Elections . . .

"I was surprised. I thought more would be in favor of it," commented David Greer, a major booster of self-scheduled exams, after an inconclusive referendum was held on Tuesday. The controversial drive for self-scheduled exams at Marshall-Wythe suffered an apathetic setback when the motion passed 106-96. The result means nothing - no more than a poll, at least as far as its binding effect is concerned. If 50 percent of the student body had participated and the motion then passed in the referendum, the motion would have been binding on the S.B.A. However, only 210 voted in an election that no one seemed to know anything about until the day it happened. Thus, roughly 40 percent of the student body voted when 50 percent was needed to effectively bind the S.B.A.

A second question on the referendum was whether first-year students may attend the Saturday luncheon session at a specially scheduled exams. The measure received little support, as it was roundly defeated 167-35. Greer said, "I was particularly surprised about the number of people who voted against first-year participation." Greer continued, "It's hard to vote for the measure as a first-year because you haven't faced any conflicts in exam scheduling."

When asked if the vote sends a message to the S.B.A. or makes the issue of self-scheduled exams more of a controversy, Greer stated, "It needs to be looked at really carefully to allow informed votes."

Greer concluded, "It's good to get a feel" for student opinion before the process continues.

Defamation Conference
To Be Held in April

The Institute of Bill of Rights Law and the William and Mary Law Review are sponsoring a symposium entitled "Defamation and the First Amendment: New Perspectives" on Friday and Saturday, April 6 and 7 at the Law School. The Law Review will publish the symposium papers in a special issue. All members of the law school community are urged to attend any or all of the three sessions. Each session will last approximately two hours. Students may attend the Saturday luncheon session at a specially subsidized rate.

Professor David Anderson of the University of Texas School of Law will be the principal speaker at Session I, Friday at 2 p.m. His topic will be 'Reputation, Compensation, and Proof.' Professor Paul Loeb of Marshall-Wythe and Professor William Van Alstyne of the Duke University Law School of Social Science will comment; Professor James Zirkle of Marshall-Wythe will moderate. Professor Frederick Schauer of Marshall-Wythe (currently visiting at the University of Michigan Law School) will discuss "Public Figures" at Session III, on Saturday afternoon at 2. Professor Gerald Ashdown of the West Virginia University College of Law and Ms. Diana Daniels, Vice President and Counsel of Newsweek, Inc., will comment. Professor Dale Spencer of the University of Missouri School of Journalism will act as moderator.

The luncheon session will be on Saturday, April 7, at noon at the National Center for State Courts. The luncheon speaker, David Boies of the New York City law firm of Cravath, Swaine & Moore, is counsel for CBS in the Westmoreland v. CBS, Inc. libel suit. Students are encouraged to attend the luncheon (at a subsidized charge of $5.00), provided they sign up in the Institute by 5 p.m. on Apr. 3.

For further information feel free to drop by the Institute of Bill of Rights Law in Room 220 of the Law School, or call 220-4120.

Voter Apathy Deals Exam Issue Setback

The Student Bar Association will sponsor the annual Barristers' Ball on Friday, March 30, at the Campus Center Ballroom from 9:00 P.M. to 1:00 A.M.

The evening's entertainment will feature "The Little Big Band," a group of Tidewater musicians which plays the East Coast bar and formal party circuit and enjoys a wide circle of popularity among Virginia's college legions. Mayes Marks, a local representative for East Coast Entertainment, an agency that books over 800 musical acts including "The Little Big Band," notes that the band plays predominantly jazz and swing.

The band, which contains a horn section, also includes some Motown/beach selections in its repertoire. "The Little Big Band's" sound should set the tone for the semi-formal affair, for which black tie is optional. Those not wishing to attend in formal wear are advised that the attire for the Barristers' Ball is dressier than last semester's Fall From Grace.

This year's edition of the law school's major social event will include an expanded format on the entire top floor of the Campus Center, with an open bar located in rooms A and B to allow for more space in the ballroom itself. Additional rooms will be set up for conversation. Meers d'oeuvres will complement the liquid refreshments offered at the bar. Social Committee Chairman Ellen Callinan comments that even though more people will be admitted to the ball this year, there will be more room because of the additional available floorspace.

Admission to the Barristers' Ball is $7 per person. Tickets are on sale in the law school lobby. Because of the limited supply of tickets (last year's event sold out due to size constraints of the ballroom), early purchase is recommended.

"Little Big Band" to Entertain Barristers
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There's More Than Books

Last weekend I attended the softball tournament briefly on Saturday and went to Libel Night on Sunday. There's nothing very newsworthy in that; plenty of people were at both events. But there's something to be noted in the high attendance.

What is it? Well, we entered here last fall expecting our fellow 1-Ls to be among the most single-minded, obsessed humans we would ever encounter. We expected them to commence studying five minutes after waking up, not stopping until 30 seconds before bedtime. And from fictional representations and rumors of the paper chase, we expected people here to gape in awe if anyone dared mention help students maintain their lives beyond their sleep time, food, and rest. And we expected the fall quarter to be the only well-supported student activity; nor is baseball the only well-supported sport at Marshall-Wythe.

But, as we found, people here do find time for the social activity that keeps lawyers more or less human. There were about 40 students on stage at the finals of Libel Night, with 16 musicians watching from the orchestra pit. Saturday, there were four complete softball teams out in full regalia for America's favorite pastime. And Libel Night is not the only well-supported student activity; nor is softball the only well-supported sport at Marshall-Wythe. The National Lawyer's Guild, BALSA, F-CAF, the Federalist Society, and other groups too numerous to mention help students maintain their lives beyond their classes.

This editorial is just to commend the students at Marshall-Wythe for retaining their perspective on the world outside the law. We should all be grateful.

"The grind" is bad enough as it is; think how intolerable life here would be if we always let it get the better of us.

JOA

Letters to the Editor

Doucette Defends Judicial Council

In the March 1 issue of The Advocate, Patrick Doucette criticized the Judicial Council for lacking "a sense of honor." Mr. Doucette's thoughts were that the "Honor System" were incomplete and led him to make erroneous conclusions. I thought it would be helpful to restate those conclusions.

All nine members of the Judicial Council are most conscientious in their approach to the Honor Code and Judicial Council business. Each member volunteered for the position, not because of some irreplaceable urge to uphold the honor system, but because each sincerely believes that the benefits obtainable from an equitably administered honor system are worth a small sacrifice in personal time.

An "honor code" is an amorphous concept. Throughout the three years I have been at Marshall-Wythe, each law student is reminded that "failure to pay the typewriter fee is honor offense," or that "discussing the Appellate Advocacy brief assignment with other students is an honor code violation." The Judicial Council does not determine in advance whether or not an honor code violation.

The Council only determines whether a previously made statement was an intentional misstatement of the truth or whether a previously committed act was an intentional attempt to take advantage of a situation in derogation of stated rules. If every possible factual situation was examined beforehand to see whether it constituted an honor violation, the Honor Code would be concrete, clearly that is impossible.

Not realizing that the Honor Code is amorphous, Mr. Doucette finds two faults with the honor system. These two faults are logically inconsistent. He feels that the Judicial Council hears "trivial cases that are unrelated to honor." This conclusion is untrue. As Mr. Doucette realizes, the honor system is subject to misuse by individuals who wish to see classmates punished for some wrong which either doesn't fit under the Honor Code of of which little proof exists. He also realizes that the anxiety of trial can, of itself, be most punishing. For these very reasons, the Honor Code procedures guarantee that a preliminary hearing committee of three Council members listen to the investigator's report and determine whether the charge is frivolous and should be dismissed or whether it is meritorious and must be presented at a trial before five Council members. To protect the rights of both the accusing party and the accused party, such a procedure is necessary to weed out trivial and non-honor related cases. Why anyone would want meritorious cases, I would not want nor do I think that the student body would want the Chief Justice alone to make such determinations. Therefore, because such a procedure is necessary to weed out frivolous cases, reasons like "lack of probably cause" are given.

I understand that a majority of the student body is unfamiliar with the procedures used by the Judicial Council. As is true for any student, Mr. Doucette is certainly welcome to ask questions of me or any Council member at any time. Our meetings are also open to all. They are held once a week. Each student has about the Honor Code and procedures will only help to promote the benefits of the honor system.

Sincerely,

Michael R. Doucette
Chief Justice
Judicial Council

Food Fight

Miller & Reed

Last Friday I had the dubious honor of being escorted to lunch by Mr. Thomas H. Moore, esquire-to-be. He insisted that the place he had in mind was highly recommended to him by several friends. Knowing that Tom is a typical Virginia man and that he has Buck's (at least the one in Williamsburg) in mind, I let him choose. He chose Buck's.

Actually, the food itself was pretty good. (Ask Tom about the entertainment.) A large N.Y. strip steak with onions, a salad and fries was $3.75. I had a hamburger and fries was $2.30. They also have several other sandwiches and daily specials. Buck's also carries an extensive selection of bottled beer. The waiters aid that they had "all kinds of bottled beer," meaning Bud, Coors, Michelob and Miller. The Bud in bottles is only $1.25 during lunch.

So, if you are interested in entertainment that can't be found in Williamsburg, in an atmosphere that a New England /New York / New York man would approve at least the one sitting across from us seemed to be enjoying herself), try Buck's Buck's Buck's. It's on Route 14. About 17 miles past the Deer Run Golf Course turnoff. It's on the left.

P.S. On April 14, Buck's will be holding its annual Arm Wrestling Contest.

Sincerely,

Fran Pierson,
Beth Schipper,
Mike Walsh,
Laura Miller

 write for the advocate!
Parking Problems? Here's Why!

By DANA J. CORNETT ’83

Hey, law students! Did you getevil glares from your professors because you always come into class 10 minutes late? Did you get docked off from lagging 20 points on your casebooks to and from school every day even though you own a casebook? Are you thinking of hooking your class ring to pay off the $10,000 in credit card bills you’ve run up by cruising the parking lot in search of an empty space? If you’ve answered “yes” to any of these questions, it’s time to reorganize your priorities.

By cruising the parking lot in search of Mich Light, you are among those law students who have a parking problem. Parking is so bad that the students have filed a complaint with the police. The police have even noticed the parking lot and the distance from it to the law school is about the same as from the south side of the parking lot. The parking lot is still designated overrun parking area because it is upgrades by the university. If you are a parking problem, you might have to think about moving your car to another part of town.

The parking problem is not new. In 1981, the National Center for State Courts and the Courthouse. Parking is allowed on both sides of that street, and the distance from it to the law school is the same as from the south side of the parking lot. (Added bonus: if your car is hit while parked on the street, you aren’t on private property and the police will file a report!)

(1) Quit your health club and consider the long trudge your daily workout.

(2) Park on the grass at the south end of the parking lot (see diagram). According to Thea Shealy, Assistant Dean of Admissions, “The decision as to which law school to attend is one of a difficult and personal nature. The program is not trying to convince you. The program is trying to present a favorable image of the school.”

(3) When you see the lounge and the patio filled with unfamiliar faces, don’t be alarmed. They might be students. You might see them on a more permanent basis in the fall.

(4) Parking the grass at the south end of the parking lot (see diagram). According to Thea Shealy, Assistant Dean of Admissions, “The decision as to which law school to attend is one of a difficult and personal nature. The program is not trying to convince you. The program is trying to present a favorable image of the school.”


Finally, remember that William and Mary is a school rich in tradition, and shortcuts are traditional here. Just think of the chairs in the lounge, the sign-up time for intramurals, the tickets for graduation, the functional copy machines, student housing...

Information Date For Admitted Applicants

The third years aren’t quite ready to graduate, but the class that will replace them will get its first glimpse of Marshall-Wythe on April 7. That’s when the Admissions Office, with help from the Admissions Committee, will present an Information Session for Admitted Applicants.

According to Faye Shealy, Assistant Dean of Admissions, “The decision as to which law school to attend is one of a difficult and personal nature. The program is not trying to convince you. The program is trying to present a favorable image of the school.”

(1) Quit your health club and consider the long trudge your daily workout.

(2) Park on the grass at the south end of the parking lot (see diagram). According to Thea Shealy, Assistant Dean of Admissions, “The decision as to which law school to attend is one of a difficult and personal nature. The program is not trying to convince you. The program is trying to present a favorable image of the school.”

(3) When you see the lounge and the patio filled with unfamiliar faces, don’t be alarmed. They might be students. You might see them on a more permanent basis in the fall.

(4) Parking the grass at the south end of the parking lot (see diagram). According to Thea Shealy, Assistant Dean of Admissions, “The decision as to which law school to attend is one of a difficult and personal nature. The program is not trying to convince you. The program is trying to present a favorable image of the school.”


By JANE MCCAVITT ’86

On March 21st, William J. Davis, S.J., a consultant...
Mock Trial Teams Fare Well

It was only Feb. 17, less than two weeks until spring break. Last semester's motivating factor had lost its novelty only by becoming a characteristic feature of daily living — the eyes mal and lack of sleep. Who even remembered Christmas break? Indeed, was there a Christmas break last year? Our hi-liters had run dry, the No. 2's were but mere stubs, and the Northerner Reporter aisle seemed like home. Immediate remedial measures were in order, something more than a brisk walk to the bookstore to replenish supplies. Not even changing the scenery by moving to the N.C. 5 aisle on the second floor would work this time. However, being typically short of those two commodities one learns to live without in law school — time and cash — we knew we weren't looking at vast distances or exotic destinations.

Our goal was to experience a two-and-a-half week vacation in two-and-a-half days. As one party rejected the satisfaction of journeying to Illinois to nuke Mrs. Walker's Pine Bluff Woody Wind- 

hills Acres, we settled on the Greenbrier in White Sulphur Springs, W.Va.

As soon as Professor Shipley spoke his last (7) word on Erie we were out the door. Knowing our route headwayed from the Williamsburg Metropolitan Statistical Area, we prepared to travel without any golden arches stops, instead taking along bread, cheese, grapes and that staff of life only the first failed New Year's Resolution Frito Lites. No icy trees appeared on the trip, just a sprinkling of snow on the mountain tops as we headed west along I-44 to I-81.

After some discussion, the porter absolutely refused to unpack even one casework or knap-
pack. He locked the trunk, took the key, and hid the car and library until Sunday. With nothing else to do, we checked into our room and embarked upon the weekend with the diligence and intensity only a first-year law student can muster to win, the case of Franzes v. NY, NY, XV Advocate 9 at 3 (1984). Although we had to divide up on an occasion, between us we managed to complete most of the assignments — such as wearing dresses (Is that you?) and eating real meals that came from neither can, bag, machine nor freezer.

In our two-day week, by sacrificing only the lunch hour, we made a rewarding dent in the list of activities the Greenbrier offers — from the sauna to the nature trail, then on to the shops, pool, skating rink, afternoon tea/music recital, and movie. Despite this energy outlay, we accomplished our goal of a real spring break, returning to second semester M-W with enough of the budget left over to replace the old hi-liters (if we share).

Greenbrier: What a Relief!

Write-On Competition

BY COLIN BUCKLEY '85

At Marshall-Wythe, a law student may qualify for the Law Review either by having a grade point average at the end of the first year ranking in the top 14 students of his class or by selection through the fall Write-On Competition.

The Write-On Competition begins one week before the start of classes in August. The review assigns each participant a topic for treatment as a casenote. The casenote, 25 pages of text and footnotes, examines a particular legal issue through analysis of a recent court decision. Three weeks after receiving it, the participant must submit the finished note for evaluation. On the basis of originality, style, research and form, the review chooses the best writers. Up to 11 students will qualify to take the Candidate's Exam.

Both the top-ranking students and the chosen write-on competitors must successfully complete the Candidate's Exam. The exam consists of two sections: first, writing a short case analysis; outlining the noteworthy aspects of a recent decision; second, editing a portion of an article containing substantive and grammatical errors in both text and footnotes. Write-on qualifiers are exempt from the first section of the exam. Upon successful completion of the exam, students join the staff of the Law Review.

The Law Review hopes and anticipates that many of the current first-years will participate in the Write-On competition. For their information, the Review will shortly hold a meeting to further explain the process of qualification.

Patio Construction

Yes, it's no joke. In fact, it was intended to draw attention to the definite problem with the size of the lounge. Other motives aside, the last SBA meeting third year representative Robert Lefkowitz made a motion for a feasibility study to enclose the patio with an astro dome to house various tournaments.

Lefkowitz noted, "I hope it (the motion) will make students sensitive to the needs of other students especially during the lunch hour."

Lefkowitz continued, "I'm not against bridge playing, but a lot of students don't have anywhere else to go for lunch."

So, keep your fingers crossed. The M-W Astrodome is just around the corner... or the next... or the next...

LIBEL NIGHT

Marshall-Wythe's mock trial teams had been very active in regional and national competition early this month, having submitted a trial brief several weeks earlier. The case was a wrongful death action instituted by the grieving widow of an emergency medical technician who died in the course of a rescue. (Note: the grieving widow was convincingly played by our own Mike Deucelte.) Despite a well-fought courtroom battle, the ATL A team was narrowly defeated by a tough team from Dickinson College. A total of 8 schools were represented at this regional competition.

Two teams represented M-W at the ABA regional trial tournament in Morgantown, W.Va., last month. This tournament featured 22 teams from 12 different schools. The B team, composed of Kathy Saba, Bob Battle, and Dave Troller, advanced to the second round before losing it only a few points to the Delaware team. The A team, which consisted of Mr. T but the equally imposing Ginny Bidwell, Greg Larsen, and Jim Haushalter, advanced through five rounds before they were narrowly defeated by a tough Dickinson team in the finals. As a finalist, however, the A team will be competing in Houston, Texas... this week in the National Trial Competition. Results will be posted as they come in.

The Trial Teams would like to thank all of their fellow students who helped out as witnesses. Also, a special thanks goes to Professors Felton and Lederer.
The eight annual Mary and William Women's Law Society Conference, "Women, Law and Medicine," took place on Saturday, March 17, 1984, at the law school. The conference was co-sponsored by the ALA/Law Student Division. The Sovran Bank also provided a grant for morning coffee and donuts.

Eighty-seven people enrolled for the conference, some attending from as far away as Washington, D.C. and North Carolina. Many of the speakers also traveled a significant distance to Williamsburg to participate in the conference, including two from Boston and an attorney from Philadelphia.

Participants had their choice of six workshops and a keynote address by Norma Swenson, Assistant Administrator of the Boston Women's Health Book Collective and co-author of Our Bodies, Ourselves. Ms. Swenson talked about the women's health movement, its history and issues that led to its development, including legalized abortion and DES. She emphasized the key relationship between law, medicine, health, and women. The goal of the WHM is to avoid damage to women, through well-health care and education.

During the morning sessions, Dean Margaret Lane of T.C. Williams School of Law and Holly Ladd, a Boston attorney, discussed artificial insemination, in vitro fertilization, and surrogacy motherhood. Dean Lane and Ms. Ladd addressed the issues surrounding artificial insemination, including the rights and liabilities of the donor and the child. Dr. Cummings of the Williamsburg Community Hospital and the Task Force on Battered Women, and Willafay McKenna, Managing Attorney of the Peninsula Legal Aid Society, made up the "Family Violence: The Medical-Legal Interface" workshop panel. Their topic was the identification, treatment and reporting of domestic violence.

Following coffee, Claudia Withers, an attorney with the Women's Legal Defense Fund, spoke on pregnancy and employment, maternity leave, and Title VII. Dr. Camilla Buchanan of Williamsburg and Gayle Lewis, a Philadelphia attorney specializing in medical law practice and products liability, debated informed consent from both the medical and legal perspectives. Dr. Buchanan described how she approaches a patient, or what she actually tells an individual contemplating surgery about the risks and benefits of a surgical procedure. Dr. Buchanan sees informed consent as a critically important issue for both the patient and doctor. According to Ms. Lewis, informed consent takes into account the reality and material risks of the patient's situation, her emotions, and the decisions she must make. She noted the importance of recognizing both the physical and emotional consequences of any (failed) medical procedure, and concepts of self-determination as opposed to the more paternalistic "professional judgment" approach, when a physician must take into account what effect fully informing the patient about the procedure will have. She believes it is in the interest of all parties for the patient to be fully informed, that "it is better to know it all."

Law Review Board Selected

The William and Mary Law Review is pleased to announce the selection of the 1984-85 Executive and Editorial Boards. The new Executive Board members are: Gene Brooks, Editor-in-Chief; Ben Madison, Managing Editor; Mike Dougarty, Student Articles Editor; Gary Yenkowski, Professional Articles Editor; George Clemo, Research Editor; Fran Pierson, Symposium Editor; and Laura Waterland, Symposium Editor.

The members of the new Board of Editors are: Colin Buckley, Susan Kellitz, Sam Kroll, Margie Long, Andrea Maxa, Brad Maxa, Will Shewmake, and Pat Vaughan.

The editors will make publication decisions for their first book on March 23rd. The first book should be available on September 3rd.

T-Shirt Design Contest

Attention, all you budding Botticellis out there! The law fraternity Phi Delta Phi has announced a contest for the design of the 1984 Ambulance Chase T-shirt. Contestants may submit either their drawings or ideas depicting the true essence of the lawyer performing his/her professional duties.

In addition to prizes to be awarded, the winner will receive the honor of having his/her creation posted on the chest of hundreds (well, maybe dozens) of law students throughout this great metropolis.

Those interested in donating their creative talents to this worthy cause, please submit your entry in the ballot folder on the bulletin board.

Judicial Hearing

On February 29, 1984, the Judicial Council acquitted a law student of two charges of cheating.

Michael R. Dacouette
Chief Justice
Judicial Council

Pleasant Brodnax, a first-year at Marshall-Wythe, addresses the conference in a law school classroom.
Libel Night '84

Great Moments on Stage

The Easy Way to Play Baseball, Right, Bernie?

"One Single Explanation"—That's All Ron's Looking For.

A Favorite Third-Year Pastime: Beer and M-W TV.

"Oh, Timmy, You're So Fine!"

Another Hero For Notre Dame?

It's Exasperating! It's Exhilariting! It's . . .

The Advocate Sports Trivia Contest

BY JIM NORRIS '84

Ever since “Trivial Pursuit” first hit the stores, it seems as if every publishing concern in America has been rushing to formulate a question and answer game in order to cash in on the trivia craze. Time has a game out based on its past issues; TV Guide has produced a game dealing exclusively with television fare, and even People has marketed a game based on tidbits about contemporary jet-setters. And now, The Advocate joins the competition! The sports staff of M-W’s newspaper offers a Sports Trivia Contest of 30 questions, covering sundry professional and amateur disciplines. The prize — the special sports version of the popular “Trivial Pursuit” game. Before we present the questions, the contest’s ground rules must be explained. First, the deadline for submitting answers is Tuesday, Apr. 3, at 5 p.m. Answers must be given to either Jim Miller or Jim Norris by that time in a sealed envelope; depositing the envelope in our hanging files will be sufficient. The name of the entrant must be listed somewhere on the answer sheet.

Each question will be worth 10 points apiece, and partial credit will be awarded where the answer calls for more than one name or thing. Two examples will suffice here. For the question “What city do the Redskins call home?” no partial credit would be given. You could either get 10 points for an accurate answer or none for any other answer. For the question “Name the three Jewish heroes in the War of Terror, “Simon,” you would get three points for a correct answer, six points for two correct answers, and 10 (nine plus a bonus of one) for listing the Kentucky Derby, the Preakness Stakes, and the Belmont Stakes.

Group entries will be allowed as long as each member of the group signs his name to the answer sheet. However, if it pertains to your individual or group effort to be one of the top six point accumulators, the “Super Six” contestants will then meet head-to-head in a special “sports-only” game of “Trivial Pursuit,” conducted by the sports editor and Jim Miller. The time and place of this decisive game will be announced on the program board near the SBA office. The “Super Six” competition is winner-take-all.

With these rules clear (hopefully), here are the questions. Good luck — and have fun!

**BASEBALL**
1. Who was the first player to serve as a DH in an American League game?
2. Who was the only player to lead his league in both home runs and stolen bases in the same season?
3. Who is the only player to have finished last the most often?
4. Who was the only player to win the Triple Crown in the American League twice?
5. Who was the only player ever to win the Rookie of the Year, Cy Young, and MVP award in his career?
6. Who was the first 9th-inning reliever to be voted Rookie of the Year?
7. Name the top 10 brother combinations in career home-run hitting.
8. What would be the lineup of a team assembled on the basis of most career home runs by position? (i.e.: What player has hit the most home runs while playing first?
9. Which was the first series played after the strike of '72?
10. What was the final score in the epic baseball poem “Casey at the Bat?”

**BASKETBALL**
1. Will Chamberlain holds the NBA record for most points scored in a single game, with 100. Who holds the major college (Division I) record for single game scoring, and how many points did he get?
2. Name the three members of the first-time ABA All-Star team that are now playing in the NBA — and name the teams they are currently playing for.
3. Who scored the most points in an NCAA Final Four basketball game?

**FOOTBALL**
1. What 10 events comprise the Heisman?
2. Name the teams that were chosen for the silver bowl and Big Stix.
3. Who was the coach of the 1972 Super Bowl game?
4. Who was the first player to win the Rose Bowl game ever held outside California played — and why?
5. Who was the first player selected in the first NFL Draft?
6. Who was the first player to win the Super Bowl?
7. Who was the coach of the 1972 U.S. Olympic basketball team — the only one that has ever lost a game in Olympic play?
8. Name the two medal winners in the 1968 Mexico City Olympics who gained notoriety (and expulsion from the U.S. team) by giving the “Black Power” salute while on the medal stand during the playing of the Star Spangled Banner?
9. The upcoming Summer Olympiad in Los Angeles marks the first time an American city has hosted a Winter or Summer Games. Name the year and the location of the other five hostings.
10. Who holds the record for career points scored and career rebounds in NCAA tournament play?

**HOCKEY**
1. Name all the NHL teams for whom Gordie Howe played.
2. Who are the top six point accumulators?

**MISCELLANEOUS**
1. Name the four teams that have been blessed with an epic baseball poem.
2. To what sport do the terms “terpedo pass” and “chopper kick” pertain?
3. Who is the only person ever to be inducted into both the Pro Football and Pro Baseball Hall of Fame?

---

Spring Softball “Strikes In”

The Marshall-Wythe Softball League christened its inaugural season and everyone is excited about its initial success. The league has been blessed with unseasonably warm weather and a number of games has already been played.

Motion to Strike opened the season with a hard-earned victory over last semester’s champions, Fredericksburg. The game was tied 7:7 late in the game when Motion broke it open with a 7-run outburst in the 8th inning. Greenfield led by Bill Devine and Jim Hoffman backed a superb pitching effort by Mike Moore to help the first-year team overcome early inning errors. Moore also went for 4 at the plate to combine with the tellar plate perfor-
Proliferation Falls in Finals

By Jim Norris '84

On Monday night, Mar. 26, Proliferation, undefeated and ranked No. 1 on campus, took on an undergraduate Fudgepackers squad in the championship round of the William and Mary intramural basketball playoffs. Proliferation sought to regain the campus crown that they had won in the 1981-82 campaign, but were thwarted by the then first-year Incarcerators team in the '82-83 playoffs. In front of a large mostly hostile crowd at Blow Gym on Monday night, Proliferation led by as many as 10 points in the second half, but could not put away the hot-shooting Packers as the law school team dropped a heart-breaking 71-69 double overtime contest.

At the beginning of the playoffs, the law school team had three teams in the field competing to reach the final round. The first playoff match involving a Marshall-Wythe representative took place on Monday, Mar. 19, as the Coffee Achievers (6-2) in the regular season took on the Freshman League champions Almost Insane. Although the Achievers did force a 31-31 lead early in the second half, Almost Insane's superior inside game eventually proved decisive as they showed the Achievers 84-66. First-year Bruce Gibson had 15 points and second-year Steve Nelson had 8 in a losing cause.

The first-round games for the other two law school playoff entries produced a much different story. The Incarcerators, 6-2 during the regular season, were paired with the undergraduate Blue Veiners entry on Wednesday evening, Mar. 21. The Incarcerators toyed with the Blue Veiners, coming away with a 75-48 victory in a game that was not really even that close. Also, on March 21, Proliferation began their title quest with a game against the A.L. Express. Proliferation easily triumphed by an 82-56 margin. The highlight of this game occurred late in the second half, long after the outcome had been decided, when the referee called a technical foul on an A.L. Express player for break-dancing at mid-court! Perhaps it was at this point that Proliferation guard Bob Battle learned the moves he put on at Libel Night in the "Thriller" skit.

By virtue of winning their respective round games, the Incarcerators and Proliferation again faced each other in a rematch of last season's exciting playoff confrontation. Although the game played on Thursday evening was every bit as close as last year's duel, the result was different as Proliferation clouted out a narrow four-point victory. Proliferation had gotten the lead up to double figures with five minutes to go against their second-year rivals, but the Incarcerators refused to give up and began a rally that fell just a little bit short. The clutch-free throw shooting of Proliferation guard Steve Woodring proved the difference in the game's waning moments.

After eliminating the Incarcerators, Proliferation faced the challenge of their regular season division rival, Joe Mama, in the semifinal round. In a game played on Friday, Mar. 23, Proliferation whipped up on Joe Mama and handed them a resounding 56-point setback. The stage was then set for the showdown with the Incarcerators - a team that had beaten the Coffee Achievers and the Incarcerators in the regular season, and Happy Sig and Almost Insane (among others) in the playoffs.

The championship game was a classic. Although Proliferation did manage a 10-point lead at one juncture in the second half, the score was knotted at 53 with two minutes remaining in regulation play. Proliferation quickly moved to a 4-point lead at this point, on an alley-oop dunk by John Javosak and handed them a resounding 56-point setback. The stage was then set for the showdown with the Incarcerators - a team that had beaten the Coffee Achievers and the Incarcerators in the regular season, and Happy Sig and Almost Insane (among others) in the playoffs.

The championship game was a classic. Although Proliferation did manage a 10-point lead at one juncture in the second half, the score was knotted at 53 with two minutes remaining in regulation play. Proliferation quickly moved to a 4-point lead at this point, on an alley-oop dunk by John Javosak and handed them a resounding 56-point setback.

The drama was to reach its greatest heights. The Fudgepackers get 2 free throws with 1:02 left to take the first lead at 65-63. Steve Woodring answered at the halfway point in the period by tying the count at 66 with a graceful drive through the lane. The Fudgepackers forged in front again with 1:11 to go by hitting one of two free throws to lead 66-65. On Proliferation's subsequent possession, Bob Smitherman connected on a 16-foot jumper to put the law school five in front, 67-66. The Fudgepackers hit another of their long jumpers with 30 seconds remaining to retake the lead 68-66. Proliferation came down the court and missed a jumper with 15 seconds to go, but John Javosak had the rebound—until he was called for a blocking foul. Javosak fouled out on this play, leaving a team-high 16 points. The Fudgepackers could not ice the game at the charity stripe, however, as they had also failed to do several previous times in the overtime periods. They only scored on the front-end of the one-and-one, and thus Proliferation could tie with a basket. This was exactly what they did, as Mark Lovett hit a long jumper from the left side with 8 ticks left on the clock. It seemed that yet a third overtime was inevitable—especially as the Fudgepackers threw up an air ball with 3 seconds to go. But, in a manner reminiscent of last year's Houston-N.C. State NCAA final, the Fudgepackers tipped the decidering shot into the basket, and the game was over.

In addition to Javosak's 16 points, Proliferation got 15 points from Mark Lovett and Steve Woodring.