Proposed Amendments Set
For Approval On April 12

Along with election of class representatives and SBA officers other than President, amendments to the SBA Constitution will be placed on the April 12 ballots.

Of particular note is the amendment of Article VII, Section 3 which is the result of the compromise reached by the SBA and the College Finance Committee. This amendment would make all law school organizations which receive funding, and would not have to totally rely upon the SBA for funding, and would not have to compete with all undergraduate organizations for funds from the general student activity fee.

It is urged that all students participate in the voting on the constitution amendments listed below. Each amendment is reprinted below. Questions about any amendments not included here should be directed to current members of the SBA.

The following are proposed amendments to the SBA Constitution:

Article VIII Section 4: The Chief Justice and the Associate Justices shall be appointed by the President of the Association with the consent of a majority of the SBA Board.

Article IX Section 5: Any member of the Association may petition the Board of Directors to consider any issue, said member deems proper. Said petition must be signed by a minimum of twenty-five (25) members of the Association. The petition must contain the name and address of each member signing the petition and a statement of the issue or questions to be presented to the Board of Directors.

V Article IX Section 6: In the event of appeal occurring in any of the offices of the Board of Directors, excluding the office of President, the President shall order a Special Election not more than fourteen (14) days after the occurrence of the vacancy for the purpose of electing a new member of the Board of Directors. Said election shall follow the applicable portions of this Article. Should the office of the President become vacant, the Vice President shall assume the office, and the position of the Vice President shall be filled by a special election called to fill the vacancy.

VI Article XI Section 2: Any member of the Association may petition the Board of Directors to consider any issue, said member deems proper. Said petition must be signed by a minimum of twenty-five (25) members of the Association. Said petition must be presented to the Board at least three days prior to the time the petition calls for said meeting or referendum.

VII Article XI Section 3: All organizations and/or activities which receive funds from the S.B.A., in any form, are required to submit all financial statements to the Board of Directors. Said financial statements shall be submitted by at least twenty-five (25) members of the Association. Said financial statements must be presented at the Board meeting at which the directors of the organization are members of the Association.

By Lou Gennella
Sometimes covering a story can take a lot of time and in terms of time span it runs over one day and weaves its way through various bodies. Because this story is like that, dates have been placed within "a day, two days, earlier" to render the reader an idea of the total changes.

The most curious (but not the only) changes were the following:

- Section 2: The Most Court Board and the BSA Finance Committee must discuss the question of Most Court funding for next year. (Most Court would receive no BSA funds.)
- A brief explosion on the Board of what program they wanted funded (the Invitational Tournament) and found that they had decided that they would not fund the Invitational Tournament. (S.B.A. was not involved in the financial decision.)
- Larry Glumbeck, Chief Justice of the Most Court Board, said he thought the committee was "extremely cooperative and really anxious to hear about our program and find a way to help us."
- Larry Glumbeck added that he was impressed by the "mature and deliberate approach Dave Nunn and his committee displayed to the problem of funding."

Fall Registration Dates
Not Yet Finalized

"Registration," that semi-annual flock of fun and fear (depending on your attitude towards law school) for all members of the Marshall-Wythe community is now fast approaching.

As of this writing an exact schedule of registration times is unavailable because difficulties in the law school office in scheduling class times with some finality. The office is attempting to get a final schedule before releasing it so that it will not be necessary to make any last minute changes, inconvenient to students or faculty, as occurred last semester occasioning an S.B.A. resolution condemning such practices.

According to Associate Dean Timothy Sullivan, the main stumbling block when the schedule is posted will be time. For this reason he is considering holding registration during the first few days of the fall semester which may mean that the student body will have to register before the semester begins.

However, Sullivan stated that "under no circumstances" would registration be held during exam week, if it cannot be accomplished by the end of the regular semester. Sullivan also said that in the event it becomes necessary to hold registration in August he will post the class schedule for comment before the end of the present semester.
The procedure to be followed in registering will be the same as was used last semester with the exception that those students with last names beginning with letters F-M will be registered on the first day, those with N-Y on the second day and those with W-Z will register on the third day. (This first shall be last and all that.)

Law School secretary Ann Forben was very pleased with the way this system worked out last semester because it gave her the necessary notice to be able to shift the location of some courses among the various classrooms which has been made available to the Law School around the campus. This "flexibility" has occasioned some hiking on the part of some students and faculty, however, it has the advantage of doing away with the "closed-out course," especially for rising second-year students who get last choice as to what courses they will take. Hopefully, this consideration will alleviate some of the fears of the students that had to last year's robbing scene in the lobby on registration day for the present second-year class.

William Hoffman, Delia Stroud and Barbara Jones (not re-elected) were the leaders of the editorial board of the William and Mary Law Review for the 1976-77 school year. Hoffman, who will be Editor-in-chief, is a graduate of the College of William and Mary, holds an M.A. and Ph.D. in Philosophy from the University of Georgia and was an assistant professor of Philosophy at Marshall-Wythe before coming to Marshall-Wythe. Stroud and Jones will be experienced members of the Law Review. This arrangement was recommended by the selection committees in order to best utilize the various talents of the three.

BSA Finance Committee
Compromises With SBA
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Election Comments

Tomorrow students vote for the SBA President for 1976-77, and Monday the elections for other offices will be held. In the past, the elections have often been hotly contested. However, this year the voters are given little choice in most elective positions. This is not to say that those running are not qualified for the positions they seek, but that they are the only ones who show any interest in working for the students in a representative capacity.

Please read the platforms carefully. Sometimes it can be apparent by the amount of effort put into presenting an intelligent and thoughtful platform whether the candidate takes the office seriously enough to put intelligent and thoughtful work into the position.

If you are uncertain as to the qualifications of those proposed to fill the SBA, check with the minutes of meetings this year. Note carefully how often past SBA members have attended meetings, and whether they have really done their share of SBA work.

Rather than continue by discussing what each candidate has said in his platform, and comparing qualifications of candidates in the races that are contested, the Amicus would like to mention that there were left out of one platform.

Although Steve Conte, candidate for President, has not been actively involved in every organization in the Law School, the work he has done lobbying for the new law building, and the contacts he has made in Richmond, among alumni and the community in general, should help make him an effective president. Although Marshall-Wythe received some funding for the new building, much is left to be accomplished, and Conte has shown the ability to work effectively with the people who make the decisions concerning the Law School.

This editorial does not intend to downgrade John Nolan, the other candidate for SBA President. It is true that Conte is a rising-third-year student, and as such has more experience working with the administration, faculty, and alumni, and more experience dealing with SBA and Law School's problems and needs, including the needs of the students. Steve Conte is just a rising third-year student, and as such has more experience working with the administration, faculty, and alumni, and more experience dealing with SBA and Law School's problems and needs, including the needs of the students.

Compromise Desirable

In an editorial in our last issue the Amicus took note of the proposed meeting between the Moot Court Board and the Board of Student Affairs and Finance Committee. At that time we stated it was our hope this event would signal a new trend toward discussion between the Law School and the SBA. Since then we have contacted a series of new guidelines, one of which (i.e. subsection "h") caused a considerable uproar among law groups. One week ago members of seven law organizations, the SBA, and the Finance Committee met to find a mutually agreeable compromise. After a prolonged discussion one was reached. On April 12 the law students will vote on a constitutional amendment which will read as follows: "All organizations and-or activities which receive funds from the SBA mandatory dues shall be considered subgroups of the SBA for all funding purposes." This amendment seems to solve all serious problems since it would permit no independent groups to use an "umbrella approach" and so make subgroups of the SBA.

The SBA's Aluminum Recycling Project, which has been in operation since last October, was termed a "great success" by SBA-Personnel Manager Guy Strong who was interviewed as he presented a check for $36.86 generated by the project to the Williamsburg Area SPCA.

The project has not generated as much money as was initially planned, the amount of scrap aluminum that was being deposited in the recycling containers around the Law Building has been steadily increasing over the past few weeks. Strong said that "with the increasing support of the entire Law School community our return on the project should continue to approach nearly $100.00 per month during the coming academic year." Further, he said that "all of the money is given to the SPCA; the SBA gets nothing from the project." The SBA would like to thank Mr. Strong and Mr. Swann, manager of the Waller Mill Road facility, for their cooperation in having a helped a very worthy community activity. The SBA's Aluminum Recycling Project is a great way to contribute to your Student Government and increase the satisfaction of the students who have served previously on the SBA check with the SBA Board for more information. In the future, the SBA will continue to promote such projects and encourage others to do the same. This project seems to be a good solution to the problem, however, it is only tentative. I urge the SBA to act quickly to formalize the compromise.

Virginia Perry
Changes Needed To Improve Position Of Judicial Council

By Larry Cummins

Reported by: Notes: As Chief Justice of the Judicial Council, I felt an obligation to make what might be an unpopular status report before I left office. Unfortunately, what follows is more on the order of a stream consciousness epic. It opens with a mildly amusing "carrot" and the sun comes later. Please persevere.

Although the procedures of the Judicial Council are clearly set in the Student Handbook on pages 81 through 86, I am convinced that students take the time to read them. Therefore, in an effort to stimulate thought along the following hypothetical case.

One day a dreary, not-so-unusual day, Molly was wandering through the bowels of the law library, and she thought to be prohibited collection. For all the details on how it happened, there it was, in the knecty stacks. She had no idea of her surroundings. Nevertheless, Molly was stopped in her tracks by aLEXANDRA B. GLENN, a rising third-year student in this paper. She discovered how the library was to be, the "officially missing." And even if she found a book, she became lost in thought of finding that improbable collection of idle truths. After several minutes, she realized that the collection of Citations. As if that were not enough, miserable Professor Cummins explicitly and repeatedly prohibited any collection. Nevertheless, Molly was deeper and more lost than ever. She was sure there was some thought of facing that improbable catalogue of trivial unknowns was lurking somewhere in the shadows, among the dust and children's books.

Sure enough, there it was, Odd and Molly were found guilty and suspended from school for one year. Their attorney appealed and received a review of the case by the Dean of the Law School and the President of the College, as provided in the Student Handbook. However, no alteration was made. The Dean had been fair, and the attorney advised going to court. For all we know, how it could happen to you, the library desk right now, get a copy of the Student Handbook, and read pages 81 through 86. It should take all of five minutes; do it now. Okay, so what? Well, I’ll tell you; it doesn’t happen that way. As far as I can tell, no one has even been found guilty of anything at this Law School. And in case you have had your head in a hole and don’t realize the situation in the area of stealing alone, I have received numerous reports of stolen items: reserve books, books from the stadis, students’ texts, textbooks, law review manuscripts, moot court briefs, a volume of Martindale-Hubbell, and a dictionary. I know what else people have not bothered to mention. I am not suggesting all who have lost items but "stipulating" a few students just for the sake of doing it, but I am suggesting that the honor system is not operating as efficiently as it should. In this regard I see at least three problem areas.

First, the average law student knows little or nothing about the honor system, indeed, some students do not even know there is an honor system. Those of you who did not read the Student Handbook as I suggested are part of this problem. Practically speaking, however, you must be assumed that the average student will not take the initiative to learn the burden of educating the student body lies with the SBA and the Judicial Council. Obviously the ideal opportunity for such an effort is during orientation. I strongly suggest that the SBA allot a reasonable amount of time for the Judicial Council to make its presentation to the students and the desirability of maintaining a high ethical standard within the Law School with each entering class.

This leads to my second area of concern—the apparently negative reinforcement of an entering class by the second- and third-year students. I assume, perhaps naively, that even an entering Law School class is sufficiently maligned and will readily adapt its conduct to established norms within the school. While this argument strongly supports the value of an orientation program, it also suggests that whatever might be accomplished must most likely be done in a few weeks, if the class confronts a disparaging environment and atmosphere. Very simply, the upperclassmen must set a good example if we are finally to reach the ultimate problem.

The most fundamental element of a "good example" in the context of a community of government is the honor system is the willingness of its members to demand the requisite minimum level of honesty and ethical conduct of one another. Unfortunately, too many students are unable to grasp this responsibility. They base their reluctance on a conception that to be more sophisticated than a child who shuns anyone who "rate on a freeway in the city forever as a "tattle-tale." Law students prefer allegiances to "Big Brother,"" and instruct their instructors. With the slightest examination, however, one realizes that what happens in these apparently similar activities is the nature of the normal world.

See "Counsel," p. 8

Amicus Curiae Editor for 1976-77 is Sally Collins, a rising second-year student. Members of the SBA parking committee have had trouble with the new lot of students. Sally Collins, a rising second-year student, was recently approved by the College Publications Council as the editor of the Amicus Curiae for the 1976-77 year. A 1974 graduate of Smith College, Sally majored in history, organized many official and unofficial campus events, and did some newspaper work on the side. Following graduation, she worked as a paralegal for a corporate law firm in Washington, D.C., as a paralegal assistant.

Analysis of Comments

Amicus Curiae Editor

Sally Collins, a rising second-year student, was recently approved by the College Publications Council as the editor of the Amicus Curiae for the 1976-77 year. A 1974 graduate of Smith College, Sally majored in history, organized many official and unofficial campus events, and did some newspaper work on the side. Following graduation, she worked as a paralegal for a corporate law firm in Washington, D.C., as a paralegal assistant. Acceptance of her editorship was based primarily on the supporting qualifications of her editorial board. Elizabeth Garder, Joe Walds, and Tom Laverty, with their combined newspaper experience, will be contributing to their conception of an Amicus with broader scope, current legal issues, utilizing more full alumni, faculty and student resources.

Staff and editorial positions are open. Interested persons should contact any of the four editors listed above before April. Suggestions for format and content are also encouraged.

Stong Submits Proposal For Law School Parking

Those Marshall-Wythe students who are finding the parking lot an impossible situation for Law School a constant thorn in their sides will be glad to hear that there is an effort being made to provide more parking for Law Students.

According to Guy Strong, SBA President, an SBA parking proposal was recently submitted to the All-College Transportation Control Board and to Vice-President for Financial Affairs, W.J. Carter.

The proposal cited the crying need for dependable parking near the Old Campus, the increasing tourist parking in the Merchants Square Area, and the continuing and uncontrolled limiting times on streets near the Law Building as justification for a determined College drive to find and make available more Law School parking.

The Transportation Control Board would have to approve the plan before Carter’s office could seriously consider the allocation of College funds. It is possible that the College would not approve the use of the land for that purpose, so that plan has a long, hard road to travel before it has a positive effect on Law Student parking problems.

Law Day Info

Students are still needed for the high school visitation program planned in conjunction with Law Day ceremonies. Due to Easter Vacation in many high schools, the April 23 date for the visitations will have to be changed. The Law Day Visitation Committee has not yet set a final date for the school visits.
This year's SBA election presents a distinct contrast to the hot contents of the past.

Indeed, only two posts are actively contested. Vice president Steve Conte and rising second-year student John Nolan seek the Presidency and present Secretary Skip Lautenschlager and incumbent second-year representatives Virginia Perry and Glenn Berger are competing for the two available third-year representative posts on next year's Executive Board.

In the remaining "nones," as of this writing Jim Ronca, Sue Sawatzki, and Lynn Buchanan are unopposed for the offices of Vice President, Secretary, and Treasurer respectively.

Also, presently there are only two candidates, Connie Rogale and Tom Lavery, for the two second-year representative seats on next year's Executive Board.

Finally, no candidate has appeared for the office of Director of Alumni Relations.

The platforms of those candidates listed above are reproduced below for the information of the student body.

**President**

By Steve Conte

The role of the Student Bar Association is one of service to, and the unity of, the entire student body within the Law School. In evaluating a candidate for any office of that organization, one must look to his or her ability to effectively deal with the numerous pressures that confront a student at Marshall-Wythe and the initiative of that officer to co-ordinate the student split into a program of action. These two attributes are at the very core of one's qualifications as an officer of the SBA.

By reviewing my contributions to the Law School during my two years at Marshall-Wythe, I would submit that my involvement has exemplified a true concern for student problems, for the importance of maintaining our collective legal education and for the high regard I have placed on the opinions of fellow students.

Since my election as a first-year representative and later as Vice President, I have had the opportunity to work on numerous projects within the Law School. Each of these has not been an easy effort, but rather has involved a number of students working together. This combined effort has produced positive results in the areas of Law Day, Social Affairs, Orientation, Faculty and Senate relations, and most importantly, the drive for funding of the new law building. A substantial amount of time was spent in Richmond personally talking with numerous legislators throughout the recent legislative session, emphasizing upon the critical need for immediate completion of the new law building. Also, the results were not as good as we had hoped, the monies allotted and funds promised should the accreditation threat be renewed, are a great boost to the overall budget which is connected with Marshall-Wythe.

As I look to next year, there are still areas in which the SBA should concern itself. Of utmost importance is the reassessment of our changing nature of our relations with the Board of Student Affairs, relations which are directly related to the duty of the SBA to adequately fund the organizations within the Law School. Hopefully, the questions of funding have recently been settled to satisfy everyone and I look forward to working with the SBA in order to co-ordinate student affairs.

Furthermore the SBA would do well to increase the student's input in any administrative decision affecting the quality and nature of the SBA and the law school. For example, it is imperative that the SBA and the SBA fraternities should sit at the decision of which professors or students to elect to meetings and I feel confident that one of my goals would be to improve the character of Marshall-Wythe. I firmly believe we stand at the brink of becoming an exceptional Law School and if I be elected I will continue to work towards this goal.

**Secretary**

By John Nolan

Rather than allow Steve Conte to run unopposed for the office of President of the SBA, I would like to offer a third year student perspective upon the critical question "can I do it?" I firmly believe we stand at the brink of becoming an exceptional Law School and if I be elected I will continue to work towards this goal.

The SBA-SBA squabble: I think one of the major issues in our SBA is the question of power and control. As you are aware, the SBA and the BSA have been at odds for some time. I feel that one of our major goals should be to demonstrate to the students the benefits of both organizations and to get the students to realize that both organizations can work together for the good of the student body.

**Treasurer**

By Lynn Buchanan

The Treasurer of the SBA is charged with responsibility for all Association funds. This includes maintaining current and accurate accounts and financial records, as well as handling daily receipts from the Coffee Bar.

I have worked in the capacity of Bookkeeper for the past five years and have a background (albeit limited) in accounting and business administration. As such, I feel confident that I can handle the primary job concerns well.

**V-President**

By Jim Ronca

I feel that my experience and qualifications make me the best person at Marshall-Wythe for this position. As the Student Bar Association Law Day Chairman, I've gained considerable experience in working with the faculty and administration, and have learned my way around the Law School system. I feel that my efforts have resulted in the fact that I have been elected for the second year in a row, which is a testament to my ability to work with the administration and the students.

Regarding next year, I feel that one major concern should be to improve relations with the SBA. As much as we'd like to be independent, the need for us to cooperate is a fact of life. But the SBA must do so in such a way as to maintain, as much autonomy and as much control over our budgeting and funding as possible.

Another problem will be money. I believe the SBA should work toward financing themselves, either wholly or partially, and then increasing the amount that they have in the past. I also supported the proposed $2.00 semester SBA increase and would like to see it pass next year. We need the money.

The failure of the dues revote will create a major issue - in those two elections, more than half the students didn't vote. I feel that most of us are incurably apathetic. Students simply aren't concerned because they don't follow what the SBA is doing. To cure this, meetings and minutes ought to be publicized and members, particularly classes reps, should be made more necessary. All elected officers should be required to post and keep office hours. I'll do it, along with anything else I can for Marshall-Wythe if elected.

**3d Yr. Rep.**

By Skip Lautenschlager

I was asked to write this short report about the oft repeated question "why are you running for office." First of all, I believe the SBA is a vital and effective organization. It is the one element in the law school structure that represents the entire student body. If the needs of third year students are to be considered and met at all, it will be the SBA. I believe it will represent the students better in the future than it has in the past.

This brings me to the second explanation of the question. In short, I feel that I am qualified to effectively represent the third year class. I have served as the Secretary of the SBA and was privileged to be named as the Justice of Phi Alpha Delta Law Fraternity. Further, I worked extensively on the lobbying effort, which included composing, addressing, and mailing letters; meeting with faculty and administration; and traveling to Richmond to meet and discuss our problems with state legislatures. This effort was...
Election Platforms Given By SBA Rep. Candidates

By Connie Flograle

Our second year at U.Va. has been a growth period in which I have gained new experiences, both personally and professionally. I have been fortunate to have had a great deal of responsibility thrust upon me. This has led to the development of strong leadership skills.

Virginia Perry

During this past year my experiences as a Representative for the S.B.A. have prepared me to be a leader. In the S.B.A. I have been called upon to represent my class, and to voice concerns of the Law School. My experiences have taught me to be a good listener and to express my thoughts effectively.

Tom Laverty

I am seeking re-election as second-year law student representative to the S.B.A. because I believe that I have demonstrated my desire to serve, my ability to work with the Law Day Committee, and my desire to represent the student body's interests.

By Dean Grace

Our second year has been a time of growth and change. We have faced many challenges, but also many opportunities. I believe that I have been a good representative for my class and for the Law School, and I am seeking re-election to continue to work for the betterment of our community.

Conrad K. Powell

I have been a member of the S.B.A. for two years, and I have worked hard to improve our organization. I believe that I have demonstrated my leadership skills and my ability to work with others. I am seeking re-election to continue to work for the betterment of the S.B.A.

Peter C. Brown

I have been a member of the S.B.A. for two years, and I have worked hard to improve our organization. I believe that I have demonstrated my leadership skills and my ability to work with others. I am seeking re-election to continue to work for the betterment of the S.B.A.

Complied by Sandy Spooner

Note: This is the final installation of Committee Forum for this school year. The S.B.A. president will announce the nominees for next year's Student Representatives to Faculty Committees. Any students who wish to participate in this way are encouraged to join the Student Representation Program.

BUILDING COMMITTEE — (Faculty Members: Profs. Sullivan, Swindler, Whitehead, Whyte, Fischer — Student Members: Guy Strong, Steve Conte.)

The Building Committee met recently with the architects who are now working on the plans for our new law school building. The reason for the meeting was to give the architects an opportunity to present the nearly completed plans for the new building to the students and suggest improvements. The group discussed, step by step, each aspect of the plan — from landscaping, to lighting, to the individual rooms in the building.

The Committee was especially impressed with the plans for the library, which will seat 419 persons and will hold 14,000 volumes. The building has a large student lounge, a locker room, a multipurpose classroom, and many other desirable features. The student representatives made several suggestions from the students' point of view. The new president of the S.B.A. and the student representatives were generally impressed with the plans and the architecture.

The Building Committee will be making the final decision on the plans for the new building, but it is interested in student opinions. Although the structure of the building is well established right now, students are urged to contact their student representatives with suggestions for minor changes to the building.

CURRICULUM COMMITTEE — (Faculty Members: Profs. Brown, Sullivan, Fowler — Student Members: Sandy Spooner, Beth Hopkins.)

The Curriculum Committee has not met for some time, primarily because this year has seen the concentration on individual problems as they arise rather than a general re-evaluation of the curriculum. Most issues have been handled through course offerings by individual professors and requests by instructors that they be allowed to alter the anonymous grading system in certain courses.

BOARD OF STUDENT AFFAIRS — (Law School Rep.: Heather Dumb) This past year as S.B.A. representative for the law school has, at times, been lively and exciting. Since law school regulations for the past few years did not attend S.B.A. meetings, I feel most of this year gathering information about the S.B.A. in the form of a working notebook, scouting out law school business, and discussing the various aspects of our student government. The following are some of those concerns:

1) Graduate Housing. The College is committed to being an undergraduate residential college. Thus, it houses approximately 75 percent of the undergraduate students. Between 2.5 percent of the graduate students have college housing. The College has announced a goal of 25 percent of its graduate students by 1984, based on enrollment projections for the 1980's which have already been reached.

2) Orientation. Although the College finances orientation for all undergraduate students, it does not finance a similar type of orientation for law school orientation. This is an ongoing matter of concern which has not yet been resolved.

3) Placement. The Board of Visitors allocates $118 per student from the general tuition fund to the "Athletic Fees Fund." The full allocation is taken from graduate student tuition even though the money benefits well beyond athletics (e.g., athletic facilities, student activities, and athletic competition in football and basketball games). Although the Attorney General of Virginia has issued an opinion stating that the Board of Visitors may legally distribute the funds, some students feel that the funds are not equally equitable to graduate students. William Carter, Vice President for Business Affairs has stated that it costs more to educate a graduate student than to educate an undergraduate, so the equitable balance out.

PLACEMENT COMMITTEE — (Faculty Members: Prof. Bron, Brown, Donaldson, Fowler,Walck — Student Members: Kathy Ring, Joelle Mandell.) The Placement Committee met on Monday, March 29 and dealt with a number of important issues. Louise Murthagi reported that the spring mailing to employers for interviews on campus is already underway. The S.B.A. agreed to include a statement in the mailing to employers indicating that the S.B.A. is interested in interviewing women students which was prepared by Anne Gordon Grover on behalf of the Mary and William Society in material which will be sent to each employer who will interview on campus next fall. The statement includes the results of a survey of women graduates of Marshall Wythe which sought to gain information about their career histories and job preferences. The statement also includes a brief summary of the law relating to sex discrimination in employment. A similar statement with regard to hiring racial minorities will also be prepared for mailing.

The Committee voted "in favor of the Law School Consortium on Placement. This organization has guidelines which regulate interviewing and job offers procedures of law firms. It also guides placement offices in dealing with problems such as discriminatory practices in employment. "

Page Four
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BSA Finance Committee Compromises With SBA

Continued from p. 7.

The SBA harmonious compromises with the BSA

that this new rule would require all clubs which receive SBA funding to come to the BSA directly and present their organizations or activities. Johnson also said that the new process might open the door to club autonomy.

The SBA President, expressed grave concern that this rule would weaken the power of the SBA and might prove detrimental to the funding of clubs.

This point was hotly disputed by Tom Johnson, the first-year representative, who said that the new process might open the door to wider funding. Johnson also said that it was better for the clubs to present their own views since they had the needed information as to their own particular problems.

The introduction of the new guidelines at the BSA meeting for fall ratification proved a discussion in Sharon Pandak. SBA BSA alternate representative, unsuccessfully tried to have "subsection-b" tabled. On the SBA side, the arguments ran as follows: "We can judge ourselves and our clubs." "The SBA derives its representative power from its fiscal control." This new guideline is a direct attack on the Law School SBA.

These contentions prompted numerous responses. Dave Nass, BSA Finance Chairperson, said, "The clubs can present their views better; why not let them?"

Steve Handzel, BSA undergraduate at-large representative, said, "No independent organization should fund another independent organization."

A more concise statement came from Conley, basic graduate representative on the BSA and Finance Committee. "We looked at the problem of allocating campus-wide funds equitably. If the SBA is providing service to the organizations... then these organizations can elect to stay with the SBA (by becoming subgroups of the SBA). If the SBA is not serving the needs of these organizations, then they can come to the BSA for funds as independent organizations.

In the end the entire guideline, "subsection-b" included, received overwhelming approval from members of the BSA.

By Dave Nass
Finance Committee Chairperson

Editor's Note: In order to help explain the underlying rationale of the new financial guidelines, the Amicus solicited the following article from Dave Nass, Chairperson of the BSA Finance Committee.

I appreciate the chance the Amicus has given me to explain the reasons behind the new guidelines and to clear up any doubts or fears which may have arisen concerning them.

The story of the new rules really began last spring. As a newly elected member of the BSA and a recent appointee to its Finance Committee, it appeared to me that the committee based its allocations on rather nebulous standards, i.e., prior decision combined with common sense.

In the fall of 1975 I was appointed the Chairperson of the Finance Committee. It was during our fall hearings and the writing of our subsequent budget report that the inadequacy of these "standards" became obvious.

Let me add at this point, that in stating the above, I do not mean to imply any criticisms of the past committees or their chairpersons, for I believe they made the best possible decisions in their particular circumstances.

It was, however, essential to put our policy into document form in order to: a) make the job of future Finance Committees easier and, more important, b) show the various funded organizations and the BSA exactly what procedures the committee would be following in making its allocations.

In enacting these new fiscal guidelines I believe we have accomplished this twofold goal. Every decision of our committee will be based on these guidelines and because of this the Finance Committee's accountability to the SBA and the organizations has been insured.

It seems that one particular section of these rules has caused considerable controversy. I refer to Section 4, "subsection-h," which reads, "an organization may include requests for subgroups in its request, but no money will be allocated for the funding of independently established organizations or activities."

At no time did we mean to use this rule as some sort of loaded cannon pointed at the SBA's head. The allocation of the student activities fees is a privilege and responsibility this committee is not authorized to exercise. This rule insures that no college organization will be allocated funds not specifically allocated by the committee under its new standards.

Without "subsection-h" these allocations might have become a meaningless exercise. A funded group could turn around and re-allocate in any manner and according to any standard they might choose to adopt even if they violated our guidelines. It was for that reason that this problem was of major concern to the committee.

It was some time after the enactment of this subsection that the committee, the BSA, and various law organizations met to discuss the effects of our new rule. Much was learned during discussion and I feel that both groups profited by it.

It was in the spirit of that discussion that our committee proposed a compromise (see BSA finance story), which, I feel, satisfies all concerned. I believe this compromise shows the committee's concern for law school needs and I hope our two bodies will be able to continue to work together in the future.

---
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Students seeking financial aid for the 1976-77 academic year are requested to complete the Student Statement For Law School Financial Aid form and submit same to Scholarship Committee for consideration. Deadline is Friday, April 22nd.

Full tuition scholarships ($350.00) for the summer program in Exeter have been awarded to the following students on the basis of merit: Donnals, Merrick R. — 2nd year, Hamline University, Midwestern School of Law, St. Paul, Minnesota; Joseph, Paul R. — 2nd year, University of California School of Law, Davis campus; Takeuchi, Yo — LL.M. candidate, University of California School of Law, Berkeley campus; Underwood, William J. — 1st year, Delaware Law School, Wilmington, Delaware; Wyche, Brad W. — 2nd year, University of Virginia. Partial tuition scholarships ($250.00) have been awarded as follows: Faustman, David F. — 1st year, University of California School of Law at Los Angeles; Schneider, Don S. — 1st year, Marshall-Wythe School of Law; Speord, James C. — 2nd year, Loyola University School of Law, Chicago; Thurston, Gloria E. — Entering Southern Illinois University School of Law; Wallace, Gall M. — 1st year, Marshall-Wythe School of Law.

Correction: In our last issue, the story on the BSA meeting listed David Healy as the Business Graduate Faculty Representative to the Business Office. We regret the error.

Two of the courses scheduled for the 1976 Summer Session will be offered only if enrollment permits. Therefore, if it is your intention to enroll in the Summer Session and you propose to take either of the following courses we would like to be so informed: 518 Law & Medicine and 519 Legal Profession. Please register your intent at the Law School Office.

The Residence Hall Life Office has announced that there will be as many as 20 more spaces available for graduate housing than were available last year.

Interested students should get in touch immediately with the Residence Hall Life Office located in James Blair Hall.

On Friday, March 26, DTP, Marshall-Wythe's third legal fraternity, after a valiant struggle, succumbed to apathy. DTP for sometime in the future.

The catalog for 1976 Summer Session is now available in the Law School Office. Students should note the streamlined registration process for Summer Session outlined in the catalog.

By Jim Margolin

The first annual Law School basketball Tournament, arranged through the effort of Rod Seaford, saw Pieces, completely dominating the other competing teams. After a strong intramural campaign, Pieces was the only Marshall-Wythe team to make the play-offs, having won League A, and into the semi-finals, Pieces crushed the Yankees 65-47 and King's Bench 77-46 to convincingly win the Law School Tournament.

Seven teams had entered the event with Pieces getting an opening round bye. Game 1 saw Law Review defeat the Seventh Cavalry 64-54 in double overtime. Saunders led Law Review with 16 points, getting most of them inside, while the Seventh Cavalry was virtually carried by Ribbons with 15 points, the outstanding individual performance of the tournament. Game 2 was a bit less exciting — the Turkeys (whose magnificent seasonal exploits were carried on the Strength & Conditioning column) forfeited to King's Bench. King's Bench captain Rod Seaford demonstrated his keen ability to organize the tournament fairly and without surplus on-hand. Only kidding Rod, everyone knows you would have killed the Turkeys.

In Game 3 the Yankees (known for team harmony) beat Flyers 68-58. Waller led the Flyers with 21 while Lane, Hanagan, Wise, and Radlson hit double figures in a balanced attack for the Yankees. The first half was close at 38-39 until the Flyers got cold and the Yankees blew the game open.

In Game 4, the opening game in the losers bracket, the Seventh Cavalry bested the well-rested Turkeys 52-43. Tilhous was the whole story for the Seventh Cavalry in the first half getting 28 for their 28 points. Bennett led the Turkeys with 12 and Tilhous finished with 22.

Game 5 saw Pieces crush the Yankees 65-47. Six of the Pieces hit double figures, with Greg Barton pacing the team with 25. Hanagan and Lane had 19 and 17 respectively for the losers, but the strength and size of Pieces made the game a mismatch from the start.

Game 6 was a close battle won by King's Bench 64-59 over Law Review. Law Review got off to a quick start and came close to blowing the game open, but King's Bench, led by its fast-breaking offense recovered its poise and got the victory. Anderson had 15 and Seaford 14 for King's Bench while Joe Marinaro was tops for Law Review with 15.

Game 7 saw the Seventh Cavalry get its second win with 69-45 defeat of the Flyers, and fifth place in the tournament. John Tilhous again led the Cavalry with 27 points while the "Spider" had 20 for the Flyers. Tilhous completed the tournament with a total of 82 points.

Game 8 saw Law Review claim third place with a sound victory over the Yankees. Dan Weckstein led the Review with 18 and his strong performance overshadowed a gallant effort by Rick Lane who broke his wrist during the game yet continued until the end.

Game 9 was for the championship between Pieces and King's Bench. Powerful Pieces showed they were far and away the class of the tournament by beating King's Bench 77-46. Pieces, opened the game up in the second quarter and led 45-17 at the half. Bob Keneagy led Pieces with 21 and Barton had 17 to spearhead a balanced attack. For King's Bench, which claimed second place (which, with Pieces around, was what the tournament really boled down to) Jerry Brennell had 17 and Seaford 15.

As the softball seasons starts, team captains are urged to give scores and stats to Jim Margolin or leave them in the Amicus office. Info is due by the Monday following an Amicus publication.

PDP Fraternity Chooses Officers For 1976-77

The following new officers were recently elected by members of Phi Delta Phi legal fraternity. Elected Magister was Rick Lane; Vice Magister is Elizabeth Carder; Exchequer is Mark Gregory; Clerk, Ernest Love; and Historian, Margaret Askew.

Selected as Graduate of the Year for the Jefferson Inn chapter of PDP was Ellen Pirog. Pirog cut costs of the those selected by other PDP chapters for the regional and national Graduate of the Year awards.

The Residence Hall Life Office has announced that there will be as many as 20 more spaces available for graduate housing than were available last year.
Placement Gives Statistics On 1975 M-W Graduates

By Louise Murtagh

It takes a long time for feedback to get to the Placement Office. The following statistics are based primarily upon the responses to a questionnaire sent to all 1975 graduates by the College Placement Office, a letter sent last May to the 1974 law graduates, and a second letter sent to them in January. The Alumni Directory, Class of 1976, which several students put together last spring has been an invaluable help.

The Placement Office isn't anxious to obtain these facts to satisfy idle curiosity. They are needed to answer the queries of those who are applying for entrance to the Law School. They are needed by the National Association for Law Placement—more and more and more by requests concerning the job market. In order to get any kind of picture of this, you need statistics covering a period of several years.

Speaking of statistics, the Placement Office is now releasing monthly Virginia Business Report, put out by the Bureau of Business Research, School of Commerce and Administration, College of William and Mary.

The January, February and March issues are in the office. According to Professor Leland E. Traywick, Director of the Bureau, "With bank debits, building permits, and new car registrations all increasing the way they are, the indications of a very good recovery is at hand in Virginia."

On the back page, there is an interesting article on Adam Smith who published his book, The Wealth of Nations in March 1776. “An argument against mercantilistic restrictions on the economy and a plea for laissez-faire,” this “revolutionary” book is "futile for today." "Smith was one of the first to see that a free market has self-correction abilities."

The Placement Office is anxious to expand and improve its mailing list. A second-year student who will be working this summer in Houston, Texas, has said that when she returns in the fall, she will bring back a list of Houston firms to add to the mailing list. Are there other students who would be willing to do this? According to the poll taken earlier this year, we should be adding firms from the Pennsylvania cities of Allentown, Bethlehem, Easton, Harrisburg, Philadelphia, and Erie; from Cleveland, Columbus and Cincinnati; from Atlanta and Athens, Miami, Tampa and St. Petersburg; and from the states of Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Illinois, Iowa, Indiana, Colorado, New Mexico and Arizona. Should anyone bring back such a list in the fall or mail it during the summer, it would be much appreciated.

Students are reminded that Mr. Marion B. Morton from the Richmond regional office of the Internal Revenue Service, will be here on Thursday, April 11 to meet with those first and second year students who might wish to be interviewed by him next fall. The meeting will take place at 1:00 p.m. in Room 219.

Improvements Needed In Judicial Council

Continued from p. 3

The difference between an informed and a model citizen who reports the commission of a crime, that is, in the former case, the norms are hideous and corrupt, while in the latter case they are generally acceptable and viewed as an integral part of an orderly society. Such activity within a community governed only by an honor system is even more commendable and justifiable for two reasons. First, it is not an minor, supplementary means of enforcement; it is the only moral, community governed by an honor system is absolutely necessary. In summary then, since the honor system is absolutely necessary. In summary then, since the honor system a healthy, viable institution or must be abandoned. By Lorraine Murtagh

Placement Gives Statistics On 1975 M-W Graduates

By Louise Murtagh

It takes a long time for feedback to get to the Placement Office. The following statistics are based primarily upon the responses to a questionnaire sent to all 1975 graduates by the College Placement Office, a letter sent last May to the 1974 law graduates, and a second letter sent to them in January. The Alumni Directory, Class of 1976, which several students put together last spring has been an invaluable help.
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Employment statistics for 1975 graduates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>MINORITY WOMEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A. GRADUATES - employment status known</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Employed</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Still seeking employment</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Not seeking employment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Total employment status known</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Graduates - employment status not known</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Graduates in class</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. EMPLOYMENT CATEGORY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Private Practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Self-employed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Firm size under 50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Firm size over 50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Total in private practice</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Public Interest Practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Business Concerns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Legal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Non-Legal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Total in business concerns</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Federal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. State and Local</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Total in government</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Judicial Clerkships</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Federal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. State and Local</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Total clerkships</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Military</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. JAG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Total in military</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Academic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Law School faculty, administration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Advanced law degree study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Total in academic community</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Total, job category identified</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 11. GEOGRAPHIC CATEGORY |
| A. Geographic area not known | 6 |
| B. Northeast Region Total |
| 1. Delaware |
| 2. District of Columbia |
| 3. Maryland |
| 4. Massachusetts |
| 5. New Hampshire |
| 6. New Jersey |
| 7. Pennsylvania |
| C. Southeast Regional Total |
| 1. Louisiana |
| 2. Virginia |
| 3. West Virginia |
| D. Great Lakes and Plains Total |
| 1. Illinois |
| 2. Ohio |
| 3. Wisconsin |
| E. West and Southwest Total |
| 1. Arizona |
| 2. Idaho |
| F. Total known localities | 89 |

By Lorraine Murtagh
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