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Admin. Law Review Debuts First Issue

by Caryl Lazzaro

With an impressive line-up of authors, the first issue of the Administrative Law Review was produced at Marshall-Wythe. It was debded at the American Bar Association's winter conference in Los Angeles this February. Professor Charles Koch, editor-in-chief of the Review, was encouraged by the reaction of the ABA members. Koch felt that "everyone was very excited" about the quality of the first issue.

The Administrative Law Review, a publication of the ABA Section on Administrative Law and Regulatory Practice, came to Marshall-Wythe last spring after 13 years at the University of Denver. In spring, Koch decided on a group project because, in his words, "It ships off the students." He considers the group project to be a great method. Because it allows students to have their work published while providing the group project to be an ideal project format. The second-year staff has begun working on their project as well — a comprehensive review of the different forms and conditions for judicial review of state agency decisions.

Another noteworthy feature of the "new" Administrative Law Review is the student project. Each class of student staffs, as a group, is responsible for choosing, researching and writing on a particular issue in administrative law. The group's final product will be published in the last issue of each year. Koch hopes that these projects, which will have the benefit of research and input by approximately ten students, will become important reference tools for administrative law scholars and practitioners. While most law reviews publish notes or case comments written by individual students, there are a few others, like the Harvard Law Review, that utilize the group project format. Professor Koch decided on a group project because, in his words, "It ships off the students." He considers the group project to be an ideal project format. The second-year staff has begun working on their project as well — a comprehensive review of the different forms and conditions for judicial review of state agency decisions.

Although Professor Koch is the editor-in-chief, fifteen second-year students serve on the staff and editorial board. Another four to six second-year students will be chosen in the next week. A single managing editor and a "book editor," who changes with each issue, handle the day-to-day operations of the Review. One student coordinates the project, while others students, as staff members, prepare the articles for publication.

The Administrative Law Review is getting a new look on the outside as well as the inside this year. Departing from the traditional, but somewhat dated orange and blue cover, the Review's new black, red and white cover sports a new design. "Marshall-Wythe School of Law, College of William and Mary" is featured prominently on the new cover, along with the Section on Administrative Law and Regulatory Practice. In previous years, one had to look well inside the Review to learn where it was published.

New CASA For Law Students

by Mary Thrower

Like so many things, it started by word of mouth. Meg Hopkins spoke to Linda Spalding of the Office of Career Planning and Placement about ways an intern could be working with children into a legal career. Linda mentioned her next-door-neighbor who was active in juvenile justice program in Newport News. That reference first led Hopkins to contact Phyllis Carwell, Program Coordinator of Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA). Eventually it led to the creation of CASA's Williamsburg Chapter.

CASA is not your average volunteer program. Interest and the desire to help is only part of what is needed to participate. Volunteers must have twenty hours of training, references and FBI clearance. Once trained, CASA volunteers serve as "the eyes, ears, arms and legs of the court."

A Juvenile and Domestic Relations Court judge appoints a CASA volunteer to gather information about a child's case. Sometimes volunteers are involved in delinquency cases. In some cases it is a child abuse, foster care or custody question.

The objective of a CASA volunteer is to collect all the information a court needs to decide what is in the best interest of a child. The volunteer also makes recommendations to the court. Volunteers do not necessarily represent a child's wishes. They consider what the child wants, but they also gather data from school and medical records and interview a child's family and friends. They can also provide important personal support for a child.

CASA volunteers serve as "the eyes, ears, arms and legs of the court." Other events included an international film and a lecture on the Bill of Rights and the Spanish Constitution.
Spare the Garbage Can

April 22, one month from today, marks the twentieth anniversary of the first Earth Day. Environmental groups across the country will be active in informing the public on how our activities, industries and diets impact upon our planet.

The SBA and the Environmental Law Society are to be applauded for their well-timed efforts to bring another element of environmental responsibility to the law school by switching from styrofoam coffee cups to the less damaging plastic liners. Certainly the collection of aluminum cans has provided a way for recycle users to make use of what would otherwise meet a landfill grave. Although many cans are reclaimed because of this initiative, at least as many are thrown into garbage cans in classrooms, in the library, even in the student lounge where the garbage can is more convenient than the recycling repository.

The success of the system of reusable cups with liners depends on how committed coffee bar users are to making it work. Habits of abandoning cups on lobby tables, to be

Struggle in Ireland

To the Editor:

While luminaries from both the political left and right approach the annual celebration of Ireland's peaceful revolution against the twisted fraud of communism, a much older European political struggle appears to be overlooked. Few of us were lapping up pints of green beer over St. Patrick's Day weekend probably stopped to reflect on the fact that thousands of Northern Irish are deprived of many fundamental political freedoms and, indeed, of any hope for granted in America. Great Britain, our closest ally, continues to trample on the rights of thousands in order to maintain control over its most profitable ship-building colony. Meanwhile, the United States stands by. The dirty laundry list of Great Britain's atrocities continues to grow:

- blankets are still being handed to keep ethnic groups under-represented in local governments and Parliament;
- severe gag restrictions on the press when reporting on anything with violence (whether it be from the IRA or the Royal Ulster Constabulary);

criminal suspects are imprisoned without probable cause, let alone any formal adjudication of evidence, and vast sums of the silence of criminal defendants is used by the state as evidence of guilt.

Meanwhile, both Margaret Thatcher and George Bush applaud themselves as the malicious representatives of communism atrophy, allowing millions of desperate souls to breathe again. But, unlike us, while we are drunk during this orgy of democracy, let us not forget the democratic struggle which has consumed the Emerald Isle for centuries.

Rob McDowell (3L)

-Murphy Responds to Antoine

Dear Editor:

In the last issue of the Advocate there was a column written by Kevin Antoine. Mr. Antoine discussed some contemporary issues of significant concern to most people who would consider themselves politically aware. The viewpoint expressed, that government should not be a part of the help, and that the poor and the homeless, is one which is widely shared. I do not write to comment on his viewpoint, but only to state that, in my opinion, Mr. Antoine's reliance on quotes of Thomas Jefferson is a right to alter or abolish a government which is destructive of rights righteous same as asserting a right to alter or abolish a government which does not, in one's view, do enough to provide things which one believes he or she is entitled to. The provision by government of such entitlements would require the very sort of concessions on personal liberty which I believe that Jefferson and the other Founders most feared.

Will Murphy (3L)

Shoes, Please

To the editor:

I would like to point out a problem that appears to be getting worse. I am referring to the increasing number of students that are no longer gold, but we will continue to collect any gold receipts you may still have at your disposal. As a public service organization, we will continue to collect any gold receipts you may still have at your disposal. The Advocate is a column written by Kevin Antoine. Mr. Antoine discussed some contemporary issues of significant concern to most people who would consider themselves politically aware. The viewpoint expressed, that government should not be a part of the help, and that the poor and the homeless, is one which is widely shared. I do not write to comment on his viewpoint, but only to state that, in my opinion, Mr. Antoine's reliance on quotes of Thomas Jefferson is a right to alter or abolish a government which is destructive of rights righteous same as asserting a right to alter or abolish a government which does not, in one's view, do enough to provide things which one believes he or she is entitled to. The provision by government of such entitlements would require the very sort of concessions on personal liberty which I believe that Jefferson and the other Founders most feared.

Will Murphy (3L)

Kudos to Legal Skills

Dear Editor:

This letter is to give kudos to the Legal Skills program. We all know how much bad PR the program has had in the hallowed halls of Marshall-Wythe, but what about the good press?

Like so many law students have done and will continue to do, I signed up for Course 601 - Legal Clerking during the fall semester of my second year. By chance, an attorney who was defending a woman accused of first degree murder in the shooting death of her abuser needed someone to help with the research. I arranged to commit forty hours of research to the murder case for my clerkship.

Just like Legal Skills, my attorney gave me some issues to research and produce memoranda for use. Just like Legal Skills, I dutifully came to the library to search for the scant case law available. And just like Legal Skills, it was a pass/fail course. Just another academic exercise. Or was it?

My whole perspective on things changed rapidly as I was holding my head above water and found many books that provided the legal support necessary to complete the research. The first two involved whether particular items of information could be excluded. The last dealt with the status of the law in Virginia concerning a duty to retreat in one's own home. Within an hour of arriving at the trial, I was listening to arguments coming from the mouth of the defense counsel. He was using my cases and my rationale - and my memo!

Under Legal Skills, I was suddenly dealing with a real live human being. One whose future liberty depended on the outcome of the trial. The hours in the library looking for the one case on point, and Shepardizing a 1935 case to use in my argument. I was intensively listening to the judge and waiting to see if the prosecutor found a case that I had somehow missed. No, I had done my job well. The questionable information was properly excluded, the prosecution had no rebuttal, the judge had no further objections, and it was my opinion that I had played a role in the service of justice.

Alice K. Twidford (2L)

PSF Says Thanks

To the Editor,

The William and Mary Public Service Fund Board would like to express its thanks to the law school community for its continuing support of PSF. Your support continues to grow, and we are grateful to you.

Sincerely,

The Advocate
Emotional Scars Linger
by Alice Twiford

Family violence is responsible for thousands of deaths annually and countless more injuries. However, the statistics represent only the tangible damage. More recently, the medical community has begun to acknowledge the emotional toll exacted from the victims of family violence.

Dr. Alice Twining, a Norfolk psychologist whose specialty is domestic violence, has spent seven years researching the emotional effects of abuse. She and other members of her profession have concluded that victims of prolonged abuse often suffer from Post Traumatic Stress Syndrome, a mental disorder more often associated with veterans of the Vietnam Conflict. Dr. Twining has identified four main characteristics of the syndrome linked to domestic violence. First, the individual has been the victim of two or more episodes of traumatic violence. Second, the victim feels powerless to control the situation. Third, the victim views the abuser as omnipotent and able to reach the victim regardless of attempts to leave. Last, the victim develops a hyper-sensitivity, like antennae, to detect impending violence and judge its potential intensity. A victim whose history reveals two or more of these characteristics is usually diagnosed as suffering from Post Traumatic Stress Syndrome.

In the recent murder trial of Catherine Maxfield, Dr. Twining testified as an expert witness and stated that the defendant did suffer from Post Traumatic Stress Syndrome, having displayed all four characteristics of the disorder. Ms. Maxfield had been the victim of repeated abuse over a period of seven years. Despite attempts to leave, or have her abuser removed from the home, the situation continued. After each violent episode her abuser would promise to reform, seek help and be very loving. However, the cycle of violence typically begins with this "honeymoon period" followed by a period of increased tension, erupting in violence, followed by another "honeymoon period" and so it continues until the cycle is broken.

Ms. Maxfield attempted to leave her abuser, seeking refuge with a women’s shelter and moving to a new apartment. However, he found her, watched her apartment and harassed her until she felt powerless and believed that the abuser was in control of her life. The longer this cycle continued, the more powerless she felt and the more powerful the abuser became.

On the night Ms. Maxfield shot and killed her abuser, he had broken into her home. He was under the influence of alcohol and he was threatening her with violence. Up to this point, it was not an unusual scenario for the couple. However, this night the abuser, brandishing a butcher knife, threatened to kill Ms. Maxfield’s two children. This action was different. It was new. It convinced Ms. Maxfield that she and her children were truly in danger of grievous bodily harm, or death. This hyper-sensitivity, the ability to recognize the change in the pattern, caused Ms. Maxfield to get her gun, load it and after pleading with her abuser to leave, shoot him.

Family violence is a tragedy. By design it is harming those whom we love. Unfortunately, the exterior wounds may heal long before the emotional damage becomes apparent. It is only within the past two decades that family violence has been recognized as a criminal act rather than socially accepted behavior. Organizations such as Avalon (formerly the Williamsburg Task Force on Battered Women) have done much to assist victims to leave the violent home and deal with the associated mental trauma, but there is much to be done. If you, or someone you know, is in a violent situation, contact your local shelter for help before it’s too late.

Why Don’t You Write for the Advocate?

Master of Laws
Boston University
School of Law

LL.M. Degree in Banking Law Studies

A unique graduate program offering separate, multidisciplinary courses of study in American Banking Law Studies and in International Banking Law Studies.

Taught by faculty of the Boston University School of Law, eminent banking law attorneys and management experts, these innovative programs provide an exceptional blend of intellectual and practical education at one of the nation's most prestigious law schools. Covering the full range of advanced banking law subjects, the curriculum also includes courses specially developed to introduce lawyers to the economic and managerial aspects of the U.S. and international financial services industries.

These comprehensive LL.M. programs offer unparalleled educational opportunities for lawyers who wish to practice in these dynamic, fast growing areas of specialization.

Applications are now being accepted for full or part-time enrollment in September 1990.

For a catalog containing detailed information and application forms, write:
Graduate Program in Banking Law Studies
Morin Center for Banking Law Studies
Boston University School of Law
765 Commonwealth Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02215
or call: 617/353-3023

Coffee Capers Continue
Thieves Thwarted, So Long to Styro

by Peter Kay

Running at a deficit due to theft and underpayment, the coffee bar in the student lounge has been supplemented by both a new lockbox and more explicit policies. Originally envisioned to be self-sufficient, the coffee bar receives no extra funding from the Student Bar Association (SBA). It is now more than one hundred dollars in the red. Also, the SBA and the Environmental Law Society have explored environmentally viable options as alternatives to the ubiquitous but unseen non-disposable styrofoam cups presently in use. The SBA acquired the lockbox, at an expense of fifty dollars, in response to chronic theft of the coffee money. Money vanished from the old tin box in several ways. The ubiquitous but unseen non-coffee drinking youth of Williamsburg would flit it, and law students would pour themselves free cups and refills, promising themselves to "pay later." The steel box will frustrate all but the most determined change thief; it is the latter, more vague coffee thief the SBA seeks to prevent.

In order to bring the Coffee Bar back under budget, the SBA is proposing "Coffee Amnesty." Every person who has enjoyed a free cup of coffee in the past is urged to drop a couple of quarters into the new lockbox. The amnesty is coupled with the ultimate threat -- if the Bar does not stop losing money the community will be forced to change its course: the lukewarm chicken-soup coffee will be replaced by "Cozy Cups" -- disposable liners that sit in plastic holders. It will be up to the students to return the plastic holders when finished. If the experiment is successful, the styrofoam will be permanently replaced. Although paper cups were considered, they were rejected out of hand for budgetary reasons. The use of paper would double the price of a cup.
On the Fence

by Karin Horwatt

"Journal of a Tourist."

People travel for very different reasons. People go on vacation to Cancun, or Jamaica, or the South of France. People go home to Northern Virginia, or Camden, New Jersey, or to Little Rock, Arkansas. People go on pilgrimages to Canterbury or to Jerusalem. People take tours of the African veldt or of Hell. If we go to a strange place we like to write about it.

I recently took a tour of Hell. More precisely, students in the Federal Litigation Clinic were recently granted the opportunity to visit a prison. I am enrolled in the Clinic and I am sort of guilty of arranging the tour (Cancun was booked up) -- which was of the Virginia State Penitentiary. (It's a long story.)

For all the P-CAP veterans, the Virginia State Penitentiary is to F.C.I. Petersburg as "The Texas Chainsaw Massacre" is to a hangover. One reason is that a sizeable percentage of F.C.I. Petersburg inmates (at least those that we interview) are serving sentences for some sort of drug trafficking offense, which involves, whatever else can be said of it, nonviolent conduct. Most of the rapists and murderers are in state prisons. This tends contributes to an atmosphere at the state prison which no amount of painting could perk up.

The Virginia State Penitentiary looks like a stereotype of a jail. At Petersburg, the whole complex is necklaced by some electrified fence decorated with coils of wire that have barbs and razors glinting meanly all over, but there are almost no bars. In fact, once past the gate, the atmosphere is stern, but not cruel (for example, you can't miss the guard tower but it looks like a lighthouse). The Virginia State Penitentiary begins with bars and ends with bars and has bars in between for good measure.

The entrance to the Penitentiary was immediately depressing: bulletin boards littered with outdated memos -- some exhorting the guards not to give anything to the inmates -- and dim light and clutter and general age. We engaged in the usual formalities involving photo identification and signing in and frisking and badges. There were two sets of heavy sliding doors to pass through.

We were first led to a section of the prison built at the turn of the century. It was empty because the prison is shutting down. The cells were about 8' X 5' and they were designed to hold two prisoners each. Those numbers probably do not mean anything. To get a feel for the size of these cells, extend your hands out as if you were a guard. Imagine again that your fingertips have touched the wall. Then lie down and extend your hands over your head. Imagine that your fingers have touched the wall (as have your toes). Alternatively, look at your master bathroom and imagine that it is home.

The prisoners had written on the walls. On one wall, a prisoner had written, "IF YOU LOVE YOUR LIFE, DON'T FUCK WITH ME. -- 666." That wall was covered with exhortations to worship Satan. On the adjacent wall in the same cell, someone had written, "God loves you."

The walls of those cells were covered with posters from the fifties, sixties, and seventies. The prisoners had very definitely been trying to make themselves at home, although that must have been difficult: There was a toilet in each cell, but no privacy. In the long entrance to the cells was a narrow runway, and then a wall of cinderblocks and high windows. There were three floors.

The next stop was the electric chair. To get to the electric chair you go out a set of doors to a courtyard. The courtyard has a painfully neat and green lawn, bright yellow dandelions, budding trees, and chirping birds. You turn a hard right down a steep flight of cement steps to a door at the bottom. The door looks like the entrance to a storage room in an apartment complex. Very undramatic. Once inside, you go one direction to get to the cells, another to get to the control room, a third to get to the autopsy room, and a fourth to get to the Chair.

Death row prisoners are held at Mecklenburg, but fifteen days prior to execution they are brought to Richmond (there are about forty-four prisoners on death row in Virginia). Once in Richmond, the prisoners are held near the Chair. Each prisoner is moved up a cell as his day approached, from cell seven to cell six, and so on. Cell one had a table leaning against it upon which was a coffee urn. To activate the electric chair you push a button.

In the control room, one of us asked the guard how many times it took to kill the prisoner in the Chair. He responded that prisoners are within feet of the Chair for fifteen days and are "mentally dead" before they even sit down; this makes them die easily. If not, "he made it harder on himself."

The chair sat in the middle of a white, dusty room. There was a corner of the room walled off and windowed with thick glass -- where the witnesses observed the execution. A short burst of curtained fashion from the ceiling and the ex-warden ripped it aside. The curtain was for after, when doctors removed the body, he explained, but "if they witness an execution, they should see everything."

The rest of the tour took us past prisoners. For example, we went into one holding complex. The guards there were not pleased. The prisoners yelled construction-worker fashion at the women among us, and made editorial comments about our body parts. The prisoners were on their way out, and the guards backed us up against the wall. Then they led us out. The prisoners' body language was menacing and violent.

That experience in particular led the women among us to ask ourselves if we had feared for our safety. All of us had been to F.C.I. Petersburg when the prisoners had been freely moving about. But at Virginia State Penitentiary, I felt as if I had been walking the streets of the South Bronx at dusk. As I was thinking this, the ex-warden was telling us how a prisoner climbed on to a roof with a sheet designed to be wings and tried to fly over the wall. He impaled himself on a guard tower instead. If he had made it, he would have landed thirty feet onto highway and died.

If I had been writing a postcard from the prison, I would have said, "Virginia State Penitentiary is harsh, oppressive, forbidding, stifling, and frightening -- even viewed from beside the ex-warden and a very large, very armed, and very reassuring guard. Wish you were here." Then I would have sent it to my Karate instructor. Some of the guards wear the same expressions as the prisoners.

On the other hand, there was something strangely attractive about the prison. A nice workloads that make us physically ill. What we have to look forward to as attorneys is more workloads that make us physically ill and often desperately lonely, and responsibility for the necessities and values of other people. Prisoners are taken care of. They are fed, clothed, and housed. They make very few decisions. They have no responsibilities. In my weakest, most panicked, most exhausted moments, I confess to a fleeting, perverse kind of envy of those prisoners.

Dante's Hell was segregated. The suicides were kept apart from the gluttons and the murderers. The prison is not. It segregates the rest of society from the inmates.

In theory, everyone who violates the law deserves to be punished. There is also no question that most of the inmates that we saw we too dangerous for society and so should be kept separate. But I came away wondering if prisons should not be more like Hell: what good did society put non-violent drug offenders -- who are at bottom greedy and weak -- in the same place with murderers and rapists -- who are at bottom animals?
Mootly Speaking

by Gerard E. Toohy, Jr.

(Edited by Marcia Asquith and Karen Butz)

edited by Marcia Asquith and Karen Butz

This week, many of my classmates returned from the slopes and beaches with great tans and incredible beer-indulgence tales. Indeed, over six-three years spent the majority of the break representing Marshall-Wythe at Irving R. Kaufman Securities Law Moot Court Tournament at Fordham University in New York City. The following account of the tournament and anecdotal demonsrrat1ons those students who braved the trials and tribulations of the Bushrod T. Washington tournament and enter the world of appellate advocacy in the pursuit of moontess deserve adequate financial support from the law school as well as academic credit for their efforts.

In January, my teammates, Marcia ("I feel a Calming Effect") Asquith and Karen ("Curious George") Butz, and I mapped out our strategy for the tournament. Over my objections, they decided we should represent the victimized petitioner as opposed to the savvy stockbroker who earned $107,000 by illegally churning an old man's retirement account. I was surrounded by advocates of decency.

During the next month, our team slaved away writing (and re-writing and editing and re-editing) the brief. This involved a considerable amount of work in a highly complex area of law. The 40 pages finished product addressed churning and fraudulent misrepresentation in the options market, and contained three bluebook errors.

For reasons which we read in the briefs from 28 other schools, we began our practice rounds. These practice rounds are the key to success for William and Mary moot court teams. After going a round with "The Justicer" (Prof. Ledbetter) on procedural issues and Jayne (Prof. McDowell) on securities matters, the Wall Street judges we encountered were no sweat (okay, maybe a little sweat). As spring break neared, we completed the final practice rounds and finally learned to "just have fun with it" as Rob McDowell was so fond of saying.

Marcia went to New York ahead of us for a vacation, during which time Melissa Weihenmeyer forced our teammates to frequent bars until 5:30 a.m. Away from our protective gaze she also trekked to the Pink Pussycat Boutique with Mike Fuchs, watched Mary Francis watch Woody Allen and his clarinet, and met Sean McMillen for a few oysters. Karen and I were concerned that we were going to have to take her to the Betty Ford clinic before the tournament. (Later it was revealed that those few days of craziness actually helped her to obtain her "calming effect".)

Karen and I drove to New York on Wednesday. The ride was uneventful except for the fact that Karen needed constant attention (with subsequent interruptions and asked continuous questions). I became our team's version of the Shell Answer Man. "Gerard, what kind of rooms are we going to be in?" "How does she get her hair to do that?" "When do we eat again?" I didn't know the answer to any of Karen's questions. Nor did I know why she wanted to cruise all the IKEA stores on 1-95.

In the next round, the judges assigned to our room made me South of the Border (geek, dweeb, nerd, etc.). Little did we know that during spring break we would learn to truly appreciate that big stud, Dean Williamson. On Friday, both teams argued twice. During team #8's first round, Karen and her pearls were so flawless that Marcia and I were surprised when she wanted to get away from those oysters. During the next round, the judges assigned to our room made the Star Chamber look like a picnic. Before she argued, a nervous feeling went up and down over this. PSF needs an answerer for the S.O.B. inquisitors with skill and wit. The highlight of the round was when a judge questioned my use of a statement by Franklin Roosevelt while answering a question about the legislative history of the 1933 Securities Act. When the judge quipped "Oh, so now the president can legislate...?" I could not resist replying, "Your honor, I think we can all concede that Franklin Roosevelt did legislate during this time..." Marcia and Karen put their teeth back in after this Toothyesque reply, and we advanced to the next round.

During hanch on Saturday, the teams advancing to the elimination round were announced. Our team was the last one called. At this point— between questions from Karen and my Monty Python imitations—we began to feel we could do well in the tournament. Marcia and I argued our third round, during which I cited Mona Meeker as a scholar in the securities field and Marcia managed to say "panaceas" three times fast. Afterwards, we had dinner with my parents. After the case before the salad, we could not go to the quarterfinals. After screaming in the phone and being unable to eat, we returned to the hotel to join team #8 for several beers and a vodka tonic or two.

We completed our round with style on Sunday but were eliminated before the semi-finals. We had not anticipated the sense of dejection that set in. After working so hard for so long, we were left in New York - no championship, no academic credit and no cash. As most of the law school is aware, Moot Court teams receive no academic credit because to do so would jeopardize the funding received from the Board of Student Affairs. Nor does the law school administration seem inclined to fund the program in order to enable those participating to receive academic credit. So the teams are left with but the moral support of the Moot Court Board, our classmates and a few faculty members. BSA funding only covers transportation (our cars) and lodging. This sad state of affairs in no way affects the quality of William and Mary Moot Court teams' performance. Rather, it diminishes the quality of the experience for the team members who incur substantial financial hardship and put in many hours of hard work that is not officially recognized by the Marshall-Wythe administration. I challenge the administration to support the efforts of our Moot Court teams with funding and with credit. But more importantly, I applaud my fellow members of the Moot Court Bar on a job well done which is not adequately recognized.

Give a Day to PSF

GIVE A DAY TO PSF!

During the week of March 26-30, the Public Service Fund (PSF) will hold its third annual Pledge Drive. PSF provides stipends to Marshall-Wythe students who work in unpaid public interest jobs. It is in order to support the efforts of this week to help you in a number of ways. For instance, if you are interested in "working a day in the public interest," you can pledge a day (or any other amount) of your summer salary so that other students can work in unpaid public interest jobs. Stop by our table in the lobby and show your support!

PSF Pledge Week Activities

Mon 2:30 Pledge Drive Kickoff with Judge Merhige, Federal District Judge, "The Law Student's and Practitioner's Duty to Public Service."

Tue 3/27 Brown Bag Lunch featuring Chris Wilson, Peninsula Legal Aid/Battered Women's Shelter

Wed 3/28 Q/A Session and Reception for past and future student public interest workers.

Thu 3/29 Brown bag lunch with Judge Zepkin, Williamsburg General District Court, "Representation of defends in Criminal Defense."

Fri 3/30 Practitioner's Day to Serve as Court-appointed Counsel.

Sat 3/31 Friday chill Cookoff and Keg Party

STAY TUNED FOR FURTHER DETAILS!!!

PSF Cont.

Williamsburg business community to be "open to all men and "auctioneers." The PSF Board is very pleased to announce that the auction raised over $5,000. We are very grateful to everyone who planned for this semester, and we hope that PSF can continue to rely on your support. To coincide with the Third Annual Pledge Drive, to be held March 26-30, we will be sponsoring several informational opportunities, including a pledge week Kickoff Presentation by Federal District Judge Robert Merhige, to be held Friday, March 26th at 3 pm.

Finally, we would like you to contribute time and money to the cause. Thanks very much, and keep up the good work—your profession and some of your fellow students are depending on it.

The Board would also like to take this opportunity to thank its student volunteers: Pat Allen, Lauren Gross, Caroline LaCour, Lisa Leber, Tracy Tran, and Wendy Welsch.

The PSF Board is pleased that the law school community generally supports the need to support public interest law and has demonstrated a willingness to contribute time and money to this cause. Thanks very much, and keep up the good work—your profession and some of your fellow students are depending on it.

Many more is planned for the Third Annual Spring Pledge Drive. to be held March 26-30, we will be sponsoring several informational opportunities, including a pledge week Kickoff Presentation by Federal District Judge Robert Merhige, to be held Friday, March 23rd. As a result of your support, the Public Service Fund will be able to provide stipends for at least six Marshall-Wythe students who will spend their summer working in public service jobs. The application deadline is Friday, March 23rd.
Women's History Celebrated

by Steffi Garrett

"A time for re-examining and celebrating the wide range of women's contributions and achievements, too often overlooked in the telling of U.S. History."

That is how Chaze's Annual Events describes Women's History Month.

"American History courses have traditionally been taught with a focus on the unusual person. As more historians adopt a social history approach, it becomes more inclusive and more realistic," explained Kate Atkins, IL.

Several events are scheduled this month to highlight the role of women in the United States. For example, the Moot Court display case will have three different themes. "Women in History" was the focus for the first week, "Women and Creativity" the second week, and "Women and the Law" will be the final display.

Moot Court Update

by Rob McDowell

Marshall-Wythe sent two teams to the Fordham University Securities Law Moot Court Competition in New York City over spring break. The team of Gerard Toobey, Marcia Asquith and Karen Butz finished in the Quaterfinals out of a field of 28 teams.

Dave Montgomery, Holly Hamilton and Ken Knudzey comprised the other team which also made a strong showing.

Tom Soleta, Rudy Martin and Scott Ollar spent part of their spring break competing in the Georgetown University Bioethics Moot Court Competition in Washington, D.C., where they received many compliments for their fine performances.

Later this month, two teams will compete in the A.B.A. regional competition, and another team will travel to New York to compete in the Cardozo/BMI Entertainment-Communications Law Competition.

For those who like to be actively entertained, there are movies. They will be shown every Wednesday in March at 8:00 PM in room 120. The Accused, a film dealing with rape and popular societal misconceptions about women and their behavior, was the first movie. Adam's Rib, a classic comedy about lawyers who are married to each other and represent opposite sides in a case involving a wife's assault on her husband, was the second film shown. Heathers, a look at teenage cliques, peer pressure, and suicide, will be shown on March 28.

Lastly, The Women, a witty classic about female bonding, marriage, and cheating within a circle of friends will premiere April 4.

Finally, Mary & William will host two panel discussions. "Interviewing Tips for Women and Surviving the Old Boy Network" featured Professors Barnard, Spencer, Robinson and Dean Kaplan. "The Mommy Track: Myth, Reality, or a Good Business Proposition?" is scheduled for March 29 at 3:30 p.m. in room 124. Panelists include Professor Susan Grover, Assistant to the Vice-President of Administration and Finance Nancy Nash, Martin Zelder of the Business School, and Professor Rodney Smolla as moderator.

"It is a well-rounded panel to talk about all concerns -- business and personal -- concerning an issue that affects everyone," said Ingrid Olson, president of Mary & William.

"This month is a celebration for the whole law school to share in," Atkins emphasized. "There is a lot we don't know and can learn from others." Those with any ideas or objects for the display case are urged to contact Atkins.

The Advocate extends congratulations to next year's editors:

The William and Mary Law Review

Editor-in-Chief
Managing Editor
Professional Articles Editor
Student Articles Editor
Symposium Editor
Symposium Editor
Research Editor
Candidates Program Director
Board Editors

The Administrative Law Review

Managing Editor
Project Editor
Book Editors

The Advocate's
Finest
Delicatessen

FEATURING

New York Style Sandwiches
Reuben --سائر
Corned Beef -- Panrami
Evening Specialties
French Onion Soup
As Grains

Sandwiches served up with classical music from the masters, portraits of composers on the walls, and buzz of atmosphere. Onion soup and cheesecake are house specialties.

With the help of Charles "The Enforcer" Koch, Jim Moliterno hustles Robert Bryant (1L) for his lunch money at the recent "Student-Faculty Mixer" sponsored by the Student Services Committee.
The Advocate is proud to present the results of our second annual survey. A total of 72 survey responses were submitted, and our official Advocate Statistician, Anne Wesley, has spent many painstaking hours compiling the responses. Remember, you said it, we're just reporting it.

FACULTY

1. What is the foremost strength of the Marshall-Wythe faculty?
   Accessibility. Their great parking spaces. They have Wessonality - like Mrs. Brady's salad oil. They publish a lot of scholarly articles. They have faces I can draw in my notebook. There aren't that many to bother us. Their ability to love.

2. What have you found to be the foremost weakness of the faculty?
   Their ability to love. They don't read the evaluations. They can't carry a tune and sing in unison. They expect us to attend class. They can't dribble with their left hands. Repeated use of the special faculty entrance.

3. Do you like taking classes from visiting professors?
   Yes 11 no 21 never had one

4. If you have taken a class from a visiting professor, would you prefer that the visiting professor program be expanded to be limited in favor of hiring permanent faculty members?
   Be junked.

5. Who has been your favorite professor over all?
   Smolla (18), Hardy (7), Barnard (5), Butler (5), Grover (4), and various others were mentioned at least once.

6. Which professor makes the most cryptic use of hieroglyphics on the chalkboard?
   Devins (13), Hardy (8), Collins (7), Rosenberg (6), Coven (3)

7. In your opinion, what were these professors in their former lives before being reincarnated as our illustrious faculty?
   Glenn Coven was: Lizzie Borden, Jack the Ripper, KG8 Agent, Gay Rights Activist, Ichabod Crane (2), a tired rat.
   Tom Collins was: A Batman villain, a troll doll, Charles Manson (2), in a Dr. Seuss book, Bork's Grandfather, one of the 3 Billy Goat's gruff.
   Linda Malone was: A Geisha Girl, Eleanor Roosevelt, Gypsy Rose Lee, a leprechaun, Bonnie Parker
   Neil Devins was: Underdog, Ban Roll On, the Grinch's dog, Gumby, a door hinge needing WD-40, a sandal-sporting disciple of Christ
   Emeric Fischer was: A German toymaker (2), Santa Claus (3), the lead munchkin in the Lollipoppa Guild, Grandpa on He-Haw, Mr. Magoo, the Apple Guy on Fruit of the Loom underwear
   Margaret Spencer was: On The Mod Squad, a Pit Bull, an Auctioneer, Claire Huxtable, Wonder Woman
   Alemante Selassie was: Napoleon, a 7-11 Employee, Yoda, a Sensitivity Instructor, King Tut, an English Professor, a Disciplinarian in a military school, a pirate
   Jim Heller was: Hitler (5), Satan (4), an African Dung Beetle, Darth Vader's librarian, Eva Peron, an Electrolux salesman, Howdy-Doody - Sullivan's puppet, something in a refrigerator that was spoiled and smelled really bad
   Ron Rosenberg was: a ballerina, a piece of fabric, nuclear waste, a rocking horse (2), Daniel Boone, an ostrich's butt, John Ehler

8. Do you feel the current faculty evaluation forms (the ones passed out at the end of the semester) are adequate?
   Yes 72 no 0

9. What questions would you like to see added to these forms?
   What does it really take to get an "A" from this professor? Does the professor read this? Should this professor be sterilized? General questions about the book.

10. In your opinion, what type of underwear would the following Marshall-Wythe personalities be most likely to wear? [Note: the Advocate will not be printing the correct answers, only student opinions. We are printing the top 2 responses for each personality.]
   A) Cotton Boxers (White or Blue only).
   B) Mischievous Boxers (e.g. Exotic colors, Little Animals on the front, cute sayings, or any textile other than cotton).
   C) Tightly Whites (Little cotton briefs - a.k.a. "Big Boys").
   D) Thong Bikinis (Optional Velcro or Quick Release Side-Hook).
   E) 24 Hour Control Top Girdle.
   F) California Style ("Going Commando" i.e. No undies).
   Dean Sullivan: A - (23), E - (9)
   Dick Williamson: D - (16), B - (12)
   Lynda Butler: D - (26), F - (12)
   Jim Heller: C - (21), E - (12)
   John Donaldson: A - (22), B - (4)
   Joan the Librarian: E - (23), D - (8)
   I. Trotter Hardy: F - (20), C - (12)
   Rod Smolla: B - (21), D - (9)
   Walter Falton: B - (16), D - (13)
   Toni Robinson: E - (15), D - (9)
   Mary Swartz: E - (21), C - (9)
   Jayne Barnard: D - (12), F - (8)
   Charles Koch: C - (10), A - (9)
   Heller's Secretary: E - (16), F - (7)
   Fred Lederer: B - (11), E - (10)

11. What do you think the "I." stands for in I. Trotter Hardy's name?
   Ignatius (9), Iva (3), I as in "me" (3), Insolent (2), Imp (2), Ignation, Ignoramus, Indiana, Icky, Iconoclast, "I. Trotter Hardy - You're Nobody"
12. Who do you think President/Professor Paul Verkuil resembles most?
18 Dagwood Bumstead  8 Donald Duck  34 A man who would illegally possess and use a radar detector in Virginia.

**STUDENT LIFE**

1. Do you honestly believe we are all "talented and diverse individuals" as it says in the Marshall-Wythe course catalog?
26 yes  19 no  25 whatever everyone else is putting

2. Are you satisfied with Williamsburg as a community to live in while you are attending law school?
44 yes  26 no

Comments: Needs more bars. Williamsburg is an upscale "Mayberry R.F.D." Too many tourists - You spend your free time giving directions. Great place to live during law school because there are no distractions.

3. How often have you used your student ID to tour any part of Colonial Williamsburg?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Once a month</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More frequently</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a semester</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twice a school year</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) d. hasn't been validated since first semester of first year</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Would you like to have sparkling, pristine soap dispensers in the law school bath-rooms instead of those foul, ersatz bars of flea soap that are currently placed there?
58 yes  11 no

"No, because I would never have soap at home."

If you answered no, would your answer change if you knew the cleaning people also scrubbed the urinals with those bars of soap?
2 yes  7 no

"No, but I would stop taking them home."

5. When a professor has been lecturing 7 minutes past the end of class, your most likely response is:

(14) a) Sit like a sheep and continue taking verbatim notes.
(2) b) Ask a question that calls for a synthesis of facially inconsistent cases - the answer of which will hold class over for at least 10 more minutes.
(39) c) Engage in Gandhi-style passive resistance by nosily closing your notebook and text approximately 8 times while vowing to put beastly comments on the final evaluation.
(4) d) Walk out of class and pray the professor won't hold a grudge and call on you the next class period.
(10) e) Other: "I come 7 minutes late the next time." "I walk out. No worries. Threaten to kill the people who ask questions."

6. Have you ever fallen asleep in a law school class?
56 yes  22 no

"Why do you think I go to them?"

7. How often have you gone to classes unprepared?
5 Never  16 Once or twice with extenuating circumstances  33 A couple of times a week  9 Several times a week  2 We're actually supposed to prepare for class?

8. Are you a member of a legal fraternity?
39 yes  22 no

If yes, have you been satisfied with your membership?
7 definitely satisfied  11 somewhat satisfied  11 Unsatisfied

"Not sure which one I belong to."

9. Have you ever played an intramural sport on a law school team?
39 yes  17 no

10. What is your favorite locker decoration?
Lawyer Voodoo doll (3), Sexual Activity Chart (3), Lawyer Jokes (2), Contract casebook cow, Nude pinup of the Dean, the combination locks.

11. Which do you prefer to use for research?
26 WESTLAW  29 LEXIS

"Prefer a paralegal. "Elves"

12. Knowing what you do now, would you still come to law school if you could do it all over again?
50 yes  9 no  10 ask me after I pass the Bar

13. If you were not in law school, what would you be doing?
"Drinking heavily, sleeping late, watching a lot of TV, eating poorly - what I do now." "There was a nice little steam grate on 14th. street in D.C. that I had my eye on." "Flipitin' burgers" MBA "Driving the big rigs." "Raising babies and eating bon-bon." "Saying - No, don't put me in with the other sex offenders." "Titching about not being in law school." "$17,000 richer."

14. Have you ever dated another Marshall-Wythe law student?
12 yes  91 no  15 too painful to answer

15. Overall, would you, in good faith, recommend attending Marshall-Wythe to a prospective student?
50 yes  4 no

"Why or why not?" "Yes, I like the other students here." "Great coffee and it's free." "Yes, it's cheap." "No, new high-powered 1L's and 2L's lack personality. Who wants to spend 3 years with people who need sex and an enemy?"

**CURRICULUM**

1. What courses should the administration expand or add to the curriculum by hiring new faculty members?
Partnership/Agency - every year, Law Firm Management, Native American Law, Entertainment Law, more financial courses, Civility 101.

2. Do you think there should be an official policy concerning the use of Kinko's materials as texts?
49 yes  17 no

If you answered yes, what would you suggest? "They need to be readable and have an index and page numbers." "You shouldn't charge so much for disposables books." "Koch's installment book is the pits." "Use the campus print shop. "Tell us at registration so we can buy magnifying glasses."

3. Do you think there should be an official ceiling on the price of textbooks that a professor requires?
41 yes  26 no

4. A sage once commented that "The Legal Skills Monster should be killed before it gats out of control." Do you think that the Legal Skills program is trying to accomplish too much?
72 yes  25 no

Comments: "Too much of a time suck." "It's an impressive program." "Fred 'Desktop Publisher' Lederer has gone completely ballistic with this stuff." "I'm a third year. We have no legal skills."

5. Do you like the simulated law firm as the vehicle for teaching legal writing, professional responsibility, et al?
28 yes  21 no
Comments: "If you don’t like it now, you’ll hate it later." "It assumes students will wind up in law firms." "Yes, I think I’ll get an offer from these guys."

6. In your law firm, what percentage of partners and associates would you like to see in law firms? 1 100% - calling myself 4 100% - including myself 5 75% 7 50% 19 25% 0 just the named partners.

7. Do you think classes should meet at more uniform times of the week in order to avoid excess conflicts in class schedules? (e.g. Classes should meet MWF or TTh instead of MWFh or TThF). 35 yes 16 no Comments: "No, because it’s easier to get Fridays off." "Yes, because your choice is so limited.

8. Should members of the Moot Court teams get academic credit for the work they do on their briefs? 52 yes 18 no Comments: "Is Moot Court supposed to be pro bono?" "Yes, but their work should be submitted to faculty to see if they pass/fail for the work done." "No, they get credit on their resumes.

9. In your opinion, what is the biggest bunny class to take at Marshall-Wythe? (i.e. the easiest)? Regulation of Financial Institutions (6), Family Law with Powell (4), Modern Land Finance (3), Admiralty (2), Foreign summer sessions, Insurance.

10. In your opinion, what is the hardest class to take? Tax (8), Property (5), Butler’s Property (4), T&E (4), Con law (4), Va. Procedure (3), Contracts (2), Secured Transactions, Civ Pro.

11. Would you favor a completely self-scheduling exam period? 34 yes 35 no Comments: "There would be too much cheating." "I would have 5 exams on the last day." "It would be procrastination hell." "Yes, because self-scheduling doesn’t seem feasible in the first place.

**LIBRARY**

1. In light of Professor Heller’s promise last year that the library would be effortlessly completed over the summer, would you personally loan him money? 8 yes 59 no "Yes, at 29% A.P.R." "Yes, because when he defaulted, I could break his kneecap."

2. What is the best thing about the library renovations? (circle one)

10. a) Joan is finally behind bars at the circulation desk.

7. b) The absence of natural light makes the library look like the Chamber of Horrors at Madame Tussaud’s.

7. c) There were a lot of dirty construction men leering at you while you studied.

10. d) The mismatched carpet is oh-so impressive to interviews.

10. e) You can make play-forts out of the tonnage of newspapers stacked on the first floor tables.

4. f) The school will be getting extra money this summer by subletting Heller’s office to Colonial Williamsburg tourons.

12. g) Many new nooks and crannies in which law students can consummate their love.

4. h) Other: "It’s finished for now." "It sucks."

Comments on the renovations: "Too long, too loud, too expensive, too unimpressive." "Looks like hell."

3. Have you ever been personally benefitted by the services of a member of the reference library harem? 27 yes 40 no

4. In 25 words or less, what improvements would you like to see in the management of the law school library? "Put Joan in charge." "Declare amnesty for overdue reference books." "Make Heller sit at a Lion terminal and enter student searches all day long." "Better copiers." "Get Brenda the good witch back." "Customer service training for the staff." "Clearly labelled shelves." "End Heller’s reign of carnal desire." "Fire 1 person who knows where things are." "Monitor faculty books."

5. Did you use the library over the Thanksgiving holiday or past midnight during exams? 40 yes 27 no

6. Were you satisfied with the library hours during exams? 77 yes 18 no Comments: "My bank has better hours." "Get a real alarm system."

**STUDENT BAR ASSOCIATION**

1. How often do you attend the Grad Thing? 12 Every Week 26 Once or Twice a Month 19 Once or Twice a Semester 12 Never

2. Second and Third Years: How do you like Grad Thing Nouvelle (the current location) as opposed to the former rough and tumble location?

21 Prefer old Thing 13 Prefer new Thing 2 They both make me sick.


4. What was your most favorite Grad Thing poster? (Either this year or previous years)

Top ten SBA accomplishments list (7), Problem with standing (3), 1000 points of Bud Light (2), the 1st Dave Matzko poster, Milk carton SBA, Goldfish bowl on fire.

5. Have you been satisfied with the way the SBA has been run this year? 18 yes 27 no Comments: "What SBA?" "The treasurer’s reimbursement of funds has sucked." "I havent any idea of what they have done."

6. Can you name your officers and class representatives to the SBA? 21 yes 34 no

7. Do you think your class representatives make a satisfactory effort to solicit student opinion on issues or make efforts to trouble-shoot potential problems? 10 yes 54 no

8. Do you think religious organizations should be funded by the SBA? 21 yes 42 no Comments: "Only if they have non-religious activities also." "Let them pray for money."
9. What suggestions do you have for the 5 giant tikis torches stored in the SBA office? Hawaiian Luau party (7), Torch Heller (4), Torch Heller's office (2), Torch the library (2), Put them in the courtyard with the other lawn ornaments. Use them to aid library lighting.

THE ADVOCATE

1. Do you regularly read the Advocate? 62 yes 8 no

2. If yes, what is your favorite part? (circle one)

   (5) a) The hard-hitting news articles
   (4) b) The insightful editorials
   (9) c) The thought-provoking columns
   (12) d) The imaginative features
   (2) e) The tedious, tiresome sports hyperbole
   (13) f) The comprehensive surveys
   (20) g) Other: The letters to the editor

3. Do you ever patronize the Advocate's advertisers? 54 yes 8 no

4. Have you ever written for the Advocate (other than letters to the editor)? 16 yes 56 no

5. Where would you like to see Bossie the Inflatable Cow / Dairy Ambassador travel to next? (She last journeyed to Niagara Falls and Toronto).

   "The Cheeze III in Atlanta." "To the closest Oscar Meyer plant." "To a dark and hairy place," "Iowa," "the Pottery," "the Vatican," "to hell."

6. Which Advocate columnist has been your favorite? Karin Horwatt (8), Mike Flannery (7), Gerard Toohey (6), John Fendig (3), Damien Horne (2), Camelia Belcher (2), Archie Harris (2). "The Advocate has columnists? I thought this drivel was produced by monkeys pounding keys on the few working word processors."

POTPOURRI

1. In 25 words or less, reconcile these 2 statements of fact: 1) The College of William and Mary takes great pride in having the oldest chair of law established in the New World. 2) No student directories were fact: (18) c) State-of-the-art heating and airconditioning in the law school.

2. The recent performance of the state-of-the-art airconditioning and heating systems at the law school has reminded you of:

   (7) a) The Monkey House at the National Zoo
   (12) b) The locker room at a Japanese Sumo Wrestling bout.
   (18) c) State-of-the-art heating and airconditioning in George Wythe's day.
   (17) d) The friction created by 2 law review tools doggedly debating the placement of an ampersand.
   (6) e) Other: Law school relationships. All of the above.

3. Do you think there should be a formal write-on for Administrative Law Review? 38 yes 28 no

If you answered yes, do you think the competition should be held in conjunction with the William and Mary Law Review competition? 23 yes 16 no

4. Have you participated in any of the activities sponsored by the Student Division of the Bill of Rights Institute? 42 yes 27 no

5. How would you rate the success of the activities sponsored by the Student Division? 10 Very Successful 28 Moderately successful 10 Somewhat successful 7 Unsatisfactory

Other suggestions to alleviate the parking crunch: Park in Heller's office (6), No Faculty parking (7), Build a parking deck (4) - colonial style of course, Use the roof of the law school. Next year's class of 1st years should be limited to 3 dozen. Make Dean Sullivan drive a motorcycle to school.

6. Considering the lack of adequate parking space, do you think we should be allowed to park on the lawn of the National Center for State Courts (a state subsidized entity)? 57 yes 12 no

7. What is your opinion of the student "security guards" who allegedly check i.d.'s in the law school lobby at night?

   (6) a) I would feel safer waving an American Flag in downtown Tehran.
   (2) b) It must be nice to get paid to nap.
   (9) c) The red-haired "guard" looks like Randy the puppet on The Pee Wee Herman Show.
   (7) d) How many ballerina sissy-boys can they possibly hire to keep the library books from escaping into the night?
   (30) e) All of the above and a whole lot more that is unprintable.

Other comments "Best male floor show this side of New Orleans." "What can you expect from Heller's relatives? "They bring in more m-r-n-man than they keep out. "The guards are scarier than anyone who has entered this building in the last 9 months."

8. Would you choose to live in a dorm in the backyard of the law school? (12) Yes (48) No

   "I'd sooner live in Chez Lederer." "No, unless it had a view of Heller's office."

9. What has been your favorite law school graffiti - actual quotation or genre? (e.g. The SkyMac era). "Hell is spending an eternity in Civ. Pro. with Tad Pethybridge." (2) "It's no use to stand on the seat because the crabs in here jump 15 feet." "Standing in the gas station of love. I'm forced to use the self-service pumps." P.D. O'Phile. "Die Tad! Grow up Will!" "Mike Fuch's phone number - it changed my life." "The Sky Mac is dead! Long live the Big Mac!"

10. Have you ever won a free drink from the cup and soda vending machine in the lounge? 10 yes 57 no

11. How often do you pay for the coffee you drink? 31 always 11 usually 7 only when there are no ants in the creamer 2 never

12. Which items would you like to see added to the vending room selection? Condoms (6), Healthier food (4), Juice machine (3), Beer (2), Spaghettios, No-Doz, Dental floss, French Tickers, Charles Finchler's underwear (preferably clean), Vullum, Tater-tots.
13. What is your favorite Dead Penguins song? The last one (4), "I Will Follow" (2), "Freebird," I like them both, "Sweet Jane", "Ave Maria", "Middle of the Road", "We're Too Good to Play for Free at the Coffee House", "Beast of Burden".

14. Which statue in the lobby do you think is the cutest? @ George "Lucious Lips" Wythe 18 William "Dreadlocks" Blackstone 24 John "Spank Me" Marshall

15. Would you like to see the no smoking policy expanded to include the lobby? Yes 26 no 28 Comments: "Yes, then maybe Kimberly would go to class." "No, the smokers have to have somewhere to smoke." "No, this is Virginia." "The lobby sometimes looks like a bus terminal."

Dave Edmunds Catches Fire
by Tom Brooke

Welshman Dave Edmunds first burst onto the popular music scene over twenty years ago, fronting a band called Love Sculpture. Created in the midst of the London's psychedelic scene, the group defined convention by recreating the rockabilly, rhythm and blues sound of the 50's. Over the years, Edmunds experimented with numerous collaborators and production techniques, yet remained true to good old rock and roll.

Although his only top ten hit, "I Hear You Knocking," recorded as a novelty, hit the charts in 1971, his influence on today's music is undeniable. He worked closely with Elvis Costello, the Stray Cats and other bands in the rockabilly resurgence of the early 1980's. Edmunds' partnership with Nick Lowe in the much-missed band Rockpile produced only one album under the band's name, but each frontman released two LPs utilizing the other's talents. Edmunds' original version of "I Knew the Bride (When She Used to Rock and Roll)," which Lowe (finally turned into a hit in 1986, appears on the 1977 release Get It. 1979's Repeat When Necessary appeared on numerous best-of-the-year lists and featured a minor hit, "Girls Talk" and the hilarious wreckless driver anthem, "Crawling from the Wreckage."

Although Edmunds has released four studio albums since Rockpile's demise in 1981, only one, D.E.7th, showcased the man's exuberant style. Information and Riff Raff created from a collaboration with E.L.O. mastermind Jeff Lynne garnered some commercial airplay, such as the single "Slipping Away," yet diverged far from the typical blues-based, pub-rock sound Edmunds' fans know and love.

Lynne is known as a technical wizard, creating interesting sounds from skillful use of classical instruments, synthesizers and other production tools. I Hear You Rockin', a live album released in 1987, featured a return to form. Simple, yet powerful music featuring Edmunds' distinctive voice and scratchy guitar. The smooth and overly refined sound made way for the traditional raw and exciting energy of a live rock and roll show. He stepped playing the Lynne era pieces in concert years ago.

Although we had to wait for another three years, Closer to the Flame is not a disappointment. The Memphis Horns (not to be confused with the Miami Horns, with whom he is currently touring) add to songs such as the title track or "Don't Talk to Me" or "Test of Love" without diminishing the energy or bluscy feel. Included is one pretty love song, "Never Take the Place of You," written by NRSQ's Al Anderson and a boppin' little tune done with the Stray Cats called "King of Love." Edmund's herky-jerky rockabilly vocals make tunes like "Stay With Me Tonight" and "Sincerely" a true pleasure. If you like straight ahead, full-blooded bar band rock and roll, you will not get burned by Closer to the Flame.

Lines from Liz
by Liz Newbill

1. When you're planning a party, it's better to err on the side of too much than too little in the refreshment department. There will always be another party where you can use the leftover cups, napkins, beer (if in cans), mixers, etc., and running out of key ingredients is a buzzkiller.

2. If you get blood on your clothes while slicing yourself with a razor in the depths of your "I hate law school" blues (or in any other manner for that matter), IMMEDIATELY rinse the soiled cloth under cold, fast-running water to prevent staining. This is a classic example of "if you snore you lose"; you must do it immediately for the best stain removal.

3. With the wedding season fast approaching, some gift ideas:
   a. skip the ice bucket; every couple gets at least two.
   b. if you haven't been in touch for a while, or weren't that good of friends when you were in touch, your best bet is something in the china or crystal department in their pattern. You can't go wrong with the color, and the variety of pieces available make your choice as affordable as you wish.
   c. if you are great/close friends, a gift along the lines of a silver water pitcher or crystal vase is something that can fit any budget, is easily identifiable with the giver (you), and will be used on special, upbeat occasions (encouraging the lovebirds to think of you in a good light!!)


5. If one of your "great escapes" you get lost, just ask someone. It's the easiest, most harmless thing to do, and it doesn't cause bruises. After all, when probably 95% of all gas station attendants live right there, who better to tell you where you are/aren't than one of them?

6. And finally, when faced with the stuff that you want to do (play stuff) and stuff that you have to do (law school stuff) and stuff that you should do (family stuff), and can't do all three, do what will make the most difference in five years if you didn't do it.
President

Caryl Lazzaro

For the past year and a half, I’ve served as SBA representative for the class of 1991. In that time I’ve learned a lot about the SBA and being a SBA President. First and foremost, the job of SBA President is a big commitment. It’s much more than running the SBA meetings. I am willing to give the position a great deal of time, perseverance, energy and enthusiasm.

Being SBA president involves building a consensus among the school community. The SBA president must know when it’s time to talk and when it’s time to act. She must also be able to communicate (listening, talking, and understanding) with the students, faculty, and administrations of the law school and the College. I am prepared to take on these tasks.

Through my involvement in the Advocate, the Administrative Law Review, Mary & William LSIC, moot court and the SBA, I’ve been exposed to many of the school’s activities and functions. I’ve had the opportunity to work with first, second and third year students who have a variety of concerns and interests. In addition, I’ve worked with Deans Sullivan, Gallaway, Vick and Kaplan on many occasions and am aware of their goals for the school. I enjoy a comfortable relationship with the administration and would not hesitate to approach them or any faculty member to share the interests of the students.

I’ve organized and have proven that I can work hard. More importantly, I really enjoy being on the SBA. It gives me an opportunity to meet students from all three classes. I am able to contribute to the school in many ways — some more serious than others. For example, I was a participant in the recent nationwide search for a new coffee bar box (Don Collins finally found one in New Orleans). I also met with Prof. Moliterno and Dean Litman to express concerns over the second-year exam schedule. That meeting resulted in the legal skills ethics exam being offered on two days.

I know that the SBA can be an effective voice and tool for the student body. My experience has taught me what works and what doesn’t. I won’t make any specific promises, because change requires the work of more than one person. I will guarantee, however, that I will listen and cooperate and give priority to meet students from all three classes. I am able to contribute to the school in many ways — some more serious than others.

I am willing to give the position a great deal of time, perseverance, energy and enthusiasm.

\[\text{SBACandidates' Statements}\]

Richard Brooks

The two most important things I can do as a class rep are to keep everyone informed of the issues addressed by the SBA and to solicit ideas and views from all interested students in order to ascertain and advocate the consensus view of the class on any given issue. Pursuant to these objectives, I will produce a weekly newsletter to keep students up-to-date on SBA proceedings. I will also accept any suggestions, views or complaints you may have.

In addition to being generally available around the campus, I will also set aside specific times for discussing any issues that may be on your mind.

Briefly I would like to outline some of my views on topics I believe to be important to all of us.

\[\text{Expanded Library Hours} \]

The undergraduate campus provides a study lounge and computer lab which is open twenty-four hours a day. It seems inconsistent that similar provisions aren’t made for law students, particularly since we would make use of the privilege much more frequently than the undergrads. At a minimum, library hours should be extended during “crunch periods,” such as prior to exams and memo deadlines. While security concerns are valid, the implementation of a card system would probably not cost any more in the long run than paying a student to man the door ever single night. Even if the cost is greater, adequate access to the library is an essential component of a legal education and must be provided.

At present, adequate access is often denied as students all rush in at once and fight for computers and research material. Additionally, many students study and work early in the morning. As the janitors are in the library prior to 7:30 am, perhaps students should be admitted also.

\[\text{The Bookstore} \]

The bookstore’s inventory of secondary material is pathetically inadequate. It is quite obvious that the bookstore has no idea what materials students need and when they need them. For example, the bookstore had few books available in November but plenty after the first week of exams. Rather than force students to purchase books through the mail or at Lerner’s in D.C., let us permit the Law School or Public Service Fund to sell secondary materials and channel the profits back into the law school.

\[\text{Most Court Our Mooi} \]

Court team has consistently distinguished itself and greatly enhanced the national reputation of our school. Their accomplishments benefit all of us. Additionally, members of the team put in long hours of research and preparation comparable to that required of any class. In light of these facts, some way should be found to give team members academic credit.

\[\text{Allocation of Tuition Fees} \]

This January, President Verkuil proposed to pay for a new campus center, to be constructed in the middle of the campus, by increasing non-academic fees by over $200. Most of us will leave this school with hefty loan payments stretching out for 5-10 years.

Before any additional financial burdens are placed on us we should at least be given the opportunity to discuss the increase among ourselves and then express our class’ opinion on the proposal.

\[\text{Class Ranks} \]

This year our class had to wait until the first week of February to obtain our class ranks. Many students had completed or nearly completed their interviews before the ranking came out. Once all the grades are in, it shouldn’t take a month to tabulate them and if it does, we deserve to know why.

\[\text{Grading Policy} \]

This year a Professor of a class of seventy-four students gave out almost as many C’s as the Professor teaching the same subject to over 100 students. Obviously, professors should not be expected to equally allocate grades between duplicate sections with mathematical precision. However, the faculty should adopt a policy that some rough parity should exist between the two sections unless students in one class perform markedly better than those in another. Grades should be based on one’s own performance or not on the whims of one professor.
The Band Box has the
Lowest Compact Disc Prices in the Area

We have great prices every day
When we have a sale, you save EVEN MORE!!!

ON SALE FOR MARCH

Selected CD’s $9.98 & $10.98
Top Current Hits $12.98

Sinead O’Connor
Cowboy Junkies
M.C. Hammer
Midnight Oil
Peter Murphy
Bonnie Raitt
Lisa Stansfield
Bell Biv DeVoe

THE BAND BOX

517 Prince George Street
Open 10-9 Mon- Sat 12-6 Sun

Stephanie Rever (1L) and Elizabeth Dopp (1L) prepare to tote home their "prize" from the date auction (3L, Kevin Clines). The two women are currently seeking suggestions on the best way to divide up the lucky gent. The Third Annual Dinner-Date Auction raised over $5,000 for the Public Service Fund. The money will be used to provide stipends to law students who work in public interest positions.
New hours 11:30 am - 2 am
New menu Open for lunch

Live entertainment
Tuesday nights 10 pm

New shirts are in
S2 cover

220-3405

765 Scotland Street
At William & Mary's Cary Field
Attention Third Years

Submit your favorite photos for the Advocate's Class of 1990 Retrospective

To be featured in the final issue of the year

Andy Condlin (2L), Adrian Nelson (2L), Steven Lee (3L) and Pat Allen (2L) toast the joys of appellate advocacy at the Alumni House during the Nineteenth Annual William B. Spong, Jr. Invitational Moot Court Competition held February 23 and 24. Thirteen teams from across the U.S. participated. In the final round, the University of Maine triumphed over Southwestern University of Los Angeles.
Northern Tub Finds Tube

by Cheryl Hamilton and Lucy Lynch (The Next Generation)

"Ain't-No-Tube-Big-Enough" scored big - and we mean big - last Tuesday night. The game was almost cancelled due to the excessive but expected amount of water displacement. However, after thwarting the "Save The Whales" demonstration outside the Rec Center, the "Tubes" were determined to score. Actually, the problems started much earlier than Tuesday night. The big guy, Dan "Tub" O'Lynch had problems getting girls (what's new) pursuant to the strict enforcement of the No Girl-friends Rule. But the Good always gets the girl. (Or is it vice versa?)

The Tubes scored first, with a shot by Dave "O'Shut-up" Ireland. His next point was deemed null and void due to the Moddslayer's flagrant violation of the no-fouling-in-the-cones rule. The game was saved by rovers Van "Hey Hey Hey" Dorsay and Ingrid "Mine's-waiting-for-me-at-home" Olson. The other side was prevented from scoring due to the flawless goalkeeping of Lucy "Madonna McGonigle" Lynch and Dan "I-can't-give-you-a-schedule" Perry. The defensive moves of Al "Whooo-Muldooney" Anzini and Eliza-beth "The Duke" Doppster were stellar, airtight, and water resistant. Of course, the Duke committed a few too many offensive fouls. This was nothing compared with Cheryl "nobody-bothers-to-guard-me" Hamilton's ordeal with the East German women.

Despite our big win, Dan "Patty Lucy Cheryl O'Shit" Perry could get no satisfaction. We were forced to continue our intensive training at 221 Harrison Avenue with green beer, insect slaying, McRib and O'Sullivan. The future looks bright for the "Tubes". If only we could learn the rules of the game.

MULTIPLE CHOICE

With No Wrong Answers.

Your college diploma can earn you several things: respect, admiration, a great job... and a cool $400 cash back from Chrysler Corporation.

SOFTBALL CHALLENGE

Would you like to see a member of the faculty wearing shorts? Huffing and puffing? Clutching his or her back and wincing with pain? Join us in the semi-annual slaughter: softball at high noon (well...4 pm, really), Friday, April 6, on the Dillard complex softball field.

The game is open to all students who have ever written anything for anyone or to anyone about anything.

Sponsored by the Administrative Law Review, the Advocate and the William and Mary Law Review.

P.S. to the faculty: a fat lot of good the Socratic method will do you now. We will crush you!