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In This Section:

Schedule of Events  p. i
Panel Members  p. ii

I. Moot Court: King v. Burwell

In This Section:

New Case: Halbig v. Burwell (looking ahead)  p. 2
  Synopsis and Questions Presented  p. 2

New Case: King v. Burwell (looking ahead)  p. 24
  Synopsis and Questions Presented  p. 24

“LAWYERS CHALLENGING HEALTH SUBSIDIES SEEK QUICK SUPREME COURT RULING”
  David G. Savage  p. 39

“HALBIG, KING DECISIONS OVERTURNING SUBSIDIES MAY HINDER ACA IMPLEMENTATION”
  Wolters Kluwer  p. 41

“AFTER HEALTH LAW RULINGS, HERE ARE POSSIBLE NEXT STEPS”
  Margot Sanger-Katz  p. 43

“SUPREME COURT COULD HEAR OBAMACARE SUBSIDY FEUD”
  CNBC Business  p. 45

“BY ANY MEANS NECESSARY”
  Linda Greenhouse  p. 49

II. Congress & the Obama White House

In This Section:

New Case: 13-628 Zivotofsky v. Kerry  p. 54
Synopsis and Questions Presented

“U.S. SUPREME COURT TO REVIEW JERUSALEM BIRTHPLACE LAW”
Lawrence Hurley

“LAW GIVING JERUSALEM-BORN U.S. CITIZENS AN ISRAELI BIRTHPLACE UNDER REVIEW”
Bill Mears

“COURT BARS ‘JERUSALEM, ISRAEL’ AS BIRTHPLACE ON AMERICAN PASSPORTS”
Alexei Koseff

New Topic: Congress & the Obama White House

“SUPREME COURT REBUKES OBAMA ON RIGHT OF APPOINTMENT”
Adam Liptak

“THE SUPREME COURT’S NOEL CANNING DECISION AND THE NLRB’S RESPONSE”
Mark L. Shapiro, David Santeusanio & Brian M. Doyle

“COUNSEL RESTS”
Neal Devins

“HOUSE VOTES TO AUTHORIZE BOEHNER TO SUE OBAMA”
Michael R. Crittenden & Colleen McCain Nelson

“CONSTITUTION CHECK: COULD THE HOUSE SUE THE PRESIDENT FOR REFUSING TO CARRY OUT THE LAWS?”
Lyle Denniston

“THE SUPREME COURT’S POWERFUL NEW CONSENSUS”
Neal K. Katyal

“WITH FILIBUSTER THREAT GONE, SENATE CONFIRMS TWO PRESIDENTIAL NOMINEES”
Jeremy W. Peters

“SENATE CONFIRMS OBAMA NOMINEE UNDER NEW FILIBUSTER RULES, WORLD DOESN’T END”
Jennifer Bendery
III. Civil Rights

In This Section:

New Case: 12-1226 Young v. United Parcel Service

Synopsis and Questions Presented

“Up Next for the Supreme Court: Pregnant Workers’ Rights”
Nia-Malika Henderson

“Justices Could Clarify Pregnancy Accommodation in UPS Suit”
Scott Flaherty

“Protections for Pregnant Workers Expand Before Key Supreme Court Case”
Josh Eidelson

“A Pregnant Worker’s Day in Court”
Ariela Migdal

New Case: 13-895 Alabama Legislative Black Caucus v. Alabama (consolidated cases)

Synopsis and Questions Presented

“Court to Review Alabama’s ‘Race-Based’ Reapportionment”
Robert Barnes

“Black Groups Tell Supreme Court Ala. Districts Biased”
Mary Troyan

“Federal Judges Rule That State Legislative District Lines Don’t Violate Voting Rights Act”
Robert Carter

“The League of Dangerous Mapmakers”
Robert Draper


“Fisher vs. Texas Dismissed Again; Is It Headed Back to Supreme Court?”
Daniel Fisher

“Finally! The Fisher Decision in Plain English”
Amy Howe
New Topic: Admitting Privileges and the Future of Abortion Regulation

“ADMITTING-PRIVILEGES LAWS HAVE CREATED HIGH HURDLE FOR ABORTION PROVIDERS TO CLEAR”
Sandhya Somashekhar

“MS ADMITTING PRIVILEGE LAW STRUCK DOWN BY 5TH CIRCUIT”
Jonathan F. Will

“FIFTH CIRCUIT UPHOLDS CONTROVERSIAL TEXAS ABORTION LAW”
Jonathan H. Adler

“The Fight to Criminalize Early-Term Abortions: New Rulings in Texas and Oklahoma Could Challenge the Last Remnant of Roe v. Wade”
Dahlia Lithwick

IV. Business

In This Section:

New Case: 13-433 Integrity Staffing Solutions, Inc. v. Busk

Synopsis and Questions Presented

“AMAZON WAREHOUSE WORKER PAY SUIT HEADS TO SUPREME COURT”
Claire Zillman

“SUPREME COURT MAY FINALLY CLARIFY COMPENSABLE TIME”
Kenneth W. Gage

“AMAZON WORKERS WANT PAY FOR TIME SPENT AT SECURITY CHECKPOINT”
Aaron Kase

“FLSA ACTION CAN COEXIST WITH STATE CLASS CLAIMS: 9TH CIRC.”
Ben James

New Case: 13-894 Department of Homeland Security v. MacLean

Synopsis and Questions Presented
“SUPREME COURT TO DECIDE WHETHER AIR MARSHAL SHOULD BE PROTECTED AS WHISTLEBLOWER”
Robert Barnes

“IS HIKE IN WHISTLEBLOWER CLAIMS A SIGN OF PROGRESS OR GROWING MISTRUST?”
Jack Moore

“FED. CIRC. UPS PROTECTION FOR WHISTLEBLOWERS’ DISCLOSURES”
Bill Donahue

New Case: 13-485 Comptroller v. Wynne

Synopsis and Questions Presented

“SUPREME COURT AGREES TO HEAR LANDMARK CASE ON WHETHER STATES MAY TAX INCOME EARNED IN OTHER STATES”
Kelly Phillips Erb

“SUPREME COURT TO HEAR MARYLAND DOUBLE TAXATION CASE”
Joseph Henchman

“THE RESIDENT INCOME TAX CREDIT: DID MARYLAND MISAPPLY THE COMMERCE CLAUSE?”
Robert J. Firestone

New Case: 13-1080 Department of Transportation v. Association of American Railroads

Synopsis and Questions Presented

“SUPREME COURT TO REVIEW AMTRAK ROLE IN SETTING RAIL REGULATIONS: HIGH COURT TO HEAR CHALLENGE BY FREIGHT RAILROADS”
Brent Kendall

“SUPREME COURT TO CONSIDER USDOT VS. AAR RE: AMTRAK”
William C. Vantuono

“AMTRAK BARRED FROM REGULATING FREIGHT RAILROADS ON DELAYS”
Angela Greiling Keane & Tom Schoenberg

“A NEW PRIVATE DELEGATION DOCTRINE?”
Alexander Volokh

New Case: 13-1032 Direct Marketing Association v. Brohl

Synopsis and Questions Presented
“HIGH COURT TO HEAR APPEAL OVER COLORADO ‘AMAZON TAX’ LAW”  p. 351
Drew Singer

“SUPREME COURT TO HEAR DMA PRIVACY SUIT, REVIEW COLORADO WEB TAX SALES STATUTE”  p. 353
Alexander Ripps

“TENTH CIRCUIT: TAX INJUNCTION ACT PRECLUDED FEDERAL JURISDICTION IN COLORADO’S E-COMMERCE USE TAX REPORTING REQUIREMENTS CASE”  p. 355
Ellen Buckley

Synopsis and Questions Presented  p. 356

“US SUPREME COURT AGREES TO ADDRESS TWO IMPORTANT FALSE CLAIMS ACT ISSUES”  p. 377
Jonathan G. Cedarbaum & Daniel S. Volchok

“SUPREME COURT TO HEAR APPEAL OF KBR OVER FALSE CLAIMS ACT LAWSUIT”  p. 379
Eric Young

“THE SUPREME COURT WILL REVIEW FOURTH CIRCUIT DECISION THAT WEAKENED THE FALSE CLAIMS ACT’S STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS AND FIRST-TO-FILE BAR”  p. 381
Patrick M. Hagan & Brent D. Craft

“HALLIBURTON, KBR WHISTLE-BLOWER’S CASE REVIVED ON APPEAL”  p. 384
Tom Schoenberg

V. First Amendment

In This Section:

New Case: 13-983 Elonis v. United States  p. 386
Synopsis and Questions Presented  p. 386

“ON THE NEXT DOCKET: HOW THE FIRST AMENDMENT APPLIES TO SOCIAL MEDIA”  p. 399
Adam Liptak
“ARE FACEBOOK THREATS REAL? THE SUPREME COURT WILL SOON DECIDE.”
Dahlia Lithwick p. 401

“TRUE THREATS”
David L. Hudson Jr. p. 404

“3RD CIR. RE-EXAMINES 1ST AMENDMENT TRUE THREAT EXCEPTION”
Gabriella Khorasanee p. 409


Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 411

“SUPREME COURT AGREES TO WEIGH AN INMATE’S RIGHT TO GROW A BEARD FOR RELIGIOUS REASONS ”
Adam Liptak p. 413

“U.S. JUSTICES SAY INMATE CAN KEEP BEARD WHILE CONTESTING POLICY”
Lawrence Hurley p. 414

New Case: 13-502 Reed v. Town of Gilbert, Arizona p. 415

Synopsis and Questions Presented p. 415

“U.S. SUPREME COURT WILL HEAR ARIZONA CHURCH-SIGN CASE ”
Parker Leavitt p. 434

“REED V. TOWN OF GILBERT: AN IMPORTANT FIRST AMENDMENT CONTENT DISCRIMINATION CASE, TO BE HEARD BY THE COURT THIS COMING YEAR”
Eugene Volokh p. 436

“BORN (AGAIN) UNDER A BAD SIGN: NINTH CIRCUIT UPHOLDS ORDINANCE RESTRICTING DURATION, LOCATION, QUANTITY, AND SIZE OF DIRECTIONAL SIGNS FOR CHURCH SERVICES”
William W. Abbott p. 441

New Topic: Hobby Lobby Follow-Up p. 443

“WHAT THE HOBBY LOBBY RULING MEANS FOR AMERICA”
Binyamin Appelbaum p. 443

“FAULT LINES RE-EMERGE IN U.S. SUPREME COURT AT END OF TERM”
Joan Biskupic p. 446

“AFTER HOBBY LOBBY, BUSINESS REVIVES CONTRACEPTION FIGHT”
Kenny Knaub p. 448
“WHAT HOBBY LOBBY SHOWS US ABOUT THE SUPREME COURT AND CIVIL RIGHTS LAWS: WINNERS AND LOSERS IN THE ROBERTS COURT”
Elliot Mincberg

“SATANISTS TROLL HOBBY LOBBY”
Emma Green

“RULES FOR BIRTH-CONTROL MANDATE AFTER HOBBY LOBBY”
Lyle Denniston

“COURT RULES AGAINST NOTRE DAME IN CONTRACEPTION CASE”
Louise Radnofsky & Brent Kendall

“DID LITTLE SISTERS OF THE POOR WIN OR LOSE AT THE SUPREME COURT”
Emily Bazelon

VI. Criminal

In This Section:


Synopsis and Questions Presented

“U.S. SUPREME COURT CONSIDERS WHETHER THE FOURTH AMENDMENT ALLOWS REASONABLE MISTAKES OF SUBSTANTIVE LAW”
Sherry F. Colb

“CAN A POLICE OFFICER LAWFULLY PULL OVER A CAR FOR A TRAFFIC VIOLATION BASED ON AN ERRONEOUS UNDERSTANDING OF THE TRAFFIC LAWS?”
Orin Kerr

New Case: 13-7451 Yates v. United States

Synopsis and Questions Presented

“TOP U.S. COURT TO HEAR WHITE-COLLAR CASE OF FISH THROWN OVERBOARD”
Lawrence Hurley

“FISHY APPLICATION OF SARBANES-OXLEY’S BAN ON EVIDENCE DESTRUCTION”
William Peacock

“FISH NOT TANGIBLES UNDER SOX, DEFENSE GROUPS TELL JUSTICES”
Carolina Bolado
“COMMERCIAL FISHERMAN’S CONVICTION FOR DISPOSING OF HIS CATCH OF UNDERSIZED GROPER UPHELD”
*The Swartz Law Firm*

**New Topic:** Death Penalty Protocols

“COURT EXTENDS CURBS ON THE DEATH PENALTY IN A FLORIDA RULING”  p. 497  
Adam Liptak

“ARIZONA EXECUTION WILL MOVE FORWARD AFTER LAST-MINUTE APPEALS”  p. 500  
Josh Sanburn

“ARIZONA KILLER TAKES TWO HOURS TO DIE, FUELING LETHAL INJECTION DEBATE”  p. 502  
Matt Pearce, Cindy Carcamo, & Maya Srikrishnan

“ONE EXECUTION BOTCHED, OKLAHOMA DELAYS THE NEXT”  p. 505  
Erik Eckholm

“GAMBLING WITH DEATH: IS THE SUPREME COURT POISED TO ABOLISH THE DEATH PENALTY?”  p. 509  
Evan Mandery

“CAN THE DEATH PENALTY SURVIVE LETHAL INJECTION?”  p. 512  
Tierney Sneed

**VII. Same-Sex Marriage**

*In This Section:*

**New Case:** *Bostic v. Shaefer (looking ahead)*  p. 516  
Synopsis and Questions Presented  p. 516

**New Case:** *Kitchen v. Herbert (looking ahead)*  p. 542  
Synopsis and Questions Presented  p. 542

“THE MARRIAGE RULING ‘STREAK’ AND WHAT IT MEANS, MADE SIMPLE” p. 570  
Lyle Denniston

“COMPARING TWO FEDERAL APPELLATE COURT DECISIONS ON SAME-SEX MARRIAGE” p. 573  
David S. Kemp

“VIRGINIA WANTS GAY MARRIAGE BAN REVIEW BY SUPREME COURT” p. 576  
Andrew Harris
“SUPREME COURT BLOCKS VIRGINIA SAME-SEX MARRIAGES”  p. 578
Chris Johnson

“FOURTH CIRCUIT CALLS VIRGINIA’S GAY MARRIAGE BAN
“SEGREGATION,” STRIKES IT DOWN”  p. 581
Mark Joseph Stern

“READING THE COURT’S SIGNALS ON SAME-SEX MARRIAGE”  p. 583
Lyle Denniston

“ACLU OPPOSES TIME EXTENSION IN UTAH’S SAME-SEX RECOGNITION CASE”  p. 587
Marissa Lang

“UTAH SEEKS U.S. SUPREME REVIEW TO REVIVE GAY-MARRIAGE BAN”  p. 589
Joel Rosenblatt

“10TH CIRCUIT UPHOLDS SAME-SEX MARRIAGE”  p. 591
Jessica Miller, Kristen Stewart, & Pamela Manson