaust. The International Law Librarian and Professor Malouin’s Public International Law Class are co-sponsoring the event. Members of the law school community are invited to join the discussion at 11:30 in Room 124.

November 25th
After Election Day, political reporter David Broder of the Washington Post will speak on “Reflections on the Election of 2000.” Mr. Broder is visiting the law school in conjunction with a visit to the undergraduate college. Mr. Broder will hold the College’s inaugural Floyd H. Andrews Distinguished Fellowship in American Politics. With the uncertain presidential contest since 1960 when John F. Kennedy beat Richard Nixon by a mere 118,574 votes, it is worth noting that Broder began covering politics during that 1960 election, and has covered every national election and convention since then.

ABA Student Division Up And Running At Marshall-Wythe

by Pamela S. Jenkins

After a nearly ten year hiatus, Marshall-Wythe again has an ABA student representative. First-year student Maggie Carr (inviting that in capacity), pulled together the first meeting for ABA members from other students.

“Getting the other organizations now to promote community involvement,” she said. “The ABA wants to know you don’t already have to be a lawyer to start giving back.”

The hope, she says, is that students will continue to be involved in their communities once they are lawyers.

Students also stand to gain a great deal from ABA membership, according to Carr.

Many companies, including Dell Computers, Hertz Rent-a-Car, and IBM give students who are members discounts on their products. There are also discounts on the PRIME class, BARBEE deposition and airline tickets.

Perhaps importantly in Carr’s estimation, it is the opportunity to network with current practitioners by attending conferences and serving as vice-chairs of committees.

“You get to know your future bosses and colleagues,” Carr said, “and make important contacts in your area of interest.”

Scholarships, internships, extracurricular and writing competitions, with cash prizes, are also available to student members.

For a student member fee of $20, access to employment opportunities is available. Students interested in job search online, as well as access to online legal lectures.

Membership in the law student division costs $20. In addition, there are 25 sections of the ABA, each focusing on a different area of the law.

For an additional fee, students can join any section that interests them. These additional fees vary. Internationallaw is the most expensive section according to Carr, costing an extra $15 to join, while joining the Business Law section is free through the end of the year.

Another upcoming project on which Carr is working is an ABA program called the Volunteer Income Tax Assistance program, or VITA.

Around this time, participating student members help members of the community prepare their income tax returns under the supervision of attorneys.

The ABA also provides competitive opportunities for students in those practical skills they will need as lawyers. Each year the ABA sponsors a Negotiation Competition, a National Appellate Advocacy Competition, and a Client Counseling Competition for members. There are three levels in each competition: intramural, regional and national.

Marshall-Wythe recently completed its intramural Negotiation Competition and selected two teams of two members each to go on to the upcoming regional competition.

Team Malvezzi and David Shewchuck comprise one team, Amy Demski and Steven Carling comprise the other.

Carr encourages anyone interested in joining the ABA to contact her for more information.

“There are so many opportunities,” she said. “There’s definitely something for everyone.”

OCPP And ILS Sponsor Annual Careers In International Law Panel

by Carolyn Jackson
OCPP and the International Law Society held the annual Careers in International Law panel on October 11.

Three alumni were invited to talk about their current positions, what they have done since graduating from law school, and tips for students who are interested in getting into international law. Topics included money and time requirements (some money in made with firm jobs, but other jobs are better in public service jobs), the necessity of language skills (some jobs require specific language skills, but there are many opportunities to work in international law without a second language), and the various ways the speakers obtained those jobs.

Richard Chovanec, ’83, works for the federal government, one of the nation’s largest employers. He is an attorney with the U.S. Customs Service in the headquarters office of the Customs Service in Washington, D.C. Most of his duties involve working with foreign governments and with other U.S. government agencies on customs-related matters. Richard LL.M. from the Georgetown University Law Center, where he is now an adjunct professor of law for two courses in customs related law.

Pamela Epperson ’92, works in the private sector in Europe developing and financing of large infrastructure projects around the world.

The final speaker, Rebecca Fischer, ’98, talked about the pros and cons of practicing public service law. She was the Draper’s Scholar for law class, and obtained her J.D. in International Human Rights. She works in international law as a staff attorney with Human Rights Watch, part of the Human Rights Watch, headquartered in New York.

During the reception which followed, students were able to speak with other students about international law careers they should have more questions. Anyone who is interested contact OCPP to get the contact information for the appropriate speaker.
Faculty Decision to Keep 1L’s off of Journals Spurs Debate

Dean Defends Decision

To: Members of Bill of Rights Journal

From: Taylor Revelle

I write to tell you about a faculty decision concerning membership of 1L’s on our law journals. As a matter of academic policy, the faculty has voted not to permit such membership, starting next academic year. Thus, 1L’s now on journals are second and third-year law students. These students are especially important to the faculty: (1) a belief that many students in their first year of law school do not have enough legal knowledge and experience to work with the accuracy and sophistication essential for journals; (2) reports from reference librarians, editors of law journals, and our students that 1L’s have contributed much to the editorial process of journals, a point that some argue make 1L’s important to journals; and (3) student satisfaction with the faculty’s decision. The 1L’s are joining journals largely because of possible competitive disadvantage with their peers and potential employers.

Two other points: First, it’s clear that 1L’s have been important to journal operations. Their absence in the future will create staffing problems that cannot be ignored. I am committed to finding solutions. In my view, there are remedies that don’t involve using people “new to the law” for substantive legal work. For instance, 1L staff members can do more than has been the norm in recent years, and the Law School should provide more secretarial support for our journals.

Second, it’s important to recognize that there was too little “front end” consultation with students about the decision in question, especially consultation with journal leaders who are working very hard to produce excellent product and who understand what is at stake in detail about matters affecting their staffing. Part of my job is to anticipate when consultation in detail is needed. I was adept at the switch in this instance. We will do better on any future unsuccessful journals. No such lessons loom on the horizon.

There have been productive “after the fact” conversations involving journal leaders, faculty advisors, the Vice Dean and me. These conversations will continue until we resolve the staffing problem caused by removing 1L’s from the work force.

Taylor Revelle

Journal Editor Criticizes Decision Process

Dear Editor,

The faculty of the law school recently and quietly voted to prohibit law students from joining law journals in their first year of law school. As the Editor-in-Chief of a law journal that currently accepts first-year students as part of our staff, I am writing to express my criticisms of this decision and the process by which it was reached.

The Bill of Rights Journal has always allowed 1L’s to participate as staff members. There are many valid reasons for allowing 1L participation that benefit both the first-year students involved and the journal of which they are an integral part. Our first-years are generally among the most diligent, reliable, and enthusiastic staff members. Our 1L’s have always felt the faculty is transparent about being on our journal and don’t feel the pressure that many of our 2L members face with their job searches and various other obligations. 1L participation on the Journal also helps to build institutional knowledge and is valuable to the editors as they come across various challenges inherent to the administration of the publication process.

Being a member of a journal is also a positive experience for a 1L student that provides the student not only with a jump start on many important skills, but also with the network of 1L and 2L students that each help them through common first-year anxieties. At the same time, 1L membership on a journal, or lack thereof, does not set a student at a decided advantage or disadvantage in comparison to other students. The elected editors on the Bill of Rights Journal joined their first year, but many did not.

Being a member of a journal does not negatively impact a 1L’s legal studies. Our 1L members rate high enough in the ranking competition to gain journal membership. Our first years are constantly on the top of their game, especially committee editors. They are capable of making their own choices about their ability to juggle different activities.

This unilateral decision by the faculty to prohibit 1L participation from the journals is a decision that affects the students, not the faculty. I do not feel it was a decision that was made with the rights of 1L students in mind. All the students who attend this law school are competent adults who are capable of making their own choices about their ability to juggle different activities.

Further, I believe that the faculty’s decision was made to appease the students, not the faculty. I do not feel it was a decision that was made with the rights of 1L students in mind. All the students who attend this law school are competent adults who are capable of making their own choices about their ability to juggle different activities.

There was too little “front end” consultation with students about the decision in question, especially consultation with journal leaders who are working very hard to produce excellent product and who understand what is at stake in detail about matters affecting their staffing. Part of my job is to anticipate when consultation in detail is needed. I was adept at the switch in this instance. We will do better on any future unsuccessful journals. No such lessons loom on the horizon.

There have been productive “after the fact” conversations involving journal leaders, faculty advisors, the Vice Dean and me. These conversations will continue until we resolve the staffing problem caused by removing 1L’s from the work force.

Kira Driscoll
Editor-in-Chief
William and Mary Bill of Rights Journal

Sound Off Your Opinion

The Amicus welcomes any and all submissions as Letters to the Editor. The subject can be something about the Law School or it can be some issue you just wish to share with your opinion on. The Amicus maintains a file, on disk if you can, or emailed to us at "amicus@mail.wm.edu".
**Reviewers are Less than Dazzled by Bedazzled**

By Jessica Norris and Andrea Folsom

Groups that are accustomed to only reviewing comedies, this movie is reviewed "Bedazzled," the new Brendan Fraser/Elizabeth Hurley comedy. A light little movie (a remake of a 1960s flick of the same name), this movie was fun, but nothing to write home about. But we aren't writing home about. But we aren't writing for your enlightenment, so here it goes...

**AR: I will watch anything with one character is Elliot Richards, a dorky computer programmer. Fraser also appears as a Caledonian drug lord, a non-sensitive crying man, or, as, limited pro editor, but we aren't writing for your enlightenment, so here it goes.

**IN:** If you are a male person who enjoys watching female people going around in tight little outfits and low cut tops, this movie will probably appeal more to you. Every scenario features Elizabeth Hurley in tight garments. Whether she is a co-ed with a football team with a marching band or Hurley struts her stuff as Beelzebub. She seduces the hapless Elliot into signing the waiver for his final convic... or in the way he comes across.

**AH:** This is going to be a short review because other than the frequent outfit changes by Hurley and the frequent changes in pseudoentropy by Brendan Fraser, there wasn't too much else. The ending is pretty predictable, and the strongly smelly person who turns out to be played by a little kid and oddly cast... Why is he crying? Why is he in jail? The basic strategy - learning about yourself is the only way to change your life for the better. It has been done before, but this is a classic, made by the affectionable characters the lovely Mr. Franz (NOT Fraser) - it is plodding with all that combined.

**PH:** Part of the fun of attending this movie was watching Audra McDonald address Brendan Fraser (as if you couldn't tell from her above statement). Surprisingly, she was very quiet during the movie, amazingly so considering that one of Fraser's "war..."

**Urban Legends in Your Inbox**

By The Computer Curmudgeon

A liberal recently sent me an email warning me about a new virus called "WOBLER". The email explained that Microsoft and AOL had suddenly released a warning due to the extreme danger of this virus, and that they would only give you the virus itself. The "WOBLER" virus, I was informed, was something called "Caliornia," and if I didn't immediately defend the message, it would delete my email and make sure that I should forward the message to everyone I know so we could spread quickly. Sound familiar? Chances are that if you've received any email with the title "Email with the title 'DID NOT SELL IN: Since 1960'".

**Email Tracking Program:** This email explains that it is part of an email-tracking program, and that if you offer your email address onto the list, you will be revealed when the final reaches a certain length. This letter is often attributed to Bill Gates, but promises a $1,000 payment. Remember the old adage about things that sound too good to be true...

**CRACKERS GET THE CANCER:** The crack epidemic and the deli-dying wish is to get into the Guinness Book of World Records for setting a male world record in giving cards, business cards, emails, etc. This is one of the oldest urban legends going, originally spread by operetta and fan, and actually has a foundation in truth. At age seven, Craig was diagnosed with terminal cancer, and tried to get into the record books for the most get-well cards. He received many of them, and made a interlude book, but the second category was later removed because the hospital continues to receive millions of cards every year as the call for greeting cards continues to circulate. On a positive note, Craig's diagnosis was real, and he has since grown into a healthy adult. I am personally glad for the sickening experience of having to turn out to be an urban legend or email hoax.

**A Movie to Avoid:**

**The Rant Review**

By Jessica Norris

Since this will be a politically correctness-free review, I'll take all of the flack for this one. This issue's rant concerns "The Contender," a political thriller that has been described as "Occur-quality." Perhaps the critics are referring to Oscar from "The Godfather" because this movie needed it. It was way too long, overacted, and the plot was very confusing and somewhat confusing. If that wasn't enough to keep you from a movie, it also included nonsensical scenes and silly characters with both unnecessary and annoying.

I had to pick my least favorite aspect of the movie, I would have to say that it is the hilariously obvious messages conveyed by the makers. What are the messages of this movie? For starters, Democrats are good, and Republicans are evil. Joan Allen, who played the Democratic senator nominated for Vice President, opened the liberal agenda while the Republican Congress was having its nomination hearing portrayed as an evil, unscrupulous, and vindictive to the thoroughness in the McCain camp. It is nothing that DreamWorks obtained this rights so close to Election Day.

**An example of the ideas pushed by those responsible for The Contender is the Vice Presidential nominee's goal of**

**Touched by an Angel? No, there is an affinity the FCC to pull "Touched by an Angel" off the CBS lineup for religious reasons. If the network ever pulls the show, I can think of a few decent home remedy...

**Avoid:**

**Telephone Scam Warning:**

This is just the most recent incarnation of a pratfall that has been floating around for a long time. In fact, the FCC was petitioned to regulate religious shows based on Establishment Clause claim, but the issue was settled in favor of the FCC in 1975. The FCC has since had to respond hundreds of times to the petition. See the FCC address at [www.fcc.gov](http://www.fcc.gov).
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More Clip 'n' Save Marshall-Wythe Trading Cards! Collect them all!!

Here we will form such characters as may be useful in the national councils of our country, Not!!

Check out the Law School's slate of Presidential Candidates. Who gets your vote?

Charles Gray
- is what could be termed the Populous Candidate of this group. He's billed himself as a normal guy and has worked hard to meet the expectations.
- But go with position. At Fall Move Grace and other recent voters Charles has been demonstrating that even a Presidential candidate can have some fun. He says "Hell if Clinton can be President why not me?"

Matt Vinciguerra
- is the above the board honest politician of this group. He has refused to stoop to the mudslinging his opponents have so readily engaged in. However, his low key approach has left him lagging behind in the polls.
- Attempts to boost his visibility have only worsened the problem. His new campaign slogan "Striking in 2000" has only led to numerous arrests for public nudity.

Martin Zerfas
- is the old fashioned candidate of this group. More at ease in the smoky backroom than giving a stump speech, Martin has strong support from the old line politicians. Questions persist about his campaign finances about exactly why his campaign received funding from the tobacco settlement. There are also whisperings about a habit of wearing women's clothing that his campaign has staunchly denied.

Mike Wise
- is a master of the political "pressing the flesh" technique. With his easy smile and quick handshake he meets and greets potential voices and quickly makes an impression on them.
- He is also well versed in keeping moving so as to maintain the first impression and to avoid any of those pesky questions about issues and stuff.
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Loose Fish All Politics Are National

By Dennis Cullahan

One cannot swung a cat by its tail at the law school nowadays without hitting a whistleblower academy discussing various aspects of the present situation. With the stroke of Clinton's incrimination stick at Al Gore and if, in the future, it will be offset by a perception that the impeachment was a ploy to prop up the届Researchers\n
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Thursday, October 25, 2000

RIGHT OR WRONG?

By: Your Daddy (James Franklin, III and Jon Reed)

Ten days. That’s it. Ten days until America decides who will serve as President of the most powerful country in the world. Notaetiquette, Your Daddy is not without an opinion as to whom we should elect. So, here is a special election article courtesy of Your Daddy.

Every four years, much of the nation joins together in two oft-repeated queries: “America decides who will serve as President and why isn’t he running?” The short answer is that Your Daddy is just too busy wrapping up his third year of law school to conduct a successful campaign for the Presidency. (Rest assured, ye of dashed future plans for new Oval Office.) Nevertheless, Your Daddy will attempt to loosen up a little bit. Unfortunately, Your Daddy has never made enough misleading statements to stretch the truth. Regardless of course of the current Administration, the Democrats already have the potential negative has been turned into an asset to a presidential candidate, because he is just using his family name to compensate for the Biblical miracles in his performance, one highlight was number four of the Top Ten Most Things I’ll Do if Elected President: Give the Oval Office a Good Scrubbing. Critics be silenced.

Other Bush critics have voiced concerns over the Governor’s apparent past as a “frat-dog” who enjoyed barley and hops copious amounts. As a general rule, don’t open email attachments that you weren’t expecting to receive or are from people you don’t know. Instead, the so-called “Love Letter Virus” and others are nothing more than programs that have been attached to an email message, and can only infect a computer if the email was opened. Your Daddy endorses Governor George W. Bush.

Regardless of whom you may decide to vote for in the upcoming election, Your Daddy encourages all of his readers to exercise their civic rights and go to vote. Unless, of course, you plan to vote for Gore.

Tuesday November 7

Remember to Vote on Election Day

Vote Early, Vote Often

Company employee and ask you to dial 98 followers by the pound sign. Supposedly, this will then allow the person to dial long distance calls as you. There is some truth to this one, but it does not apply to all to residential telephone service. In fact, it only applies to businesses whose private branch exchange (PBX) case “9” to get an outside line, in which case you are telling your switch to transfer your caller to an outside line.

Charles Drew, Discoverer of Blood Plasma Died of Racism

The legend goes that Charles Drew, an African-American who discovered plasma blooId to death because a whites-only hospital did not admit him following a car accident. This legend has been widely spread and even published in Time magazine. In reality, despite the immediate care by three physicians in the car with him during the accident, immediate admission was impossible because a whites-only hospital would not admit him following a car accident. Charles Drew died of massive injuries sustained when he was partially thrown through the windshield of the car, and created as the car turned over on him.

Carol J. Miller, Co-author of-length-Talk

Carol J. Miller, a religious historian, is the author of-length-Talk. At this time, there is no email virus circulating that can be spread merely by reading an email message. Instead, the so-called “Love Letter Virus” and others are nothing more than programs that have been attached to an email message, and can only infect a computer if the email was opened. Your Daddy endorses Governor George W. Bush.

Remember to Vote on Election Day

Tuesday November 7

Vote Early, Vote Often

Computer Talk

Companies from 6

BIBLEICALpriSTY FOUND BY NASA

This email is a first-person narrative describing an alleged event at NASA where scientists plotting satellite trajectories found their calculations failing when they fail to compensate for the Biblical miracles in God caused time to stand still for a day, remained true for forty minutes, etc. Fort assured that NASA has no need to take into account Biblical timekeeping in order to launch satellites.

At this time, there is no email virus circulating that can be spread merely by reading an email message. Instead, the so-called “Love Letter Virus” and others are nothing more than programs that have been attached to an email message, and can only infect a computer if the email was opened. Your Daddy endorses Governor George W. Bush.
We don't play hard to get.

Law.com... Where Your Law Books Are Always in Stock.

At the law.com Student Store, we have over 5,000 titles in stock every day at discounted prices. We ship every order within 24 hours—other online stores make you wait weeks for casebooks and study guides. Plus, if you order over $100 in materials, we'll ship them to you for free. Visit the law.com Student Store for your casebooks, study guides, software, employment guides, exam preparation materials, and more.

Visit us 24 hours a day, 7 days a week at http://store.law.com or call 800-628-1160.