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ABA Consultant Explains Unaccreditation Problems

By Margaret Askew

James P. White, consultant to the Council on Accrediting of the American Bar Association, met with William and Mary President Thomas A. Graves, Acting Dean Emeric Fischer, and students during his one-day visit to Marshall-Wythe Law School on October 15.

Following his meeting with faculty members, White spoke to more than 110 law students in the Moot Court Room. He said that the original inspection by the ABA one-and-a-half years ago was a routine inspection. As a result, a report was written stressing the need for improved facilities at Marshall-Wythe. White was visiting Williamsburg to talk to faculty and students during his one-day visit to Marshall-Wythe Law School.

Following his meeting with faculty members, White spoke to more than 100 law students in the Moot Court Room. He said that the original inspection by the ABA one-and-a-half years ago was a routine inspection. As a result, a report was written stressing the need for improved facilities at Marshall-Wythe. White was visiting Williamsburg to talk to faculty and students during his one-day visit to Marshall-Wythe Law School.

By Mark Gregory

With the State of Virginia facing bleak financial prospects in the foreseeable future, Governor Godwin and the State Budget Advisory Committee heard President Thomas A. Graves Jr. present the College's and the Law School's case for funding of the law building and related programs at Marshall-Wythe.

According to a report in the October 22 issue of the Newport News Daily Press, the Governor was "very pleased" that the Law School has no longer operating under the constraints of a December 1 deadline in its dealings with the ABA, terming the whole accreditation issue "very unfortunate."

In his plea for increased state support for the College and Marshall-Wythe, Graves pointed out that the budget request for the 1976-78 biennium was $493,000 less than that for the previous biennium. Even though this represents an increase of roughly 9 percent from actual funding during 1974-76, it is illustrative that "every effort to hold our 1976-78 request to a figure consistent both with the clear need for economy, and with the need to retain a competitive position in Law School salaries." At the same time, Governor Godwin requested, "I appreciate your funding, but I fail to find it in your budget.

The following is the complete text of Governor Godwin's Oral Presentation Statement to the Governor and Budget Advisory Committee, October 21, 1975. Governor Godwin, Members of the Budget Advisory Committee,

As of the 21st of October, the following is a summary of what we are doing, including information that we have received since the last statement.

Notably, Governor Godwin also expressed his appreciation for the contributions of the College of William and Mary and the Virginia Associated Research Campus. Dr. Clarence Mace, new President of the College of William and Mary, will present the case for the Bland College. Then President James Winstead will focus on Newport News.

Each of you has the full text of our statement on the College of William and Mary and the Virginia Associated Research Campus. Dr. Clarence Mace, new President of the College of William and Mary, will present the case for the Bland College. Then President James Winstead will focus on Newport News.

Each of you has the full text of our statement on the College of William and Mary and the Virginia Associated Research Campus. Dr. Clarence Mace, new President of the College of William and Mary, will present the case for the Bland College. Then President James Winstead will focus on Newport News.
EDITORIALS

Funding Control Desired

On the heels of budgetary allocations to the SBA, and in turn to the Law School, a question remains as to whether the law students are getting their fair share of the student activities fees paid each year to the Board of Student Affairs (BSA).

SBA President Guy Strong has figured out how much of the $9.00 per semester per law student for BSA activity fees is being returned to the Law School this year.

Due to a mix of full-time and part-time students enrolled in legal studies here. Given that each student pays $18.00 per year for student activities, that means that law students put $8,244 into the BSA this year. In turn, the BSA this year allocated $3,390 to the Amicus Curiae. The BSA then recives $4,672 of SBA funds earmarked for Law School activities. Subtracting from the $8,244 that the law students pay in, there is a surplus of $1,574 that is not directly benefiting the very students who paid that amount last year from an individual student basis, each law student is receiving about $14.50 per year from the $18.00 paid in.

This is not just a problem for the Law School students. Other graduate students are receiving less than the amount they are paying into the BSA for student activities.

Added on to this situation is the fact that the Law School has only one representative on the predominately undergraduate-run BSA. In total, there are four graduate members on the 25-member BSA. This does not constitute the strength needed on the BSA when allocation of funds becomes a struggle between graduate and undergraduate activities.

While there are professors on BSA from graduate departments, only one professor, the one from the Law School, totals representatives (and teaches) graduate students. Claims of "not getting our money's worth" are countered by the College administration and BSA members with claims that the law students are also paying for the benefits of undergraduate publications and activities. While a minimal amount could perhaps be justified as going to those students, the amount siphoned off by the BSA is completely unrealistic of the benefit law students receive from these undergraduate activities.

As a result of continued complaints from graduate students that they are not getting their "fair share," efforts are being made by representatives of the various graduate departments to restructure the funding mechanism for graduate activities.

A valid proposal suggested by Strong is that the Graduate Student Council, a standing sub-committee of BSA, be responsible for funding the graduate student activities. Strong proposes that a percentage of the student activities fees from graduate students (for example, $2.00 per year) could remain under the control of the graduate student body, with the remainder going to the Graduate Student Council, which would have the power to allocate funds to graduate organizations.

EDITORIALS, CONT.

following the guidelines currently followed by the BSA Finance Committee, could allocate funds to each graduate governing body on a pro-rated basis.

In addition to effect regulating graduate activities through the "power of the purse," each graduate body would be regulating the activities involving graduate students, with the Graduate Student Council serving as the proctor for any dispute that arises.
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Autonomy For "Golden Goose?"

It is now painfully apparent that, in addition to receiving adequate funding, Marshall-Wythe needs to be administratively independent of the College. So far, none has come forth with a viable solution. This may be due to a lack of proper perspective. If one views the Law School as a rich province and the College as an exploiting superior corporation, one might be able to understand. Historically, no such authority has voluntarily let the "golden goose" slip through its fingers (at least while there is more to sell). Independence of the "subject" entity has only been achieved in the following occurrences:

1) Support from a power greater than the dominating entity forces the latter to relinquish its rule. History provides numerous examples of this approach starting with the struggles of the Athenian colonies and continuing through to the case of Bangladesh (our own revolution is another prime example contrary to the DAI). In the present situation, the only power realistically capable of serving in this capacity is the Virginia legislature since the ABA, while it has the "cure that kills." Considering the track record of the ABA, this alternative is bleak at best.

2) This approach entails making the continued rule by the central power so difficult that it overcomes any gains realized. This is most conveniently accomplished by some combination of tactics: (1) Congress has passed the冤igis legislation (a recent example of its success is the graduation controversy), and parliamentary obstructionism by student and faculty representatives on college committees which prevent any non-compliance committee business from coming to light. This last suggestion might prove useful in dealing with the BSA especially in view of the fact that the other graduate representatives could easily be induced to join in. An important second course of action would be to radical for this school and it is certainly conduct unbecoming "gentlefolk" but it stands a good chance of success if the students and faculty actively pursue it. The final choice lies with all of us - the final outcome - an academically free law school - worth it!

Letter Campaign

Students are once again reminded that the accreditation process is not to be taken lightly. It is serious, and that support of the new law building needs to come from the students who are being affected - the law students themselves.

A letter from Sam Reale, President of the Marshall-Wythe Alumni, was distributed around the Law School, asking students to write to Iowa, Gov. Edwinn Jr.

For those who have lost or mislaid the Governor's address, letters to Gov. Edwinn can be addressed: The Honorable Miles E. Edwinn, Governor's Mansion, Capital Square, Richmond, Va. 23219.
Law Review Broadens The Scope Of Its Articles, Staff

By Jim Thomas

Significant and beneficial changes are taking place this year on the William and Mary Law Review. While the Review continues to be a scholarly product, it is increasingly recognizing and analyzing major developments in the evolution of the law. The changes are being instigated in an attempt to accommodate a broader range of material and to further the goals of the individual staff members.

Although meticulous refinement of articles in an academic journal is the foundation of source accuracy in both substance and form continues to be important, an atmosphere has developed to eliminate unnecessary, duplicated work to give staff members time for writing and to reduce interference with class work to the extent that is consistent with the production of a journal of high quality. The reduction in the workload of the Review staff is being accomplished by streamlined editing processes and organization of the staff into departments.

This year's Review is striving to broaden its scope in terms of the kind of articles selected for publication. Along with the traditional kind of law review articles — those with narrow topics, precise, and "near" legal arguments — the Review hopes to publish other kinds of legally related articles.

Included in Volume 17 will be an economic analysis of factors significant in the disposition of juvenile delinquents cases, a case study of conflict concerning Justice Douglas, an article of legal historical orientation, and numerous commentaries articles concerning various problems of discrimination and the right to privacy. In addition, the most exciting project of the year is the Constitutional Law Symposium, being conducted by Dr. Swindle, in celebration of the Bicentennial.

Another particularly significant change on the Review is the opening of the possibility of membership to any student who submits a manuscript for publication. This policy change is consistent with the emphasis, this year, on involving the whole school, students, faculty and administration — with the publications of the journal. Students are encouraged to suggest topics for articles to the faculty, and the law School has been very helpful this year in manuscript evaluation and development. In particular, Mr. Doug Rendleman has been extremely helpful in guiding the Review's research department and to many individual staff members. The administration has been very cooperative in such efforts.

Jim Thomas, author of this week's commentary, is the editor of the William and Mary Law Review. Thomas explains the changes being made in the Review this year, and lists some articles planned for this year's issues.

In summary, the 1975-76 William and Mary Law Review is functioning very effectively and harmoniously. The Review is attempting to broaden its topic selection and to involve more students and faculty members in the production of the book. And not withstanding the Newsweek article of September 15, this year's Review staff members do not regard themselves as the "officers" of the school.

Editor's note: Jim Thomas is the editor of the William and Mary Law Review for 1975-76. Thomas is a third-year student at Marshall-Wythe and has been a member of Law Review since his first year here.

Two Professors Nearing Book Publication Dates

Within a few months two Marshall-Wythe professors will have sent the final drafts of their new books to the printer. Acting Dean Emeritus Fischer and Professor Michael Madison have contributed their works, although on very different topics.

Madison, the first book, entitled Modern Real Estate Financing with Tax Considerations, in cooperation with Jeffrey Dwyer of Georgetown University. After two years in the planning stages, the book will be published by Warner, Gorham and Lamont.

It is a textbook for lawyers, and explores the selection of the appropriate ownership entity (for example, partnership, corporation, trust) for the maximization of tax shelter benefits in real estate investment. There is detailed analysis of the legal theory and mechanisms of pre-financing issues, construction-financing, and permanent financing, with a focus on tax considerations.

Madison feels that the existing textbooks in this area are simply inadequate. He offers a new approach in his work which he seeks to strike a balance between the hornbook method and that of the law review article. He utilizes textual materials that are comprehensive to the average lawyer, yet provide extensive footnotes and formal policy commentary in order to simulate legal experts.

Attention to detail has also driven to his endeavor by frustration with the available resources. Unable to find an insurance law case book which satisfied the needs of his students, he decided to write one. His primary concern has been to provide a format which does not regard himself as the "authority".

Fischer emphasizes the progressive, cumulative nature of his method. That is, he presents problems in the order in which they logically arise in the study of insurance issues. He likes this "building" experience to the study of mathematics. In his treatment Fischer said he relies almost entirely on cases of the past two to three years, and he feels that his book may remain "current" for as long as a decade.

Fischer has devoted the last two summers to the project, and, ironically, he was only forty "hours away" from completion when he was appointed Acting Dean in August. In spite of his many new duties he is sure that his work was well-received by publishers very soon. He has avoided a formal contract but expresses confidence that he will reach a fair agreement with one of the important publishers. Thus, the success of next year's students will be insured.

Two Professors Nearing Book Publication Dates

Law school scholarships for the 1975-76 will be awarded on the basis of both new and academic achievement factors. According to the new faculty committee appointed to administer scholarship grants.

Last year scholarships were awarded by the dean's office on the basis of achievement factors alone. Next year's grants will be based on a need analysis as well to provide for distribution of limited scholarship funds to those most in need of them.

Professor Elmer J. Schaefer, chairperson of the new faculty committee appointed this fall by Dean Fischer, anticipates nearly $30,000 in grant money to be available for distribution next fall. About $20,000 of that total comes directly from alumni donations and the amount varies from year to year. Most of the remainder is the income produced by the investments earmarked for law school scholarships.

Some of the scholarship funds are designated by their donors for particular types of recipients. Among them are the Carneal and Shapiro scholarships for students in the Master of Laws and Taxation program, the Hughes Endowment Scholarships for entering students, and the Gray Joel Horace Wilson Award for first-year students. Another is earmarked for American Indians.

Non-designated funds will be awarded to applicants who demonstrate financial need and academic ability, based on information provided to the committee on scholarship application forms, which will be furnished by the dean's office. Applications deadline for 1975-76 awards will be next week.

A student's financial need will be determined by his income, assets, indebtedness, the amount his parents can contribute, his spouse's earnings and similar factors. The application does not require completion of the Parent's Educational Statement or other national computerized financial need analysis forms.

Professor Fischer said his committee's mission will include looking for additional sources of financial aid through other departments of the college and, through departments of other institutions, to determine whether funds are available to students and faculty members in various organizations in a concerted effort.

It's a good idea — if only it's not too late for the November 1 deadline. Any student interested in working on this sort of publication should contact an editor of the Amicus or an officer of the Environmental Law Group, who are spearheading the last minute effort.
Patron ‘Saint’ Of M-W Will Serve As Lethargic Mascot

"God, look at the size of that thing!"
"Hey, is that your dog?"
"No, it’s Festus. Big beautiful and there. I mean, how can you miss him?"

For those students who are either using only the rear entrance of the Law School or are completely into a world of their own, it should be mentioned that Festus is the 200 pound St. Bernard who regally inhabits the front steps of the Law School.

First-year student Leslie Ludke is Festus’ companion, chauffeur, and great-great grandsire. Leslie reluctantly reveals that Festus is almost five years old, nearly blind, and surprisingly tame, none, inherently lethargic.

Student reaction seems generally tolerable, and even favorable to the friendly giant. However, the general reaction has not been completely positive.

Professor Kermit Dunahoo, note, Festus’ presence on the porch of the front in his legal writing class, commented in his somewhat unique style, “I think the owner of dog please remove same.”

Assistant Dean Timothy Sullivan has informed Ms. Ludke that she should attempt to confine Festus’ presence to the steps to the Law School and try to keep him off of the porch itself. “A little bit here, a little bit there keeps everyone happy,” is how Ludke reacts to Sullivan’s instruction.

The most interesting reaction to Festus’ presence though is that of surprised students and gawking tourists. Ludke was constantly badgered with questions regarding Festus’ weight, his eating ability and the condition of his hips. Though some students seem content to give the dog a glance, a few are not so sure about the head, and for the bold of heart, perhaps a rub on the stomach.

One observant first year student, Jeff Puff, informed Ludke that indeed he thought that there was quite a resemblance between dog and owner. This is certainly said in jest as anyone can easily see that Festus’ ears are much larger than Leslie’s.

Some students though are bound to perhaps disapprove of a dog. Certain brushing past Festus and coming away with about five shells of food or estate being used to certain misgivings. People who are fearful of dogs in general area also susceptible to hesitations in using the front door to the school. But generally the attitude toward the dog seems pleasant and friendly. Apparently Festus is here to stay, for a while.

The library may be noisy, cramped, and sometimes even smothered. Most Court Room is a poor excuse for a classroom for law students. There is no concern over law faculty salaries.

“I believe the College’s position on the matters raised by Mr. White has been clarified to his full satisfaction, as the library is not a class where the student can speak for him on that. I also believe that he is fully aware of the priority which the College and the ABA attached to the matters affecting the facilities and resources for the Marshall-Wythe School.”

The library at Marshall-Wythe presents almost a Herculean task: wearily inadequate space, budgeting allocations, and a student body that is anywhere but contributing to the ABA’s, not to mention the average M-W’s. Student reaction is bound to perhaps disapprove of the present library situation.

Though most of the problems are readily identifiable, some seem to defy solution and others fall victim to the adage of “money talks and nobody walks.” Lack of funds is the root of the problem and yet the administration recognizes that something must be done.

The approach seems to follow a McNamara Defense Department approach: That is to say, “How do we get more bang for the buck”? With this in mind, an approach to the problem has been going on.

Though little has been officially adopted, it is hoped that new results can be attained in the near future.

The library has been investigated by a subcommittee of the Faculty Library Committee. It has been investigating the possibilities of fundamental re-arrangement of the present stack and to determine a layout whereby separate reading areas distinct and apart from the stack areas would be created. The problems of concentration while someone is trying to reach for a book from the throat of a sea of books is bound to perhaps disapprove of the present library situation.

It has been suggested that an arrangement by reading areas in the library will contribute to the present reading area is bound to perhaps disapprove of the present library situation.
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Space is prime concern. It is apparent from a walk through the library that a somewhat larger space is helpless to be contributed to the ABA’s, not to mention the average M-W’s. Student reaction is bound to perhaps disapprove of the present library situation.
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ABA-LSD Circuit Gov. Report On Recent Events

Editor's Note: The following is a report from Mary Lynn Tate, Fourth and defense attorney for Joan Little. He may be reached at the Fall Roundtable. He may be reached care of Duke University School of Law, Durham, North Carolina 27706.

Lieutenant Governors
Frank Smith of the University of South Carolina and Adelaide Behan of Wake Forest were named Lt. Governors for the Fall Meeting. Frank will especially consider two options. The Board of Governor's has recently ruled that temporary resignations from the Faculty of Arts and Sciences. He may be reached at 13461 Polo Road, Winston Salem, North Carolina 27106.

Student Lawyer
The Fourth Circuit Governor, Mary Lynn Tate, was chosen by three of the committee elected to the Editorial Board at the Spring Board meeting. Tate drafted and introduced a new editorial policy at the September Editorial Board meeting which was unanimously adopted. The text of the new policy will appear in the October issue.

In addition, the Editorial Board solicits your contributions as we work toward the Fall Roundtable. Please remember the variety and balance of articles published depend upon voluntary contributions because ABA policy does not permit payment for articles published.

LSD Representative's Project Fund
The Board of Governors has approved a program for reimbursing necessary expenses incurred by ABA LSD Representatives. Allotments must be used by February 15, 1976.

An ad hoc committee of the Fourth Circuit Governor, Mary Lynn Tate, was chosen by the Board of Governors to review the new editorial policy, an increase in membership drives, program and project implementation and attendance at Circuit and National LSD meetings. Use of funds provided by the Governor, attach receipts and forward to your Circuit Governor. Safe, secure representatives should get expenditures approved by the Governor before making them so that reimbursement is assured. A check will be sent directly to the representative from Chicago.

Allocations are based on membership as of June 20, 1975, plus $25 per school and are set forth in the adjacent chart.

Each Governor has been given a $300 discretionary fund for Circuit Projects ranging from $25 to $125.00. There are no matching or membership requirements for these funds.

A Circuit Project is one undertaken by more than one law school. Application for these funds should be made directly to the Fourth Circuit Governor.

Law Student Services
The Board of Governors has approved a special awards program for outstanding membership efforts by LSD Representatives based on number and percentage increases in membership. This coupled with the new LSD Representative Fund should produce significant increases in the Fourth Circuit.

An ad hoc committee of the William and Mary Board of Student Affairs will meet soon to discuss requiring some kind of official recognition from student organizations before they may use campus center for their meetings. The committee is chaired by Ken Harrison, present LSD chairman, pledging LSD recognition for outstanding participation and open lines of communications between the two organizations.

Recognition May Be Needed For Student Organizations

Revisions Of Constitution Proposed By Committee

As a follow-up to last spring's revision of the SBA rules and by-laws, a third-year class committee is currently working on a related project, a revision of the SBA by-laws. The ten-person committee, headed by Lou Gonella, is concerned that the current document is not complete enough, particularly with the latter the more likely alternative.

Several proposals are under consideration by the committee, among which are:

- Creating a special elections committee with the power of judicial review;
- Increasing the number of class SBA representatives from two to three for the second and third-year classes;
- Removing the Judicial Council from supervision of SBA elections;
- Making replacement of the SBA president by the vice-president upon a presidential resignation permanent rather than temporary.

In addition to the specific proposals, the committee is attempting to consolidate various aspects of the constitution according to topic. This will include removal of various sections which are no longer applicable, such as Article Six, which gave the SBA the power to name the editor-in-chief of the Amicus Curiae. The Judicial Council recently ruled that the article was repealed by implication when President Graves placed the Amicus under the jurisdiction of the College Publications Council.

Gonella indicated that the committee is attempting to consolidate various sections which are no longer applicable, such as Article Six, which gave the SBA the power to name the editor-in-chief of the Amicus Curiae. The Judicial Council recently ruled that the article was repealed by implication when President Graves placed the Amicus under the jurisdiction of the College Publications Council.

Gonella indicated that the committee is attempting to consolidate various sections which are no longer applicable, such as Article Six, which gave the SBA the power to name the editor-in-chief of the Amicus Curiae. The Judicial Council recently ruled that the article was repealed by implication when President Graves placed the Amicus under the jurisdiction of the College Publications Council.

Amicus Curiae is now soliciting subscriptions for the 1975-76 academic year. Subscription cost for graduating students is $7.50 per year. The price of a subscription will otherwise be $10. New subscribers, complete and send subscription form in the Amicus Curiae, Marshall-Wythe School of Law, Williamsburg, Va. 23185. Graduating students should drop off the form in the Amicus Curiae box in the Law School office.

New Relationship
With Bala

In an effort to facilitate communication and responsiveness, the Board of Governors voted in September to make the Chairperson of the Black American Law Student's Association an ex-officio, non-voting member of the Board of Governors. The vote followed a proposal by Ken Harris, present BALSA chairperson, pledging LSD recognition for outstanding participation and open lines of communications between the two organizations.

Amicus Subscriptions

The Amicus Curiae is now soliciting subscriptions for the 1975-76 academic year. Subscription cost for graduating students is $7.50 per year. The price of the subscription will otherwise be $10. New subscribers, complete and send subscription form in the Amicus Curiae, Marshall-Wythe School of Law, Williamsburg, Va. 23185. Graduating students should drop off the form in the Amicus Curiae box in the Law School office.
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Libel Night Planned For St. Patrick's Day

After delicate negotiations with the College of William and Mary the fourth annual Libel Night has once again found a home at Phi Beta Kappa Theater for the evening of March 17, 1976. For those who are new to Marshall-Wythe, Libel Night is the show put on annually by the students in which they get a chance to utilize their creative and artistic talents in scenes based on material drawn from the experiences and personalities that abound here at William and Mary Law School. This year’s extravaganza is being produced by four co-producers: Lou Gonnella, Ellen Pirog, Mike Mares and Kathy King.

The festivities will begin with a reception to prepare the faculty and students for the experience of Libel Night. The theme of this year’s show will be "Libel After delicate negotiations the College of William and Mary Law School. This year’s show has again found a home at Beta Kappa Theater for the festivities will begin on March 17.
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Moot Court Benefits Participating Students

By Nathan Scheenker

A law school's Moot Court team is its main nationally competitive structure. Victory at the national level of competition results in benefits for both the law school and the individual team members.

For example, last year's national champions were the team from Loyola Law School of New Orleans. As a result of that victory the school received widespread publicity in papers as prestigious as the New York Times and consequently a major improvement in its reputation.

The rewards to the individual members of the team were significant: a great feeling of personal satisfaction but also a number of job offers, a collection of treasured lecture notes, various leading legal sourcebooks, and an invitation, all expenses paid, to the American Bar Association's annual meeting, then, is the fame, fortune and personal gratification which swallows up the alternate members of the Moot Court circuit.

Moot Court here is a tripartite structure consisting of: a Regional, a National and a World problem. The Invitational is an activity set up in England, is held in late January. Six teams compete (M-W, Duke, Wake Forest, U. Va., University of Richmond, and University of Maryland), is held in late February. Third-member teams submit briefs and argue a hypothetical case which has been created by the M-W Moot Court Board. Lest you think that there is some basic unfairness in our team arguing a case which was created here, Board members said that they have no contact concerning the case with the M-W team.

Furthermore each participating school grade all of the submitted briefs on a scale of one to five, and the Regional solicits the best briefs. The Invitational is before a very distinguished bench composed of such members as Justice Tom Clark of the Supreme Court of the United States, Judge Robert Mehringer, and Senator William Spong. In last year's Invitational M-W's team to the Nationals.

The competition occurs in two phases. The Regionals, where the best team in any one region is chosen, and the Nationals, where the best team in the country is chosen. A school may send two three-member teams to the Regionals but only one three-member team to the Nationals.

The Moot Court class is the genesis of the Invitational and National teams. The best participants in the class are asked to participate in the National competition. The Invitational, at which six teams compete (M-W, Duke, Wake Forest, U. Va., University of Richmond, and University of Maryland), is held in late February. Third-member teams submit briefs and argue a hypothetical case which has been created by the M-W Moot Court Board. Lest you think that there is some basic unfairness in our team arguing a case which was created here, Board members said that they have no contact concerning the case with the M-W team.

The Invitational is an activity which has a very positive effect on M-W's reputation. An example of this effect is the fact that Pepperdine University in California has heard of the tournament and has asked to compete.

Although there is no doubt that the Invitational is a very important event, the National Moot Court competition still holds the position of paramount importance, and the greatest rewards. As with the other competitions this one requires the submission of a brief and oral argument on a hypothetical appellate case.

The competition consists of two rounds of argument for each case. The first round is judged by one faculty member and two students, and the second round, which is optional, is judged by three students.

Jessup Moot Ct. Team Faces Int'l Problem

Five students are poring through the International Law tomes in the library this semester preparing to present Wythe's Jessup Moot Court presentation. Glen Gillette, Pat McDermott, Tony Gill, John Klein and Jim Dickinson must prepare briefs on both sides of a problem selected by the American International Law Society. In March the five, as a team, will attend a regional competition and face other schools. Each school argues the problem number in the same round, and face other schools. Each school argues the problem number in the same round.

The problem this year is titled United States v. France, and is concerned with amendments to the Securities and Exchange Act making it illegal for a company to buy stock without first notifying the SEC. In this problem, the pertinent provisions were not complied with and stock in a plastics company had been sold to a Canadian firm without notification to the SEC. Therefore, the U.S. government made itself trustee of the plastics company's stock.

A complication has arisen, however, because a shipment of plastics had already been sent to France and Germany before the United States seized the stock. The United States has requested that payment for the shipment be made to it and not to the Canadian firm. Neither Germany nor France has acceded to the request. Therefore, a suit has been brought by the United States in the International Court of Justice for a ruling upholding the legality of its actions and its request for payment.
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Graves Presents Report To Budgetary Comm.; Explains Urgent Need For Capital Outlays.

Continued from p. 1.

education, research, and scholarship at the graduate level. It is a state university and at the same time a national and international in character and contribution... It offers graduate programs that lead to master's and doctorate degrees in the professions, business, education, and law."

For 1976-78, we are requesting $309,000 less than the 1976-78 total recommended by the State Council staff on the 14th, we do not wish to imply that there are no other aspects of our budget which warrant attention, but it is the most urgent. Indeed, we believed the original budget request was justified on the basis of need at the time we prepared the budget, and we continue to believe... Capital Outlays....The capital outlay projections for the 1976 biennium are listed at the end of the statement you will receive from me. I would appreciate the opportunity to speak briefly to the number one priority in our current budget. That is, the new building for the Marshall-Wythe School of Law. Not only does the new building for each of you have received my letter and document, it is clearly preferable to maintain and improve existing services in higher education and to increase those where possible the entry-level access to higher education which can lead to the greater numbers of Virginians... The Council recommends that the state council will do well to increase its support from a marginal level to one which can... maintain its reputation as a strong law school of national stature... Judith Ewell Will Address Int'l Law Class This Friday.

Judith Ewell, assistant professor of the William and Mary Law School, will address the international law class at 9 a.m. Friday in the Moot Court Room 202 speaking on the topic of "request for reconsideration" to Dr. Marvin and members of his staff... Judith Ewell received a B.A. in history from Duke University in 1965 and a Ph.D. in Latin American History in 1972. Will vitamins professor of the national Law Class, said Friday's class will be open to all interested students...