WE FEEL SAFER ALREADY

by Jeff Crabill

The committee appointed by President Paul Verkuil to review the College's Statement of Rights and Responsibilities has a limited goal in mind for this semester: Review the Statement to conform to the modification in The Buckley Amendment which would allow public universities to eliminate some restrictions on the release of information from internal judicial procedures.

With finals coming within weeks, committee member Laura Flippin, president of the Board of Student Affairs, said that discussion on allowing a victim to hear the outcome of a consuming job might be

"to hear the outcome of a wording the new amendment. discussion on allowing a victim
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The committee met for the first time last Tuesday, April 16 and

will meet again Friday, April 19.

Some of the issues that could be addressed if the committee continued to meet into next year:

(1) allowing the accused to appeal a decision (currently only the accuser can appeal an adverse decision);

(2) allowing the accuser to disqualify an administrator on the hearing panel (currently, only the accused can disqualify an administrator);

(3) establish the minimum punishment for rape as contingent dismissal with no mitigating circumstances.

Both Atkins and committee member Professor Michael Gerhardt, said they were trying to quickly find out about the issues involved and the incident that led to the committee's formation. "I'm trying to talk to as many people as possible to educate myself on the issues," Atkins said.

Although Atkins was surprised the college handled sexual assault cases, she said other people have told her about some of the positive aspects of an administrative hearing.

"A rape trial is a real traumatic thing. A large number of women don't report a rape (to criminal authorities) because of how women are treated on the witness stand," she explained.

Flippin said the college's administrative procedure for rape serves as an alternative to sexual assault victims who don't want to go through the trauma and stress of a public trial. She emphasized that one alternative form of prosecution doesn't preclude another.

Some committee members, Atkins said, do not want to see the college handling sexual assault cases at all. Student Bar Association President Richard Brooks believes the College's handling sexual assault cases "belittles the horror inflicted by rape."

"If someone was stabbed we wouldn't have an administrative hearing," Brooks elaborated. "If someone is found guilty of rape (in a criminal proceeding) that person shouldn't be at the college."

Brooks also questioned the qualifications of those who try these administrative hearings. "We are talking about people who are rarely thrown together," he said. "I don't think being a professor or Dean qualifies someone to [adjudicate] a rape trial."

Since 1983, there have been only five college administrative hearings dealing with sexual assaults.

"It demeans women to classify rape as an administrative violation," Brooks declared.

Vice President for Student Affairs and chair of the committee Sam Sadler, could not be reached for comment.

New Kids on the Block

by Sean Seld

Why did it have to rain last Saturday? Why were there new faces at the Grad Thing? Why were so many people not complaining about how much they had to do? The answer to all of those questions is that Saturday was Accepted Applicants Day, a Marshall-Wythe tradition since 1984.

Approximately 150 prospective attendants, which was a new record. Some of those were spouses or significant others.

According to Dean of Admissions Faye Shealy, Marshall-Wythe has received 3383 first year JD applications. That breaks last year's record of 3247. The Law School has accepted 630, and as of Friday, April 12, 167 have said they plan to attend. Many of those, however, are still waiting to hear from other schools.

Shealy asserted that there have been only two times since 1984 when it has not rained on Accepted Applicants Day. She also emphasized that the rain did not continue all day this year. It was dry enough at lunchtime for some to sit outside and enjoy the azalea blossoms.

The day featured talks from such Law School luminaries as Dean Sullivan, Dean Kaplan and Professor Grover. Several students also volunteered to give their views on different aspects of life here. During lunch the various organizations and publications that populate our campus had representatives in the lobby trying to lure commitments out of the unsuspecting future Legal Skills slaves.

To cap off the day, the visitors were treated to oral arguments from the number one Moot Court team in the country.

Shealy said she was "pleased and impressed with the quality and the quantity" of the people who showed up. "They seemed like a pretty sophisticated group," she said. I just hope they make the choices that's best for them." Shealy also expressed her gratitude to all the student volunteers, especially Bob Bus (2L) and Erin Magee (2L), the event's organizers, whom she said did an outstanding job.

Some of the out-of-town guests were put up in the homes of current students, who did their best to prove that weekends in Williamsburg can actually be fun. There was bingo at the Grad Thing and more than the usual number of parties around town, including a performance by the Dead Penguins Saturday Night.

Potential students survey M-W opportunities.
by Peter Kay

Among Dennis Barrie's many accomplishments as director of the Cincinnati Contemporary Arts Center (CACC), one of the first was curating an exhibition of Robert Mapplethorpe's photographs. On view recently in Newman Hall, Barrie received the College's Check Award and spoke about his obsession with photography. Despite Barrie's easy charm and amiable nature, it was clear that his entanglement with the legal system left him deeply bitter and even more deeply in debt. Hamilton County police had entered his museum and served him with an obscenity indictment. Caught in the political and cultural firestorm surrounding the government's role in controversial art, Barrie and his museum became a test case for those in power that want to censor artistic expression. But if Barrie cited as evidence that he was being singled out that books of Mapplethorpe's photographs (or even the controversial ones) had been on the shelves for years in Cincinnati libraries, no one really minded: "This had nothing to do with law and everything to do with politics." With that Jesse Helms and his anti-free expression pose want to accomplish is to exploit, for purposes political and otherwise, the gap between "high" culture and "low" culture in a Spino Agnew-like appeal to the "silent" majority. Would "Joe Six-Pack" stand silently by while his tax dollars were spent on art we all thought was "bad"? As a wise woman always says to me, "Be good.

by Laura Gann

Kindergarten. Can't you just picture it in your mind? Tracing the alphabet with those huge, goofy crayons. Sitting in a circle and loudly singing senseless songs. Covering yourself in paint during arts and crafts hour. Making fun of Andy Schneider when he made himself really sick eating paste. Ah yes, kindergarten. It seems long ago and far away—a distant blip, dimming in our memories. We've moved on to bigger things. Like LAW SCHOOL now.

Law school is supposed to be a bastion of higher learning. It's a place where educated adults go to hone their intellect and hopefully emerge with new insights and, at the least, a veneer of professionalism. So what is wrong with this picture? Well, according to our paths to a higher existence, many of us have forgotten valuable social skills—"home training" that most of us should remember from our days in kindergarten.

Skill #1—Play Nicely. One would think that a course in legal ethics would pound the lesson into our big brains, but that basic rule seems lost on the grasp of even the most astute people. While it is always nice to win that big trial, it seems like an insubstantial goal, one doesn't have to be a jerk or a thug in order to accomplish it. When we were five years old, someone told us that it was mean and rude to pull a playmate's hair or hit him when he didn't share his toys. Those classmates and threatening to "crush" an opponent is no less nasty now. Lying about motives and coercing others to do what you want is not only dishonest, it is hateful. Life is not Burger King. You cannot always have it your way.

Skill #2—Sleep Makes You Nicer to Be Around. Remember how in the middle of the day, the teacher made us pull out those stunning red and blue vinyl, foam-filled mats and required us to take a nap? Wasn't it a drag to cease all those fun activities in order to lay down on that nasty linoleum for thirty minutes? Yet somehow we always felt better after that half-hour of rest and reflection. The message was clear—sleep is good. Sleep transformsicky people into bearable human beings. How much money do you think I'd make if I sold adult-sized foam rubber mats to law students? After three solid years of deprivation, many of us would sell our grandmothers to the gypsies just to get a good night's sleep. We seem to have forgotten that anything worth doing well is worth doing wide awake.

Skill #3—Creativity is a Good Thing. I know that some of my parents' proudest moments were the days when I brought my artistic handiwork home from school. It really doesn't take much to be creative. A few law students have even acquired a knack for it. They've joined bands, or performed in college musicals and plays. They've written stories and painted pictures. They've expressed an original thought, legal or otherwise. In class. Some of them have actually worn interview suits in colors other than navy blue or gray.

The administration would be better served if it scrapped the new dorms and built us a massive playground. We could have it all: swings, see-saw, bouncy houses, a big jungle gym. The faculty could require all law students to participate in playground activity for fifty minutes a day. There we could learn the fundamentals of interaction and maybe even create some new ways to get along in the world. We could even have an adult version of dodge ball—we'll call it "Legal Skills.

Why this long-winded discourse on the pleasures of kindergarten? Simple. Law school can give you big ideas and a massive ego, but if you don't have some of the basic life skills before you leave here then all the grandiose legal education in the world will be of little use. We need to take time out to relearn (or learn, as the case may be) the skills that we learned in kindergarten. What we don't, we'll be leaving law school as nothing more than a bunch of unskilled laborers. And that's not necessarily bad. Lawyers and law students, however, don't need to function as glorified sheep.

HOMETRAINING 101

Continued on page four
ZERO CASH
by Wendy Watson

On Tuesday, April 9, a group of College Administrators held an open forum to discuss student concerns over the school's budget for the 1991-92 academic year. Melvin Scavelloni (Provost), Bill Merck (Personnel Director), Sam Jones (Budget Director), and Sam Sadler (Director of Student Affairs) offered a rough outline of the budgetary process, explained the current budget proposal, and fielded questions from the attending students. Only about 20 students attended.

According to Jones, for the past two years the state has cut its funding to the College, both times after the school had set its budget. As a result, the school was unable to distribute the cuts across all of the programs evenly, and the libraries and faculty re-hiring bore the brunt of the loss. Metaphorically speaking, the school has been caught in the old recessional trap and has chewed its legs off to get away. The 1991-92 budget represents the first opportunity for the Administration to implement a planned reduction to budget cuts.

Jones outlined the University priorities and their implementation in the proposed budget:
1) Restore undergraduate course offerings. Jones indicated that 70 sections (not classes) had been cut from the curriculum this Spring alone. Next year, only 55 sections will be cut. I asked Jones whether graduate course offerings were also prioritized. He said he understood that many students had been shocked. He said that graduate course offerings have also been cut. Does this mean that the school has to decide on a program before they make it a priority?
2) Minimize impact on libraries. Over the past 15 months, the College has cut $350,000 from the library system's mandated budget. Next year, the College plans to restore those funds and give the materials budget an additional $80,000.

Then the school is going to ask for $150,000 of that money back. I believe the appropriate politically incorrect idiom is "Indian givers!" But the Administration doesn't see it this way. This year the library will be given the freedom to distribute its losses over its entire budget, or in any way it sees fit. This year the library might cut some off-the-shelf, poorly written books on political incorrectness. The library system can choose to cut staff instead of materials funding. So, do you think the librarians will fire themselves in order to give us a few more books? If you do, I have this bridge . . . . And if they don't cut the number of books bought and they don't cut staff (or even if they cut some staff), what else do they have to give up?
3) Increase support for instructional supplies and materials. This area of the budget has seen a 25% reduction over the past two budgets. Next year, 10% of the budget will be restored, with that money distributed over all of the departments and schools. Instructional supplies and materials. Are we talking chalk here?
5) No reduction of student financial aid. Student wages, graduate teaching assistantships, and scholarships/fellowships should remain unaffected next year.
6) Overhead. The school's overhead is primarily utilities and postage. I'm not sure how much the school will save by laying rank-and-file employees.
7) Minimize impact on faculty research programs. Rather than hiring full-time faculty to replace faculty members on research sabbaticals or cancelling the sabbatical programs altogether, the College hopes to cover the positions vacated by researching faculty with part-time employees.
8) Funding to support state priorities. Jones was unclear as to what these priorities were. Sounds pretty ominous, doesn't it?
9) New programs. Jones indicated that Jones felt that the prestige and long-term investment value of new academic programs made it worthwhile to support them even with the budget crisis. The list of new programs includes the Thomas Jefferson School of Public Policy, with which the law school will be offering a joint degree program. Merck pointed out that the Public Policy grad students would be especially important, because they might be able to help with the budget. Good plan, Bill!

Jones also outlined the College's sources for raising additional revenue:
1) Increase tuition consistent with state authority. Although tuition will be raised next year, the state sets a limit on how much revenue the school is allowed to raise with tuition, so the increase should not be overwhelming. For all of you who are not sure that the state is "not overwhelming" faxes with your own, Jones said that attendance costs for in-state students must only go up by about 5% next year.

WHAT AMERICA IS ALL ABOUT
by John Brown

Duke beats the top-ranked UNLV basketball team and alumni proudly parade around Marshall-Wythe bragging that "We won." Collier students offer their congratulations.

Admissions rates at the University of Virginia soar as the football team achieves a number one ranking. Fans chant "We're #1."

The yuppy comes home from work on Friday evening, goes to sleep, wakes up the next morning, and spends the rest of the weekend sitting in front of the television watching football. College football on Saturday and the NFL on Sunday. Until he goes back to work, he may as well be dead.

He may be breathing, and his heart may be beating. And he may be pumping his fist in the air and pounding the table and defiantly screaming and hollering obscenities at the top of his lungs. But he certainly is not living.

For him, and many others, watching sports is a pathetic substitute for living. Their lives devoid of the thrill they got from watching a diving catch; devoid of the competition in a championship boxing match; devoid of the tension during a "sudden-death" overtime thriller; devoid of a sense of perseverance and accomplishment that comes from a great come-from-behind victory; devoid of a sense of "domination" that comes from putting the exclamation point on a big win with a slam dunk or a spike--they all turn to sports.

Are the vicarious thrill, the tension, the competition, the sense of domination really enough to justify one's spending hundreds of hours every year atrophying in front of a television? For members of the Duke basketball team, winning the NCAA championship against Kansas must certainly have been one of the biggest thrills of their lives. The problem is, for many Duke basketball fans, the championship was one of the biggest thrills of their lives.

Then again, the championship game is the ultimate playoff match. And, as Andy Condlin writes in "Playoff Fever," the playoffs are "where the upsets happen." The playoffs are "the stuff dreams and great moments are made of . . . that America is all about."

CAN LAWYERS PASS THE ETHICS EXAM?

Some of us try hard to fit the mold revered by macho legal education. Why is it that we are not comfortable there? Is it that we learned different rules of fair play?

Some of us feel anger toward manipulative and abusive lawyers. Why is it that they are so smug about lying by omission? Is it that we value truth too much?

Some people sneer at us for not being tough enough for the law. Why does competitiveness gain so much praise? Is it that we are such legal wimps?

Some of us ask whether the flashy accoutrements are really necessary after all. Why are plush offices and harbor views touted so? Is it that we shun expensive waste when legal aid is needed?

Some of us wonder if there is a world where lawyers work for the benefit of others. Why is it that public service is so demeaned? Is it that we are just too altruistic?

Some people think that grades and honors make the legal world go round. Why do those things seem so one-sided? Is it that we brood too much on integrity?

Some of us think that zealous advocacy is law's all-purpose excuse for anything untoward. Why does this catch phrase seem so unsettling to us? Is it that we remember that zealots are excessive?

Some of us are appalled at the callous logic lawyers use to value life and limb. Why can't we believe in cost-benefit analysis? Is it that we add things differently?

Some people laugh at our dreams of the law righting wrongs in our society. Why can't they dream with us instead? Is it that the hope for legal justice is too bizarre?

Some of us hope that lawyers will be respected for dedication and wisdom. Why is it that the profession is so tarnished? Is it that good lawyers look the other way?

Some of us despair that our professional code is so without teeth and moral fiber. Why has the legal profession waffled so in its wording? Is it that vagueness provides ethical loopholes?

Some people think ethics can be learned in a quick fix to pass an exam. Why is it that ethics can be graded on a multiple choice basis? Is it that essays might ask us to agonize too much?

Amy L. Jarmon
(Some thoughts by a 2L preparing for the ethics exam.)
Joe-Six-Pack

Continued from page two

The prosecutors had hoped to manipulate what they perceived to be the legal and moral hostility toward the immorality of the contemporary art world. Under Nazi law, museum curators were held liable for all prosecutions for obscenity. Naturally, Barrie's lawyer immediately called into question the dismissal on the grounds that a museum was involved. The trial judge ruled that the CAC was not a museum, but it did not have a permanent collection, being primarily devised to display transient exhibitions. Barrie commented that the ruling was more rationalized based on the fact that CAC did not have the work "museum" in its title. The judge further ruled that the seven offending photographs were to be shown to the jury in

CHANGE LEGAL SKILLS? WHY?

by Jeff Crabill

Despite a low turnout, those who attended the April 11 open forum on Legal Skills discussed a variety of ways to improve the program. Two definite changes for next year include moving the assignment date for the Client B procedural memo and showing a videotape of a title search to students instead of making students track to the courthouse.

Professor James Moliterno said it was important to have this meeting now because the first group that completed the Legal Skills program will be graduating. Moliterno said he received many complaints about the timing of the Client B procedural memo and has decided that it will probably come out after Spring Break next year. Many also felt that the title search exercise would waste less time and be more efficient if shown by videotape.

Bob Dickinson (IL) suggested that Legal Skills should operate during the first year with only four substantive courses, with three credits given for the spring semester of the first year. Amy Jarmon (2L) and Mary Grace Hune, reference librarian, both agreed with Dickinson, and added that students are forced into making a decision on whether to focus on classes or Legal Skills.

"It was easy for me to make a choice. I chose to fall behind in my subjects in the classroom," Norman Waara (1L) said. He focused on Legal Skills, he explained, because he thought it would help more with his career goals.

John Koehler (1L) cautioned against raising the credit total for Legal Skills as it already represents almost 10 percent of the graduation requirements. He said that although time limits were set for the bar applicant memo, he didn't think the "time commitment was reasonable in response to what was expected." Professor John Levy stated that first year professors will meet to discuss limiting the number of classes first years must take along with Legal Skills.

The weekly memo assignments for Client B, which were new this year, were both praised and criticized. Although Hune said the idea worked, she added that it increased the workload. "To do that (Client B research) well required a lot of time. I don't think we should change it. I think it worked better than way it ever has." Julie Gilges (1L) said the weekly assignments forced her to space out her workload. "I think if it was more on the line of 'just take care of it' I wouldn't have gotten as much out of it." Waara disagreed and said that the weekly format was too restrictive. "For me I'm more of a mobile learner. I get very lost if I have to go A...B...C..."

Another change talked about was the timing of the Client C memo. The memo, due from first years this Friday, April 19, sensitizes students to criminal case representation and prepares students for their second year. Evidence course, according to Professor Fredric Lederer.

Jarmon argued Client C representation lacks continuity and fails to prepare students for Evidence: Moliterno said that to move Client C to the fall of the second year would add too much to the already overburdened semester.

In addition to discussing the title search, many said that the Client D memo got lost in family dispute mediation could be done totally through role playing. Problems with Client D included unreliable role players and the awkwardness of some people trying to "tag-team" mediate. The closest Legal Skills givers representing real people involved the Client E assignment. Because of the heavy workload and a deadline of only 3-4 days, many students opt to write a judicial opinion for second year appeals. Many of the appeals' opinions, however, involve only a small amount of work, resulting in a disparity between Client E and the opinion.

Although Moliterno said that he was willing to allow "some disparity," the current opinion for second year creating 'potent problems we [Legal Skills] will have to address." A solution suggested to decrease the workload disparity would be to make those students that opt for the judicial opinion work with first years on editing their Client B memos.

Other ideas at the meeting included funding an Office of Career Planning and Placement look into surveying summer employers on their priorities in art education. Barrie spoke strongly toward a re-examination of our priorities in art education.

It is ironic that now that he is dead of AIDS, Maplesethorpe is most closely associated by most people with his most controversial homecoming, the Corcoran and CAC uproar, he was best known for his richly classical and stunningly beautiful flowers. He had even published a book of photographs of (predominantly cloathed) women. Although Barrie hopes that no other curator will ever experience what he did, Barrie added, "It was more symbolic than anything else.

Some students may have been confused by the distinctions between the letters written by the Boalt Coalition and the actual petition presented for signing here at Marshall-Wythe. The letter discussed diversified faculty on the petition was simply "a commitment not to discriminate," remarked Cangin.

Both Cangin and Amy hope to become involved in the national affairs next year. "I think the lack of notice contributed significantly to the limited number of names on the petition," commented Amy, who estimated that 100 law students had signed the petition. "Next year we plan to do something more...like a candlelight vigil," she added. Cangin remarked that "I think more people who read [the petition] signed it than didn't sign it."

Despite the short notice and Marshall-Wythe's limited participation in the national event, Amy feels that the experience was a positive one. "I think the petition was a good idea," she said, "because it will alert students and make them more aware."
Continued from page three

2) Reduce support for hourly staff. Library and maintenance staff are exempt from this cut (see above). Now if we jump back to priority number two, we see that providing the means to save the library staff is not such a magnanimous gesture. The administration is already planning to reduce support to the librarians ... only to the library. Then the library can reduce support to the librarians.

3) Shift funding for advancement activities. The college plans to begin funding its fundraising program with private funds, i.e., the funds the fundraising programs raise. Look, I'm not making this stuff up; it's what the man said.

4) Administrative activities. Jones predicts a 70% cut in whatever the administration does. I'd like to know what the administration has been doing all this time that they can afford to do 70% of it.

5) Reduce support for auxiliary enterprise activities. These include parking, bus services, food services, resident life, and athletics. No place to park, no bus to ride, no food, no shelter ... but at least we'll have chalk.

6) Potential lay-offs. Most of the lay-offs would be of maintenance and computer center support staff. The lay-offs will only be made if the economy continues to deteriorate, leading the Governor to maximize the budget cuts for the school. If the economy stabilizes, the school will not lose as much state money and there will be no lay-offs. The final decision will be made in either August or September.

Note that under cut number two, the Administration made a point of saying they would not reduce support to the maintenance staff. So I guess they laying them off is not really "laying off support." More like removing support altogether. A subtle distinction, but financial planning is a subtle art.

1) Budget cuts for student programs. Fewer students. Fewer staff. The state imposes a cap on tuition, and whatever the school raises through tuition goes to academic support. The state does not impose the same caps on fees, but money designated as a fee can not be used in academic programs ... only for really useful things like campus centers.

Each student pays $96 worth of fees, each year, for the new "University Center," and students will continue to pay for the Center for the next 20 years. If the Center is cancelled, however, that $96 doesn't go to, say, the library. It goes to the State, and they won't give it back.

As one brilliant first year student pointed out, under this system of state control and budgetary segregation, there is no incentive for William and Mary to save money on its programs because any money saved eschews to the state, instead of carrying over for use in the next year. What's more, if the College tries to save money by temporarily abandoning some program, it isn't guaranteed of receiving State approval for reinstituting the program later. Tremendously inefficient, huh?

Since this meeting, I have been dwelling on the financial plight of William and Mary and I have come up with a few suggestions. Even without an Econ class, I know that if you don't have enough money there are two things you can do: Get more money and/or stop spending. The problem here is that the school has to get more money from someone other than the students or the state and the school has to decrease spending without eliminating any of its programs altogether.

So how can the school get more money?

Sell cookies. Really. One of the more rural elementary schools I attended took their cue from the Girl Scouts and sent all their little kids out to sell cookies to raise money. Those few hundred or so elementary school kids were able to sell enough cookies to the people in their community (the same people who somehow couldn't afford to pay taxes to the school) to build an auditorium.

The way I look at it, if we could get the five thousand undergraduates to sell cookies this summer - all across the country - we could really rake in the bucks. An hour or two a day would do it. Some might even be able to work it in with their summer jobs. "No dessert today? How about a box of cookies for the road?" Or "Thanks for signing my petition to save the pine weasel. By the way, how do you feel about Samoas? No, not as in Margaret Meade, but as in peanut-butter pasties and thin mints."

Graduate students? They could sell a more elite product. Like Tupperware. Maybe a special line, all green and cold.

Another untapped resource on the main campus are the Crit-Dell ducks. When the school builds the new University Center, the ducks will most likely either die or end up in the duck equivalent of a cardboard box ... so before they go naturally, why not cash in on their feathery little carcasses and sell them to fanatical alumni for special dinners? Maybe a tailor-gater package featuring cold duck sandwiches. Or for the more refined palates, duck-liver pate in a pewter keepsake container. If the alumni don't go for it, sell the birds to the locals. In a land where they sell pig's ears in the grocery store, surely there is a market for old duck.

And as for saving money, the school has a number of options. Right here in the law school, we could pinch our pennies in the library. Instead of the librarians buying books and subscriptions, they might just cut one book. And we could sell the copying- and printing-card machines and put out empty margarine tubs by the Xerox machines and laser printers. It works for the Monday donuts, right?

On the main campus, the health center could cut back by replacing all those expensive castor, etc. that they never use anyway, with a stack of Dixie cups and a box of sporks. Sanitari- ums, window glass by lowering faculty salaries and having students tip their teachers.

Despite the general "budget on a budget" motif of my recommended fiscal plan, I would suggest that the school take on one additional expense next year. A little low-grade valium all around. Maybe not even every day, but at least a couple of times a semester, everyone, faculty, staff, and student, should down one of the great pharmaceutical equalizers. I think everyone here could benefit from some occasional tranquility. Not the tranquility that makes us sit around and twiddle our thumbs (there's already enough of that out there). But the tranquility that, metaphorically speaking, lets us stop chewing our legs off and start finding a way out of the trap.
S.P.C.A. UPDATE!

The Williamsburg Area S.P.C.A. Board of Directors has made the decision to withdraw from any further negotiations with the City of Williamsburg and with James City County concerning the future of the animal shelter located on Waller Mill Road.

The Board of Directors has decided to continue operations at the shelter, taking cats and dogs as well as all other animals that area citizens bring in for care. As of June 30, however, the S.P.C.A. will no longer have a contractual relationship with the City of Williamsburg for the impoundment of animals picked up by the City's animal control personnel.

Recently, the S.P.C.A. considered turning over the shelter to city and county officials and allowing those municipalities to handle the care and adoption of the area's impounded animals. The Board cited several reasons for its decision. First, neither the City nor James City County were forthcoming on the types of animal services that they would provide should they assume responsibility for the shelter. Second, the municipalities planned to retain only one person to provide care to the animals on site. The Board felt that a single employee could not possibly provide the level of care necessary for the Waller Mill facility. Finally, the municipalities planned to discontinue adoption services at the shelter; area residents would have to go to the Newport News facility in order to adopt a pet. The S.P.C.A. did not want to see the shelter used for nothing more than a holding pen for area animals.

The Board did not rule out an eventual transfer of the animal shelter, but decided that it would wait until the City or James City County made a proposal that would work.

CONGRATULATIONS
TO WINNERS OF THE
SECOND ANNUAL PSF CHILI COOK OFF:

BEST ALL AROUND
Pro Bono by Joel Wurster and Tom Book

DEADLIEST
Road Kill by Robert and Marnie Garnier

BEST NAME
Politically Correct Chili by Ken Golski

BEST TASTE
Kinder and Gentler Chili by Anne Bowling
CONGRATULATIONS to Betsy Abraham (1L) for finishing third in the Karen Dudley Triathlon, women's student division. The race, held on April 13, combined a 32-lap swim, a 5K run, and a 12-mile bike ride on a dreary Saturday morning. Fellow 1Ls Gregg Schwind, Trey Ford, Ronnie Clay, Joe Quigley and Rob Church competed as well, but only Abraham walked away with a prized green trophy.

DESPERATELY SEEKING...

SBA Campus Security Committee is seeking more members to help us address student and administration safety and security concerns at the law school. Talks on personal safety dealing with obscene phone calls, and the implementation of the Whistle Stop program have been the focus during the 1990-91 school year. We plan to broaden our activities next year to include programming home and apartment safety, self-defense and theft reduction at the law school. We will also continue with last year's programs. Contact Kate Atkins (2L), Ellen Chapin (2L) or Natalie Gutterman (2L) if you would like to be on the committee next year.

LETIN BOARD

A new band, A new sound, A BAD attitude . . .

THE SUN KINGS

at The Cajun

Saturday, April 20
10:00 PM
$2.00

avantmetalbluesgaragerockwithjustatouchoffunk . . .

Welcome Page Hayhurst!

On April 3rd, Ms. Page Hayhurst joined the law school administration as the new assistant director of development and alumni affairs. Page will play a major role in fundraising for the annual fund, and the management of the alumni affairs program and publications.

Before coming to Marshall-Wythe, Page was the director of development for the Roanoke Fine Arts Museum. Prior to her experience in Roanoke, Page worked in Richmond for another community arts organization while simultaneously pursuing a M.A. in Humanities from the University of Richmond. Page is a 1988 graduate of The College of William and Mary.

FAIR NOTICE

JOI N ANNOUNCEMENT

The Advocate will have an organizational meeting for those persons interested in starting or just wondering why the paper is not around. Monday, April 22, 7:00 PM - Advocate office.

If you can't come, see PeterKay, if you don't come, don't Whine about the paper next year!!

The Law Library is hiring a student WESTLAW associate for the 1991/92 school year. The WESTLAW associate will be responsible for training and assisting law students and faculty in the use of WESTLAW.

Requirements: Knowledge of personal computers, including MS-DOS commands, and proficiency with computer assisted legal research. Applicants should also possess good communication and presentation skills. We will consider all qualified applicants, but preference may be given to qualified rising second years.

The job will require a commitment of 10-20 hours per week. Salary: $10.00 per hour. Interested students should submit a resume and one copy of the Legal Skills CALR memo to Mary Grace Hune in the Reference Department by 5:00 p.m. Monday April 22. We will try to schedule an interview with West Services before the end of the month.
GRADUATION WARM-UP

ATTENTION 3Ls!!!
When it comes to mail, it's much better to receive than to give!! PLEASE fill out the form below and return to the Advocate hanging file by Friday, April 19, so we can include your address in the graduation issue address directory.

NAME:
Summer Address: ____________________________
"Permanent" Address:

__________________________
__________________________

TELEPHONE:

ATTENTION!!
If you want to keep up on all the doings here at Marshall-Wythe, you have no choice but to subscribe to The Advocate!
With a subscription, you'll get all the latest news, culture and dirt on all the 1Ls and 2Ls you left behind. You'll also have the piece of mind that comes with knowing than no one is libeling you behind your back . . . at least in print.

Subscriptions are $8.00 to cover postage and Peter Kay's salary.

If you are interested, just fill out the form and give us a check made payable to The Advocate (not Peter Kay) and return it to The Advocate's hanging file (not Peter Kay's) by May 19.

Advocate Subscriptions for 1991-92

NAME ____________________________
ADDRESS ____________________________
Dress for Exam Success

Revised from the original
by Mary Francis and Laurie Patarini

By now we have probably all realized that the semi-annual ritual is nearly upon us - exams, and with them the general deterioration of sartorial standards at Marshall-Wythe. Let's face it, exams are tough and we don't have a lot of extra energy to waste on fashion. But, we are budding professionals and likely have histories of setting high standards for ourselves. Also bear in mind that observing the fundamentals during exams will allow you greater confidence as you dress for the Inaugural Ball. We would like to offer these easy-to-follow guidelines which will help to add to your fashion savvy without squandering precious time and energy. They also, may we add, will make for a more aesthetically pleasing environment.

A FEW BASICS: exam fashion is likely to focus on easy-to-wear, easy-to-match, baggy clothing which can accommodate contortions in the carrel or at the computer terminal. The smart dresser who chooses to study at the law school will layer; we are all learning just how uncomfortable the erratic heating/cooling apparatus can make things. Also, loud, clunky shoes are probably not appropriate because of the din they can raise near the circulation desk. Personal hygiene is beyond the scope of this article, but one of us expresses the strong opinion that if she can manage to shower and shampoo before hitting the lib' the gents can manage to shave.

SWEATS are clearly de rigueur for this season. Not only are they quite comfortable (and adjustable at the waist after a binge of nervous eating), but in their typical hues of dirty gray, navy, or black can easily be worn for the entire exam period without a time consuming visit to the laundramat. Most of us came to Marshall-Wythe quite adept at the basics of sweats, but a few instructions on subtleties may be appropriate.

FOOTWEAR is an area in which we've seen a few violations. Sneakers, and athletic footwear in general, even including faux Reeboks, are the first choice. After that, the authorities are divided. Topiders are probably okay, but flip-flops and wing tips or pumps (on those overzealous interviewees) are certainly inappropriate. The gray area includes Birkenstock sandals, clogs, and penny loafers. As young lawyers and prospective applicants to the Virginia bar will layer; we are all learning just how uncomfortable accommodations in the carrel or at the computer may wish to err on the side of conservatism and not wear anything of which Mr. Jefferson would not have approved.

Care must be taken in the selection of the tops to accompany the sweatpants. Again, sweatsuits are the classic and always correct choice. The adventureome may wish to take that fashion risk and go for rugby shirts, oxfords, and turtlenecks. However, don't get carried away; fair Isle pullovers or cashmere of any sort create a mixed metaphor and may be found to be negligence per se.

Although jewelry is discussed in greater detail below, A WORD ON PEARLS is appropriate here. That word is "yes." Miss Manners has asserted that pearls are appropriate with any clothing other than a swim suit (which is inappropriate even in the most relaxed exam setting). Just make sure that you wear an odd number of standards.

The heavy hitters in the jewelry department must be RELIGIOUS AMULETS of all persuasions. The particularly unwise and panic-ridden will layer (there's that word again) symbolism from at least two distinct faiths. To maintain the fashion tension that is always so exciting to the eye, be sure to include one Eastern and one Western religion in the same collection. If your pearls are weighing you down, you may want to opt for the catch-all St. Jude medal, he being the patron of lost causes.

Another jewelry phenomenon is the WRIST WATCH - should it be worn on the wrist or off? We prefer to leave this matter to personal choice. Some perform better if the watch is in plain sight, others if the rapid passing of time is obscured by the cuff of the sleeve. You be the judge.

Needless to say, COIFFURES take a beating during exams. There are a few clever ways to help you cope with out of control locks and focus on your studies. The "cap" has been perfected by E.G. Allen. We bow to his expertise and suggest that aspiring cap-wearers take his lead. The ponytail is the favored option for the women. It's easy, practical and often quite fetching bobbing along behind you as you prow the stacks. But please, we ask just one thing: Go easy with the bows.

If you think you can handle MAKEUP during exams, more power to you. But please, please, please do it right. Remove the eye makeup before pulling the all-nighter. The product of an all nighter in never pretty; don't add raccoon eyes to the problem.

THE FASHION FAUX PAS

In general, go with your instincts, you know what has worked for you before and quite frankly, we'll probably be too frantic to notice and ridicule most errors. There is however, one unforgiveable sin in exam fashion. Dressing up for the exam: Our sources inform us that the faculty discussions on a suggested curve include a provision to deduct a half a grade for anyone who arrives "dressed." Don't let it happen to you.

FINALS SCHEDULE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>AM (9-12)</th>
<th>PM (1-4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tues., Apr 30</td>
<td>Stiffs &amp; Gifts</td>
<td>Property Comparative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thurs., May 2</td>
<td>TAX! - Corporate Finance</td>
<td>Con Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fri., May 3</td>
<td>Con Law</td>
<td>Separation of Powers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sat., May 4</td>
<td>Virginia Procedure (Good Luck!!)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon., May 6</td>
<td>Law, Policy &amp; The Env't Remedies</td>
<td>Employment Discrim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tues., May 7</td>
<td>Eco Analysis of Family Law</td>
<td>Crim Pro II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed., May 8</td>
<td>Torts</td>
<td>Conduit Business Tax (7-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thurs., May 9</td>
<td>Legislation Admin Law</td>
<td>MAKE-UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fri., May 10</td>
<td>Corporations</td>
<td>Payment Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sat., May 11</td>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td>Crim Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon., May 13</td>
<td>ETHICS!!</td>
<td>Int Tax</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Make-Up Dates: All subjects will be made up for the Exam of May 14. The best way to cope with this extra energy to waste on fashion.
BROOKS’ SBA:
New Beginnings

by Wendy Watson

Richard Brooks’ SBA Administration is off to an active start in the few weeks since his election. Already, Brooks has gotten President Verkuil to create an additional spot, designated for a law student, on the Statement of Rights and Responsibilities Revision Panel. Because of the limited time given to the SBA by the President to fill the position, Brooks appointed rising third year Kate Atkins to the position.

In addition, applications for other non-elected SBA positions have been accepted. The appointments will be announced Thursday evening, April 18. Among the positions to be filled are four new posts: deputy treasurer, publicity director, marketing director, and automation director. The deputy treasurer will assist the treasurer with the burden of administrative paperwork involved in the position. Brooks said that the SBA was looking for a second year student to fill the deputy position, to ensure that there will be someone with a working knowledge of the treasury to answer questions for the treasurer the following year.

The publicity director would work closely with the admissions committee and with any of the SBA committees involved in projects requiring advertisement. Brooks pointed out that often committees get “caught up in the technical and logistic problems” of their projects and are unable to properly publicize their events. The publicity director would be responsible for disseminating information about SBA activities to the law school community and thus would allow the committees to focus more directly on their projects.

According to Brooks, “in the past, the selection of [of secondary materials] has been pretty sparse.” Brooks approached John Freeman, the bookstore manager, and together they decided that a liaison between the law school and the bookstore would allow the bookstore to better serve the needs of Marshall-Wythe students. Freeman offered to provide the student filling the liaison position with a selection of free secondary materials. In addition, Brooks and Freeman have arranged to have a law book buy-back on the last Thursday and Friday of exams.

The automation director will act as an advocate for the law school in the computer department. The director will facilitate quicker repairs, attempt to get the systems in the computer lab standardized, and gain rapid response to system viruses. Brooks said that he feels the position is important as part of his campaign to make the law school more visible in campus-wide policy decisions.

Beyond the new positions, two of the old positions, two of the old

WHAT AMERICA IS ALL ABOUT

Continued from page three

Is this really “what America is all about?” Why don’t I have the “Fever?” Why else would people pay hundreds of dollars to go to the Super Bowl just to be able to say “I was there?” Why else would three University of Virginia undergraduates drive from Charlottesville to Seattle to watch an NCAA playoff basketball game? What else would cause students to camp out for several days in sub-freezing temperatures besides the prospect of getting ACC basketball tournament tickets? Why else would someone go “Hog Wild” and put up all over his body and face for big Redskins games?

They’ve all got the “Fever.” So does a 32 year old friend who travels to Florida for major league baseball spring training every year to see his heroes get in shape for the upcoming season. (The highlight this year was getting 12 of his favorite baseball cards autographed.) So do those rabid Duke crazies in Durham who, overcome with March Madness and Dukeomania, jumped up and down and all over each other like a bunch of elementary school kids following the big win over UNLV. So do those Detroit Tiger fans who, following the 1984 World Series victory, rioted in and out of Tiger Stadium, overturning several cars, burning another, and causing over $100,000 worth of property damage.

So do those Brits who traded 200 fellow fans while trying to get into a soccer match and those Belgium fans who stormed the field after a big win, only to be tear gassed by police, and those die-hard Brazilians who committed suicide when their national team was eliminated from the World Cup. This isn’t just “What America is all about.”

Mychal Schultz writes that professional baseball players are getting paid too much. It’s time to find a better way to spend my time and money this summer than supporting the Yankees in Major League Baseball.” What’s he complaining about? Doesn’t he have the “Fever”? This is the “stuff dreams and great moments are made of.” If anything, athletes are underpaid. Why not pay each one $1 million a year? Is that too much of a price to pay someone upon whom the hopes and expectations of millions fall? I’d bet his biggest hero’s salary that he can’t go two days this summer without “America’s National Pastime.”

Then again, maybe there are better things to do in the summer than watch baseball. Maybe there’s a detox clinic somewhere out there for incorrigible baseball fanatics who can no longer digest the thought of living vicariously through a bunch of spoiled kids who are getting paid more money per strikeout than they are per year.

Of course, the patient can’t be forced to go cold turkey—that would be too draconian, and he might end up in an anomic state in the psychiatric ward.

Start by hiding the sports page—don’t let him study and memorize his favorite players’ home run totals and batting averages every morning. Next, begin taking away one game a day. It will be tough—on the weekends, knock him down to a half-day of baseball instead of a whole day. Then, remove the television—force him to listen on the radio (our less fortunate ancestors had to listen to all games on the radio). But allow him to stare at the blank screen to remind him how worthless his sports watching was. Finally, take away his radio. But be careful—try to sneak in while he’s sleeping.

If that doesn’t work, there is only one recourse. Blindfold him, gag him, tie him and send him off to a primitive land where there is no television or baseball. Introduce him to the sun and the sand. Give him a book. Maybe give him a bat and ball so he can try to play the sport he loves so much.
BROOKS' SBA

Continued from page ten

positions are being revamped. The former Recreational Services Director post has been expanded to Athletic Director. Brooks said that he wants the athletic director to organize a law school softball tournament from the SBA, which would include all-star teams from the Virginia Law School Softball Tournament. A team featuring Marshall-Wythe will again play in the tournament this year.

Also, Brooks is revising the Constitution and Bylaws Committee. Next year the reformed committee will tackle the question of whether to create a Judicial Council common law for honor code violations.

Brooks gave the following list of goals for his Administration:

1) Get the law school more involved in campus-wide policy decisions;
2) Get more funding for graduation events by requesting funds appropriate to the amount of funds available to the SBA for hosting the graduation events by requesting funding from the school and through fund-raising. Brooks is also examining the possibility of hosting some of the events on campus, where they might prove less expensive. One of his more ambitious goals is to organize a school-wide event to celebrate graduation in Nags Head during beach week;
3) Pass the Judicial Council reforms to create a body of common law;
4) Strengthen the law school's involvement in the intramural program;

The former Recreational law school softball tournament, a popular event that has been in place in the Virginia Law School for the past five years, is being reorganized this year by Brooks. The new tournament will be sponsored by Marshall-Wythe and will feature teams from the law school and other universities around the country.

M-W TAKES 3RD AT TOURNAMENT

Continued from page twelve

44 - 1 margin of victory over the rest of the bracket.

Sunday's start of the double elimination tournament against Duke revealed the effects of Saturday night's free all-you-can-drink beer party and the ad-libbed Greg "I left my left hand in the bottom of a shot glass" Casker Minick the shot, you f--- punk" drunk-a-thon. The tough defense of Saturday fell victim to the decision Sunday hangover as Scott "Tequila" Leames had his vision of numerous ground balls skewed by a night of overdosing, resulting in numerous errors. Casker, claiming to be "just fine," dropped a routine fly ball to second, went 0 for 4, and committed numerous other mistakes in the start of what was to be a terrible day for him.

Despite Casker and Leames, the M-W squad prevailed over Duke behind the bat of the Blind Egret, Al Clark, and the speedy base running of Coach Gary "Lil" Guidash. The Egret elimination M-W last year.

The defense also provided needed support by turning a game-ending double play as E. Allen to nail the advancing runner for the first out of the inning.

The losers' bracket paired M-W with still another UVA squad; the "Blue" team that eliminated M-W last year. Revenge was sweet as Guidash, the Egret, and silent Don had multiple hits. Greg Casker's only worthwhile game saw him collect three hits. The defense was no highlights.

The fifth game of Sunday saw a draining M-W squad hold on for a gut-wrenching 4 - 3 victory over the whining team from U. Penn. The victory assured M-W of a third place finish and making it a very satisfying month of successes for the entire team. (There were no highlights)

Sunday's sixth and final game was an 11 - 2 mercy killing at the hands of Texas in the finals of the losers' bracket. Despite the elimination, the highlight of the tournament took place in the sixth inning of this game. Beginning as a routine ground ball to second, Casker provided his typical Sunday performance by allowing the ball to roll through his legs into right-centerfield. Silent Don picked up the ball and made a perfect throw to Pat "brain dead at such an early age" Allen to nail the advancing runner for the second out of the inning.

Pat Johnson's third out, slammed the ball to the ground, ran to the bench, and sat down. The batter saw at least two pitches out of the strike zone and attempted to advance to third. Knuckles, laying in wait, scooped the ball up and tagged out the fooled batter for the final out of the inning.

Marshall-Wythe, one of the smallest and not the smallest school at the tournament, placed third out of forty teams, beating champion UVA Gold and runner-up Texas. Despite the sunburns and the soreness, all had a great time.

More B-Ball!

Continued from page twelve

Showtime is now a crowd, but the magic is still there. The Lakers will make lots of noise in the playoffs, and may even make a run to the NBA Finals, but to do so, Vlade Divac must stop playing European-style basketball. The rest of the cast is playing well, especially Johnson.

But this is the way to play like a Yugo, and the Lakers will go home.

Phoenix is bottoming out at the wrong time. Kevin Johnson hasn't played in two weeks. Dan Majerle hasn't played in almost three. Tom Chambers returned from a five game absence because of lower back spasms and shot not at all. 2 for 15 in a home loss to San Antonio. Hardly the way to enter playoffs. If the Suns get that threesome back, however, and they get to play the last few regular season games together, they've got a chance to make an impact. They have not appeared in the conference finals for the last two straight years based on luck.

The cannon fodder in the West will be Golden State and Seattle. The Warriors have one of the most exciting trio in the league in Chris Mullin, Tim Hardaway and Mitch Richmond, all of whom average over 20 points per game, but after that, Don Nelson has little to call upon. Alton Lister?

Tom Tolbert? Mario Elie (whoever the heck he is)? Maybe in the CBA, but not in the West. Seattle improved itself by getting Benoit Benjamin and Ricky Pierce, but they're a year away from making a serious run. In addition, a team can't go far with a rookie running the team, no matter how good Gary Payton may be. Wait 'til next year, K.C.

There you have it. A look at the teams heading for the playoffs. This writer sees Portland beating San Antonio in the week of the Detroit over Chicago in the East. Unlike last year, however, the Blazers should pound the Pistons and avoid a last year's embarrassment. But this is the NBA, and if the referees conveniently put the whistle in their pockets anything can and will happen.
M-W TAKES 3RD AT TOURNAMENT

by Gary Reinhardt and Bryan Guishard

On April 5, 1991, a team of talented and determined Marshall-Wythe softball players began an assault upon the nation's best law schools in the Eighth Annual UVA Invitational Softball Tournament. The team, made up of the half and half of the conference, was kept awake all night by Jim "buzzing" high. and dubbing the prestigious (but very unathletic) University of Chicago School of Law and the equally prestigious (and unathletic) Columbia team in back to back games. Chicago traveled over 250 miles to be destroyed 23-0 and Columbia made the trip south to be spanked 16-0. Leading the charge for M-W were "alien" Don Utleyman and Bryan "the girl for every occasion" Guishard with multiple hits. To the great surprise of UVA "double play don't matter" Allen, the defense turned a double play in each game to preserve shut-out victories. The final Saturday opponent was the only school with a less intimidating name than William and Mary-Dickinson School of Law. The M-W defense, behind still another double play (right, E.G.?!) held Dickinson to one last-inning run. The married men led the way for M-W as "Plenty of room" Knackey and Ali "having a very good time." Clark had two hits each. E. Allen also had two hits to lead the assault from the bottom of the order and key 5 - 1 win.

Saturday’s highlights included the turning of several double plays (leaving E. Allen on base). F. Allen, P. Allen, and Clark constantly on base, Jim "practice player" Emas playing defense for all 9 innings. Thurl Bailey using his infamous knuckle ball to keep opponents whining and scoreless for sixteen straight innings, and a

Continued to page eleven

Bye-Bye Love

by Tom Love

Many people say that golf is a mental game. In many respects they are correct. Golf requires the mastery of swing fundamentals and shot making techniques, but the player will not experience success unless he or she has the right frame of mind. This tip will help a beginning player know what to think about during a round of golf.

The first aspect of the mental game is to plan the hole. Know where you want to hit your drive and how you want to approach the green before standing on the tee. After planning the hole, approach the tee only with the thought of placing the drive in the proper spot. Do not think ahead at this point!! Most importantly, do not stand up out of a desire to see where your ball is... If the player stays down and swings the club properly it will be easy to see the ball after the swing is complete.

After hitting the drive, if the ball does not land in the pre-designated area, then the player should formulate a new plan of attack for the hole while travelling to the ball. As before, when the player is peering down the fairway, the player should think nothing about but placing the ball where he or she wants it to be. Finally, while playing, the player should consider only the shot or hole at hand. If the player dwells on past disappointments or thinks of impending glory, then the player is likely to have difficulties. A player who thinks only of the task at hand will have a more satisfying round of golf.

As Advocate readers are probably aware this is the last golf tip from Dr. Love. In hopes of continuing the publication of golf tips in the law school newspapers I would like to grant an honorary Ph.D. in golfology to Tom Love Book. Hopefully, Dr. Book will provide the papers with quality golf tips next year. Dr. Book is a truly exceptional golfer and I have enjoyed playing with him this year.

Just When You Thought It Was Safe . . . More B-Ball

by Mychal Schulz

Now that the NCAA tournament is over, basketball fans must content themselves with the professional game, where too often a bravura performance overcomes talent and referees conveniently forget how to blow their whistles. No, a pretty game, but at this time of the year, it’s all the basketball there is to watch. With that in mind, a preview of the playoffs.

Eastern Conference -
The Big question remains: Who will be the top team in the division? Certainly Boston and Michael and the Jordanaires are the top teams in the conference, but neither seems to be peaking for the playoffs. Larry Bird has missed the last four Celtic games with back spasms, and it is unlikely that he will be 100% for the playoffs. The Bulls, however, only seem to be taking advantage of the Celtics woes, however, because they lost two in a row at home last week, one to the Barkley-less Sixers, and then struggled to beat the Knicks.

The Bulls must stop sniping at each other and start playing up to their potential, or all those losses in the Pistons in the playoffs the last two years will be a wasted experience. Even if the Bulls do advance to the NBA finals, it will be interesting to see how the center duo of Cartwright and Pendarie (Will, not Frank) will face against a steady diet of Olajuwon, Robinson, Duckworth or Eaton.

The Celtics have a talented blend of youth and experience, but Bird makes the mixture work. Without him, the Celtics won’t be able to stay with the Bulls, and may have trouble getting past the Pistons to the conference finals. The Shaw-Lewis-Brown backcourt is a year away from being the best in the conference, so they’ll still be taking notes when the Pistons meet them in the second round. A key may be if Derek Smith, just activated, remains healthy.

Despite the Bucks nipping at their heels, Detroit should end up third in the conference. Isiah Thomas is back and averaging over 15 points a game, but shooting 39% to get those points, and averaging twice as many turnovers as before his hand injury. The bad news for the rest of the conference, however, is that he will only get better. The rest of the team is, well, the Pistons. Organized thugsbery, Don’t be surprised to see the Bad Boys back in the Finals for a fourth straight year.

Milwaukee may still catch the Pistons for the third spot in the conference, which is itself a minor miracle. How this team is this good is anybody’s guess. They have no marquee player. They traded their leading scorer for the past two years, Ricky Pierce. They’re slow. They don’t rebound well. They're anemic on the road, and will be round cannon fodder. Atlanta may still make the trip south despite Olajuwon’s identity crisis. (April Fool’s, Hakim or Akeem?), the Rockets will blast into the finals. There is no dissension in camp, however, as Vernon Maxwell is determined to walk out on the team before the playoffs begin if he doesn’t get more money. Nothing like

Continued to page eleven

Sluggers strut their stuff.
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