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Future Of National Politics Forum

'88 Elections, "Contra-versy" Discussed

BY PHILIP STEELE

That Ronald Reagan has been hurt by "The Contraversy" (alternate phrase-Iran-Contra affair) and that Chief of Staff Donald Regan must resign were points four political pundits easily agreed upon last Thursday at a forum on the future of national politics. "Don Regan will be leaving, it's in the best interest of the country," said Jacqueline Phillips, Deputy Director of the 1980 Reagan/Bush Maryland Campaign. Regan has been criticized by members of the National Security Council.

The President has a perennial weakness concerning the firing of staff members, according to Bob Chase, a political consultant. "He has a loyalty to his staff, and has refused to make personnel decisions in his own best interest," he said, referring to the dismissal of Regan.

The participants disagreed on the degree of damage done to the Reagan administration by the Iran-Contra affair. James Carville, a political consultant, issued a damning conclusion that "the American people aren't going to be looking to the President for leadership. They are looking to the 1980 presidential elections and are ready to give Ronald Reagan his gold watch and say you've done a good job for six years, but now it's time to look ahead."

But Chase said the political damage was confined to "the beltway." "We put the problem down in specific areas such as Reagan's policies in Central America. He can still lead on domestic issues-welfare reform and education," he said.

In contrast to Carville's theory, Chase said "the country as a whole aren't that concerned. There is a personal goodwill between the President and the people that can be separated from his policies." Accountability, however, is more important to the American people than the President's image or politics, according to Sharon Pratt Dixon, Vice-Chairman of the Democratic National Committee. She put the affair in the context of a growing appearance of a concentration and abuse of power in the United States with corporate takeovers and insider stock trading.

"The American people," she said, "want to know who is making the decisions. They still want accountability and will not accept an autocratic system."

BY STEVEN MULROW

In order to promote ethnic, racial, and cultural diversity in its law review, the University of Virginia law school recently changed its Law Review selection system. In a development unrelated to the change, the first black Law Review member in UVA's history earned the honor last week. To the knowledge of the William and Mary Law Review's chief officers and advisor, the Review here has never had a black member. UVA's change has prompted discussion among Marshall-Wythe students about the appropriateness of affirmative action in law reviews in general and William and Mary's in particular.

Like the Review here, UVA's Review sets aside slots to be earned by a writing competition. The UVA reform adds five extra "write-on" seats. Candidates may submit personal statements to be evaluated with their compositions. These statements can contain anything, including background information pertaining to a student's life experience, race, or ethnicity. Diversity in any of these areas will be an advantage to a candidate. The new system has not been termed "affirmative action" by its proponents, but its goal is to place qualified minorities on the UVA Review. Last week a woman became the first black member ever by virtue of having an article published in it. The UVA Review, unlike its William and Mary counterpart, grants membership to students who get their Law Notes published, even if they did not get in previously through grades or a writing competition.

Implications For M-W Discussed

UVA Law Review Begins "Diversity" Program

Carville warned that the damage cannot be added up, because "it's not over yet. Two Congressional committees are operating and the Tower Commission has not issued its report." An independent counsel also has not decided whether criminal charges should be filed over the diversion of funds to the Contraversy.

"We haven't even gotten to the voluminous affair. Jame Dixon, said the political controversy has helped Sen. Robert Dole (K-Kansas) while tarnishing Vice President George Bush in their respective runs for the Republican nomination.

"There is a problem with the controversy for Bush, but you can still lead on diversity," said he did not think the current Review's Executive Board evaluate each of the papers, which, like grades, contain the student's Social Security number in stead of the name. The Review conducts its evaluations without reference to the candidates' identity, said Gleason.

Gleason felt that while achieving background diversity on the Review was a worthy goal, one that "adds to the review," adoption of a UVA-type system would needlessly "politicize" the selection process, and that he likely spoke for the Executive Board in saying this. At least one Board member, however, said he might approve of the use of personal statements as long as they were not given disproportionate weight.

She noted that personal statements are used in the selection of Executive Board members. Another member, wishing to remain anonymous, said "Diversity is not a proper goal [in selecting Law Review candidates]-merit is."

15%. Limit Beyond Review's Control

The Law Review here cannot add any membership slots without the permission of Dean Sullivan, according to Gleason. The Review's ranks are limited to 15% of the class. Aside from that, however, the Review can change its selection procedure within that 15%, including changes allowing personal statements if it so desires.

When asked for his views on the UVA plan's adoption here, Dean Timothy Sullivan said he agreed that background diversity is an "important goal" in a law review, but needed more time to consider the particular means chosen by UVA. "I have an open mind on the subject."

Continued on Page Eight


Concerns about "Diversity" Program

BY STEVEN MULROW

In order to promote ethnic, racial, and cultural diversity in its law review, the University of Virginia law school recently changed its Law Review selection system. In a development unrelated to the change, the first black Law Review member in UVA's history earned the honor last week. To the knowledge of the William and Mary Law Review's chief officers and advisor, the Review here has never had a black member. UVA's change has prompted discussion among Marshall-Wythe students about the appropriateness of affirmative action in law reviews in general and William and Mary's in particular.

Like the Review here, UVA's Review sets aside slots to be earned by a writing competition. The UVA reform adds five extra "write-on" seats. Candidates may submit personal statements to be evaluated with their compositions. These statements can contain anything, including background information pertaining to a student's life experience, race, or ethnicity. Diversity in any of these areas will be an advantage to a candidate. The new system has not been termed "affirmative action" by its proponents, but its goal is to place qualified minorities on the UVA Review. Last week a woman became the first black member ever by virtue of having an article published in it. The UVA Review, unlike its William and Mary counterpart, grants membership to students who get their Law Notes published, even if they did not get in previously through grades or a writing competition.

Politicizing the Review

William and Mary Law Review Editor-in-Chief Christopher Gleason said he did not favor a UVA-type reform here. Gleason echoed by several other member's of the Review's Executive Board, said he did not think the current system is discriminatory. Current 15% of each class is eligible for membership in the student-run publication. 7% earn the honor through their GPA. The other 7% earn it by submitting papers in the "write-on" competition. Four members of the current Review's Executive Board evaluate each of the papers, which, like grades, contain the student's Social Security number in stead of the name. The Review conducts its evaluations without reference to the candidates' identity, said Gleason.

Gleason felt that while achieving background diversity on the Review was a worthy goal, one that "adds to the review," adoption of a UVA-type system would needlessly "politicize" the selection process, and that he likely spoke for the Executive Board in saying this. At least one Board member, however, said he might approve of the use of personal statements as long as they were not given disproportionate weight. She noted that personal statements are used in the selection of Executive Board members.

Continued on Page Seven
Changes

Anyone sitting in on last week's open student meeting with Dean Sullivan must have noted the different conceptions of change expressed by the Dean and by the students. On the one hand, the students favor overnight, almost revolutionary change. The Dean takes a more evolutionary, long-term approach to change. As Dean Sullivan noted in the meeting, students are only in law school for three years and desire change during their residence period. The Dean and faculty generally have longer tenures and thus have different attitudes towards the urgency of change.

Based on students' comments in the meeting, there appears to be a general perception that all the law school's problems are either caused by or remedied by the Dean. Maybe this perception was caused by the fact that in the meeting the Dean ostensively acted as the representative of the student body and the faculty and the student body and the faculty among the student body as he himself noted is here every year, so some of these problems are dealt with during a single academic year. The Dean, as the faculty and the student body and the faculty among the student body and the Dean 's response to them, four matters are policy is an example of this category. Last, several additional law reviews expand opportunity for students to gain the valuable experience of researching, writing, and publishing on significant legal matters. Such experience aids alumni in their careers, for with a keener professionalism—as potential employers know. The law school itself benefits, too, for its reputation will in large measure increase only as its alumni excel. Other laws schools readily acknowledge the value of this experience to their students and themselves. Yale and Duke, etc, with almost exactly our number of candidates for the J.D. degree, sponsor four law reviews apiece: Stanford, with fewer students, has three. Nor is such diversity limited to rich, private institutions. Today, only 60% of the 600 second- and third-year students at the University of California at Berkeley are on the staff of one or another of its law school's eight reviews.

Second Review

To the Editor:

Early last semester, before the anti-Hispanic controversy dominated the pages of The Advocate, Doug Klein suggested that the law review be considered at least one other law review. I am surprised that so important a suggestion has brought no public acknowledgement from faculty or students.

Additional law reviews expand opportunity for students to gain the valuable experience of researching, writing, and publishing on significant legal matters. Such experience aids alumni in their careers, for with a keener professionalism—as potential employers know. The law school itself benefits, too, for its reputation will in large measure increase only as its alumni excel. Other law schools readily acknowledge the value of this experience to their students and themselves. Yale and Duke, etc, with almost exactly our number of candidates for the J.D. degree, sponsor four law reviews apiece: Stanford, with fewer students, has three. Nor is such diversity limited to rich, private institutions. Today, only 60% of the 600 second- and third-year students at the University of California at Berkeley are on the staff of one or another of its law school's eight reviews.

Last, and arguably most important, is the attitude over change. The Dean is to be commended for having meetings with students. The practice should continue, and students should attend, even if they have no particular interest in the matter.

Unfortunately, many of the Dean's responses to suggested changes are structured along the lines of what procedures and bodies the student must go through in order to achieve their desired change. As stated above, students lack authority to make changes that are not in their concerns. This perception more than anything else has made the student body, as a whole, dissatisfied with the administration and the faculty.

Letters to the Editors

Corr Network

To The Class of 1987

You have probably heard that, after a year of delay, the Provost has notified Professor John B. Corr of his decision to deny tenure. It is my belief that this matter will have to be resolved outside the College. We can speak for most of the members of the classes from 1982 to 1986 in saying that we are determined to support Bernie's that number represented only a fraction of the letters and calls which would have come in had we been able to contact everyone.

We want a better network, and we invite you to join us upon your graduation. As 1986 class member you can tell us, for we are free to be effective as alumni/ae as we were as students. In absence of this opportunity to link the school for all corners of the country, and we do not want to lose contact with our friends. By now most of you know that, on very short notice, hundreds of alumni and phones which would have come in had we been able to contact everyone.
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Additional law reviews expand opportunity for students to gain the valuable experience of researching, writing, and publishing on significant legal matters. Such experience aids alumni in their careers, for with a keener professionalism—as potential employers know. The law school itself benefits, too, for its reputation will in large measure increase only as its alumni excel. Other law schools readily acknowledge the value of this experience to their students and themselves. Yale and Duke, etc, with almost exactly our number of candidates for the J.D. degree, sponsor four law reviews apiece: Stanford, with fewer students, has three. Nor is such diversity limited to rich, private institutions. Today, only 60% of the 600 second- and third-year students at the University of California at Berkeley are on the staff of one or another of its law school's eight reviews.
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Dear Editors,

The students have been charged with evaluating the administrative performance of Dean Sullivan. These letters can be signed or anonymous (the Dean will have access to them), and there will be a box outside the SBA office for their deposit to insure their delivery to Professor John McGlenon, Department of Government, by March 6.

To assist us in our task, the Evaluation Committee has submitted the following procedural items (laid in full on the notice board in the hallway):

- Is the individual effective in position as defined and does he have the respect both of peers and constituents for the job being done?

--The Dean is responsible for the development of the faculty and for all other matters related to the curriculum or faculty of the School of Law.

The generality of these guidelines, however, left the two of us confused as to how to proceed and what to include. We realized that the students, often not informed about administrative issues, were being asked to evaluate the administrative effectiveness of the Dean. After discussing this problem with various people, we would like to offer our suggestions to those of you who find yourselves in a similar situation.

Of course, you should feel free to state whatever is on your mind. Any items that affect you and of which you have knowledge are proper topics for discussion. Accordingly, the two of us, for example, feel that there has been a student-faculty relating, the denial of tenure to Professor Corr, excessive administrative secrecy, and administrative unwillingness to discuss controversial issues. Individually and cumulatively these concerns also impact upon the small school attractiveness of Marshall-Wythe. What good is having a low student/faculty ratio when there is little open, candid, and friendly student/faculty interaction?

Many students, at least in the third year class, fondly remember when fifteen professors would show up at the August PAD softball game in costume. This was common, except by professors' offices just to talk, when Bernie Corr taught class, and when Libel Night was a nonadversarial proceeding (should we Mirandize the faculty if they show up this year?).

Under normal circumstances, when things are running smoothly in a law school, a Dean might be evaluated solely on his ability to shake babies and kiss hands, to get Warren Burger to pop over twice a year, to provide adequate copy filing systems, and, of course, to listen to the everenduring parking complaints. However, when things are running less than smoothly, additional and deeper considerations may be in order.

K. Thomas Gaynor
N. John Cabrall

Dean Evaluations

Lawyer Like Me

Episode VI: Lunch

"Lawyer Like Me" is the grilling, compelling sequel to Black Like Me. Black Like Me concerned a white man who portrayed a black man to expose racial prejudice in the South in the early 1960's. In "Lawyer Like Me," a layman poses as a lawyer so he can expose the profession's wierd cult rituals and mating habits. As you will recall from the last episode, Rico, our hero, had successfully convinced the members of Baker & Quilty that he was indeed an associate with their Newport Beach branch office. No one had yet noticed that his white Oxford shirt was only 60% cotton. His use of such words as "stipulation," "inadequate prequestion," and "BMW 282CSi," had worked flawlessly. As we saw near the end of Episode V, Rico had a delusion that he actually was a lawyer. This caused such confusion in Rico's mind that he carried his briefcase into the men's room with him. We pick up this, Episode VI of our series, with Rico's first attempt at freestyle conversation and lunch cognac.

Rico is at lunch with Mr. Guernsey, a senior partner on the firm's executive council, and the two other partners to be named at an undisclosed later date.

The waiter asked Guernsey first if he wanted a drink while they considered lunch menus. Rico debated whether to order alcohol or not.

"I'll have a milk," Guernsey said.

The waiter turned to Rico next. Rico did not want to be the only one to get boxed. On the other hand, he might look pretty silly ordering a Mountain Dew. He had no idea what the other two partners would get. Rico gambled. "I think I will have an iced tea, please."

The other partners shot glances over their menus at Rico.

... And a switch. Neat and hurry with it, I have an appointment at one.

The partners went back to their menus.

Rico had no choice but to order an entree. All the entrees came with salads. A salad. If Rico could pass this, the most difficult of all challenges, he would surely enter into the deepest circles of the legal cult. He chose the Italian dressing over the house blue cheese, for he feared the latter would leave stains of what he was drinking. He enjoyed the slow silk tike and red linen napkin.

"So," Guernsey turned to Rico. "How are things at the Newport Beach office?"

Rico was busy considering whether to cut the piece of roman lettuce on his fork in half or to attempt to shove the whole thing into his mouth at once.

"Uh," Rico said in a deep breath, "Highly relevant, Mr. Guernsey. Sir. Things at the Newport Beach office are highly relevant. Rico nodded in agreement with his own statement.

Guernsey just sort of looked at Rico with a mild sense of puzzlement.

"I'm a bit confused, Mister."

"Guernsey said, "Carruthers. Rico said, "Mr. Carruthers."

"Ah yes, Mr. Carruthers. As I was saying, I am a bit confused about the object of your visit with us."

"Object?" Rico said.

"Yes, object."

"Object strenuously, your Honor."

The two unnamed partners dropped their forks. Rico clenched his jaw and a cold flush shot down his spine. He tried to salvage himself. "Oh, you mean 'object' in terms of the noun. I misunderstood you, it would appear."

"Still, none of the others said anything.

"You see, I thought you used 'object' as if it were a verb and, gosh, I litigate so much, that it just sort of popped out." Rico forced a half-smile.

Guernsey nodded silently, and the others picked up their forks and returned to their salads and their conversation over tax-free municipal bonds.

Rico took a sip of his iced tea and kept his fork at a smooth lilt. Any more goods like that, and his cover would certainly be blown.

Next Week: Don bangs up on Nancy.

Nancy rats to Don. Bug talks to Bud. Bud is clear conscious again.

Ed fires John.

Ollie shoots everyone.

Let's Break

By Layne B. Russell

Spring weather. Have you noticed the days are getting longer? Ask there are small buds on the flowering grasses. Already the College has mailed the 3L's their graduation cap and gown orders. This season washes away the "greed of winter" and its coming stirs the heart for summer— for time off. "Now, let it begin..."

After last month's epidemic of colds, fevers and whatnot, and nearly two months of "scholarily pursuit," we M-W students are ready to splash in the Florida waters, lay around the house, take that extended lunch or whatever.

Everybody, take a break and relax. I've made only a few plans myself, nothing burdensome. School looks like an enigma, where you can take a short vacation when you really need it. School's much worse in the sense that our schedules are pre-set, just like when a nursery school schedules nap time. I suppose that metaphor is okay since a few of us, including myself, are around that "age."

Last year we had "break" during February (some "spring" break?). Perhaps this year the second week of March will bring seven clear days of warm, beautiful weather.

What's the whole point of this monologue on springtime wistful thinking? I think. Law school has a way of putting our minds to the proverbial granite bed and keeping them there. It takes almost a conscious effort to lift yourself away from that spinning matter and to relax. I'm sure that some will have no problem in this regard around the time line is broken. Goodness and then— they've headed to Florida. Yet a few of us that stick around may not be quick enough to push ourselves out from our deformed position and adjust our suspension for the week ahead, the one that is scheduled for us to take time off.

For those folk, remember to watch out for that sinking feeling of law school guilt that comes when an hour or two has gone by and you've not once put your nose in a casebook. Also, plan long stretches of time in which you are not in the library or in the law school building at all. For me this may be the whole week. I'm guilty myself of wotering throughout the entire semester about exams. Now I've got to remember that spring break comes only once a year, kind of like Christmas or a birthday. I know, I must stop my worry for one week and exercise my right of relaxation.

Even if you have planned to study or work during this momentous week, enjoy something of the free time and choose something to cut out or buy a "tling or two" or spend your lunch time outside in a park. Law school and the legal profession, for that matter any profession, can be a trap set to enrage your mental energies. I do not consciously lay myself away from the wheel. Go ahead— "break away:
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The Advocate
Remember the old adage, "If you can make it work for you, you might just get it?" Well, The Advocate got it—stacks of crumpled, scribbled copies of the January 29 issue, full of things we didn't even want to know and some we did. The bulk of the paper is not something we had to do with it, but we knew that we couldn't just hope that everyone would have a chance to read a paper (Doug and I had bagged too many people to fill it out.) So here they are:

RESULTS OF THE FIRST ANNUAL ADVOCATE READERS' POLL

We received 75 responses to the poll, which is roughly 10% of our press run. Of the respondents, 20% were male, 38% second year, and the allegedly apathetic third years came through with 44%. No faculty, staff, or undergraduates responded. 90% of those responding to the poll claimed to be homosexual; none heterosexual; 2% bisexual; 3% asexual and 3% "other." I don't claim to be apathetic, but it is heartening to see that our readers definitely like dogs, with 10% responding "yes"; 19% admitting to owning a dog, or any dogs, and a few unpleasant people who wrote in "no." At any rate, I believe that this response accurately indicates the pool of persons polled. One other caveat: We think most people thought this was a joke. Just because the Advocate is one of the only slightly silly questions to keep people interested doesn't mean they didn't. Please bear that in mind as you evaluate these results.

THE LAW

Eighty-six percent of you would do it again. Come to law school, that is. Sixty-nine percent would return to Marshall-Wythe, especially if it was renamed you again. That seems to be because you think it's a pretty good school—one rated it below a "3," only 23% gave it a "3" or a "4," 36% gave it a "5" and "6," and only 5% gave it a "7." William and Mary as a whole didn't fare so well—the ratings ranged from 4% at "1" to 25% rating it at "3." By the way, it is a university; the ap­pellation "College" is retained because of its historical significance—just like everything else in Williamsburg.

Which brings me to the fact that Williamsburg was pretty much hammered on the 1 to 10 scale, with 8% giving it a "1" and none giving it a "10." The remaining votes were evenly spread between "3" and "6." On the "job approval" rating scale, a whopping 60% of you don't even think you're doing much. Of course even if Wolf Follanesbee is, we'd see what kind of luck he has if he's getting contributions out of 5,000 graduates. (No one knows how many Dean Sullivan was, and 64% approved of his performance, 36% disapproved.) In the end, everyone knew who Dean Williamson was, and many of you—15%—had no idea what he does or what he is. With 35%, percent approved of his performance while 36% disagreed. Consolation: Sowell came out a clear winner with an 86% approval rating, 3% disagreeing and 6% who just didn't know. But it was

Sex

The bitter spoiler of sex rears its ugly head at Marshall-Wythe only occasionally, as evidenced by the fact that 38% of you thank God for Tom Maloney being in your '70 class more than anything else. Actually, this ques­tion didn't have anything to do with sex, and we knew that it just got that way accidentally when we were doing layout. Greg Pavie did it.

But it was a pretty well-received question, anyway. 20% of you thank Tom for Tom in T&l. Ace of you thank God for Tom in other classes—I guess every class Mr. Maloney has taken in law school—and some of you thank God for other things, such as your new microwave, or the fact that you're a country boy.

But back to sex—20% of us got out a measly 2 nights a week. Fourteen percent go out only one night, 19%, two, and 26%, three or four nights a week. I guess that's where all those third year responses made the difference. From there, it was all over the boards—someone here goes out eight nights a week, someone else asked "what in the hell?" and someone—undoubtedly a first year—"didn't know we were supposed to." Yeah, they teach it in Legal Writing.

With all that going on, only 42% of you are going out with other law students—56%, wouldn't belong to a club that would have a person like you as a member. Most of the married students—58%—are not married to other law students; of those married, 4% are, and a shocking 38% don't know who those are. Those who are unmarried are evenly split on whether they would marry a lawyer—51% wouldn't, 49% would. And as to how many of you have had sex than 19% reported having had sex in the stacks 20 to 24 times. I'd like to have some documentation—data, maybe. Seventeen percent said 1-5, which is maybe a little more believable—results said "not as much as Tom Cook.

The Advocate is there for you here. Here are the stats:

- Lawrence: 14%
- Liberal: 6%
- Conservative: 23%
- Moderate: 38%
- Liberal: 24%
- Socialist: 5%
- Capitalist: 1%

Preliminary descriptive preferences:

Joseph Biden: 21%
Gary Babcock: 19%
Mario Cuomo: 19%
Sam Nunn: 12%
James Jackson: 10%

and scores of write-in candidates, including:

- Bob Louthan
- Ann Carter
- Bill Bradley
- Chuck Robb
- Elmer Fudd
- Larry Byrd
- Republican preference
- President Geo.
- Bob Dole—19%
- Howard Baker—15%
- George Bush—10%
- Pierre DuPont—6%
- Pat Robertson—4%
- Jesse Jackson: 3%

and lots of write-ins.

- Dave Schroeder
- Shirley Temple Black
- Richard Nixon
- Paul Laxalt
- Larry Flynt
- Al Haig
- Doug Klein
- Damian Borne

(see what I mean about people not taking this seriously?)

Other stuff

There's not much to do in the character of the "other stuff" page. It was real­ly sort of like the "fun page" of the Student Reader. Everyone put different ideas on, we're not going to list 70 movies. We're not publishing the results of the worst-dressed question: most people had the good taste not to answer it, and it was mean anyway. As for best dressed, Eric Cantor (with 47%) and Laurie Williams (with 55%) walked away with it. Other nominees were:

Male: Doug Anderson—28%
Dean Sullivan—20%
Jim Winthrop—9%
Chip Brown—4%

Female: Lisa Bertini—9%
Kathi Wirth—9%
Annamarie Dinaro—9%
Mara Medvin—5%
Latane Waver—5%
Cyndi Davis—5%
Sydney Lenz—5%

Other Results were:

- Have you ever smoked marijuana?
  Yes: 43%
  No: 57%
  It's a big lie: 20%
- Have you ever used cocaine?
  Not anymore: 73%
  Rarely: 17%
  Occasionally: 6%
  Ottes: 4%
- What is your favorite part of The Advocate?
  Features: 40%
  Editorial: 18%
  Toxics: 9%
  Sports: 7%
  News: 5%
  Wayneburn: 1%
  Steve Frazier's letter: 1%
  Michael: 4%
  The end: 1%
- What is your least favorite part of The Advocate?
  Features: 50%
  News: 21%
  Toxic Torts: 29%
  Sports: 9%
  Features: 19%
  Editorial: 9%
  Poison: 6%
  Doug Klein: 1%
- What is your favorite M-W publication?
  The Advocate: 47%
  The W&M Law Review: 40%
  The Colonial Lawyer: 34%
  The pocket: 17%
  Others: Flat Hat, Ingrid's handouts, the Grad Thing notices.

On Thursday night at 10:30, are you:

- watching LA Law: 6%
- at the Greene Leate: 22%
- studying: 14%
- none of the above: 17%
- What is your favorite color?
  Cobalt-blue: 3%
  Flesh: 34%
  Purple: 16%
  Ecru: 6%
  Persimmon: 3%
  Dark: 28%
  Others: Jade, Navy Blue, Army Green.

- How much time per week do you put into the Advocate sitting?
  not enough: 4%
  1-5 hours: 25%
  5-10 hours: 9%
  more than 10 hours: 2%
  none: 4%

and finally, 70% think that lounge baseball is a nice way for Neal Carbal to make friends. That kind of says it all, doesn't it.
Barristers' Bash
Art In The Neighborhood
DeWitt Wallace Gallery

Less than an eighth of a mile from Marshall-Wythe, between the James City County Jail and the edge of the historic area, a plain, red brick wall runs along South Henry Street for about a hundred feet. Few faculty or students are probably aware that behind that wall is an 8,000-piece decorative arts museum, the DeWitt Wallace Gallery. The Gallery, which is accessed by walking through the Public Hospital lobby and down the stairs or elevator to the lower level, contains a remarkable collection of 17th, 18th, and 19th century English and American antiques including such items as violins, porcelain and enameled ware, a barometer from the 17th century, silver pieces, military uniforms and women's apparel, chairs, guns, swords, paintings, sconces, mirrors, quilts, pipe, clocks, prize racing cups, carpets, and a pair of satin shoes.

In the 1900's Colonial Williamsburg began to assemble a growing collection of decorative arts but lacked a space to display them on a permanent basis. Already, the Abby Aldrich Rockefeller Folk Art Center, built in 1967 by John D. Rockefeller in memory of his wife, housed a collection of folk art and craft pieces of the Colonial era. In the 1960's, a large gift from DeWitt and Lila Acheson Wallace, co-founders of The Reader's Digest, made possible the planning and construction of a contemporary museum to exhibit these rare objects, many of which had never been on public view. By the time the Gallery was completed in June 1985, Mr. Wallace had contributed $14 million toward the $17 million cost of reconstructing the Public Hospital and constructing the new Gallery. The Public Hospital, the first American institution dedicated to the treatment of the mentally ill, was destroyed by fire in 1885 and, when rebuilt on the original foundations two years ago, was the last of the major public buildings to be reconstructed in Colonial Williamsburg.

The DeWitt Wallace Gallery was designed by architect Kevin Roche, whose commissions include Dulles International Airport, the Oakland Museum in California, the St. Louis Gateway Arch, and the new addition to the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York City. Roche, who received architecture's highest international honor, the Pritzker Prize, in 1982, describes the Gallery's building as a "non-building" because of its unassuming appearance and partially underground location. Its design was necessitated by the architectural directives of Colonial Williamsburg, which prohibit modern buildings in the historic area and require that structures be built on foundations from pre-existing buildings. The wall outside the Gallery, which measures 12 feet high, 464 feet long and 90 feet wide, is intended to resemble a brick wall that encircled the hospital's rear grounds in the 18th century and probably restrained the patients within. Depictive from its exterior, the Gallery is a total of 62,000 square feet on two levels consisting of twelve galleries and two courtyards, a 24-seat auditorium, a cafeteria, and a museum shop. After a visitor enters through the introductory gallery on the lower level, the tiered stone staircase leads him to the second, main level through a skylit atrium to the masterworks gallery. Here, 150 objects representing the rarest and highest quality of American and English art from 1640 to about 1700 are located around the stairwell. The masterworks gallery contains a Charles Wilson Peale portrait of General George Washington: a clock made for William III (for whom Williamsburg was named), which dates about 1700; and a 17th-century red-velvet and mahogany Virginia Governor's Chair which was used when this city was the capital of the colonies. There are four special exhibit galleries on this floor, occupying 6,000 square feet, as well as a furniture study gallery and rooms each dedicated entirely to prints, metals and scientific instruments, textiles, and ceramics. The Gallery houses an East Court, an enclosed interior garden furnished with specially commissioned bronze tables and chairs by the French sculptors Claude and Francois Xavier Lalanne. And the Lila Acheson Wallace Garden, an indoor courtyard which opened last fall, is an expansive formal garden, enclosed by the exterior brick wall and containing a fountain and a gilt statue of "Diana" from the original cast sculpture by Augustus Saint-Gaudens.

The DeWitt Wallace Gallery, located at the corner of Francis and Henry Streets, is open daily from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Admission to the Gallery is free with a William and Mary I.D.

NAACP Director Speaks

Benjamin Hooks, the Executive Director of the NAACP, spoke Friday, February 13, on the topic of "Black Americans: Centuries of Struggle and Change." BLSA sponsored the program. Above, Hooks stands with BLSA members Maggie Francois, Billy Henderson, and Susan Davis.
Coming Soon: Libel Night

Attention closet-thespian types, budding Mark Russells, and everyone who has ever tried to do Ingrid Hillinger after a few at Grad Hall. Libel Night returns to UVA this Thursday, February 26, and promises to be better than ever. This year, the UVA Law Review will kick off its annual Write-On competition with a night of slander and defamation follies at the Atlantic. The evening is tentatively scheduled for Thursday, April 16 and needs your artistic commitment. The SBA has appointed Tony-award winning Amy Bricklin, Marshall-McElhinneys, whose name was the ATLA canned food drive, to be in charge of this spectacle.

The first organizational meeting will be early next week - notices will be posted. Further information Writers, actors, musicians, stage hands, critics-in-the-wings, and other talent sources are encouraged to attend.

UVA Law Review Begins Diversity Program

Continued from Page One

"Diversity Across the Board"

Black Law Student Association member Brian Jackson favors the adoption of a UVA-type plan here. He emphasized that the students so selected must still be qualified, and that the move was not to foster all types of diversity, not just racial. The ideal system, he said, would consider a writing competition, and "personal factors . . . to ensure diversity across the board," meaning diversity with respect to race, ethnicity, life experience, etc.

Such a system would help to get beyond the old, established type of public views which have so long dominated law school reviews. To the argument that such "affirmative action" was unfair to qualified non-minority candidates, Jackson responded that this change was the same type of "compromise" of a student grade-based system as "Write-On." Just as reformers decided that writing ability, in addition to academic performance, should be considered, he hoped that students will consider that "maybe a person's background and experience are important factors to be considered."

Republicans' Position

The Marshall-Wythe College Republicans evidently agree. Speaking for the Republicans, Vanessa Valdejuli, the columnist, said the selection plan was "not offensive" and that she would advocate its adoption at Marshall-Wythe with "appropriate guidelines." She noted that the admission process at Marshall-Wythe has affirmative action and personal statements, and thought it was "not a bad idea" to do the same with the Law Review. "There is a need for a diversification on the Law Review, which tends to be white and male," she said, and this diversity extends to Hispanics and Asians as well as blacks. When asked if these views were reflective of the Republicans, Valdejuli replied, "I think so. We don't have an ultra-conservative element."

"Color-blind, Culture-blind"

The Federalist Society vigorously disagrees. Susan Walker, newly elected Chairman, said the Federalists advocate a strictly "color-blind, culture-blind" system with academic and writing ability the sole factors. "I'm sorry that there haven't been minorities who were academically qualified," said Walker, "but the problem shouldn't be solved this way." She doubted that the current anonymous system was in any way discriminatory, and favored keeping it the way it is. She predicted that in time, minority participation in the Law Review would increase.

"Ostracized"

Walker's predecessors were more vehement. "If I were a minority student, I'd be insulated." Former Chairman John Buckley said, "It's terrible," he said. "It's racism in reverse." Opposing any departure from a strict merit-based system, he argued that giving minorities any advantage would make white people question whether minority Law Review students were actually qualified.

Advisor Undecided

Professor Trotter Hardy, faculty advisor to the review, shared this concern, wondering whether the advantage might intimidate minority students who get on Law Review. Needing more time to decide on the question of the UVA plan's adoption here, Hardy mentioned the "competing goals" that must be weighed. Law Review readers want quality only, and diversity of membership is irrelevant; students would benefit from such diversity, but minority students might be unfairly stigmatized. When asked about possible unfairness to qualified non-minority candidates, Hardy acknowledged the problem but said it was "low on my list of things to worry about." Ultimately, Hardy said, it is a decision for the Law Review.

Alternatives

Although the question drew a wide spectrum from the four Law Review Executive Board members asked, the members disagreed on an UVA-type plan. All seriously doubted the existence of any discrimination in the selection process, although Board members Terry Costolo and Christopher Gleason admitted the possibility that the all-white, usually male evaluators might be unconsciously biased by their background against alternative stylistic and substantive approaches by minority students from different backgrounds. Gleason thought this effect might be discounted by the Review's search for "diversity of legal thought," the editors' standing practice of looking for "novel ideas," and the idea of countering any unconscious bias by having a non-Law Review, minority student participate in the evaluation of "Write-On" papers. This innovation would "foster diversity without politicizing the process," Gleason said.

Another priority is increasing minority participation in "Write-On." After the Board made this year's Write-On selections, Gleason said, they discovered that only one minority student had turned in a paper. Gleason and Costolo hoped that the "name-blind" evaluation process might encourage minority students to participate in greater numbers. However, at least one interested student who declined to quote doubts whether the process is truly anonymous, discussing suspicions that the confidentiality of the system can be easily breached by the curious or the prejudiced. Gleason and Costolo, for their part, emphasize that Review members are happy to talk to concerned students about ideas for change.

Wayneburg

By Wayne Melnick

Wayneburg

And now a word from our President ... (almost)

Spong Tournament Coming This Weekend

On Friday, February 27 and Saturday, February 28, the Most Court Board will host the Sixteenth Annual William B. Spong, Jr. Invitational Most Court Tournament. The Board invites all students, faculty, and staff to attend.

Our tournament is unique in that judging panels are composed of actual judges and justices from the Virginia Supreme Court, Federal District Courts, and Federal Circuit Courts. The field of teams is national in scope. Schools from New York, California, Ohio, Utah, Pennsylvania, Maine, Arkansas, and Virginia will compete in this year's tournament.

The judges for the tournament will be: Hon. James R. Spencer (Eastern District, VA); Hon. John Mackenzie (Eastern District, VA); Hon. Robert R. Merhige, Jr. (Eastern District, VA); Hon. James C. Cacheris (Eastern District, VA); Hon. J. Calvitt Clarke, Jr. (Eastern District, VA); Hon. James C. Turk (Western District, VA); Hon. Jackson L. Kirner (Western District, VA); Mr. Samuel W. Phillips (Circuit Executive - 4th Cir.); Hon. Joseph E. Baker (Court of Appeals, VA); Hon. George M. Cochran (Va. Supreme Court); Hon. Roscoe B. Stephenson, Jr. (Va. Supreme Court); and Hon. Charles S. Russell (Va. Supreme Court).

Arguments begin at 7:00 p.m. on Friday and at 9:00 a.m. on Saturday. A schedule of the arguments will be posted in the law school lobby. Please feel free to attend any or all of the rounds.


NCAA athletics enrolled in law school are, at best, a rarity, practiced occasionally and except in a few cases, this is only true for the two competing athletic teams. A few weeks ago we gave our readers an inside look at Archie Harris, who played football for George Dukakis of Massachusetts and former Virginia governor Charles Robb, according to Dixon. He said former Colorado Sen. Gary Hart has the advantage in the field because of his experience pursuing the 1980 nomination.

Dixon hypothesized that voters look for traits offsetting the negative ones of the incumbent president. He said Reagan was good at broad themes but did not like details. People would be looking for a candidate with a command of the facts. He said Sen. Joe Biden (D-Delaware) may be best able to fit this image and is the favorite of Democrats within the beltway.

In discussing the influence of the Rev. Jesse Jackson, Dixon said diversions are important strengths of the Democratic party, "but we must mesh that with a common theme. There is a danger in trying to bring together yuppies, minorities and old guard liberals."

Carville said Jackson would influence the Democratic party because of his constituency. The most local voter group over the past 20 years has been blacks going for Democrats," he said.

Both Carville and Chase agreed that a close identification by the Republican party with the religious right embodied by Rev. Pat Robertson would be a bad move. Carville said many people are just too religious, the more one of the more extreme expressions of the platform at the Republican convention in 1984 because an easy victory was foregone. It was a vocal group in the public on those religious planks in 1988, he said.

The press was faulted for playing a corrupting role in the presidential nomination process. Moderator Larry Sabato, a government professor at the University of Virginia, said the network news has a job to do more to take time to show election returns. "And if the viewer interest is not presented the broadcast time will be cut back.

Philips said the press makes a difference in the presiden­

tial race in order to do a simple task and inadequately reports the differ­

tent interest groups.

While agreeing that the media wields too much influence. Carville paraphrased churchill to say that "a free press is the worst system of reporting information but it is better than all the alter­

atives.

AD: As I understand it, track athletes are some of the few inter­

collegiate athletes that are active almost year-round. Do you prefer full or spring competition?

Bo: Actually, there are three "seasons" during the year. In the fall we run cross country, which is an outdoor event run on layout of 10k (6.2 miles). Indoor track is run in the winter, on 150-200 meter tracks. Finally, outdoor track season begins in the spring, and is run on 400m tracks. I prefer the spring outdoor track events for a couple of reasons. First, the track is an oval, so you are able to see the other competitors and spectators. And, it's very hot to view the whole event. Also, the spring track distance events tend to be relay events.

AD: What are your events, and your personal record?

Bo: I run the anchor split (approxim­ately one mile) in the distance medley. My personal best in the 1500 is 4:10. This year I hope to run a 3:47, the equivalent to a 4:04 mile.

AD: You transferred from Mary Washington to William and Mary in your sophomore year. Why?

Bo: Primarily because I wanted to go to law school. Also, the run­

ning program here is very good, as well as the academics. Then too, Mary Washington isn't the "real world"—women outnumber men an easy 5 to 1. On second thought, that's probably a reason I should have stayed.

AD: How much time is involved in participating with the teams?

Bo: Daily practice is at 3:00, and I usually don't get home until 7:00 or 8:00. That's in addition to a daily "morning run" of about 1.5 hours. Weekends are pretty empty, except for practice com­

petitions in different meets.

AD: How difficult is it to balance law school and athletics?

Bo: Really hard. I didn't expect law school to take so much time. I've found it hard to prepare for my classes in advance, and I sleep in class a lot. "Let's hope Pro­

fessor Higgins doesn't do this." I thought.

AD: Did you work out a different style of study with the Dean, such as taking some classes this semester and other classes next semester, to lighten your load this year?

Bo: No. It didn't even occur to me to do that. Outside of leaving

First Year Leads The Pack

Brendan McCarthy in his carefree undergraduate days.

Brendan McCarthy, member of the William and Mary track team, captain of the Tribe cross country team, will be entering his first year of graduate school in the spring. This week we meet Brendan "Bo" McCarthy, who is remembered for the Iran affair, "I was there, I remember for the Iran affair," "We're going to look after Dean Sullivan in the eye at the annual Boston College and Harvard football game and tell him, "I came to law school to make money." Herewith, Bo McCarthy.

ADVOCATE: Why and when did you first start running competitively?

Bo: I started running competitively in high school (Langley High) because of a knee injury in soccer. "The doctor suggested I run; to rehabilitate the knee, and I turned out to be pretty good at it."

If they win their first round game, Sawyer will probably get a chance to average their loss, meeting the top-seeded Rats in the second round. Robbie Dickerson seemed optimistic about this possibility, reasoning, "It's tough to keep a good man, I mean team, down."

Conrad, noted for his stellar performance from the free throw line this year, disagreed, saying, "Rats can't quite because we don't choke, unlike those guys." When questioned about the suc­

cess of the Rats, Captain Power said, "the undergrads have turned this team into a powerhouse, but the key to our success is Mark Kallenbach. He is by far the best eighth man in the league." When asked about the possibility of tak­

ing the championship, Power stated, "Well, we're seeded first and I don't think anybody can beat us if we're playing well." We will see.