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**W & M Invitational Moot Court Team Victorious**

Last weekend the William and Mary Moot Court team, containing David Eppey, Peter Buchanan, and Barbara Johnson, competed against 11 other teams, including the 13th annual William and Mary Invitational. Looking for its fourth victory in the Invitational, W & M went up against such moot court powerhouse as Wake Forest, Washington & Lee, and Southern University, who came all the way from Los Angeles to compete. They were not to be denied, as they swept past Southernmost in the finals, partly on the strength of a masterful rebuttal by Peter Brodell. Brodell took Best Oralist honors for the tournament.

To get to the finals, W & M had to beat the team with the best brief, Wake Forest, in the quarterfinals. They then had to beat last year’s winner, William and Mary, in the semifinals. With a perfect record in the two preliminary rounds, W & M was undefeated in the tournament.

The tournament was highlighted by the quips and queries of Judge Mergie of the Eastern District. The man who made class actions comprehensible to “the bottom line” with his piercing questions. Judge Butzner of the Fourth Circuit was also brilliant in his line of questioning. In fact, the quality of the bench in the tournament was excellent, proving the support from teams both inside and outside the represented jurisdictions.

Thanks to the organizational efforts of the Moot Court Board, the tournament progressed without a significant hitch. Visiting moot court teams commented on the graciousness of their hosts and were favorably impressed with the facilities here at Marshall-Wythe.

William and Mary moot court teams have only won the tournament once in the current academic year, the Bentley team having taken top honors last fall in Chicago. The Craven team has been competing since yesterday in Virginia. The ABA teams will participate in the regionals in Washington over Spring break, and the Car release of the southeastern team will be arguing at Fordham in New York in mid-March.

---

**Exam Controversy Surfaces**

Should students be able to schedule their own exams? At least some think so. A proposal was submitted to the SBA last Thursday and the controversy surfaced.

The plan is modeled after the successful program at Washington and Lee University. Exams would be administered during a two-week period, with exams given twice a day, none on Sunday. Students are allowed to schedule their exams during this time frame.

A manila envelope is used for scheduling, administering and distributing exams. Students submit one envelope for each course on which they have written the date and time they will take each exam. No changes are allowed after submission. The entire process is handled by administrative assistants, professors, work-study staff and Honor Council volunteers.

Students favoring the system argue that in a system like this students don’t have to take the chance that exam grades will suffer because of an overly burdensome exam schedule. They also argue that by allowing the proposed scheduling, additional privileges accrue under the Honor System, causing greater respect for the System and thereby strengthening it. Most of these arguments in favor of a student scheduling system were included in an editorial by David Greer in an issue of The Advocate.

Opponents feel that the current system is more fair. Opponent Phil Schatz, first-year representative, says, “As the system works presently, everyone takes the same exam at the same time in the same place and this standardizes the variables surrounding all tests.” Also, opponents think the system as proposed would encourage cheating. Schatz says, “Providing an opportunity to cheat is providing an incentive to cheat.” The honor code can do nothing and anywhere grades are so important, it is not sensible to undermine the integrity of the grading system by creating new temptation.” Schatz feels that by adding more flexibility to the present system, student concerns will be minimized.

SBA vice-president Mike Walsh is “very undecided” about the proposal. Walsh stated, “The theory behind it is eminently reasonable. It is a very good point that the system is burdened with the endless without student-scheduled exams.” Walsh felt that the scheduling system would add a more rigorous Honor System that would deny the leaking of any information about exams. Finally, Walsh commented, “If the students are given the additional privilege of scheduling exams, they must take the additional responsibility.”

The SBA plans to hold a referendum on this sharply divided issue soon. A forum on this issue was to be held on Wednesday, February 29. Due to press deadlines, The Advocate will not be able to report on the forum until our March 15 issue.

---

**Women’s Law Conference**

Women’s health and related legal issues will be the subject of a day-long conference on Women, Law, and Medicine presented by the Mary & William Women’s Law Society on Saturday, March 17, 1984, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:10 p.m. at Marshall-Wythe. Norma Swenson, a leading activist in the women’s health movement and co-author of the book, Our Bodies, Ourselves, will deliver the keynote address. Conference organizers may attend three of six workshops which will be presented. The conference is sponsored by the American Bar Association’s Law Student Division.

Norma Swenson has worked with community and women’s groups as an activist and organizer for over twenty years. Primarily interested in health and consumer topics, she was active in the 1960’s in the movement to improve maternal care. In the 1970’s, Norma helped form two women’s movement through the Women’s Health Book Collective as a co-author of all three editions of the popular book, Our Bodies, Ourselves. Ms. Swenson has testified before Congress, the FDA and HEW, and given numerous workshops for doctors and other health workers as well as speaking to diverse audiences ranging from women’s and consumer groups to academic gatherings both here and abroad. She received a Master of Public Health degree from Harvard University in 1973 and then pursued doctoral studies in medical sociology at Tufts University. She is currently a doctoral student at Brandeis University. In addition to all her other activities, Norma works regularly in a women’s prison teaching women’s health and sexuality.

A workshop on “Artificial Insemination and Surrogacy Motherhood” will be led by Boston attorney Holly C. D. Ladd and Margaret Lane Associate Dean of the T.C. Williams School of Law in Richmond. They will discuss the legal issues facing infertile women. Single women, lesbian couples, and gay men will be present.

A second workshop on “Law and Sexuality” will be presented by professionals in the field. Willalfay McGee, Managing Attorney of the Peninsula Legal Aid Center in Williamsburg will discuss how attorneys can best assist victims of domestic violence. Emergency medicine specialist Dr. Steve Cummings and Obstetrician Gynecologist William Buchanan, M.D., will speak on “Unnecessary Medical Care and Surgery.”

---

**"There Are No Funny Lawyers"**

“There are no funny lawyers—only funny people who made career mistakes,” says D. Robert White, author of The Lawyer’s Handbook. White spoke to a crowd of law students Monday evening concerning his book and the legal profession. The PAD legal fraternity sponsored the event, which was held in the Campus Ballroom.

White’s vast array of one-liners touched on everything from interviewing to the fundamental principles of survival in a law firm. On interviewing, White gave a list of “dos” and “don’ts.” Never go into an interview with a tan. It proves that you like to have fun. Besides, who can “Shepardize” at the beach? Always inject names of other law firms, even those you haven’t contacted. Firms always want you if they think other firms want you.

The fundamental principle of survival in a law firm is the cultivation of approval. To achieve that goal, White says, you must always appear busy, whether you are or not. And, if you want to leave early, for heaven’s sake, take the back stairs. White graduated from Harvard

Continued on page four.
In Defense of Legal Writing

Note that this editorial is not entitled “In Praise of Legal Writing.” We first-years have cursed this class as a ditch-digger courses a mosquito buzzing around his head, as a race car driver curses a flat tire, and as a restaurant owner curses a fire alarm. We have more than sufficient cause: thus far, these courses seem seriously trivialities as citations, headnotes and footnotes should occupy so much of our earthly existence. Indeed, we have heard our brethren revile, mock and scorn these courses. What principal offense is having to teach this dreary topic.

And we will be the first to admit that the “Legal Writing” system is not perfect. Debate over whether Monday’s “zoning” students should have received the two-page extension (as of one of those privileged, I shall refrain from expressing an opinion); frustration over the non-academic world, will not our opponents receive a ditch-digger curses a mosquito buzzing around his head

But Mr. Greer is mistaken in assuring concerning about cheating as the sole reason for opposition (Yet, in the non-academic world, will not our opponents receive extensions we are denied on occasion? And will we not discover new or changed facts the day before a trial? And will we not occasionally devote long hours to a futile cause? A sometimes decide a case differently than Judge B would?)

Yet, it is for the best that all law students take this onerous class. Why? Because we are sick of the verbose, awkwardly worded, confusing and interminable opinions of judges apparently infatuated with their own obscurantism. Perhaps, the current crop of counselors, after becoming judges, will recall the misery of their law school years and write concise, readable opinions. Arguably, the 17th year of school is rather late to teach a way, too many claim that to succeed in Legal Writing one must learn the mechanics of prose; often we believe this belongs. But Legal Writing may be the last chance to steer purveyors of purple prose back into the proper direction.

J.O.A.

Ragtime

by James Shapiro

Remember the good old days in the lounge? Remember the refrigerators that used to acclimatize students hoarding enough food to last them through a nuclear holocaust. And how when you stuck your hand in to grab a sandwich every-one-lunch-bag, something would give you the “high five” back? What a thing that had admirably “cleaned-up its act” and made the refrigerators accessible to everyone.

But that’s more than I can say for the lounge itself. It seems lunchtime in the lounge has become reserved for the Marshall-Wythe Bridge Club, forcing those of us not fortunate enough to eat somewhere other than at a table, or not at all. Somewhere other than at the table usually means on the vinyl chairs on the periphery of the lounge. If all you got is a sandwich, that might not be so bad, since you can put it in your lap on top of your little brown one-lunch-bag. But if you start getting fancy and dare desire a soda, or potato chips, or soup (God help you), then you’re talking serious balancing acts on the narrow arms of those chairs. You’re also talking serious mess on your Levi’s. And if you want a candy bar for dessert, you better have gotten it while you were up for the soda because competition for these chairs is fierce, and you can’t reserve them like the bridge players seem to be able to reserve the tables.

Actually, I should not pick on just the bridge players. There’s also a “Core” group of baseball nuts who get their vicarious thrills by rolling dice all day. However, they seem to have been more considerable to those weirdo lunch-eaters by moving their existence-baseball games late afternoon and weekends. That’s usually when the weather’s nice and real baseball bats are out playing the game rather than simulating it.

Now we’re all alienated about half of my friends by being even-handedly critical, it’s time for solutions to the overcrowded lounge problem. The only thing I know about bridge is that it takes a long time to play. Why doesn’t the SBA card-limit playing so that you can’t hold the cards? That way we could have one table going all the time and virtually everybody could play. Think of the funds that could be raised with our own little SBA bridge-bonanza. We might even be able to afford another Suitcase Party next year! Make that next millennium.

But this only solves the snob problem, not the overcrowding at lunch-hour. You may be able to park at one table but the able to park another. But we don’t wish to compete directly with that institution. Therefore, the Green Leaf Chef has never advertised anything in this matter, and nothing like this occurs in the Italian Night advertisement.

Remember her critique, Miss Miller’s letters were never advertised, as part of the special, a “not so special-Kahlua and coffee.” Kahlua is the best deal in town, if one thinks in terms of bulk, is probably to be had at the Commons, but we don’t wish to compete directly with that institution. Therefore, the Green Leaf Chef has never advertised anything in this matter, and nothing like this occurs in the Italian Night advertisement.

Beginning her critique, Miss Miller expressed some disapproval of the Green Leaf Chef, as part of the special, a “not so special-Kahlua and coffee.” Kahlua is the best deal in town, if one thinks in terms of bulk, is probably to be had at the Commons, but we don’t wish to compete directly with that institution. Therefore, the Green Leaf Chef has never advertised anything in this matter, and nothing like this occurs in the Italian Night advertisement.

Continued on page three.
SBA News & Views

By KATHY SABO '84

The recent SBA book sale proved to be a success both for the SBA and the library, the generous donor of the books. The library began with a collection of books that had to be sold for and ended up with much newer basement shelves. The SBA started with nothing and finished with a clear profit of approximately one hundred and fifty dollars thanks to all you closet bibliophiles in search of shelf-filler. The funds have yet to be allocated but will most likely be applied to balance out the outstanding phone charges for which we are now in debt.

In other exciting news, the SBA typewriter rules seem to be acceptable to most. The treasury was being bankrupted by charges for typing ribbons and correction tape. With the institute of the quarterly charge for any portion of an hour of typing we will not break even on supply costs but we should be able to afford one or two new ribbons.

The first group of students has begun training on the new computer. Once these students have been trained they will be set loose to train the next group of students requesting instruction. Double-sided diskettes are available for student purchase in the SBA office at $4.50 each.

A suggestion was made several meetings ago that there is a need for a person or persons to act as a student/faculty liaison. Ideally, this liaison, elected by the student body, would act as a clearinghouse for student complaints and/or suggestions regarding faculty policies, grading, etc. We hope to have this suggestion implemented for next year and are planning on holding an election at the same time as elections for the SBA Board. In the meantime, however, yours truly the SBA President will perform this ombudsman-like function. Please feel free to come to me with your grievances, suggestions, or complaints. I will be glad to pass them on to those to whom they are addressed. Feedback for anonymity will be respected.

SBA Executive Board and Board members elections are not far away. Nominations will be due by spring break. Committee applications will also be due sometime within the next month.

Food Fight by Laura Miller

Food Fight — Kathy Reed

The Chickahominy House

"In light of last issue's controversial, yet accurate, account of the Green Leaf's Italian Night, this writer will be the direct attention to the following express disclaimer: nothing herein shall be deemed to be a statement, or assertion or implication of fact, or anything other than the mere expression of one lighthearted law student's opinion about the food in the environs of Marshall-Wythe—at least until her co-author is finished researching the law of libel in Virginia.

It wasn't that I didn't know about the Chickahominy House. A tourguide at the C.W. Information Center told my family to go there for lunch when we first visited Williamsburg. (That time I think it was vetted in favor of McDonald's). Maybe I avoided it because it was associated with other "tourist traps" like Carter's Grove or Merchant's Square. Like many New Yorkers who've never been to the Empire State Building, I supposed I've never been to the National Gallery or Baltimoreans who've never been... ah... hmmmm (what do they have in Baltimore?) I had missed out on this local landmark. Or maybe my preference for "trendy eateries" precluded the Chickahominy choice. We, friends, I have mended my ways, and you can too.

When you enter the front door, give your name and browse through the gift and antique store while you wait for a table. You'll soon be standing beside a large table "family style" with other guests. The setting is "casual country" and the food is "homestyle." We talked to Miss Melinda's Special which is on the hard side, but a good way to experience a variety of the inn's specialties. There are many other low-priced combinations, including salads and even hamburgers for the adventurous

Fruit salad (the simple pear-and-pineapple-lettuce variety) was richly complemented by a special house dressing. Next there were homemaker country ham biscuit and the house specialty—Brunswick stew. Both were fabulous. The stew, which is included in the $3.95 special, we chose among chocolate, coconut, cherry and buttersilk pies. My friends and I preferred the chocolate, but you be the judge. Put a return visit in mind and visit the Chickahominy House for breakfast and lunch.

Bon Appetit!!

SBA News & Views

Letters (Continued)

Greene Leafe

Continued from page two.

This small discrepancy calls into question the integrity of the allegations Miss Miller makes about the rest of her experience. She goes on to allege that the waitress informed her that blue cheese dressing, "costs extra." We have never charged extra for blue cheese dressing, nor does it appear (after a review of the checks, including Miss Miller's) that we did on this occasion.

Miss Miller alleges that she was served spaghetti with "no sauce, not even butter or cheese." We find this to be a practical impossibility. One would not serve spaghetti without the patty. No conceivable combination of oversights would deliver spaghetti to the customer with no sauce. By her account, Miss Miller received an item which normally consists of chicken, on spaghetti, over which sauce is poured and mozzarella cheese is melted, the whole being topped with Parmesan cheese. This item consisted of only spaghetti and chicken. The cooks somehow omitted three of five steps in preparation, and the waitress somehow failed to notice this.

Miss Miller waxed nearly incoherent as she alleges that "...there may have been a piece of chicken Parmesan underneath the finger roll. But I could be mistaken, because it, too, was missing sauce and cheese." The Green Leaf does not serve anything resembling a finger roll: we serve large dinner rolls or bread in baskets, where they do not hide anything. Furthermore, it is not clear from the text whether the sauce and cheese were missing from the nonexistent "finger roll," or from the merely suspected "chicken parmesan."

Assuming that all these impossibilities did come to pass on Miss Miller's plate, we would still be baffled by her lack of complaint at the time. The custom in this country (because everyone is constantly on the lookout for the oversights of an establishment to the attention of the management when there is a dispute) is, we feel, our policy, when we receive a reasonable complaint, to replace the dish at no charge and without complaint, or to remove it from the bill. We wonder why Miss Miller, who did not complain at the time, in view of the fact that she found our profit on her $7.75 so egregious, chose to air her grievance so long after, and so far from, the fact.

We question Miss Miller's qualifications as a legal critic. They are nowhere appended to her criticisms and we can only surmise that they are what must precede from her acquaintance with Mama's cooking. To support her roommate's green bologna.

In sum, Miss Miller's article strikes us as a petulant and self-defeating effort to avoid professionalism that we seriously question the judgement of this person. We are in no way, no it, typos, misspellings and all. We would find it beneath response were it not for the fact that we have been working especially hard (with fair success, we feel) to make the SBA a functional publication. The distortion of the facts in Miss Miller's article causes us to fear that the SBA has been overstepping its authority, that we must proceed with caution, and that we will lose potential patrons, whom we are quite certain will never fall for the above in mind we feel compelled to set the record straight.

The Management

Honor or Discipline

Ragtime

Continued from page two, reminded of the Astrodome and take over the patio. The SBA should seriously consider some of these modest, rational proposals. Or even the off-the-wall suggestion that game-playing be banned from 11:30-1:30 in the lounge. If this were the best of all possible worlds, we'd have a Sabrett Hot Dog stand. If you know, the ones with the colorful umbrellas in front of the school.

But since this is only Williamsburg and we have to pay tourist dollars, the best we can do is try and eat, at least should be able to brown it in our own lounge.

Fair Notice

LIBEL NIGHT

Marshall-Wythe School of Law

T-SHIRT

THE BLUE SHIRTS HAVE THIS OFFICIAL LOGO IN WHITE PRINT OVER THE LEFT POCKET.

SHORT-SLEEVED: $5.00

LONG-SLEEVED: $7.50

PLEASE PICK UP AN ORDER FORM AT THE T-SHIRT TABLE IN LOBBY OF EMILY HARRISON OR EILEEN CALLAGHAN. MAKE YOUR CHECK PAYABLE TO THE STUDENT BAR ASSOCIATION

DO IT TODAY! ORDERS ARE DUE BY MARCH 1, 1984

Don't forget to attend rehearsals. See your hanging file for scheduling.

Consider Others

When people need to eat in the lounge, please be considerate and do not occupy tables longer than necessary.

Honor or Discipline

Recently I have been thinking about the "Honor System" at Marshall-Wythe and I come to the conclusion that we have non. I am not criticizing the character of the student body. On the whole, I believe the students here are very honest and honorable. My criticism is directed only at the Honor Code and the Honor Council. Even though our council has all the makings of a court with its own body of law, there is one thing missing: a sense of honor.

The fault I find with the system is twofold. First the honor council appears to handle casual cases that are unrelated to honor and secondly, the reasons given for insulting behavior such as "no probable cause." If our honor code forces the council to hear these cases in this manner, then the code is not an honor code, but a disciplinary code. However, the only disciplinary action available to the Honor Council is too extreme for a disciplinary code. Alternatively, if the code is not considered to be an honor code, the council is too extreme for a disciplinary code. Because there are no other disciplinary systems available with the council, the honor system is subject to misuse. Some people who have discovered a weakness in the code use the complaints as honor violations in order to find some means of punishment. Miss Miller makes the fire weight of the council's force rarely falls and the only punishment dealt out is the anxiety of a trial. But the honor system is not meant to be a punishment itself, in my opinion, but a means of protecting the integrity of our school. The school, including the student body, should be associated with dishonorable people and has therefore devised a method of expelling the undesirable from our school. This action only a harsh one and should be considered for only the most egregious offenses — honor offenses.

PATRICK BROGAN
SPORTS PROFILE:

BY JIM NICHOLS '84

Several of the law students that have been featured in this column were so obviously deserving of selection that the decision was easy. Everyone knows that Brian Morris is an all-America football player; that John Jarosik is a good basketball player; that Phil Bolinger is a good tennis player; and that Kathy Sabo is good at just about any sport she attempts. Still, more often than not, the sports editor runs into difficulty deciding who to feature. And that is why Marshall-Wythe students have been clamoring, "When are you going to feature me as Athlete of the Week?" To those students, especially, this article is dedicated.

When deciding whom to feature in this issue, I was forced to choose between two sets of candidates. The first group encompasses those who seek more praise for their non-athletic endeavors. One strong pair of candidates in this category was David Schwartzkopf, third-year who has been running four to eight miles each day, and John and Joan running around William and Mary Hall or down Richmond Road. Another candidate is Phil Bolinger, with his dedication to running, especially in the Notre Dame song (unfair tactic to play on an N.D. grad). Phil has had a much more straightforward approach. He'd just ask, "Where's the place where I can play basketball?" Unfortunately, Phil and Joan do not run to get much more, as Phil's training schedule is too grueling. John and Joan did not have a bridge playing eligibility, as they have been running so much, but their distance. He now runs mostly with fellow third-year "Back" Helms and Randy "The King" Bolinger, and faculty members Dave Shipley and Glenn George. Still, Phil for the non-athletic endeavor. The second-year Jon Hudlestein and second-year Markys Marks. Not only do these two play in all intramural sports, but they also act as referee and coach for the men's softball, football and basketball leagues. Both Jon and Marky have been getting their double dose of athletics, and both are part of the athletic achievement, rather than to encourage it. In this category are first-year Jon Huddleston and the second-year Jon Hudlestein and second-year Marky Marks. Not only do these two play in all intramural sports, but they also act as referee and coach for the men's softball, football and basketball leagues. Both Jon and Marky have been getting their double dose of athletics, and both are part of the athletic achievement, rather than to encourage it. Unfortunately, the prevalence of the 1984 class on this team, the only M-W representative at this writing, has been characteristic of law school participation in women's intramurals. The second-year Causey Marks, basketball team and the fall 1983 Legal Lines women's soccer team are the two remaining teams from last year. It is undoubtedly true that the women's M-W program at William and Mary is not well known, that they were not forced to fill up their day with so many sports! It would read more in their favor, but the spotlight of "Athlete of the Week" on such unwilling participants, and because they were not out of the question.

Another member of this second group is first-year Beth Carver. Beth has competed on soccer and softball intramural teams and in the Marshall-Wythe Tennis Tournament in the past year. Nevertheless, Beth has not written a single story about her athletic prowess. However, this began last spring when, after collecting a big two-BBB single in a softball semifinal playoff game, she refused to acknowledge the "Carver" chants of the fans. Her reticence on this subject was recently illuminated when she had been covering the fact that she has signed a contract to play for the Detroit Silver Sticks, a minor league hockey team. With the story she's only going to be in St. Louis to clerk for the 8th Circuit. Somebody who would go to such lengths would not want to be the subject of a Sports Profile.

Finally, however, I thought I had found the "right" subject—myself! After all, there's no rule that the Sports Editor can't write about himself. Why, just in basketball alone, I'm currently playing on the Legal Lines basketball team in the Williamsburg City League, and one in intramurals. In fact, in my last intramural game, Wednesday, February 16, I did a "Rock-the-baby," 360 degree dunk slump to give my team victory over the Marshall-Wythe team, a 72-71 overtime win over Proliation.

Ed. Note: The sports editor overextends himself.

LAW SCHOOL SPORTS Notes

BY JIM NICHOLS '84

The week following March break is the submission of articles and deadline to sign up for an intramural favorite—women's intramural basketball. If you do not sign up by Thursday, you are pretty much out of luck. Kathy Sabo, Carol Brown and Kathy Styles could tell anyone who wants to talk to start a team in a particular sport. For the Class of 1985 and especially the Class of 1986, it is not too late to get involved in the Legal Lines. It is certainly not too early to at least find out about the programs in general.

While at law school in Williamsburg, there are many women who have another outlet for athletic activity besides William and Mary intramurals. The city of Williamsburg sponsors leagues in several sports throughout the year, including co-rec volleyball; men's and co-rec soccer; men's and women's basketball; and men's and women's intramural co-rec baseball. The basketball team from the law school, the Legal Lines, owns a 7-2 record in B League action. The Legal Lines, captained by third-year Cary Levitt and Angie Stenstorp, feature such diverse talent as B. Free Battle, Rollie Chambers, Bob Smitherman, Mike "Buck" Hughes, Ken "Magic" Newman, and Mickey Valienti. The Lines' 7-2 record is good for fifth place in B League at the moment, but no lead is anything to be desired, but it is only going to get worse if law school participation in Men's Intramurals.

Information about women's softball is only a drive to Adair Gym or a 10 minute walk to the softball courts. Kathy Sabo, Carol Brown and Kathy Styles could tell anyone who wants to talk to start a team in a particular sport. For the Class of 1985 and especially the Class of 1986, it is not too late to get involved in the Legal Lines. It is certainly not too early to at least find out about the programs in general.

Finally, however, I thought I had found the "right" subject—myself! After all, there's no rule that the Sports Editor can't write about himself. Why, just in basketball alone, I'm currently playing on the Legal Lines basketball team in the Williamsburg City League, and one in intramurals. In fact, in my last intramural game, Wednesday, February 16, I did a "Rock-the-baby," 360 degree dunk slump to give my team victory over the Marshall-Wythe team, a 72-71 overtime win over Proliation.

Ed. Note: The sports editor overextends himself.

LAW SCHOOL SPORTS Notes

MW Softball League

BY MICHAEL MORONEY '84

The response to formation of our own law school softball league has been swift and enthusiastic. Seven complete team rosters have been turned in, and an eighth is on the verge of becoming reality. Weather permitting, play will officially begin the first Saturday after spring break, at a greased and oil-covered dish with a full slate of games and a full supply of kegs. All are welcome to come watch and have free beer.

The league will boast three third-year teams, the Swans, Wolf Dogs and Big Stix. The first-year class will also field teams, the Mud Hens, Motion to Strike and Vermin. There is currently only one team from the first-year law firm (Mendenhall) and two from the second-year program (Lehmberg & Brown). The league will most definitely use the city leagues as a supplement to WM & Intramural softball. They can easily be contacted for formation information from the Williamsburg Recreation Department, or by talking to Kevin Gerge.

In men's intramural basketball, Proliation remains undefeated. Their only challenge is to choose the campus crown. Proliation beat back a first-year team with the score of 89-51, with a squad by a 72-52 margin on February 16, and followed that with an impressive victory over the previously undefeated Waves. Proliation broke open a close game in the final five minutes to win by 10 points in the Waves match. Going into last night's contest, Proliation is ranked 5-0 and the Rebels. Proliation stood at 5-2 on February 16, but the only squad with a chance to become Number One at season's end, however. The Incaerbecers and the Coffee Achievers are also playoff-bound, and the Savvy Bays have an outside shot at post-season play. They would finish at 5-3 with wins in their next two games. These four units give the Intramural Committee every reason to reign the championship that Proliation won in '82, but last year's champs are not sitting idly by. The basketball playoffs will begin on Monday, March 19, at Elbow Gym.

LOOK FOR THE ADVOCATE'S FIRST ANNUAL SPORTS TRIVIA CONTEST, COMING SOON!

Colonial Lawyer Deadline Nears

"Nurse Midwives" will be the topic of the workshop led by Sally Austen Tom, Government Professor and Dean of the College of Nurse Midwives in Washington, D.C. "The Challenge to Philadelphia," the theme of the workshop, will be the subject of the last workshop to be presented. Ben Greenberg, Executive Director of the Association of Virginia Planned Parenthood Affiliates, will speak along with additional panelists to be announced. Registration fees for the day of the conference are $5 for students, senior citizens, and the unemployed, $8 for all others.

Pre-paid registration is $1 less. Free childcare will be provided for those who preregister. For further information, contact The Advocate, telephone 722-1773 (evenings) or 225-1861, or write Conference, c/o E. Radford, 1350 George Way Drive #20, Williamsburg, VA 23185.