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FDA Chief to Speak at M-W Graduation

By PETER KUBIN

"Who?" Many students at Marshall-Wythe echoed third-year Cathy Clemens' reaction to the announcement that David Kessler would be this year's law school commencement speaker.

Kessler, who was appointed by George Bush to head the Food and Drug Administration, has proven to be an aggressive and sometimes controversial administrator of the agency. Apparently garnering more respect in Washington than at M-W, Kessler recently accepted an offer to retain his position, although he offered his resignation along with many other Bush appointees.

Kessler holds degrees in both law and medicine, and has been the FDA head since late 1990. Upon his appointment, Kessler wasted no time launching a number of highly visible enforcement actions, starting with the seizure of thousands of cases of "Citrus Hill" reconstituted orange juice that carried the misleading label "fresh." The FDA has made news during his tenure a number of times, most notably for their overhaul of the federally

See DRUGS, page 28

Judicial Council adds "Comment" section to Honor Code

By PAULA HANNAFORD

During a meeting of occasionally intense debate, the Judicial Council voted on Monday, March 22, to add three Comment sections to the M-W Honor Code in an attempt to clarify ambiguities revealed in the wake of Kevin Kroner's (3L) Dec., 1992 Honor Code trial.

The new Comments address the standard to be used by the Probable Cause Panel in examining whether sufficient evidence exists to warrant a trial, the timing of trials in cases involving allegations of cheating, and the keeping of records concerning the application of Honor Code procedures for future reference by future Council members.

The most contentious debate occurred over the proposed Comment to clarify the probable cause standard. The Judicial Council voted (9-1) to accept a low-threshold standard for advancing an Honor Code investigation to the trial stage. The majority of the Council members agreed that the proper standard to apply was whether "reasonable people could disagree" about whether evidence existed warranting a trial. The lone dissenter, Fred Jacob (2L), argued forcefully for adoption of a higher standard. "Either [the probable cause determination] means nothing, or we need a higher standard than just whether reasonable people would disagree," said Jacob.

The Judicial Council also unanimously voted down a proposal to include a Comment clarifying the role of the School Prosecutor in Honor Code litigation. The debate centered on whether the School Prosecutor should act primarily as a fact-finder during Honor Code trials, or whether he should be a "zealous advocate for the Honor Code" and argue for conviction of persons accused of Code violations. After failing to come to a consensus, the Judicial Council concluded that the Honor Code authors were "intentionally ambiguous" on that issue, and decided to leave future interpretation of the School Prosecutor's role to the discretion of future Council members.

Two other Comments were approved by the Judicial Council with little debate. One permits the Chief Justice to postpone an Honor Code trial for cases involving allegations of cheating to allow the professor to grade a sufficient number of examinations to form an opinion about whether cheating has occurred. The second Comment permits Judicial Council members to keep a collection of "helpful notes" about Honor Code procedure for future Judicial Council members to use in interpreting various sections of the Code.

Also during the meeting, Judicial Council Chief Justice Susanna Broaddus (3L) announced that the SBA had voted to extend the term of second-year Judicial Council members' appointments to two years. Current second-year members will be retained into the next academic year unless they make a specific request to be relieved. Broaddus also announced that she had requested, but had not yet received, written proposals from the Honor Code Revision Committee organized by John Brownlee (2L) to institute reforms to the student-run Honor Code.

By DOUG MILLER

Kyle Short (2L) was elected President of the Student Bar Association in elections held Tuesday, March 30. He ran unopposed and received 146 votes during the seven-hour polling.

Short, who also ran for president last spring, served on the SBA this year as co-chair of the Social Committee, and representative to both the Board of Student Affairs (BSA) and the Graduate and Professional Students' organization (GAPS).

Short said his lack of opposition was due to his lengthy involvement with the SBA. "I think people saw that I had a real interest in doing the job," he said. "It's not just a resume builder, for me. I think people know that I have the energy and enthusiasm to do the work."

Other write-in candidates to receive votes included Dave Pemini (2L) with nine votes, John Brownele (2L) with two votes and Dave Delk (2L) with two votes. Twenty-four other write-ins with only one vote each were counted.

Perhaps the most significant job for the new SBA President will come in the next few weeks as Short nominates co-chairs of the various standing committees which serve the SBA. Short must also select new members of the Judicial Council to administer the Honor Code. Both groups of nominees must be confirmed by a vote of the executive board of the SBA.

"Increased involvement is one of my biggest goals," Short said. "The committee structure has been pretty loose in the past, and I'd like to see that tightened simply.

See PREZ, page 26
From the Editor's Desk...

Do you remember the story of Marbury v. Madison? A landmark President John Adams made sure three of his Federalist Party members of the current Supreme Court were on the bench. Now a Supreme Court has been created that only has 3Ls. At any other time, this action would be just an irresponsible gaffe. However, this year has seen the current Honor Code and Judicial Council in the center of great controversy. A responsive and representative SBA should have opened the entire process to public scrutiny and debate. Instead, they idly sat by while a grass-roots committee did their job for them. Finally, the SBA effectively boxed out any second-year student who may have been inspired by this year’s events.

The reasoning behind the reappointments is that the current Judicial Council has done an excellent job. This justification is utterly responsive to the unprecedented nature of this reappointment. Furthermore, it is inconsistent with the past practice of this SBA. At least one 2L member of the 1991-92 Judicial Council was not invited to serve as a 3L in 1992-93. Carter didn’t seem too interested in rewarding 3L’s good work when he took office last Spring.

Letters

To the Editor: During one of my daily gossip sessions on the naugahyde, I had the displeasure of hearing about two members of the Judicial Council thinking about the Honor Code. First, the revisions and recommendations that were made by the ad hoc committee led by John Brownlee were never presented to the current Honor Council. Secondly, Joe Carter reappointed all of this year’s justices to another term. I write this letter to convey no disrespect or criticism of the current members of the Council, but I am extremely critical of the current Honor Code and Council appointments. Acting at the request of Chief Justice Susanna Broadus, Carter has effectively eliminated any other second-year students from contributing to next year’s Judicial Council. Broadus claims [see story p. 3] that there is still room to add interested rising third years. If she took the time to read §2.01 of the Honor Code she would know it mandates that the composition of the Council is limited to only seven 3Ls.

What possible motivation could be behind such a facially inexplicable and unprecedented move? We would pretend that it is an innocent act meant to recognize distinguished service. Then again, here are a few facts for you to ponder: This school year has seen more debate over Honor Code and Judicial Council procedure than any year in memory; The current Chief Justice has refused to lead any public discussion or inquiry regarding this debate; a grass-roots reform committee presented numerous suggestions of Code revision to the Chief Justice; This year, 1992-93 SBA, voted to extend the appointments of all current second-year members of the Judicial Council for another year. No one ever asked the seven 2Ls if they still wanted the job, but it is now theirs unless they quit. Does this make it right? Judicial Council appointments have never been done in this fashion. Each SBA has traditionally made all appointments for only one year, allowing the new President and SBA to appoint the entire Council when elected. Thus, each Judicial Council reflects the priorities of the current SBA. SBA justice should reflect the nature of the celebration.

Out of Our Heads

This May, along with the commemoration and recognition of our three years of hard work, we will celebrate the 300th anniversary of the College of William & Mary and the 200th anniversary of the first law degree. Leading the Class of 1993 in this historic occasion will be David Kessler. That’s right, David Kessler.

For those of you who don’t recognize this household name—or haven’t read the news story on page one—Kessler is head of the Food and Drug Administration. In a nutshell, his claims to fame are mandating accuracy in nutritional labeling and banning breast implants. Admittedly, this characterization may not be perfectly fair, as Kessler is certainly the first FDA Administrator to give the agency some real teeth. However, his talents and abilities have little bearing on the graduating class as a whole and the historic significance of this particular commencement.

Certainly, Mr. Kessler sounds like an interesting speaker for anyone interested in health law issues or administrative law. The Law School Speakers Forum would have done well to get him to speak at one of its sessions during the school year.

Unfortunately, the requirements for a commencement speaker should be something more than strong interest shared by a limited audience. Any graduation speaker should have broad appeal and should speak from experience in an area of relevance to all law students.

Perhaps the suggestion of Ice-T as our commencement speaker was a little too much for some to handle, but the underlying idea has some merit: Considering the significance of the occasion, the 1993 commencement speaker should reflect the nature of the celebration.
Outgoing SBA reappoints Judicial Council to another year

By DOUG MILLER

The SBA has extended the appointments of all the second-year representatives to the Judicial Council for another year. The action came at the last meeting of the SBA held March 17. According to SBA President Joe Cartee (3L), the vote to extend the appointments was intended to reward their exemplary performance during the current year. "I think we have told the Senate that some second-year reps have all done outstanding work, and I would really like to be able to extend these appointments when we ask who is interested in serving again," he said. The full executive board of the SBA approved the extended appointments unanimously.

The Judicial Council administers the law school's Honor Code, as well as SBA elections and referenda. Judicial Council representatives are appointed by the SBA President and confirmed by a vote of the full executive board. According to Cartee, the appointments were made for either one year or two years. "In this case thought the wise thing to do was to appoint them for one year and see how it went," Cartee said. "Now that they have shown what a good job they can do, I wanted to extend their appointments for another year." The Judicial Council, which handles many SBA bylaws, have caused some controversy. Fred Jacob (2L) who is one of the Judicial Council reps said he was disappointed the extension might deprive other 2Ls of the chance to serve. "I don't want to sound ungrateful," he said, "but allowing us to stay without having to reapply may have dashed the hopes of some other 2Ls who wanted to have the chance to get involved." Jacob agrees with the idea of a two-year appointment, but he said, "It creates problems in this case because when the SBA picked us last year it was only for a one-year term. Traditionally the incoming SBA president appoints members of the Judicial Council and one would expect that the new SBA president and executive council would have that same opportunity." Susanna Broaddus (3L), the current head of the Judicial Council, downplayed the concern. "Normally there isn't this huge mass of people applying, so I don't think a lot of people will get shut out. Also, there is no hard rule that the Judicial Council has to be half 2Ls and half 3Ls, so if there's someone who really wants to do it the new SBA could put them on." Newly elected SBA President Kyle Short (2L), who was at the SBA meeting, also regretted about the message the appointment sends. "I am sure Joe [Cartee] motivations were in the right place, he felt comfortable that they would continue to do a good job," he said. "But I would have waited and let them apply along with everyone else. This way locks people out of the process who might have developed a real interest during the year." Cartee said he felt comfortable with the SBA's action. "These people have done a tremendous job, and they deserve to be reappointed," he said. "It was within our power to appoint them for two years to begin with, I just felt the prudent thing to do was make a one-year appointment, and based on their performance, extend it." In addition to Jacob, the representatives who were reappointed were Tim Bird, Nancy Delogu, David Hopkins, Katie Horton, John Lockard, and Julie Reynolds, 2Ls.

Gay officers attack ban

By JOHN CROUCH

The military enforces its gay ban through blackmail and lying, according to Air Force Capt. Greg Gresley and Navy Lt. Tracy Thorne. They described their experiences as officers and as gay men to about 150 students in a panel discussion held Tuesday, March 23, sponsored by Students for Ethnic, Racial and Cultural Harmony. Professor Rodney Smolla also sat on the panel and discussed the constitutionality of the military's ban.

Gresley and Thorne emphasized that they came from conservative, small-town backgrounds. Thorne was a fighter pilot trained at a cost of $2 million. When he stopped representing his homosexuality and gradually let it come out, the Navy placed him in command of a chull cookoff and a Xerox machine.

Thorne's treatment was slightly ridiculous, but Gresley's experience was far uglier, and, he said, more typical. While working at the Pentagon, he slowly realized he was gay. Disappointed that he could not serve his country while living his life honestly, he sought a discharge. All went smoothly until, on the appointed day, the Washington Post's front page displayed a picture of Gresley leading a gay pride parade.

A very nice man from the Air Force explained to Gresley that they would not prosecute him if he admitted he was gay, revealed what he had seen and named at least two gay airmen, so they could be briefed on the risk of blackmail by foreign powers. Gresley was skeptical. Gresley says most gay servicemen, young and uninformed, would have admitted being gay and been prosecuted. Knowing his rights, he declined to incriminate himself or discuss private matters. The Air Force conceded that they could not prosecute, but indefinitely suspended his discharge for being "uncooperative." Exposure in the press and in Congress finally induced the Air Force to discharge him honorably.

"I will not be blackmailed, and... the Air Force found that out," said Gresley, addressing the argument that gays are a security risk because spies can blackmail them. In fact, he said, the only major blackmailer of gays is the U.S. military. He cited a Pentagon study which found no evidence that homosexuality had ever caused a U.S. serviceman to reveal secrets. Another study, he said, found that gays had better service records.

"Unit cohesion," another argument for the ban, was hotly discussed. The officers stressed that strong cohesion is a product of training and combat, not a prerequisite for them. They cited examples of combat cohesion between openly gay and straight soldiers in the Gulf War (during which the ban was suspended).

Faculty approves exam scheduling changes

By DOUG MILLER

A unanimous faculty approved a one-year trial proposal to provide students with exam schedules prior to registration. Associate Dean Connie Gallaway said a draft exam schedule had already been prepared to implement the change for the current registration procedure.

The vote came during a meeting of the faculty held Thursday, March 25. Professor Trotter Hardy, who heads the Academic Status Committee, submitted the SBA-written proposal to the full faculty after his committee approved it. According to Hardy, the exam schedule used to be released prior to registration, but "evidently caused a lot of conflicts which are a real pain for faculty to have to deal with." In order to avoid the conflicts and resulting difficulties with exam security, the exam schedule was withheld until registration numbers were known.

To reduce the number of potential conflicts, Gallaway has changed the way she plans the exam schedule. "There used to be an attempt to schedule around the concentration of 2Ls or 3Ls likely to be in a course without much attention paid to when the classes were scheduled," she said. "The newsystem will group exams for classes which are held at the same time, since we know the same person can't be in two classes at once."

"We can't guarantee that there won't be one or two classes whichresult in a lot of conflicts, but we have a much better chance of reducing them now," Gallaway said.

The proposal passed with little discussion. Professor John Donaldson questioned how big a problem it really was. "If this is only a few students I think we're wasting our time. If it's ten percent then perhaps we should be talking about it," Donaldson said. Hardy responded that "the proposal came from the SBA people which purports to represent student opinion, and they apparently thought it was serious."

After the vote Acting Dean Richard Williamson reminded faculty that the proposed change was for only one year. "In order to continue the new system the faculty will have to take some further action next spring," he said. After evaluating the new procedure, faculty can either vote to adopt it permanently, or do nothing and let the trial period expire and revert to the old system.

In other business, the faculty...
Kevorkian a killer at Cook-Off; PSF pledges top $11,000

By JOHN LOHMANN
The Public Service Fund held its annual Chili Cook-Off on March 26. The event is sponsored by PSF each year to cap off pledge week and to say thanks to those who have pledged support for PSF's public service stipends.

The event was a smashing success. There were at least 16 competitors in the Cook-Off, and the attendance was wall-to-wall. Music was provided by the Duffs, who played a mix of folk, rock and progressive. The weather held out through the Cook-Off despite ominous clouds.

I began my review of the Cook-Off in the beer line. The beer, well, it was cold. While standing in line, Rob Church (3L) burst forth from the crowd, sweating profusely and cutting something about the "Kevorkian." I asked around and Sonya Speilberg (1L) told me that this same "Kevorkian" had "turned Scott Lesmes (1L) three shades of red." I was later to learn that what Rob and Sonya were referring to was "Uncle Kevorkian's Downright Deadly Chili," the entry of Rob Walsh (2L) and Scott Layman (1L), which would eventually win the Hottest category.

Other winners included Jimmy Entas' and Paul Possinger's (3L's) "Jim and Paul's Stomach Acid and Furballs," which took second in the Hottest category; "Spotted Owl" by Maarty Sangers (3L), which took second in the all-around Best category; "Kennedy's Dark Incontinent" by Bill Kennedy (1L), which took first place for Best Name; and "Persistent Vegetative Chili" by Sean Sell (3L) which took second place in the Best Name category.

The best all-around chili, "Dean's Mean Green Chili," belonged to Dean Overy. About this distinction, the Dean said, "My wife made the chili, my secretary made the sign, and I just stand here and take the credit." Professors Grover and Felton were the official judges this year. When I tried to pry a personal favorite from Professor Grover, she, eternally judicious and fair, said only, "They're all lovely, I have to say."

Among the other entries included "Black Angus in a Pensive Mood," "Road Kill," "Sex," "Sensual Assault," and "Uncle Chester's Laxative Magic."

The winner from the previous year's Cook-Offs, Joel Wurster (3L) was also competing this year with his "Morter City Powerhouse Chili." Tom Book (3L) said of Wurster's entry, "If he doesn't win, there's no justice." Wurster granted in approval, adding, "This chili's got some wango to it."

Dave Dalke (3L) was also competing this year. The event, according to Dalke, is the largest single fundraiser for the organization. Members of PSF staff the lobby table for a week, eliciting pledges from students and faculty. Everyone who gave received a button and anyone pledging over $50 received a t-shirt. The pledge drive was organized this year by Dave Pfeferkorn (2L).

This year all the pledges are going through the school's annual fund. Dalke explained that for each student to pledge through the annual fund, PSF receives an extra $10 in the form of a matching grant from an generous alumnus.

The net take this year was $11,777. This figure includes the $10 matching grants. While an impressive amount, Dalke said that this was below last year's figure and said "I'm just a little disappointed."

He clarified, stating, "the individual pledges have been very generous, it's just that the number of pledges is down. It's really clear that a lot of people just don't have jobs."

When asked about the quality of faculty contributions Dalke responded favorably, stating, "We've had strong support from the faculty."

Despite their best efforts...

Moot Court team wins Vanderbilt national tournament

By PAULA HANNAFORD

Beyond all hope or expectation, the M-W moot court team of Joe English, Kevin Kroner and Heather Sue Ramsey (3L's) defeated NYU Law School and captured first place at the National Constitutional Law Moot Court Tournament at Vanderbilt University on March 27.

"We hadn't prepared very well, and our brief was lousy. But once we got to Nashville, fortune smiled on us and we really hit our stride." said Kroner, who attributed their good performance on Saturday to a massive team hangover.

"As we gradually began to feel better, our arguments got better and better," Ramsey and English said they enjoyed the weekend. "We had a lot of fun and met a lot of nice people," said English. "Some of the other teams were very serious about winning, but even with the hangovers, we were just smooth."

"The key to winning a moot court tournament," Ramsey said, "is to make sure that the number of bars you drink in exceeds the number of rounds you argue in." Ramsey was also pleased with the team victory. "Coming home with the trophy almost makes spending the weekend with Kevin worthwhile."

Arguing for the State in a case involving the conditioning of government benefits and fundamental rights analysis, English and Ramsey bested the NYU team in the final round with Ramsey's novel legal reasoning that "an individual has a liberty interest in his right arm," an argument that had the apparent effect of shutting down any further questions from the judge. Added Kroner, "it didn't hurt us that Joe had written his student note for Law Review on the conditioning of government benefits."

All three were surprised by the announcement of their victory. "I had already congratulated NYU on their win after the final round—and even offered to buy them a beer," said Kroner. "When the judges finally announced at the banquet that they had decided in favor of the Respondent, it took a few minutes before we realized that that was us."
EPA director explores High Court's environmental record

By JOHN CROUCH and MARGARET HARDY, special to the \AMERICAN CURIAE\.

A California state appeals court has ruled that gun dealers can be held for injuries occurring after a gun that has been sold to an adult is given to a minor with the dealers' knowledge. This ruling places upon the gun dealer the duty to ensure that they are not selling guns to individuals who, by federal law, cannot buy them.

This group includes minors, felons, drug users and the mentally ill.

KING'S PRIVATE LIFE PUBLIC . . . A libel suit was dismissed against Rev. Ralph David Abernathy who, in his recent autobiography, wrote that the Rev. Martin Luther King, Jr. spent part of this last night with a woman other than his wife. The suit was filed by the woman who was assumed to be the one referred to in the book, although she was not mentioned by name.

The district judge dismissing the suit held that Rev. King's private life was a matter of public interest thereby requiring the plaintiff to prove the author was grossly negligent in printing something that was not true.

(Wall Street Journal).

JURY EXCLUSION . . . A Ninth Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals has ruled that jurors may be excluded from serving because of their young age. The case involved a murder conviction of a 21-year-old man in whose trial the prosecutor rejected two jurors under 23 years old. The Court held that young adults do not constitute a group that could bring an equal protection challenge for jury selection.

(Discrimination Settlement . . . Potomac Electric Power Co. has settled a class action lawsuit that alleged the company had discriminated against blacks and women who worked there and applied to work there.

The company will pay $1.3 million, an approximately $20,000 plaintiffs, minus the $12 million attorney’s fees and costs. In addition to the payment, the company also agreed to make changes in its hiring and employment practices.

(Small Claims Tobacco Suit) . . . A unique tobacco industry lawsuit is scheduled to be heard in a Washington state small claims court. The plaintiff is seeking $1,553, the amount he says he spent to quit smoking including the cost of nicotine patches, doctors' visits, and a health club membership. Because the case is being heard in small claims court, Philip Morris, the defendant, is prevented from using the impressive array of attorneys that usually defend them in larger cases. A salesman with Philip Morris will be representing the company.

(Sunken Treasure) . . . The U.S. Supreme Court has let stand a lower court decision that gives recovered sunken treasure to the salvor--in this case, the insurance companies that insured the shipment 130 years ago.

(REPRINT) . . . Los Angeles Times.

Lynch Law . . . 46 Mississippi jail inmates, all black, have been hanged since 1987. Rev. Leshbia Morones's employer cannot dock her pay for being late 51 times in six months ruled an Oakland, Calif., judge.

(Financed . . . The Curry's chief was a stranger. He urinated on her belly, lamenting that they had a night to put together, and the next morning the chief was in jail.

(Alabama v. City of Rome) . . . A $20,000 state lawsuit is scheduled to begin next week over the city's public records policy. The state Supreme Court held that the case is being heard in a public place.

(Advertising Barriers) . . . A new way of lawyering about corporate corruption is being sued by the insurance company.

(Lynch Law) . . . Justice William Rehnquist ruled that the Supreme Court had no jurisdiction to review the state's decision.

(REPRINT) . . . Los Angeles Times.

Arizona v. City of Rome . . . The Arizona Supreme Court held that the language in the congressional act was not clearly part of the law.

(REPRINT) . . . Los Angeles Times.

California Logic . . . The Curry's chief was a stranger. He urinated on her belly, lamenting that they had a night to put together, and the next morning the chief was in jail.

(Financed . . . The Curry's chief was a stranger. He urinated on her belly, lamenting that they had a night to put together, and the next morning the chief was in jail.

(California Logic) . . . Los Angeles Times.

Arkansas Follies . . . William Meine sued the Corrections Department, which re­leased him ten months after the Arkansas Supreme Court reversed his conviction. Wardens say no one told them.


Commercial Speech . . . The Supreme Court struck down Cincinnati's ban on sidewalk racks advertising tabloids. Justice Stevens said the law was not narrowly tailored to the city's aesthetic and safety concerns, since it did not affect newspaper machines.


House Divided . . . When Spruill awarded the house to Brooks's estranged wife, Brooks moshed it with his backhoe, lamenting that Spruill "ain't paying me no mind." Brooks had worked 16-hour days and 7-day weeks to put $70,000 into the house.

(Pro- Lifers Fined) . . . The judge ordered an abortion clinic to pay $22,500. The clinic's attorney argued that the fine was not a punishment.

(REPRINT) . . . Los Angeles Times.

New Defense . . . A Ft. Payne, Ala., lawyer won a $170,000 verdict against a hospital, which had closed a window, finding that the defendant's car was fishing for a net in the broken glass.

(Law Report).

FLAKES . . . An arsonist filing a bogus claim may sue his insurance company for its distressing negligently unfair investigation, Wyoming's Supreme Court held.

(The Curiae)
Sullivan works to align W&M quality and reputation

By KEVIN KRONER
(The following is the second part of an interview with College President Timothy J. Sullivan, reflecting on his first year in office)

Amicus: We've already started to broach the subject on the law school level and the College level, but I was wondering about your impressions of five or ten years down the road. What is on your list for expansion or enrollment expansion, but what is on your list for about your impressions of five of an interview with College law school level and the College? Is it now as a place of very high

President Timothy J. Sullivan, ten years from now, should be recognized as one of the country's great law schools.

It seems to me, between what the law school currently is and the way it is perceived in some places. Although, it is a very highly regarded law school right now; it really is. If you go out and talk to people in Virginia or around the country, in the profession or otherwise, you'll get, I think, a very positive response. Is it just a matter of continuing the work that has been done over the past six or seven years; or is there something different, a reallocation of priorities that we are going to need to do in order to keep moving forward? I can't see the future that clearly, you know. I know that the institution is essentially healthy, and the people in the faculty and the leadership of the law school will have to make judgments based upon circumstances on how to maintain that state of health. It's hard to know just what will be required. In a case like that, you need people with wisdom and judgment to make the right decisions to make sure that the school continues to improve.

That brings me to another area I wanted to ask you about, and that is the new Dean... [Without discussing any specific candidates,] what do you think Marshall-Wythe's needs are from the new Dean?

I think it would be great to have first, a person with some sensitivity to the law school's culture. I don't mean someone experienced with the law school, I just mean someone who has grasped the kind of forces that have shaped the law school and brought it to its present situation. Someone that can open the school up to the school with an inflexible, preconceived set of values and try to impose those on the school. So I guess I'm suggesting someone with flexibility and sensitivity, who's not rash in his or her kind of manipulation thinking. That's the first thing.

Then, I think it would be very desirable to have a person of national stature, a person who's committed to good teaching and to the broader education of the students of the law school. Someone who is interested in the law school continuing to have an impact on the legal profession, not just academia. Someone who has, what I just call, "leadership ability." The capacity to relate to individuals and groups of people, to motivate them to do better than they think they can do for the benefit of the school.

At the risk of asking you to look into a crystal ball, what do you think are going to be some of the things coming down the road at the law school that are going to require the leadership skills that you are talking about? Well, I think continuing to recruit and retain top-flight faculty, [and] continuing to build within the faculty a sense of common enterprise that causes people to work together cooperatively in ways that are atypical of a lot of law schools. That is a major challenge. I think doing everything possible to enhance the employment opportunities of our students. Third, is raising increasing amounts of private funds to support the law school's aspirations in ways that the state is never going to support.

One of the things that struck me as interesting when I interviewed the candidates for the position of the Dean candidates, was that all three of them commented on the undersize of the faculty.

Yes, it's smaller than it should be. That is exactly the comment that all of them have made. I'm wondering what are the plans of adding faculty?

Right now, I can't tell you that there are any specific plans. I'm not saying that there aren't going to be, but that it hasn't loomed up on my radar. It is something that we need to address, that is, think about and consider how we might deal with it. But, right now there is no concrete plan to expand the faculty in any significant way.

Is that going to be up to the next Dean to drive for, if he or she chooses?

I'm sure it is.

Is the law school at a critical stage right now? We've been talking for a while about the glut in the legal field.

"There has been tremendous positive change in the way the law school has been perceived in the last 15 years. But, there still is a little gap. Between what the law school currently is, and the way it is perceived..."
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Is that going to be up to the next Dean to drive for, if he or she chooses?

I'm sure it is.

Is the law school at a critical stage right now? We've been talking for a while about the glut in the legal field.

"There has been tremendous positive change in the way the law school has been perceived in the last 15 years. But, there still is a little gap. Between what the law school currently is, and the way it is perceived..."

...do you think are going to be some of the things coming down the road at the law school that are going to require the leadership skills that you are talking about? Well, I think continuing to recruit and retain top-flight faculty, [and] continuing to build within the faculty a sense of common enterprise that causes people to work together cooperatively in ways that are atypical of a lot of law schools. That is a major challenge. I think doing everything possible to enhance the employment opportunities of our students. Third, is raising increasing amounts of private funds to support the law school's aspirations in ways that the state is never going to support.

One of the things that struck me as interesting when I interviewed the candidates for the position of the Dean candidates, was that all three of them commented on the undersize of the faculty.

Yes, it's smaller than it should be. That is exactly the comment that all of them have made. I'm wondering what are the plans of adding faculty?

Right now, I can't tell you that there are any specific plans. I'm not saying that there aren't going to be, but that it hasn't loomed up on my radar. It is something that we need to address, that is, think about and consider how we might deal with it. But, right now there is no concrete plan to expand the faculty in any significant way.

Is that going to be up to the next Dean to drive for, if he or she chooses?

I'm sure it is.

Is the law school at a critical stage right now? We've been talking for a while about the glut in the legal field.

"There has been tremendous positive change in the way the law school has been perceived in the last 15 years. But, there still is a little gap. Between what the law school currently is, and the way it is perceived..."
Attorney-fathers discuss balancing family and law career

By SARAH NEWMAN

Balancing a legal career and a family was the topic of a panel discussion held Monday, March 22, by Mary and William as part of the group's ongoing celebration of Women's History Month. Last semester the group heard from several female lawyers about the effort involved in juggling both a career in law and a family. This most recent discussion presented the issue from the male point of view.

The three panelists included Professor Walter Felton, and MW alumni William Devine and John Tarley. Each has taken a different approach to playing the dual roles of both attorney and father. Felton is a divorcée and single parent of two. Devine, who works at a Norfolk law firm, has been married for 15 years. His wife is also a lawyer, but has retired from her firm to raise their child and to do volunteer work and other activities. Tarley and his wife are also both attorneys who decided to leave their jobs at big law firms to start their own practice, which allows them the time they wish to spend with their two children.

After briefly introducing themselves, the panel took questions from the audience regarding their experiences as both lawyer and family man. All three panel members commented on the time constraints of raising children and still getting office work done. Felton and Devine stated that during busy periods they often work late at night and early in the morning in order to spend more time with their families.

Felton, who married while in law school, feels that traditional gender roles may have changed in the past few years. The other panelists agreed that today it is more common for men and women to share the burdens of the home and workplace more equally. Far from feeling negatively about these changes, the group seemed to appreciate the opportunities they have had to get more involved in their children's lives and the homefront.

The panelists advised that the wish to have a family and participate in it is something which should be considered in choosing a job. Issues such as location and size of a firm may influence the time spent in the office and away from home. Both Devine and Tarley advised being upfront with employers about one's commitment to the family and discovering a firm's attitude about family time. Some firms are more progressive and understanding than others.

Can't remember the French you took?

By BOB DICKINSON

Feel like you're back in high school—same old faces, same old teachers? Had enough of the case method? Consider taking a non-law school course! Law students may take a maximum of six hours in other College departments and have them count toward their law degree. Any third year student may receive up to three credit hours for any course requiring intellectual accomplishment, provided that the course does not cover essentially the same material as any course offered by the law school. Any second or third year student may, with prior approval of the Academic Status Committee, receive up to three credit hours for any College course that is related to the study or practice of law and which does not essentially duplicate the subject matter of any course offered by the law school.

Non-law school courses offer alternatives

By BOB DICKINSON

Dave Delk - SBA Vice President

Having served on the SBA as a 1L student representative and as vice president for the past year, I have the experience and knowledge of SBA procedures necessary to continue to perform effectively. As with any position, the vice president is amorphous and is more or less defined by the willingness of the vice president to accept responsibility from the SBA president. The vice president must be willing to perform many of the SBA's less glamorous roles (soliciting student opinion surveys for example) while also providing the president with input on any matter which concerns the law school population.

Next year is an extremely important year for both the SBA and the law school. Another dean search will be conducted next year. The SBA needs to ensure that all students and student groups are represented fairly on the student dean search committee. Moreover, the SBA must be able to effectively solicit student opinion on all the candidates who visit the law school and transmit these opinions to the appropriate sources. Another major goal of the SBA next year will be to get Marshall-Wythe back involved with the ABA. An increased involvement with the ABA will not only promote our law school but will enable our SBA to interact with SBAs at other law schools to improve on what the SBA does at Marshall-Wythe.

Experience will be an important factor in determining how successful the SBA is next year. The law school needs people who are not only committed to making the law school experience a little more pleasurable for everyone, but also enhancing the reputation of Marshall-Wythe outside the Williamsburg area. I would like the opportunity to continue to serve as vice president.

Charles Griffith - SBA Treasurer

Ah yes, here I go again leaping into the political fray but willingly and hopefully (I beg of you) victoriously. As if the joy and honor of serving as a second year representative were not enough, I now wish to be your Treasurer for the SBA. The time commitment responsibilities will be greater, but no less satisfying. I simply do not have enough to do.

My qualifications? I have not inhauled (at least not in recent memory, say for 10 years—well beyond the reach of my failing short term memory); I have never hired domestic help, alien or otherwise; I don't even know Gennifer Flowers; and I have never traded hams for sausage.

Numbers do not scare me, only letters (I was a Physics major), and I can operate a calculator (slide rule, too, but who cares). I'm a full fledged member of the A.C. (Anally Correct, i.e., Law Review) and thus derive great pleasure in dotting my 'i's' and crossing my 't's'. Lastly and most importantly, I love the smell of money—your money, my money, our money—so you can be sure that I won't lose or misplace any of it.

As an SBA representative, I have taken my position seriously while still having a lot of fun, whether working with the administration to change the class registration procedure or Barrister's Ball. My approach as Treasurer will be no different.

Thank you, God bless and vote.

Erin Brewster - Secretary

The secretary of the Student Bar Association has the responsibility of helping to keep the business affairs of the group in order and should also make certain that all students are aware of the activities of the SBA. He or she is also a member of the Executive Board and is therefore ultimately jointly responsible for the successes and/or failings of the SBA.

I have just completed a year as a 1L class representative and believe I have a good grasp on the things the SBA has accomplished and those things that still must be done. I have had the opportunity to participate in both dean and faculty interviews, the planning of social activities, the budgetary process and many other services provided by the SBA. As secretary, I would try to adequately represent all Marshall-Wythe students and to aid in providing continuity to the SBA in order to keep the organization running smoothly.

Vote!

SBA Elections April 6, 1993

Cast your ballot in the lobby

can be sure that I won't lose or misplace any of it.

Each panelist agreed that the choice to balance a job and parenthood is not an easy one but something that takes much planning and discussion. One must consider who will take care of the children, how much money they want to earn, what sort of location they want to live in, how much flexibility they desire and what sort of legal career goals they have. All expressed great satisfaction with the joys of fatherhood. Devine said he feels having a child has been "the most challenging and rewarding experience I've had."

See GUT ALERT, page 28
Barrister’s Ball: Quality Band and Quantity Alcohol

By LAURA LIVACCARI and ANDREW HERZIG

Slow ticket sales during the week were no indication of the turnout at this year’s Barrister’s Ball.

The Williamsburg Lodge ballroom played host to over 200 for the social season finale for the second consecutive year. Despite widespread fear and dread of the reported return of the band from Fall from Grace, this year’s Barrister’s entertainment, Casper, provided quality tunes ranging from the easy access to drinks was due to a drop in overall alcohol consumption after the graduation of Richard Brooks or merely the skill of the bartenders.

To the delight of partygoers, one of the two bars was strategically located at the entrance, allowing a rapid, double-fisted start to the evening. It is unclear whether the easy access to drinks was due to a drop in overall alcohol consumption after the graduation of Richard Brooks or merely the skill of the bartenders.

On the other hand, people must have made their way to the bar more than once as demonstrated by the crowd at the hors d’oeuvres table. After a frightening experience with the ham (or what that Spam?) biscuits, those with the munchies chose to refrain from further experimentation with the food. However, a group of third-year men did spear Swedish meatball after Swedish meatball while engaged in sublime banter on the topic of the Final Four.

Most couples, after a round of drinks and a few snacks, headed to the dance floor. Casper whipped the crowd into a dancing frenzy that ultimately led to the near-disrobing of several members of the law school community.

Unfortunately for the men, the dimly lit conditions of many students (and delight of the administration), most articles of clothing remained intact. Fashion kudos go to those women who donned the sequins and sported outfits worthy of more densely populated areas than the ‘Burg. A tuxedo coat could overcome.

Voice came forth and spoke unto them, two groups were conspicuously absent. To our knowledge, the faculty did not send even one ambassador to the function. Also missing was the bulk of the second year class, much of which was patiently waiting by the phone for the Client ‘time bomb’ to explode. Apparently, most 2Ls wanted a last chance to wow Fred Lederer while in pursuit of the lucrative Legal Skills TA positions. And those who thought they had no lives!

EXAMS, from page 3

approved an interpretation of the bylaws which sets the same standards for granting tenure and promotion to full professor. But they also approved a request to the Faculty Status Committee to propose language providing for two standards, with a higher one for promotion to full professor. That request was introduced by Professor Charles Koch. “The decisions are different in my mind,” he said. “Tenure means we recognize the potential of that person to make a significant contribution to scholarly research. But promotion to full professor is really the realization of that potential.” Barnard defended the single standard system. “I think it gives us a real competitive advantage, especially with fast-track scholars like [newly hired professor] Rakesh Bhatia. So we can say, ‘Look, come here, it’s not going to take forever to get anywhere.’”

Professor James Moliterno said the standard is only the same on paper. “When I was going through this, in spite of the single standard, the simple message was that they were two separate decisions.”

The faculty also acted on eight amendments to the curriculum, including the reduction to two hours of Family Law. Professor Fred Lederer, while praising Professor Kay Kindred who teaches the course, spoke frankly about his frustration with the law school’s inattention to family law. “We have yet to come to grips with the problems with family law. In some fashion we ought to consider how we might resolve our need to teach family law better than we have.”

The faculty also heard a report from Associate Dean Faye Shealy about the applicant pool for next year’s first-year class. She reported applications were down 2 percent, compared with the previous year. The number of minority applicants was up 7 percent nationally. The number of applications was up 7 percent nationally.

REVISED, from page 1

System.

Brownlee disputed Broaddus’ claim by responding that he had previously made formal presentations of the recommendations both to the full Judicial Council and to a subcommittee appointed to consider Honor Code reform proposals. Brownlee was critical of Broaddus’ refusal to participate in the Committee’s hearings on Honor Code reform.

“It’s difficult to ask the current Council to disband itself, especially because many of the reform proposals were prompted by flaws uncovered during the Kroner trial. We prefer to wait until next year when new Council members can consider the proposals anew.”

Brownlee explained that he preferred to wait until next year before presenting the Committee’s proposals to the Judicial Council again because so little time remains in the school year and the proposed reforms are comprehensive.

“Our proposals make a recommendation for an elected Judicial Council,” said Brownlee. “It’s difficult to ask the current Council to disband itself, especially because many of the reform proposals were prompted by flaws uncovered during the Kroner trial. We prefer to wait until next year when new Council members can consider the proposals anew.”

Brownlee expressed dismay at the SBA decision to extend the Judicial Council appointment terms and its implications for having the reforms implemented next year. “The Judicial Council hasn’t done a good job of evaluating the Honor Code System. We are not thinking about the interests of the law school—only about their futures on the Council. I think we all recognize that [the Honor Code System] needs more changes than the few that the Judicial Council has done to the Comment sections.”

Matt Warren (2L) scowls, “Hey man, I was just about to make a move.” Kyle Short Jennifer Ramey (2L) parties on! See photos, page 21.

The Coffeehouse

• European Style Coffees
• Authentic Espresso Drinks
• Homemade Baked Goods

Williamsburg Crossing
5251 John Tyler Highway
(804) 229-9791

Escape for —
--- Fine Coffees, Teas and Sweets
--- European Style Coffees
--- Authentic Espresso Drinks
--- Homemade Baked Goods
Record labeling informs consumers without limiting access

By Ben Landon

Requiring recording companies to place labels on their albums indicating the nature and content of the music on those albums when that content is likely to offend large segments of society in no way violates the First Amendment’s free speech imperative. As long as album labels are not permitted to prevent expression, their mere presence cannot offend the First Amendment. In fact, to the extent that such labels better inform consumers, they are beneficial since an informed consumer is better able to make appropriate individual consumption choices.

Our society frequently requires companies to place labels on goods which they produce or sell to inform and protect consumers. In effect, we have chosen to require those engaged in commerce to be forthcoming regarding the nature and content of products which they choose to sell. Thus, food companies must place labels on their products clearly indicating nutritional content; pharmaceutical companies must place labels on their products indicating chemical content; and tobacco companies must place a warning on their products noting that the surgeon general deems smoking to be harmful to the smoker’s health.

Recording companies should not be entitled to special treatment in this regard. When a musician places music in the stream of commerce, that music is no longer entitled to unlimited First Amendment protection. Rather, that music ceases being pure art and becomes commerce which should be subject to reasonable restrictions designed to inform consumers and facilitate purchasing decisions.

Opponents of labeling argue that album purchasers should know about the contents of an album before choosing to purchase it. This argument fails for two reasons. First, a purchaser often does not have the opportunity to listen to every song on an album before deciding to purchase it. Typically, an album will be on sale in stores before all of its songs have been released individually.

Second, parents have an absolute right to determine what their minor children may listen to and purchase. Engaged parents will know which albums their child is listening to, but they may have no idea what those albums contain without actually listening to them after the child’s purchase. While minors are entitled to some First Amendment protections, parents clearly have a legal right as well as an affirmative duty to regulate their children’s activities within the context of good parenting, and labeling assists in this endeavor.

Opponents of labeling further argue that labeling albums is not appropriate because hearing offensive lyrics does not cause any grave and immediate harm. This argument also fails. While there is no immediate harm, a label’s restriction on speech is sufficiently minimal in light of the potential long-term harm to justify labeling. Indeed, labeling is used in other contexts where the harm is remote; for example, smoking cigarettes will not harm the smoker the next day or even the next week, but prolonged exposure significantly increases the chance that a smoker will encounter certain types of health problems in the more distant future. Similarly, not every child who listens to violent lyrics does not cause any grave and immediate harm. This argument also fails. While there is no immediate harm, a label’s restriction on speech is sufficiently minimal in light of the potential long-term harm to justify labeling. Indeed, labeling is used in other contexts where the harm is remote; for example, smoking cigarettes will not harm the smoker the next day or even the next week, but prolonged exposure significantly increases the chance that a smoker will encounter certain types of health problems in the more distant future. Similarly, not every child who listens to violent lyrics does not cause any grave and immediate harm. This argument also fails. While there is no immediate harm, a label’s restriction on speech is sufficiently minimal in light of the potential long-term harm to justify labeling. Indeed, labeling is used in other contexts where the harm is remote; for example, smoking cigarettes will not harm the smoker the next day or even the next week, but prolonged exposure significantly increases the chance that a smoker will encounter certain types of health problems in the more distant future. Similarly, not every child who listens to violent lyrics does not cause any grave and immediate harm. This argument also fails. While there is no immediate harm, a label’s restriction on speech is sufficiently minimal in light of the potential long-term harm to justify labeling. Indeed, labeling is used in other contexts where the harm is remote; for example, smoking cigarettes will not harm the smoker the next day or even the next week, but prolonged exposure significantly increases the chance that a smoker will encounter certain types of health problems in the more distant future. Similarly, not every child who listens to violent lyrics does not cause any grave and immediate harm.

The notion that the act of requiring labels is censorship in and of itself is absurd. Labeling does not in any way prevent anyone from speaking or expressing anything. Rather, it merely affords the adult listener and the child listener’s parent or guardian the opportunity to choose whether or not to listen. The First Amendment requires only that one’s ability to express oneself not be abridged; it does not mandate that others pay attention or even that they acknowledge the speaker’s existence. Finally, it should be stressed that labeling in no way prevents consenting adults from listening to any music whatsoever.

The sole purpose of labeling, as well as its sole effect, is to keep consumers informed and to protect the weakest members of society.

“Parental Advisories:” no more than subtle censorship

By Fred Ochsennhirt

In the 1980s it was heavy metal: Ozzy Osbourne, Judas Priest, and Megadeth. A series of well-publicized victories may well have led an optimist to conclude that the First Amendment was winning out over those who would censor music. Unfortunately, the censors have broadened their attack in the 1990s to include rap: N.W.A., Public Enemy, Ice-T, and 2 Live Crew have all been recent victims. Those who cherish the right to free speech are justifiably afraid.

The censors have utilized two separate methods of late. First, the self-appointed arbiters of good taste have attempted to brand some music as “obscene,” which would thus allow the music to be banned and would allow criminal prosecution of the performers or distributors. The recent war against 2 Live Crew is an example of this approach. Second, there is the omnipresent “Parental Advisory Explicit Lyrics” label. While the attempt to classify music as obscene is obviously a harsher penalty for violating the current definition of acceptability, it is in fact the second censorship approach that is the more insidious. Most people can recognize that calling music obscene is generally ridiculous, and as such only the most sexual of recordings are at risk. The labeling of records seems harmless by comparison. This “harmlessness” makes the labeling of records such a threat to free expression.

Advocates of labeling use two arguments to legitimize their particular form of censorship. First, they claim that record labels are voluntary, and as such does not violate the First Amendment. Second, they claim that labels do no harm, and serve only to inform purchasers of the content of a record. These arguments are but a fiction that allows the censors to think of themselves in a more sympathetic light.

The black and white label seen on many products in any record store is no more voluntary than your actions would be if I held a gun to your head. The recording industry (RIAA) adopted a “voluntary” labeling system in 1985, following a media campaign by the Parents Music Resource Center. The PMRC billed itself as a group of Washington “housewives” who were only trying to protect the children of America. In fact, the PMRC consisted of the wives of influential members of Congress (do the names Gore, Baker, and Hollings ring a bell?) One month after approaching the RIAA, the PMRC was able to force Congress to call a hearing on the evils of rock music. The Senate Commerce Committee included 5 members whose wives were members of PMRC.

Thus, the voluntary labeling system was born, a product not of an industry willing to police itself in order to protect consumers, but of an industry in fear that Congress would pass oppressive laws. In addition, the RIAA was supporting a bill that would discourage the copying of commercial recordings, and was fearful that this bill would be derailed by Congressmen with ties to the PMRC. Finally, the uniform label used today was adopted in 1989, not in order to ensure uniformity, but because state legislation in such areas as the one considered by Missouri, which would have imposed civil liability in any case when a plaintiff was “harmed” by a recording. The second argument used by would-be censors is equally without merit. Record labels do far more than inform parents of explicit lyrics. They all but prohibit possession of these recordings by anyone under 18. Over 1,000 record stores refuse to sell labeled records to minors. In one of the 2 Live Crew cases, a record store owner was indicted for carrying labeled albums, even though the recordings were kept in a box behind the counter and only sold to adults. There are more ominous stormclouds on the horizon: an increasing number of store owners are being prosecuted for selling albums like “Body Count,” which contain explicit language, but certainly nothing that is even arguably “obscene.” Finally, and most frighteningly, certain state courts have begun to hint that they would be willing to view the presence of a warning label on a record as a presumption that it is obscene.

The intent of record labels was never to create a rating system whereby children would be prevented from possessing certain albums. In fact, such a system does much to defeat the purpose of many of the labeled records: to carry a political message to America’s youth in the hopes that a new generation can effect change. An entire class of citizens is prevented from hearing albums that contain even the slightest bit of profanity or anger toward the establishment. If that is not censorship, then what is? With any luck, the argument about “voluntary” labels will soon be moot, as several states have indicated that they would pass a mandatory labeling law if the “voluntary” one is ineffectual. Presumably by this time, those children who continue to listen to that evil rap or rock music, then more stringent means of censorship will be necessary. At that point, the censors will lose their cop-out, and the courts will be able to call these labels what they truly are: censorship.
It's a MAD World
Censorship as a vehicle for ideological oppression

By M. A. Donald

I spent all of my teen years listening to music that, at its most mild, annoyed others. My first deviant jag was into commercial heavy metal. Marketed toward hormonal teenage angst, Iron Maiden, Judas Priest, and Black Sabbath replaced The Beatles, and Crosby, Stills, and Nash, in my personal “Heavy Rotation.”

A clear division was formed between tastes acquired primarily from my parent, and tastes acquired on my own, which in a 14-year-old’s world means, from my friends. The fact that my mother was both tolerant and fairly rebellious in her own right were conducive to exploration of such things, as was having a job and resulting spending money.

This sort of music was offensive primarily to my friend’s hyper-religious parents and to school administrators. That’s why we liked it. With its portrayal of violent battle, violent sexuality, demonic beasts, and general loudness, school administrators, listening only to the most vocal of the above group saw visions of human sacrifice during art class and set about banning t-shirts and a recently invented device, the sinister Walkman.

This obvious failure of adults in positions of authority to understand that banning and censoring only increased the rebel appeal of these things, was my first real, in-my-face, objective demonstration of human stupidity and the brain-addling that results from holding petty authority. This was an incredibly valuable lesson which has continuously aided my understanding of government, organized religion, and mere would-be tyrants and thought controllers such as the PMRC.

All in all, commercial heavy metal is really as meaningless and trite as top pop music. In the quest for loud, aggressive, music, that was also meaningless, I encountered an Infra-red punk. This may seem contradictory to some; the primary image conjured by the word Punk in relation to music, is one of the drugged and over-marketed shock monkeys, the Sex Pistols, and other meaningless groups of the flattest late 70s U.K. incantation of punk. The American version of the early to mid-80s was driven by groups like the Dead Kennedys, and Minor Threat, whose lyrics read (and they often had to be read to be understood) as an intelligent, incisive and funny manifesto against the consumptive complacency of the ’80s. Naturally the fuckwits with nothing better to pay attention to, and those whose financial prosperity was tied up in marketing to the weaknesses and insecurities of teenagers, reacted against a genre of music which loudly, and in no uncertain terms said that clothes, cars, religion, and parents were irrelevant, and loudly asked those who disagreed to “take your social regulations, and shove them up your ass.” Many of these same groups, known as “straight edge” also decried the use of alcohol, tobacco, and other harmful substances, to the chagrin of the beer and nicotine overlords and a society that winked at drugs and three martini lunches.

The Dead Kennedys, about whom more has been said without the sayer having even once heard them than any other entity that ever existed, were criminally prosecuted for distributing obscene materials to a minor without the members of 2 Live Crew were old enough to see an R rated movie and the Alouettes in Montreal were involved in the album was a world-famous piece of art by H.R. Giger who designed the sets and the creatures for the original Alien movie that had been exhibited in art galleries worldwide. OPPS—sounds like redeeming artistic value to me. The Christian Supremacist prosecutor who brought the case was almost Commando, since the record company narrowly escaped going under after the raid of offices and homes, and the ensuing expenses of legal defense.

Since then my tastes have grown to encompass literally all types of music, especially rap, which, as everyone knows, is the latest target of censor-mania. I have some serious problems with some rap material, which is not to say that I think it should be subject to censorship, commercial or otherwise. I think elevator music has prompted more suicides than any other form of music, but I don’t support criminal or civil prosecution, or warning labels because of it.

My main problem with some rap is what I see as part of its effect on a group that comprises much of its commercial audience. Unfortunately, listening to “gangsta” rap seems to allow white, suburban, middle-class youth the comfort of a singular vision of young African-American males as violent, gun-toting, misogynistic, drug and alcohol abusers. Much of the enjoyment of “gangsta” rap, comes from its uncompromising severity, in this case, the severity is generated by the severe and dire circumstances facing inner-city African-American young men. The negative effect I point out above is probably offset by the voice-bleeding empowerment of rap, and the consciousness-raising function that can accompany it. Also few things are better at promoting affinity than a shared interest in good music. The difference between me and a censor is that I’m content to voice my criticisms here, for what they’re worth, rather than threatening to do so in the state code.

Many racists, having excluded African-Americans from their neighborhoods, courts, and schools, are agast to find their angry voices in their children’s bedrooms and on their kids minds, by way of the local record store, headphones. In a country where the war on drugs is such a thinly veiled, out-and-out race war, it’s no wonder that those in power seek to repress the voices they have helped create—voices born of drug violence, police racism, and economic hopelessness. All the while the censors step out under the guise of “protecting children from harmful material.” The same old story.

The pervasive notion that parents can broker, not only ideologies, but ideas themselves to their children, as perpetuated, not only by churches, but also “family values” political parties, and their Supreme Court appointees, who treat the minds of the young as some sort of property, is just plain refusal to understand the human animal. Having a society that has certain ideologies because all others have been routinely excluded from discussion and exploration is so incredibly intellectually dishonest when compared to having a society that has explored competing ideologies and found them lacking. Doing this requires lazy and under-developed thinkers to move beyond the lethargic confines of the familiar.

The illusion that one’s children think and feel the “Right” things is so much easier and cheaper than confronting the reality that the nature of the human mind is to question, and to grow. Censorship, in all its forms, embodies devotion to this illusion, whether the parent is biological or governmental.

The latest round of censorship of music, at root, is an unwillingness to confront the very real situation faced by African-Americans today, and the role of the would-be censor in making things the way they are.

The pervasive notion that parents can broker, not only ideologies, but ideas themselves to their children, as perpetuated, not only by churches, but also “family values” political parties, and their Supreme Court appointees, who treat the minds of the young as some sort of property, is just plain refusal to understand the human animal. Having a society that has certain ideologies because all others have been routinely excluded from discussion and exploration is so incredibly intellectually dishonest when compared to having a society that has explored competing ideologies and found them lacking. Doing this requires lazy and under-developed thinkers to move beyond the lethargic confines of the familiar.

The illusion that one’s children think and feel the “Right” things is so much easier and cheaper than confronting the reality that the nature of the human mind is to question, and to grow. Censorship, in all its forms, embodies devotion to this illusion, whether the parent is biological or governmental.

The latest round of censorship of music, at root, is an unwillingness to confront the very real situation faced by African-Americans today, and the role of the would-be censor in making things the way they are.
Eric Estrada Returns From the Dead!!!
Estrada to Replace Bob Boss at Graduation!!!

By JEFFREY REGNER

Eric Estrada will be speaking to the graduates of Marshall-Wythe at this year's commencement. Alleged graduate speaker David Kessler was mysteriously pulled from the docket this week. The FDA Commissioner gained widespread notoriety for tightening up breast implant regulations. A student was quoted as saying, "the tighter the better." The decision to have the bogy-boob boss speak was praised despite the fact that both Clinton and Bush liked him. "And wouldn't it be great if he brought beer, I mean really good beer, like Keystone beer," said an unnamed source who uses many of the drugs investigated by the FDA.

Law Students for Distribution of Tiny Pieces of Paper (LSDTPP) released a statement in student hanging files early this week informing students that more information on the speaker change would be distributed.

Kessler not only won admiration from women who wanted better breasts and their boyfriends but also from consumer groups confused by misleading orange juice labeling. "Orange juice companies would label their product as 'fresh orange juice,'" said one leading consumer who was confused. "But not all orange juice is orange juice because some orange juice is not orange juice. Even though a juice is orange, sometimes it should be labeled, 'orange breakfast-drink with a full day supply of vitamin C,' sometimes it should

See ESTRADA, page 26

Students Triumph!!!
Legal Skills Gods Overthrown!!!

By ERICH KIMBROUGH

In what is being hailed as a major victory for M-W students, the Legal Skills office announced today that it will henceforth require Legal Skills students to complete a significantly increased number of assignments. The announcement follows weeks of protests in which M-W students staged a sit-in at the Legal Skills office and chanted, "We want more! We want more!" and "Client B, that's for me!"

Protest organizers say that they are thrilled. "I'm thrilled," said one.

The protests, which began in early January, are believed to have been the brainchild of Legal Skills guru Frederic Lederer. On Jan. 1 of this year, Lederer shaved his head, donned ceremonial robes, and declared that he had changed his name to Yogil-Bagwan-O Most High Master of the Legal Skills. The Yogil, as he now insists on being called, then allegedly set out recruiting students and indoctrinating them in the belief that the only path to true enlightenment was through continued exposure to Legal Skills minutes. Apparently, the Yogil's message was the expression of deeply held beliefs of the M-W students. School administration officials estimate that 97 percent of the student body has joined the cult organization that the Yogil now heads.

Soon after the spring semester began, the Yogil called on his substantial following to stage a series of rallies to protest the paucity of student exposure to Legal Skills. Some of these rallies turned ugly and were marked by violent clashes with administration officials. In one memorable battle, Professors James Moliterno and John Levy were forced to use tear gas and flame throwers to fend off a group of crazed Yogilites when they tried to seize proof-sheets from unpublished Legal Skills texts. The students were apparently suffering from DT-like withdrawal symptoms from being deprived of ethics hypnosis.

"They were like zombies," said Levy of the students. "Alan Duckworth actually ate my copy of the Model Rules." Fortunately, cooler heads prevailed when Acting Law School Dean Richard Williamson arranged to have sample ethics questions from the Virginia Bar Exam air-dropped to the students.

Today's announcement by the Legal Skills office was accompanied by a detailed plan of the new Legal Skills course-load. The Yogil and his ranking clerics were still dissecting the plan late last night to make sure that there will be enough work to occupy students over vacation period.

Yogil spokesperson Bill Schultz (1L), also known as Mr. Legal Skills, said that "preliminary indications are that our demands have been met, but in a sense, no amount of Legal

See COUP, page 2

Chuck Fathers Baby Boom!!!

Elizabeth was shocked when she discovered her teenage daughter's pregnancy. After the youth missed her period, so she consulted the nearest abortion center is located in Norfolk, and was quoted as saying, "I didn't know what to do," said the troubled parent. "So I did what any parent would do in my situation. I read her diary.

Elizabeth was unprepared for the xotic tales of her daughter's adulterous tryst of Feb. 13 with the mysterious "HRH."

The youth revealed that she was frightened after she got pregnant her first time. When questioned further about her sexual experience, Lolita insisted that she was a virgin until Charles came to visit and claimed that she simply could not resist the Prince's British accent. She said a lot of girls at Williamsburg High wear beepers.

The youth revealed that she was frightened after she missed her period, so she consulted the yellow pages to find a nearby clinic providing women's health care. Because the nearest abortion center is located in Norfolk, and Lolita only has a learner's permit, she opted for the "Birthright of Williamsburg" abortion alternative.

See, BABY CHUCK, page 6
From the Editor's Study...

Registration Revised Again!!!

By Lot O. Mile

M-W officials today adopted a proposal to modify registration proceedings for the third time in as many years. Remarkably this proposal modifies prior reforms that had not gone far enough, Registrar Liz Jackson outlined the new plan: future students will not register at all, but instead will be randomly assigned to all of their courses. One anonymous registrar official asked the Amicus to mention, slipping a few bills in the right places could garner the student some extreme minimal degree of influence in the decision process.

A second proposal currently under consideration takes its cue from the current first year course structure and the over-subscribed To Kill A Mockingbird Class. If approved the proposal would institute a non-stop round of musical professors in all law classes. Administration assistant Bertha Stier (IL) introduced the proposal in response to the popularity of the multiple professor replacements made in January for 1L classes.

Stier commented that having a different professor at each class meeting would create variety. Additionally, Stier felt that ignorance as to who would write and grade exam questions would help to put students who had not had a given professor previously on an equal footing with those who had.

Jackson presently plans to make the final decision on the musical professors plan in 1995. She justifies the wait by citing the 1962 registration tragedy: the discovery of Bessie Lowing's apparently lifeless body in the electrical closet of room 119. An unidentified janitor found the body three days after first-year registration. Authorities still await Lowing's recovery from a deep coma to confirm suspicions that Lowing had been hiding in the room in an attempt to evade registration Nazis when she spilled her coffee on an exposed wire and electrocuted herself. Lowing's incessant muttering, "No! No! Anything but Schaefer's section!" tends to confirm the theory. Doctors speculate that only a deep aversion to dying contributed to having been hiding in the room for over four years. When asked why he chose the spot, the King responded that he had been hoping to slip into registration undetected, but as yet had been unsuccessful.

COUP, from page 1

Skills would be enough. Legal Skills is our spiritual nourishment. It illuminates the footpath that all students must follow in order to be at peace with our Universe.

The plan includes numerous supplementary assignments which will be required of M-W students. Among the more notable additions to the Legal Skills curriculum are:

- The Client B amicus brief, in which students are required to file amicus appellate briefs for all the Client B's represented by the associates in their firms.
- The Client B legal malpractice suit, where students are sued for their prior work on Client B cases.
- The Client B quantum merit action, in which the students sue to recover fees that the Client B has refused to pay.
- The Client B civil code trial, where students will try their Client B cases in front of moot French judges.
- The Client B aula regis arguments, where the Client B cases are presented to an ancient English tribunal.
- The Client B fraturation memo, in which the student investigates the ethical ramifications of having sex with Client B.
- The Client B paternity suit, where female Client B's sue male students and female students sue male Client B's for child support payments. (Anyone wishing to change gender roles may do so).
- The Client B transmission of disease suit.

To the Editor:

I am writing this letter out of desperation. It has become painfully clear that I am completely misunderstood by my classmates and, indeed, by the entire law school community. I am racked with sorrow and find myself sobbing uncontrollably every night as I try to fall asleep and escape, at least for a few blissful hours, my joyless existence.

My name is Bill. Bill Wilder. I'm a wild and crazy guy. Or, at least, I'd like to be. You see, for some unfathomable reason, I have earned a reputation as somewhat of a close-minded, tea-totalin', NRA supporter, women bashin' kind of guy. Go figure!!! You may have heard of some of my nicknames: "Bill the Pill," "Slick Billy," One half of the Fascist Twins, "(along with my roommate, Jay) Hey, My Family is from Lynchburg" (Duggar), and "Oh, THAT Guy."

MAIL BAG!

- The Client B murder case, in which the student finally snaps and brutally murders, dismembers and then eats Client B.
- According to spokesperson Schultz, the Yogi's campaign does not end with this victory, however. Cult members are working on plans to force the administration to require Legal Skills work of all M-W graduates. As was noted by Schultz, "Legal Skills is my life. The thought of putting Legal Skills behind me when I graduate is really terrifying!"
By MARIE CURIE

As was reported earlier, Professor Fred "Freddie Baby" Lederer chairs the committee that commissioned the architectural vision for the expansion of Marshall-Wythe. Reliable sources now indicate that the plans released by the committee several weeks ago were merely a ruse to hide from the law school community Fred's true vision. In his continuing quest to solidify his power and influence and fueled by his paranoid megalomania, Fred secretly drew up and submitted his own plan. The Weekly World Ambulance Chaser has obtained a copy of these highly confidential, politically sensitive and utterly disturbed plans, which were submitted to and ultimately approved by the Board of Visitors. The information that follows was taken from handwritten notes found through a relentless dumpster search at Lederer headquarters.

Lederer Plans Personal Palace!

In a surprise move, Acting Dean Dick Williamson has finally decided to do something about M-W's parking crisis. After rejecting proposals to redesignate existing spaces or to create new places, Dick adopted an alternative plan. Beginning next semester, M-W will endow a new position, Little-Yellow-Chalk- Mark-Wiper-Offer. Parking Watchdog Bob Dickinson, 3L, is the leading contender for the position. When asked to comment on his job prospects, Dickinson grudgingly interrupted an exciting game of Minesweeper to explain that his experience as the Amicus Curiae's parking expert and with the Parking Appeals Board eminently qualified him for the job. "I'll be really neat to get paid to sit around the Amicus office playing games for most of the day," said Dickinson. "Besides, I'm tickled to death to finally get a job offer at all."

But the job will not be just fun and games. The Little-Yellow-Chalk-Mark-Wiper-Offer will be responsible for more than just an hourly pass down South Henry, removing offending tire markings. The Offer must also issue idiot tickets. These tickets will be doled out among area drivers who lack parking skills. Anyone occupying more than one complete parking space (i.e., a car with a four-foot clearance on both bumpers) must pay a fine. Revenues, of course, will accrue to Dickinson. In addition, Dickinson will translate license plate numbers into names and post a list of offenders in the law school. Students will be encouraged to deliver whatever incremental physical and verbal abuse they deem appropriate.

Additionally, the Offer will assume the role of Event Disorganizer and Student Avenger. On special occasions such as standardized testing Saturdays, the Event Disorganizer will be responsible for hiring high school bands to march around the building playing loudly, in order to discourage overeager undergrads from cluttering up our valuable spaces. Similarly, upon completion of the impending tennis facilities, the Event Disorganizer will be charged with recruiting T.L.s for participation in Rain Dances, in order to lower attendance rates.

As Student Avenger, Dickinson would have to keep a special watch for tourists. On spotting one of these unsuspecting morons, the Student Avenger would gleefully direct them to a spot far distant from their destination. After a rousing chorus of Mama Tried, famed charadeus Dickinson exclaimed, "I can't wait to watch those fuckers get on the ferry."

Students speculate that the Offer may provide a more credible channel of communication with M-W authority figures. Suggestions coming from the Offer may be accorded greater validity. Among current ideas is a proposal to use building expansion funds to erect a parking garage. To reduce the costs of this endeavor, builders could simply adapt the structure now used as the grad housing complex. This would have the incremental benefit of reducing parking competition.

In the alternative, 3L Scott Conover suggests that alumni condition future bequests on M-W's willingness to convert faculty spaces for student use.

Rod Smolla presents a film by Rod Smolla

Starring

Warren Beatty as Rod Smolla

The Movie

Also starring

Sonny Bono as Tim Sullivan; Omar Sharif as Dick Williamson; Michele Pfeyer as Faye Shaley; Aunt Bea as Connie Gallaway; Leslie Nielsen as John Donaldson; Matlock as Walter Felton; Jesse Jackson as Alonza Selassie; Bluto & Popeye as the Evidence Professors; Bugs Bunny as the voice of Nevin Davien; Grouchko Marx as Rob Kaplan; Heinrich Himmler as Joe Chrisler; Fred Knott as Peter Anthony; Lindsey Wagner as Lynda Butler; Tom Petty as Dave Dougles; Benny Stilwitz as Ron Rosenberg; Steven Wright as Mike Bardlet; Ols Campbello as Paul LeBel; Kathy Bates as Linda Malone; Mary Poppins as Susan Grover; Joe Giugly as Paul Marcus; Zsa Zsa Gabouris Alisai avia; Wow Big Boy as Ted Atkinson; Steve Wonder as Dave Dalke; Joe Pesce as Conner; John Brown as the SBA President; Bill Clinton as Kyle Short; Sipson J. Cat as Fred Jacob; Chuck Norris as Judo Conti; Charles Grodin as Doug Miller; Jan of the Arts as Marcia Stuart; Billy Dee Williams as Bryan Bonner; Jere Anderson as Sereen Roberts; brunch Langhur as Pat Connelly; J. Scott Conover as Otho Posey; Pat McGee as Jay Dugger & Bill Wilder; Doogie Howser as Sean Hage; Norm Peterson as Mike Vadner; cliff Knievel as Pat Connolly; Hulk Hogan as Jimmy Eintas; Rob Lowey as Paul Posey; Homer Simpson as Bob Dickinson; Arnold Bear as Rich Hick; Richard Dreyfuss as Joe Carter; Nick Note as Mark Donald; Dick Valee as Bill Magdagen; The Invisible Man as Matt Raw; Chaco as Lice Naglie; Major Dad as Dwayne Smith; David Bowie as Bill Kennedy; Farrah Fawcett as Teresa Graziell; Chewbecca as Alan Duckworth; Hot Lips Houlihan as Masa Dye

Expasion Swindle Revealed!

Parking Watch Dog becomes new Offer!!!
Temporary message from the acting provisional interim dean

This is a great law school, a really fantastic super high-quality place to study the law.

Now, you may have heard talk that there are too many state supported schools in Virginia, or that the center of budgetary attention is located in Charlottesville; to this we say “Nonsense! The more the merrier!” Y’all come!

Don’t pay too much attention to the fact that the law school can’t find a real Dean, I mean, we had thousands of applicants, honest. No… really, wedid… what, excuse me for a second [what? oh… ok] Well, actually those were student applications, but we did have five nice applicants before. Unfortunately, they actually visited Williamsburg, then two dropped out, and well, the other three must have had something wrong with them because they didn’t drop out.

We invite you to send in the application (and fee). We would be happy to have you here, and who knows, our newest “Associate Dean” only has a bachelor’s degree, perhaps we will consider your application for our administrative vacancy as well.

Student Profiles

Freddy Fratboy
I chose Marshall-Wythe because my Alma Mater didn’t accept me, and because of the strong Greek-backslapper presence in the student body. Greeks from large diverse universities will feel at home at W&M where the entire undergraduate student body looks and acts exactly like the persecuted Greek population at those “other” schools. Both local bars cater to this clientele, and everybody does intra-murals! Excellent.

Nelly Nova
I became interested in law school when interning at the Large Important-sounding Political Service group (LIP Service) in THE CITY. I realized that moving up a notch on the food chain of social leechery would require a law degree. I hate THE BURG, but appreciate that I don’t have to spend an hour in THE CAR. Totally unable to choose between Georgetown and THE UNIV., I attended both. Thank god I got accepted and didn’t have to go to Mason.

Graham Geek
I chose Marshall-Wythe because of its great Legal Skills program. Also because they accepted me. Legal skills teaches me all those “people” skills I somehow never picked up along the way, like talking to people (clients) and playing dress-up. Now, when I go back to my high school reunion, I’ll really show those guys who used to beat me up, and all those girls who wouldn’t go out with me. They’ll all be sorry now that I’m a big lawyer! Nyah-Nyah.

Clarissa Cow
I was chosen for this student profile because I had absolutely nothing negative to say (nothing to say about anything, really), and because I had the desirable physical and ethnic/demographic characteristics needed to deceive prospective applicants into believing that this school is not the backwater, confederate, hickdom mixed with D.C. yuppiedom spawn of Studio 54 and the Jersey Shore nightmare hell that it is. So there.
The Theory of Theory.
Spring (3), Mr. Schaefler.
Advanced introduction to philosophical matters underlying the theories underlying the law. Semester will be devoted to the thorough examination of one key case, its factual background, historic and political elements, syntactical structure, the font used to print it up, the elemental and sub-atomic composition of the ink and paper, the strong and weak nuclear forces which bind our universe together and the conceptions of "God" inherent therein. Especially helpful for bar exam preparation.

Legal Obituscion I.
Fall (3), Mr. Marcus, Mr. Donaldson.
An introduction to classical legalism. Subjects covered include "cite-speech", name dropping, Latin Lexicology, and technical tongue twisting. Prequisites (recommended) Form-over-substance seminar, Technobabble I.

Twentieth Amendment Lab.
Fall (1), Spring (1), Mr. Pauls
Students will examine firsthand the results of Amendment XXI. Class meets Thursday nights and early Friday morning in the bathroom.

1993-94 CURRICULUM: MARSHALL-WYTHE SCHOOL OF LAW

First Amendment Lab.
Spring (3), Mr. Smola.
Activities will consist of a series of field trips to establishments likely to need First Amendment protection. Students will analyze, first-hand, appeals primarily to prurient interest and look for redeeming social, political or artistic value. Students will be graded only on class participation. Features colorful texts, meets irregularly.

Art I.
Fall (3), Mr. Rosenberg.
Explore various mediums including chalk and chalkboard paper and pen. Learn the creative techniques of drawing plan maps, and easement diagrams. A must for a career in government or children's publishing. Prerequisites: Kindergarten.

Bondage and Servitudes.
Fall (3), Ms. Bell
Students will learn the important legal art of humiliation, submission, and S & M. Subjects range from dominant and servient estates, creation of a dominatrix, the rule in Shelly's lace, and the rule of purple-turgidities, to pleadings and prayers for relief.

Law school activities:
Big Bill and the Little Woman (Men's Law Society)
Objectives of this group are to recognize and support issues unique to men going into careers in the law, given the whiny, panty-waist, PC harassment endemic to law school. Activities include beer, sports, guns, good ole boy networking, and "avoiding harassment lawsuits" seminars. Also, watch-dogging the "Cheese & Macaroni" group. Open to any law student with enough balls. Moto: "I'm done, fix me a sandwich."

Moot Court
All students are invited to participate in the BUSH-ROD moot court competition in the fall of their second year. Moot court provides students the opportunity to memorize boring cases for two weeks before being reduced to blubbering masses of tearful humanity before arrogant and merciless third year and insultingly unprepared alumni judges. Participants will be critiqued on hairstyles, makeup, stance, perspiration volume, and, in the event of a tie, knowledge of the material and oral advocacy skills.

Amicus Curiae
Staff duties include production of inane columns, reporting stories on parking, smoking, muckraking and peer humiliation. Privileges include laser printing, special exam taking facilities for editors, and deciding which students will be trading cards.

Generic Resume Padding Activity Organization
G.R.P.A.O. provides students with the opportunity for meaningful involvement in the law school community and impressive titles and activities for inclusion on resumes. Each week the organization will vote to change its name and elect new officers. Students sending out job letters that week, will be selected for inclusion on resumes. Also, each week, a "Journal" will be photocopied and editors selected.

Community activities:
Tourist Assistance
Because of the unique drive-through horseshoe shape of the Law School driveway, tourists often feel that it must be designed that way to facilitate a drive-through information service, similar to a fast food window. While the honor code might preclude outright falsehoods, nothing can be done if a student simply forgets where the Wren Building is located, or, due to hearing loss, mistakes a request for "Directions to the Poetry" for "Directions to Yorktown Battlefield". A request relating to "Busch Gardens" can easily be innocently confused for an offer of either sex or beer. Students are encouraged to fulfill their civic duties while entertaining peers within earshot.

Student Activities:
Restaurant
The city offers a wide array of pancake houses and a Taco Bell. While you can stop almost anywhere, on any road, and find a pancake house, the value menu at Taco Bell is recommended.

Personal Agenda I-V.
Fall, Winter, Spring, Summer, Mr. Lederer.
Students conscripted into this legal skills firm will pursue such law related activities as Trial Advocacy support, Ballifting, babysitting, dry cleaning pickup, photocopying, etc. Class meets on an "on-call" basis.

Very Important Law Classes.
Not offered 1993-1994
This is the generic heading for important courses not being offered so that we can have sixteen different flavors of Con Law. Courses not being offered include: White Collar Crime, Divorce & Custody, Estate Planning, Corporations I, Entertainment, Insurance, Land use control, Local government, and Health policy.

Con Law I-XI.
Abundantly offered 1993-1994
We're sorry there aren't more. All of these courses are Con Law in disguise, no matter what their titles: Con Law I & II, Crime Pro I & II, Con Decision Mkg. Prop & Con. Selected Con Prob. Family Law. Mass Media Law. Sel Const, Prob., Federal Courts

Things to do in the 'Burg: M-W & community activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPLICATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will you have a bachelor's degree?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of application to U.Va.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of rejection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten grade(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you ever been arrested for a crime?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were there young girls or alcohol involved?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, tell us about it (enclose photos)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where have you lived in your entire lifetime?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If you have not lived in Virginia your whole life, why the hell not, Yankee?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do your parents make a lot of money, and will they give us some?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If No, please attach a personal statement explaining why we should accept you anyway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity - please check one (1):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I THINK SHE GOT THE POINT... In Clinton, Iowa, 24-year-old Kenneth Irwin was jailed for cutting off the toes of his girlfriend, 20-year-old Tamra Silvey, for beating him at darts. (USA Today).


USED TO HAVE IT... A federal appeals court upheld a $325,000 jury award to Robert Fischer, who claimed that an electrical shock he received from a Pepsi-Cola machine in Omaha, Neb., in 1987 left him impotent. Fischer's wife was awarded $35,000 for loss of services. (Daily Press).

GOOSESTEPPING TO A NEW BEAT... In response to a study of his college's race relations, Citadel President Claudius Watts ordered the school's band to play "Dixie" at football games in a manner that "will not be taunting." (Associated Press).

SAVE YOUR RECEIPT... In Great Britain, 5,000 prostitutes have formed Offpro, an organization that will police the sex industry. If a customer is ripped off or reports poor-quality service, he will receive compensation in the form of a cash refund or alternative service from one of Offpro's members. And the offending prostitute will have her seal of approval revoked. (Reason).

IT'S ALL IN WHERE YOU SIT... The Kansas Supreme Court ruled that a 17-year-old boy, who was impregnated by his 17-year-old babysitter, is liable for child support. (Washington Post).

DONUT STOP PEDALING... Southern Illinois's Tour de Donut is a 30-mile event in which cyclists subtract five minutes from their overall time for every doughnut consumed during the 10-minute breaks. Said John January, this year's winner, who downed a dozen doughnuts: "I think I found my event. I'm a mediocre runner, a mediocre biker and a lousy swimmer, but I'm great at eating doughnuts." (Greensboro, N.C., News & Record).

PALACE, from page 3

the shackles bolted to the wall, these rooms have a cheery, homey feel to them. The sunlight that filters through the bars on the windows give these abodes of the damned a subtle warmth.

6. THE INNER SANCTUM - Hidden behind a large tapestry that backdrops the throne is his quarters. Though sparsely decorated, the walls and ceiling are adorned with a variety of pictures of him in various stages of his life: Fred as an infant, Fred on his first day at school getting beaten up by bullies, Fred as a cub scout at age 25, etc. He can turn nowhere in the room without being able to gaze upon The Visage.

7. GIFT SHOP - Here visitors to the shrine will be able to purchase all their Fred souvenirs, including the "I Liked the Boots, and Liked it" t-shirts; PSF-type spill-proof coffee mugs with the cover made in the shape of His face (the coffee comes out of the nostrils); and the Fred hand puppet, so you can slip your hand inside him and play the dictator in your home.

When the staff of the Weekly World Ambulance Chaser revealed this devious plot to the Board of Visitors, one member responded "But he promised us free t-shirts and the Fred-opoly home game." Another, aghast at the plans, noted "We thought the whole presentation was just a joke. I mean, with that hair and all. We were just humoring him." The Board has promised an internal audit of the entire matter.

TAWANA PLAY FOOTBALL... A Union Bridge, Md., high school permitted a female student, Tawana Hammond, 17, to try out for its football team under the pressure of a federal statute that bars school discrimination against the form of a cash refund (Reason).

CATS IN THE CROWAVE, BUT NOT THE SILVER SPOON... A Maryland attorney faces possible disbarment after breaking into the home of a client's estranged wife and microwaving the family kitten to death. Stanley E. Protokowicz pled guilty to breaking and entering the home of Nancy A. Sanders. He was sentenced to 40 hours of community service, fined $1,000 and made to pay the costs of counseling the young Sanders children, who found their pet baked. Protokowicz admitted that he put 7-month-old tabby, Max, in the microwave, but says it was just for protection as he and Thomas Sanders stumbled around the house after a drinking binge. "I didn't intend to hurt him. I was afraid he would get hurt with us moving around in the dark, so I put him in the microwave," Protokowicz explained. "I was only in there for about five seconds. When we pulled him out, he was alive, but he didn't look too good." Protokowicz says he mistakenly turned on the oven while trying to switch on an overhead light. Sanders has now filed a disciplinary complaint with Maryland bar officials, noting that turning on the microwave is a four-step process that requires settings and entering times and other controls. (Student Lawyer).

"SHE TURNED ME INTO A NEWT... I GOT BETTER"... A self-proclaimed witch has come out of the broom closet, demanding that a school district ban "Hansel and Gretel" because she says it encourages witch killing. (Washington Post).
Life After Law School

Everything you need to know you learned from Elmer

By DAVID ZIEMER
Midwestern Correspondent

People have a need, for some reason, to declare their favorite sport to be somehow purer than others. The aficionados of their sport, they maintain to be superior to the rabble who favor less pure sports. Thus, one sport is called the sport of kings, and another of gentlemen. Others, we are boring, statistic-obsessed pinheads, pure sports. Thus, one sport is absolutely no relevance, to declare their favorite sport to be superior to the other's.

But, there I was, watching a bunch of grown men skating around an ice rink. It was reasonably amusing, but it struck me as rather pointless. Nobody scored any goals, and field position just changed back and forth. The feeling I had was remarkably similar to that of my first semester of Property class. I would learn some rule, of utmost importance, only to discover that all civilized states had abandoned it decades ago. Even in Virginia, progressive people expected it to be repealed by the turn of the century. The 22nd, of course, "So why am I here?" I would ask, just as I did at the hockey game.

Then one day, I let my guard down and allowed myself to be taken to a hockey game. Big fucking deal, hockey is, in these parts. Normally, I refuse such invitations with the reply, "Sorry, I can get drunk in a bar instead, and the 12 stupidest people in the joint won't be licensed to swing four-foot sticks around people's heads." But, there I was, watching a bunch of grown men skating around an ice rink. It was reasonably amusing, but it struck me as rather pointless. Nobody scored any goals, and field position just changed back and forth. The feeling I had was remarkably similar to that of my first semester of Property class. I would learn some rule, of utmost importance, only to discover that all civilized states had abandoned it decades ago. Even in Virginia, progressive people expected it to be repealed by the turn of the century. The 22nd, of course, "So why am I here?" I would ask, just as I did at the hockey game.

Then the rules of the game became confusing. A player would make what looked like a perfectly fine shot, but the officials would call a foul. I asked a fellow spectator why. "Can't hit the puck across the blue line to your own player. Got to skate cross it yourself." Suddenly, I understood. The proper foundation must be laid before the player can introduce his evidence into the goalie's teeth.

Other rules continued to bother me, however. I noticed that a player could hit an opposing player in the knees with his stick 8, 9, 10 times and no foul would be called, until the abused player got fed up, and struck his attacker back in the chest. Then they would both get sent to the penalty box. I found this highly upsetting until I remembered the clean hands doctrine in equity. The procedure also struck me as similar to that in trial. If you don't object to a line of questioning, your opponent will just keep at it. When you do object, the judge will call you both out of action to the bench for a discussion. Then the matter is resolved according to arbitrary rules, without regard to which of the parties has fucked over the other.

The most striking similarity between hockey and law, however, is the division of each into three easily divisible and completely unrelated sections. During the first period of the hockey game, I had no idea what was going on. My first year of law school, I had no idea what was going on. My first year of law practice, I committed so much malpractice, I would be bankrupt if my clients understood one-tenth of it.

After the first period of the game, however, I had all the rules explained to me. I took two minutes. Before second year began, somebody told me to forget the whole semester and just memorize an outline. Each one took two days. By simply asking for a little guidance, I understood everything sufficiently. I could kick back, drink heavily, and rightly enjoy the vicious and pointless game. My appreciation of hockey increased a great deal, too, after I learned the rules. As far as practicing law goes, I was in luck.

See HOCKEY, page 8

Collect them all! This week: Trekkie's of the law school!

More clip 'n' save Marshall-Wythe trading cards

Ted Atkinson
Dave Hopkins
Debbi Holmes
Rod Simmons
Dear Ira Impotent,

Let me be blunt. I've spent much too much time in law school, and in this sexual environment have been far long without a date. As a result, I've had to take matters into my own hands - literally. While I've disproved my mother's adage of going blind, it has become more like a habit. I can't leaf through a J.C. Penny catalogue without being alone. I can be aroused by reading through Miller's definition of obscenity. It has gotten to the point where I don't really enjoy it but still sit up watching "Joe Bob Briggs's Drive-In Theaters" for a glance at T & A. Help me.

--Chronic

Dear Ira Impotent,

I am ashamed to say that my girlfriend has recently complained about my performance. On our trips to Intercourse, PA., I always arrive first, if you get the picture. I feel bad that she isn't satisfied, but I don't know what else to do. What can I do?

--Early

Dear Woody Not,

You may feel bad about her satisfaction, but I feel worse about you. You give a bad reputation to all men. Plus, if you don't straighten out, she'll soon go looking elsewhere. I don't mean to be hard on you, but she does have a bone to pick with you. You might be a solid guy otherwise, but be firm with yourself here or she might leave you stiff. During the act, don't engorge yourself with your own pleasure. Be rigid in your devotion to her. If that doesn't work, just think baseball.

--Ira

Free Body Diagram

By Jeff Regner

HOCKEY, from page 7

nowadays, like Ren and Stimpy would say, "We're not hitchhiking anymore, we're riding!"

By the start of the third period of the hockey and law school games, they were already out of reach, and my interest was gone. Soon, I shall reach the third and final phase of my law career. I shall burn out, ceasing to care if my clients win, lose or go to Hell.

Last you think, however, that this column has been merely a feeble attempt to spark your amusement, permit me to impart a small practical hint. Some day, you'll be an Professor Schaefer's Corporations class. Without warning, and for no immediately apparent, or even semi-immediately forthcoming reason, he will compare people who wager on hockey games to preferred shareholders. Don't be taken aback; pay attention. The man's not just floating in a stream of consciousness; he KNOWS what you, as a second-year law student, are only beginning to comprehend: if you understand the rules and behavioral stimuli that govern the lives of those toothless primitives on skates, you will understand the laws that govern us all.

Ask Ira Impotent

Dear Ira Impotent,

Some Ni I Je Joo _ .. kS...-.Jf< ' Joe

Rod

Debbi

Dave

Ted

Rod is so obsessed with Star Trek that he no longer says goodbye, just "Live long and prosper."

Recently the FBI has taken an interest in Rod's obsession as he has been working late at night "developing weapons for the Federation to end the Romulan menace."

Debbi watches Star Trek. The Next Generation to see her main man, Jean Luc Picard. Her walls are covered with pictures of the Captain in a variety of poses, and she can identify any episode in two seconds or less.

"I just love a man in a uniform. Jean Luc, if you're reading... Beam me up, Big Boy."

Dave is a Star Trek purist. "The Next Generation is OK, but Deep Space Nine ought to be Deep Sixed, Now! That show is crap!"

He longs for the days of Spock, Uhura and Sulu. "Let's be honest—the original was the best. And besides, Kirk would have beat the shit out of that French pansy Picard."

Ted is a proud Star Trek fan. He recently tried to impress a date by showing her his personally autographed picture of James "Scotty" Doohan. It seems that the picture was not the only thing that Ted wanted to show her. Speaking under anonymity she said, "I got out of there in a hurry when he said, "Wanna see my phaser?""

Dear Antsy,

Lately after sex with my boyfriend, he becomes withdrawn and quiet. I can't get him to share his thoughts.

--Mr. Potent

Dear Mr. Potent,

Perhaps this is his "post-coital" hangover...or maybe he's simply out of gas again. You might try to find a way to bring him back to life. Do this by providing some excellent conversation or by having a snack. If this fails, try showing him your best smile.

--Ira
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Mary & William, Law Students for Choice approve merger

Members of Mary & William and Law Students for Choice have voted unanimously to merge, creating a new gender-equality group. The comprehensive group will have several committees working on different issues and projects. At a kickoff meeting next Thursday, April 8, the group will choose a name.

Six Finalists selected in W&M Provost Search

The Provost search committee has narrowed the application for Provost down to six: Gillian Townsend, Provost and professor of History at Lafayette College. Mary Sue Coleman, Vice Chancellor of Graduate Studies and Research and Professor of Biochemistry and Biophysics at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Daniel Fallon, Dean of the College of Liberal Arts and Professor of Psychology at Texas A&M. Samuel Gorovitz, Dean of Arts and Sciences and Professor of Philosophy at Syracuse University. Dorothy Buckton James, Provost and Dean of the Faculty and Professor of Government at Connecticut College. Dalmus A. Taylor, Senior Fellow for Policy for the American Psychological Association.

The six candidates are expected on campus for individual visits between March 22 and April 9.

1993-94 Legal Skills TAs named

Congratulations to: Bill Connolly, Marshall Dukes, Nancy Delogu, Toni Friess, Chris Johnson, Joan Kane, Bill Kennedy, Chris Koomey, Dave Pernini, Jonathan Pond, Julie Reynolds, Phil Runkel, Rick Schwartzbard and Kammy Wilson.

Additionally, Marc Peritz and Jonathan Rotter are stuck with the dubious honor of working with Fred Lederer as the Trial Ad. TAs.

Amicus Announces New Editor in Chief

The staff of the Amicus Curiae and the Publications Council are pleased to announce that Leeanne Morris has been selected as the Editor-in-Chief for the 1993-94 school year. Leeanne’s experience and hard work for the Amicus enabled her to edge out the competition (i.e., no one else was dumb enough to want the job). We would like to wish Leanne the best of luck in continuing the tradition of excellence earned over the past three years. Congratulations.

Berkeley Realty, Inc.

1326 Jamestown Road
(804) 229-0550

The largest selection of single family homes, townhomes, and condominiums in the following areas: Peppertree, Jamestown Common, James Square, Raleigh Square, Shellis Square and Campus Court. We offer assistance in locating housing, roommates, subleasing and short term leases.

This week’s featured property:

THE MIDLANDS

- 2 and 3 Bedrooms
- $485 and $550/month - 1 year lease
- $585 and $660/month - 9 month lease
- All appliances
- Swimming Pool
- 1 1/2 Baths
- Washer/Dryer Hook-ups

Colonial One-Hour Photo & Portrait Studio

Tuesdays and now SATURDAYS, are W&M Special Days

Bring your film in for processing and receive either FREE film or FREE double prints!!

With our new PORTRAIT STUDIO open, you can be sure that your class pictures look GREAT!!

Make an appointment TODAY

PASSPORT PHOTOS IN MINUTES
Great for applications, too!

229-3001 431 Prince George St.
across from Baskin Robbins

Your rental guide for enjoyable living
It's Only Rock & Roll

Refuseniks Unite! Costello goes classical and Sting swings

By PHIL NUGENT

Sp" magazine recently had a story in which they mocked all those entertainers of modest talent who have made it as B-actors, decided to turn their backs on what made them famous, in order to pursue serious theater, where they felt they really belonged.

Of course, they usually flopped. Sp" called them refuseniks, for refusing to hang out with the one who brought them to the dance, on the un-founded assumption that their unboudned talent was being wasted. Prime examples were Sylvester Stallone giving up the action flicks to do serious drama (or was it comedy?) and Chevy Chase giving up SNL for a glorious career(?) on the big screen. Musical refuseniks Elvis Costello and Sting have devoted the last decade to proving that their talent is not modest, that it can carry them wherever they care to go, and that their early fans will either grow with them, or get left behind. While they certainly haven't flopped in most of their musical journeys, neither one has repeated the success of their salad days since they turned their backs on the musical mainstream that brought them fame and fortune. Elvis dumped the Attractions, Sting dumped the Police, and they have been card-carrying refuseniks ever since, doing whatever each one can to shake that hateful, yet indelible image as a mere pop singer.

Elvis Costello and the Brodsky Quartet, The Juliet Letters

Costello, like a good refusenik, is growing weary of his nom de plume, and he really wants to be known by his God-given name, Declan MacManus, which sounds appropriately serious for this collaboration with the Brodsky Quartet. The B.Q., in case you haven't heard, are a world-renowned British string quartet more used to the likes of Bach than rock. (Actually, they are most famous for their performances of Stravinsky.) Yet, no matter how well known they were among the classical music crowd, the B.Q. certainly never did the Tonight Show B.C. (Before Costello), and they seem to be enjoying their new celebrity among the masses as much as Costello is enjoying once again confounding expectations. This much is true: his new album is quite adaptrute, and that's saying a lot when you're talking about Elvis Costello. This guy isn't just mixing pop and classical, but he does Broadway as well. Certainly, The Juliet Letters made me think not infrequently of "Guys and Dolls."

The conceit behind this album is that it consists of some 20 "letters," in song form, all

Point of No Return symptomatic of the death of Hollywood

By STEVEN YOUNGKIN

The death of Hollywood originality is now very much at hand. The symptoms have been present for many years, but it is now becoming all too clear that major movie studios might not have the ability or the desire to come up with any original ideas. Instead of trying to show the audience something new, they will instead do sequels, remakes, ripoffs and updates. This year is especially true. So far we have had Teenage Mutant Ninja Turtles III, Body of Evidence (ripoff of Basic Instinct) and The Turtles (entry in the "BLANK from Hell" series). In the remake category we have Born Yesterday, The Vanishing, Sommersby, and Point of No Return all debuting in the same month. This is probably the biggest group of all because it directly and blatantly steals from the original. Occasionally a filmmaker redoes it (as in the case The Fly) because he has a new spin he wants to put onto the story or he knows how to improve upon it. Most of the time, though, the remake is simply a lot easier than coming up with an original idea. The fact that they assume the audience is so stupid that they won't realize that the director is adding nothing new or different to the story is nothing short of insulting.

Point of No Return is a good example of Hollywood's laziness. It is a remake of the 1991 French movie La Femme Nikita and stars Bridget Fonda as a woman who is convicted for murdering a cop and sentenced to die by lethal injection. She is saved at the last moment by the Government which offers her an opportunity to become a professional assassin. She accepts, mostly on the fact that they will kill her if she doesn't. After being transformed into a sophisticated lady-crime-executing assassin, she then discovers that she's not all that enamored with it and starts trying to decide how to leave a job where the only retirement plan is death.

The movie is not so much a remake as a scene-by-scene translation of the French movie. The scenes follow exactly the same order (sometimes even using identical shots). After a while you're convinced that you are seeing the French movie dubbed into English.

Unfortunately, something got lost in the translation. While Nikita had a kinetic quality to it, Point of No Return is more sluggish. Not only is it not a good translation, it is not even a good movie on its own merits. Bridget Fonda is uninvolved in the lead role. She never really convinces us that the drugged out "wild girl" and the sophisticated lady were ever the same person. They are so different that it seems as if she was assigned two different characters to play.

By BOB DICKINSON

In his earlier best seller, Reasonable Doubt, author Philip Friedman gave the reader an inside look at a murder prosecution from a defense perspective. His newest book, Inadmissible Evidence gives similar treatment to the prosecution.

Hispanic community leader Roberto Morales was tried and convicted for the murder of his Anglo mistress, Mariah Dodge. Two years after that verdict, the New York Court of Appeals has remanded the case for a new trial, holding that the judge made errors prejudicial to the defendant. The charges of racism that roared around the first trial are sure to become even more pronounced in the re-trial: the specter of Rodney-King-post-trial rioting looms on the horizon.

Manchester Assistant D.A. Joe Estrada is assigned to prosecute Morales in the new trial.

The task seems insurmountable. Three years after the crime, leads have gone cold, witnesses are unavailable, or their testimony has changed. And, of course, Estrada must make his case without the key evidence that the appellate court ruled inadmissible.

At 548 pages in the hardbound edition the book is not too long. It is a page turner, a story that you don't want to end.

Legal issues and the techniques of a trial lawyer are presented in a fashion that must be challenging to the layperson, as the book is not insulting to those with a smattering of legal knowledge (read 3L).

Friedman's trial presentation is superior to any other courtroom novelist. He gives the reader opening and closing statements in their entirety. The same is true of most of the direct and cross-examinations. If you can't get enough of trial practice in your courtroom dramas, this book is for you.

One weakness of the book is its depiction of Estrada's personal life. His relationships to his mother, dead father, and siblings just get in the way of the story. I was especially annoyed by his coast-to-coast relationship with his girlfriend.

Friedman, an attorney himself, has written a novel that provides abundant detail of interest to the law student. That the book is a best seller is quite appropriate. If you can't get enough of trial practice in your courtroom dramas, this book is for you.
Barrister’s Ball

photos by Kyle Short

Kammy Wilson (2L) and an unidentified beau swab tonsils on the dance floor.

Sue FitzGerald (2L) shows off her good grooming habits as Casper rocks Barrister’s.

"No Paul, they are not as big as mine." Paul Possinger (3L) is questioned about his feet by Serena Spencer (1L).

Lisa O’Donnel (1L) and Robert Kaplan (1L) were all smiles.

“Oh My God! It’s Bond, James Bond.” (Drapers’ Scholar Karen Scott and 3L Brett Johnson.)
Libel Night

Photos by Debrah Zeitler

Joe Quigley (3L)? Or Paul Marcus? Shocking!!!

Jeremy Phillips (1L), Ted Atkinson (1L), Richard Hricik (3L), and Kyle Short (2L) discussed various issues as the McGlaughlin.

Greg Schwind (3L) as Neal Devins was good enough, funny enough...

Carson came out of retirement to perform "the Amazing Carnac." (1L Ted Atkinson and 2L Kyle Short)

2L Chet Nunoo-Quarco and the Chickahominy Rhythm Band got the crowd moving.
By JANET BRECKENRIDGE

Greeting Sportfans! This week’s restaurant review column should be of special interest to all of you aviation enthusiasts. My panel of experts and I tried out the restaurant in the Williamsburg-Jamestown Airport, which is located just off of I-64, next to the Williamsburg Winery for those of you who did not know that Williamsburg had its own airport.

When our fearless Editor-in-Chief asked me what establishment I was reviewing, and I told him the Airport Restaurant, he looked at me as if I were loony and said, “Isn’t that plastic food?” Of course, his response was based on the typical culinary experience of most air travellers. But, I am pleased to say that my informants have not let me down and this is not your typical airport gross, dry-up, tasteless, franchised, inedible anti-food kiosk. The Airport Restaurant is a cozy sandwich shop with an entertaining view of the runway, with the added thrill of the possibility of an explosive crash landing. (Of course I’m kidding about the crash landing, although I know some sickos at the law school who probably would enjoy seeing such a disaster.) The menu consists of approximately 15 sandwich combinations, three entrées, three salads, soups (including a soup of the day), chili and desserts. Most of the food has really cool names like The Tusg Grissom sandwich, or the Sidewinder Chili. Our group stocked with the Sandwich combinations and the consensus was that the sandwiches are pretty darn good, especially if you order them on the fresh baked bread, which is in my opinion the biggest selling point of the place. Each sandwich comes with a choice of chips, potato salad or cole slaw. I thought the potato salad was a little tasteless, and it was not served on the counter. The salad had an Otto Lilienthal, a turkey and cheese sandwich which was very good and quite filling. Other selections included the Mongofeller, a roast beef and provolone sandwich served with au jus (the menu recommends using this for dipping but you could probably find other uses for it); the Billy Mitchell, a roast beef turkey, ham and cheese sandwich; the Glenn Curtiss, essentially a Reuben; and the Curtis, a chicken salad sandwich. We also sampled the Sidewinder Chili and the soup of the day, vegetable beef. The chili was good tasting, meaty and not very spicy. The soup was also good but according to Fred Jacob (2L), it wasn’t beefy enough—quote Fred, “I want to see chunks of animal flesh in the bowl.” Overall, we were pleased with our meals. Krista Pollard (2L) ordered the Reuben sandwich which is normally served on rye bread instead of the fresh baked bread, and much to her chargrin, the waitperson forgot to specify to the chef that she wanted rye bread, but she did make a good effort about it. Also, the waitperson switched Fred Ox’s (2L) and my drinks up, which was easily remedied by switching them back. However, because of these two screw-ups, Fred Jacob thought the service was inadequate. Personally, I think Fred just didn’t want to tip. (Just kidding Fred). The service was actually good, and considering that there’s only three or four tables, it can’t get too bad. The sandwich combinations range in price from $3.50 to $4.95, the salads from $2.50 to $5.50, and the soups and chili from $2.50 to $3.75. There are three cheaper sandwich plates, the BLT, the hotdog and the grilled cheese, that run about $2.00. There are also desserts that are about $2.00 a serving, but we were full from our meal to try any of them.

Basically, the reasons to come to the Airport are not because the food is tremendous or the meals are real bargains. I think the Williamsburg-Jamestown Airport Restaurant is worth eating at because of the peace and quiet. Off the beaten path, the people who hang out there are friendly, and I find it very relaxing to sit and watch the planes.

Now that’s an opera: Virginia Opera shines with Tosca

By SUZANNE McGRATH

Break out your evening clothes and opera glasses! For those of you who will be lucky enough to remain in the area next year (and you thought that meant you were unlucky), you still have a chance to take in a performance of the very impressive Virginia Opera. The company has been lauded by the New York Times as “tops by any standard” and its production of Tosca last month at Chrysler Hall in Norfolk merely reaffirmed this fine reputation. Tosca is Puccini’s perennial popular tale of the doomed affair of opera singer Floria Tosca and her lover Cavaradossi. A member of the artistic avant garde and a rebel, Cavaradossi becomes a target of Scarpia, the sanctioned chief of police who uses the painter in an effort to manipulate Tosca, with whom he is obsessed. Although the plot is simple, it takes the themes of love, hate, desire, and revenge to new heights. Scarpia hatches his plot to ruin Cavaradossi, while at the same time forcing Tosca to surrender to his perverse intentions.

Virginia Opera’s version was beautifully produced, a much more professional and satisfying offering than one would expect to find so far from a major metropolitan area. The sets were magnificent, the costumes opulent, and the voices powerful and moving. Two things that startled, first by Tosca and later by Cavaradossi, the audience hesitated a moment, spellbound, before bursting into applause. The result was a thoroughly absorbing and compelling production.

Perhaps in recognition of the fact that its location presents special challenges for attracting audiences, the Opera has seemingly made every effort to make itself accessible. For example, it has followed the growing trend of using English surtitles projected on a screen above the stage to enable the audience to follow the dialogue. The Opera has managed to do this exceptionally well, not resorting to basic translations intended merely to get the idea across. Rather, it has attempted thoughtful translations that preserve the nuance of the lyrics. In addition, the company has kept ticket prices at amazingly reasonable levels. Regular ticket prices started at $29 this season, but student tickets were also offered for $15. Subscription packages for next season, which go on sale April 1 and include tickets for all four operas, can be had for as little as $50. These efforts seem to have succeeded, as the age range of concert-goers at the last performance was surprisingly broad.

Next season (the Opera’s 18th) could well turn out to be the Opera’s best ever as it moves to a new opera house in Norfolk. For its first season in the new location, the company has planned a diverse line-up (again with a view to broad audience appeal) including Mozart’s Don Giovanni (the Dangerous Liaisons of the 18th Century) and Bernstein’s consistently popular West Side Story. In addition, it will perform the not-to-be-missed Turandot in November.

For those who are curious enough to go to an opera, but not at all sure that you’ll like it, Puccini’s Turandot is a sure bet. Set in ancient China, the opera guarantees a wealth of exotic costumes, sets, and action that are sure to entertain you even if the music doesn’t.

And, of course, it’s also an excuse to get out of the ‘Burg on Friday night.
Thursday, April 1
- APRIL FOOL'S DAY
- And then they rested...: "Big Bang" theory published, 1952.
- IBRL Student Symposium.
- Commonwealth Center Seminar: "Jekyll and Claude: The Erotics of Patronage in Mackay's Banana Bottom," Rhonda Cohab-Sander, professor of Black studies and English, Amherst College, Botetourt Theatre, 5 p.m.
- Faculty Discussion: "Southeast and South Asia," Donald J. Baxter, associate professor of government, Vinson H. Sutlive Jr., professor of anthropology; and Mario D. Zamora, professor of anthropology; Tucker 120, 7 p.m.
- Music: Ugly Kid Joe, at Flood Zone.
- Something Strange, at Twisters.

Friday, April 2
- First Modern Missionary: Charlemagne born, 742.
- Just the facts, Ma'am?: Jack Webb born, 1920.
- The First of Spring Break: Ponce de Leon discovers Florida, claims beachfront property for Spain.
- Exam Conflict Forms due, 5 p.m.
- Orchesis: "An Evening of Dance," PBK, 8:15 p.m. No admission charge.
- Eddie Money, at the Boathouse, Norfolk.

Saturday, April 3
- "Stella, Stella!!!" Marlon Brando's Birthday, 1924
- Yeah, right: U.N. demands Argentina withdraw from Falklands.

Sunday, April 4
- Daylight Savings Time!
- Cold War: NATO established, 1949.
- Palm Sunday
- Music: Room Full of Blues, at Flood Zone.

Monday, April 5
- Hello, what's your sign?: Casanova's birthday, 1725.
- Wythe Lecture: Dean Robert Scott
- Music: Sonya Da Da, at Flood Zone.

Tuesday, April 6
- Passover.
- Hillbillies: King Charles II signs Carolina Charter, 1663.
- Oysters: Brigham Young marries 27th and final wife, 1868.
- Women's Studies Evening Forum: Film: "Rosie the Riveter," with comments by Elsa Diduk, professor emerita, modern languages, Botetourt Theatre, 7:30 p.m.
- Music: Mudhoney, at Peppermint Beach Club.

Wednesday, April 7
- Pass the Beer!!! Prohibition ends, 1933.
- Financial aid advice: 8 a.m., in Dean's Conference Room
- Judaic Studies Lecture: "Why the Allies Did Not Bomb Auschwitz," Rabbi Jacob J. Schacter, Manhattan Jewish Center, Morton 342, 3:30 p.m.
- Writers Festival: Readings by Michael Mott and Hermine Pinson, Washington 102, 4 p.m.; reading by novelist Jamaica Kincaid, Ewell Recital Hall, 8 p.m. (Author will have a book signing session at Rizzoli's, 5:30 p.m.)
- Swem Library Film Festival: "Desk Set," Botetourt Theatre, noon and 7 p.m.
- Music: Dave Matthews Band, at Flood Zone, Richmond.

Thursday, April 8
- Dirty Harry: Clint Eastwood elected mayor of Carmel, California, 1986.
- Writers Festival: Joint reading by Helen Schulman and George Garrett, novelists and short story writers, Botetourt Gallery, Swem Library, 8 p.m.
- Music: Judy Collins with the Richmond Symphony, at the Mosque.

Friday, April 9
- But some people still fight the war...: Lee surrenders to Grant at Appomattox, 1865.
- Gin Blossoms: W.C. Fields born, 1879.
- Writers Festival: Lecture on contemporary poetry, Dana Gioia, Washington 102, 4 p.m.; Dana Gioia and Agha Shahid Ali, Ewell Recital Hall, 8 p.m.
- Good Friday

Saturday, April 10
- Humans with no social skills: APSCA formed, 1866.
- "What a pretty iceberg." Titanic sets sail on maiden, and final voyage, 1912.
- Music: Boy O Boy, at Flood Zone.

Sunday, April 11
- Easter Sunday
- Bingo!! Benevolent and Protective order of the Elks organized, 1876.

Monday, April 12
- In the name of God: 4th crusade sacks Constantinople, 1204.
- Swem Library Film Festival: "Desk Set," Botetourt Theatre, noon and 7 p.m.
- Italian Cinema: "Travolti da un insolito Destino Nell'Azzurro Mare D'Agosto (Swept Away) Wertmuller 1974, Washington 201, 2 and 7 p.m. Free.
that was important. Also, the development of a very strong alumni program that involved large numbers of our alumni and the development of private giving and the way it improved. Those are all things I can be proud of.

We never got around to the five to ten year plan for the College...

Well, you know they are pretty much out of fashion now, with the decline of communism in Eastern Europe. I don't know that I have a five to ten year plan.

Well, what do you see coming down the road?

I think the goal has to be threefold:

First, building the best public undergraduate program in the country.

[Second,] establishing centers of excellence at the graduate and professional level that are truly of national distinction, selected centers of excellence. The law school is clearly going to be one of them.

Finally, developing the resource base to support that. It's not very complicated, those three things are what we have to do in the next five to ten years.
Notes from Eric’s journal on the road to the Final Four

By ERIC CHASSE

Now that the Final Four is... well... final, and most of us are totally out of the money in our respective pools it's high time that the greatest show on earth is over. That being said, it's high time for the respective pools it's high time. The Sweet Sixteen. Even after perrenial Final Four invitee Duke and reigning A.C.C. champ Georgia Tech made early departures in the initial rounds, something showed by the Cavaliers and Florida State easily established the conference's dominance over such wannabes as the Big East (all teams gone by the second round) and the Pac-10 (two Jason Kidd shots away from being shot out of the Sweet Sixteen altogether).

2. Ross Perot/Jimmy Laycock Decisiveness Department: Bobby Cremins once again has proven that flexibility is the most overlooked and guarded commodity an NCAA coach can possess.

3. Miss Congeniality Department: The Atlantic-10 turned more than a few heads by advancing all four of its teams into the second round, and sending two to the Sweet Sixteen. Pennsylvania State definitely won the tournament.

4. Pepto-Bismol Department: Although the tournament started slowly by the middle rounds there were upsets a'plenty; anyone with an admittedly logical Final Four of Seton Hall, Arizona, Duke and UCLA (all third seeds) 'were there' was crying in the city after the first weekend's games.

5. Second Coming of John Wooden Department: No team since Wooden's legendary UCLA squads of the 1960s and 70s has made as dominant a run at the national championship as has this year's Kentucky squad.

In dispatching of the Southeast bracket by about 30 points a game, the Wildcats have turned a lot of doubting gurus into believers.

6. Love To Hate 'em Department: Bill Walton recently called the Michigan Wolverines the most underachieving team that he had ever seen; I'm not sure what Bill's been drinking, sniffing, smoking or otherwise imbuing lately, but back-to-back trips to the Final Four don't connote the term underachieving in this guru's mind.

Words that do readily roll off of one's lips when discussing the 'Fab Five' however, are 'showboating,' 'undisciplined,' 'lacking in fundamentals' and 'classless.' Sure, they have as much or more talent than anyone in the nation, but it is these labels that will continue to detract from their game and haunt them until they finally go their separate ways (which should be no more than two more games).

7. Glass Slipper Department: Despite the fact that three number one and one number two seeds ultimately made it to the Final Four, the tournament did have its share of Cinderellas. Clara pulled the biggest shocker of the first round, but anyone who follows Arizona basketball must have known that the 'Cats' early exit was merely a matter of time.

Western Kentucky extended its remarkable season into the third round before succumbing to a strong FSU squad in overtime. And who among us, besides Alisa Lewis, picked George Washington to advance to the Sweet Sixteen?

8. Ernie Pantuso Department: There were tremendous coaching jobs, as should be expected, in all brackets, perhaps none more noteworthy than that of John Wooden, whose Temple Owls, 17-12 entering the tournament, took Michigan to the last 20 minutes of the Elite Eight before finally, and gracefully, bowing out. Bobby Knight took a team with no power forward to the brink of the Final Four, and Cal's Todd Bozeman made everyone forget all about... uh... that guy they fired.

9. Jeane Dixon Department: As strong as Kentucky is playing, there is little that can stop them from sending the Michigan Fab Five to the annals of history as the best team never to win a damn thing (no conference championships, no national championships—sorry BT). In the other half of the bracket, look for the Heels to turn the tables on Kansas and repay them for the loss in the Final Four two years ago.

In the finals, I would expect a close game between two deep and talented teams, but the Wildcats' perimeter shooting will be the difference: Kentucky 84, Carolina 79.

ESTRADA, from page 1

be labeled 'Florida citrus drink made from real live oranges,' and sometimes it should be labeled, 'natural orange flavored beverage made from orange colored fruit from trees.' The leading consumer went on to say, 'Next we hope he clears up the confusion over blueberry pie labeling.'

The LSDTPP, in an effort to increase student awareness of littering in the building, stepped up distributions to student hanging files.

Second-choice Eric Estrada was chosen to replace the mock-mammary magistrate after careful deliberation by an ad hoc committee just before lunch. Estrada, also a leader in Food Law, was recently quoted as saying, 'Ma'm, have you got a license for that taco?'

Estrada explained that Food Law involves more than just taco licensing but also public nuisance law and immigration law. The Oliver Wendell Holmes of Tacos noted that the tortilla crunching offender in his Taco Bell commercial, "Woke up the neighborhood," with the unlicensed enchiladas and was competing to "Run for the border."

Estrada further explained that a soon-to-be-released commercial will show the taco offender's sentencing in federal district court and extol the merits of the death penalty for taco abuse to a snappy jungle.

The fast food pedagogue and author of Estrada on Enchiladas (1992) is a reporter for the forthcoming Restatement (Second) of Tacos (1993). Estrada's Taco Bell colleagues Willie Nelson and Hammer, formerly M.C. Hammer, did not comment on the selection of Estrada as speaker, but Nelson did ask if anyone at the Law School could help him with his taxes.

A noted psychic at Marshall-Wythe informed this reporter that neither Estrada nor Kesler were the first choice for graduation speaker and that a dark stranger from abroad is in my future. Famined cinematography and television luminary Benny Hill was originally chosen. The invitation extended to Hill was withdrawn after it was learned that he passed away a year ago. Student leaders were disappointed saying that they had hoped this would be a banner year for skirching Englishmen speaking at the college.

Estrada, in a move praised by administrators and students alike, submitted an application for the position of Dean of the Law School. He said that his first act would be to patrol the lobby on his motorcycle and talk to the SBA to see if we can get a fundraiser to pay for replacing the busts of those two old guys in the lobby with life-sized wax replicas of Ponch and Jon. He added, "I'm concerned that students don't fully understand what the SBA does. Most people know us from the big parties, but what they don't realize is that we are student government."

In addition to nominating committee chairs and Judicial Council members, the SBA president serves as a representative of the student body on a number of committees and boards. This year, for example, SBA president Joe Carte served as president of GAP's, sat on the standing dean search committee, as well as represented the student body before the ABA's student division. The president also runs SBA meetings and supervises the work of the executive board of the SBA and all standing and ad hoc committees.

PREZ, from page 1

up. I'll be asking the Vice President of the SBA to provide a report from all the committee heads so we can discuss our activities in the meetings, oversee and follow up on programs," he said.

One specific change in the organization of the committee structure will involve a weekend session in which SBA members will make plans for the entire year. "The seminars fly by so fast," Short said, "it's sometimes two months in between when we even know what our agenda is. I'd like to have a weekend retreat right at the start, with all the members of the SBA and all the committee chairs to lay out a plan for everything we want to change, all the social activities, all the referenda. That way we can hit the ground running."

Short said he was also interested in revisiting some of the more controversial SBA activity. With regard to the Honor Code, which has come under criticism from students this year, he said that he would consider changing the structure of the Justice Council. "Maybe we should look at whether we should have elected members of the Judicial Council," he said. He also pointed to the work of the ad hoc Honor Code review committee "John Brownlee and his group have put in a lot of effort, and I would hate to see that go unacknowledged."

There may also be a review of SBA policy regarding the funding of political or religious student organizations. "I don't think that just because an organization has a political or religious purpose, that we have to deny them all funding," Short said. "For example, if they're putting on a program that gives all students some food for thought, it might deserve preservation."

Another recommendation Short offered was the creation of a series of information sessions for new students. "We need to get everyone, particularly the new ILs, involved. One way to do that is to have a meeting where we lay out everything that the SBA does, all the events, all the committees, and organizations we're involved with—then they could make an informed choice about how to get involved." He added, "I'm concerned that students don't fully understand what the SBA does. Most people know us from the big parties, but what they don't realize is that we are student government."
Amicus computer-like rankings

Sports guru picks Parrots and Just Do It to bring home cups

BY BILL MADIGAN

BASKETBALL... Seton Hall, Arizona, and Marshall-Wythe, how the mighty have fallen. Despite having 13 teams in the playoffs, M-W, as of this writing, has a mere five teams remaining, and one of those five may be among the living only because they have yet to play. But before we get to the carnage, let’s get right to the point: the mid-playoff picks. Even though by this time this article gets into your greasy little paws the playoffs will be over, I am presenting this to you in the midst of the third round. And in honor of April Fool’s Day, I will once again fool myself into thinking that I know what I’m talking about.

1. Stuttering Parrots
2. Just Do It
3. Black Letter Law
4. Leftovers
5. Capitol Offense
6. Crimes Against Nature
7. Sleepin’ Dogs
8. Earnest Borgnines
9. 1L Court Jesters
10. Men’s C
11. Hoops
12. The Rockets
13. Ugly

Holding steady in their one-two spots are Stuttering Parrots and Just Do It, the pre-season picks to bring home the trophy in their respective divisions. Stuttering has so far made it to the round of eight. On their way, they showed how the west was won by downing Dupont 2nd West in first round action, and then roasting the Earthpigs in the second round. Just Do It has continued to prove why they were last year’s champs. In spite of the distractions of pre-season hold-outs and sneaker endorsement negotiations, Do It has strung together another impressive season. On the strength of a first round bye and a second round victory, Just is poised to win another championship ring.

Black Letter Law finds itself in the number three spot. As one of the few M-W teams with breath still in their lungs, Black attributes their higher ranking to a good playoff game plan, and the fact that the teams above them dropped like flies. In round one action, they turned Green to jello in a 33-25 triumph. Led by the inspired play of Steve “Nothing At” Staker, Letter recast a poor offensive showing into a “defensive struggle.” In round two, they separated Buddies and Weasels, 36-34, in a game that can only be described as close. Ivan “Norman” Bates was the key to the team’s success, despite playing with an injury he suffered in a bizarre gardening accident.

In the fourth notch are the Leftovers, who failed to take advantage of the extra preparation time afforded by a first round bye, last their first playoff game, getting run down and shot up at the hands and feet of Run & Gun. Following them to the grave is number five, Capitol Offense, who came out on top of JAFIT in their round one game, but were discontinued by Continuity in round two. Crimes Against Nature also made it through the first round, before being hostilely taken over by the Corporate Raiders in the second round.

Even though they too blew it, Sleepin’ Dogs get their own paragraph. For being the only team to give me a press release. Despite having star guard, Blake “Who’s That” Guy, tossed in the Crease, the Dogs had high hopes for the playoffs. Yeah, and I had high hopes of getting a job this summer. Welcome to the real world. They were deflated by Air Jazba in round one.

In the best of the worst category, the Earnest Borgnines made it through their first game in the B-2 bracket before being hooked by Gone Fishin’ Men’s C and 1L Court Jesters met in a second round game in the Men’s C bracket. Despite being at home in their namesake division, Men’s C fell to the mighty Jesters, who will carry the M-W torch from here on.

Hoops, the Rockets, what about ‘em. Ugly, well, it goes without saying. They were sobered up by Drunk Leading the Blind. There’s irony somewhere. FLOOR HOECKY... The public has demanded it, the earth trembling with the cries of the masses, as they shout in unison, one simple, yet profound, request: a floor hockey poll.

1. Kroener Still Sucks
2. Bruins
3. Sticks in the Crease
4. Don’t Call Me Fat
5. Madigan Sucks
6. Mother Puckers
7. Kennan
8. Earnest Borgnines

Over all, in the regular season, M-Hockey was so-so 18-12-2. The pack was led by the only undefeated team, Kroener Still Sucks, which, as its namesake, is as tenacious as a horny bulldog on a man’s leg. Still Sucks started the season with two shut-outs before falling into disgrace with a season-ending tie.

In the 3-1 category are the Bruins, Sticks in the Crease, and Don’t Call Me Fat. The Bruins came up two goals short of a perfect 4-0 season in their last game, drowning in Peaches & Creme. Before that game, they had outscored their opponents 23-3. Maybe he doesn’t suck so much after all, at least in hockey. Sticks in the Crease were the defensive stalwarts of M-W, allowing only total of four goals in four games. Don’t Call Me Fat holds hostage the number four spot on the strength of two wins by forfeit (out of their three total wins). Their opponents were intimidated into not showing up by what happened to the last guy that tried to “compliment” team captain, Lee “Don’t Hang On The” Rimler.

On the number five rung is the blaseful Madigan Sucks. In order not to encourage such heresy, I won’t mention them, except to say that they ended the regular season at 2-1-1. Proving that the above-mentioned unmentionable is libelous at best, Mother Puckers, featuring the name not-to-be-used-in vain, is 2-2. Led by the offensive mastery of law husbands, David “Go Jump Off The” Pearson and “Richie” Rich Dye, the Packers ended their regular season with a 9-0-1 spankings of Unsportsmanslike Conduct.

Kennan, also at 2-2, scored two shut-out before being penetrated by fellow M-W’s Sticks in the Crease in their final game. And bringing up the rear are the Earnest Borgnines, who have done nothing but bring shame upon the sports legacy of John and George by defaulting on their last two games. I’d spit at them, but then I’d have to wipe it off the monitor with my shirt.

SOCER... M-W has three teams in the round of the semi-finals. FDS has shown that they aren’t as fresh as a summer’s eve. They are 0-1-1 at the midpoint of the season. The good news is that Daises and Dream Team are both undefeated. Daises won their first game, looting Nationwide Warehouse 4-0. Dream Team is 2-0, collaring the Untouchables, 10-1, and pissing KAG, 3-0.

Next time, we’ll crown the M-W basketball and floor hockey champs. We’ll also update soccer and maybe throw in a little golf, if you’re good and eat all your vegetables. Team captains and participants in individual sports, please let me know your scores and stats, so that you can get the public flogging you deserve. Otherwise, you may continue to compete in anonymity.
mandated food-labeling system, and for severely tightening the rules under which women could receive silicone gel breast implants. Kessler is likely to face even more controversy in the future, in light of his recent public statement that he would welcome an application to approve the sale of RU-486, the French "abortion pill." Considered an activist administrator, Kessler has been widely praised for having rejuvenated an agency that had been rife with lethargy and scandal.

The speaker selection process began in late September with a survey of third year students as to their preferences. The results of the student survey proved, in the words of Dean Williamson, "turrealist." According to Audra Miller (3L), head of the graduation committee, attracting a high profile person to speak at the law school is difficult, given the fact that the school cannot, or will not, offer money or an honorarium to potential speakers. Miller, whose committee is responsible for making recommendations to Dean Williamson, said, "The student body expects too much for what we have to offer," and that she was "very pleased" with the results of the selection process.

Student reaction to the announcement was mixed. Most, like Cathy Clemens (3L), required considerable coaxing before they could recall who Kessler was. Among those who knew of Kessler's record, the choice generally proved as controversial as the man himself. "I think he's a political hack," said Rich Hrick (3L). "I'd rather have Dean Sullivan speak—it would mean more to me." Others, like Jimmy Entas (3L) had a more favorable reaction: "I think he's a good choice; he's done a good job." Some students viewed the whole controversy skeptically, like 2L Ken Dodds who responded, "I've no thoughts on the subject."

Drugs, from page 1

to make a case for credit as a law-related course. (How about "Strategies for survival when you find yourself in over your head and out of control?" and that she was "very pleased" with the results of the selection process.

Student reaction to the announcement was mixed. Most, like Cathy Clemens (3L), required considerable coaxing before they could recall who Kessler was. Among those who knew of Kessler's record, the choice generally proved as controversial as the man himself. "I think he's a political hack," said Rich Hrick (3L). "I'd rather have Dean Sullivan speak—it would mean more to me." Others, like Jimmy Entas (3L) had a more favorable reaction: "I think he's a good choice; he's done a good job." Some students viewed the whole controversy skeptically, like 2L Ken Dodds who responded, "I've no thoughts on the subject."

GUT ALERT, from page 7

Goodlin, and loving it. "It's a lot of fun," said Castello. "Initially we did a lot of stuff just to get us used to making fools of ourselves." When asked whether having completed the first year of law school gave her a sense of what she is or is not, Castello would only reply that "he [the professor] had us doing a lot of weird things." Castello believes that the skills she is developing in Basic Acting will definitely be helpful in her law career, and high. The AIDS Task Force will teach the class to fellow law students.

This reporter is currently taking Argumentation and Debate from Professor Patrick Micken. The students' grades will be determined by their preparation and performance in two debates. The first is a parliamentary-style debate, where students work in teams. The final debate will employ Lincoln-Douglas style debating. Topics for debate have included topics like "the government's right to arrest a citizen," the "protection of animals," and the "protection of the human rights of animals." The students have been graded on their knowledge of the issues, their ability to make decisions quickly, and their ability to think on their feet. The debate format has been similar to the one used in the past, and the students have been graded on their knowledge of the issues, their ability to make decisions quickly, and their ability to think on their feet. The debate format has been similar to the one used in the past, and the students have been graded on their knowledge of the issues, their ability to make decisions quickly, and their ability to think on their feet. The debate format has been similar to the one used in the past, and the students have been graded on their knowledge of the issues, their ability to make decisions quickly, and their ability to think on their feet.

When taking non-law school classes, students should be aware that credits transfer "pass/fail". A grade of "P" will be given upon completion of the course, and a grade not lower than "B" in a graduate course, and a grade not lower than "B" in a graduate course. Tim Belevetz observed that William and Mary is notorious for a low undergrad grade point. With this in mind, several students commented that they sought out the teacher and discussed the grading policy in order to establish a certain level of confidence that they could meet the requirement.

Liz Jackson said that in addition to the courses noted above, students have taken anthropology, government, languages and a variety of physical education courses. Those students who wish to take a non-law school class should talk with Jackson. They will need to get prior approval from the professor (a form for this purpose is in the registration package), and then register through Jackson, not through the main campus registration.

If any additional incentive for taking a non-law school course is needed, consider Goulburn's experience. One of his classmates in accounting was the daughter of a federal judge. Goulburn will be clerk for the judge this summer. Why doesn't OCP tell us about these recruiting opportunities?