College of William & Mary Law School William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository

Faculty Exams: 1944-1973

Faculty and Deans

1968

Sales: Final Examination (January 1968)

William & Mary Law School

Repository Citation

William & Mary Law School, "Sales: Final Examination (January 1968)" (1968). *Faculty Exams: 1944-1973*. 181. https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/exams/181

 $Copyright \ c \ 1968 \ by the \ authors. \ This \ article \ is \ brought \ to \ you \ by \ the \ William \ \& \ Mary \ Law \ School \ Scholarship \ Repository. \ https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/exams$

January

2 -324

SALES FINAL EXAMINATION

- B, using his standard order form, orders goods from S. S, using his standard acknowledgement form, accepts the order. The conditions printed on the two forms vary from each other, but S's form does not materially alter the contract. B did not object to the acknowledgement but when the goods arrive rejects them stating that the acknowledgement was a counter
- offer. Should B prevail? (5 points) NO $\bigcirc 207(1)$ In problem 1 the goods are non-perishable and readily marketable. 2. Assuming that B is in the wrong, may S sue B for the price? (5 points) NO_2-709 (1)(1) RESALT 2-708 MR-K = R PROPIT (LOST SALT
- P orders goods from V. The price was not agreed upon, but the corres-3. pondence between the parties indicates their intention that prior to delivery the price must be fixed. Is there a contract? (3 points) VES 2-305 RIBLE PLACE Suppose V shipped the goods, P received them, and then the goods burned. What are the rights of the parties? (7 points) V - Rock Proc - 507(3)P-Losns

Vendor is supposed to tender 100 bales of cotton at a certain location. He delivered 85 bales at 4:00 P.M. and the balance at 7:00 P.M. on the We Prev. Rep agreed day. Buyer waited at this place from 3:00 P.M. to 5:00 P.M. and then left. Buyer now sues Vendor for damages. Vendor defends on the HEN PinT grounds that Buyer did not make tender of the purchase price prior to 5:00 P.M. Discuss all the issues involved. (10 points) Fee P

Seller ships goods F.O.B. shipping point. While en route to buyer on 2.509(1) whom is the risk of loss? (1 point) The goods turn out to be nonconforming and buyer ships them back to seller. On whom is the risk of loss while en route to seller? (4 points) 5 2-5/0 [1]

7. Seller ships goods to buyer and mails him the negotiable bill of lading. Ebot While goods are en route seller discovers that buyer is insolvent. He orders the carrier to stop delivery. What result? (2 points) Suppose the carrier 105 (2)D) had already delivered it to the buyer. What can seller do? (2 points) Sup-# 7-303 (2) pose buyer had already resold it to vendee. As between seller and vendee B.O.C. 2-7023 Whin 1001-15 who shall prevail? (2 points) .702 VENDER

- Buyer agrees to pick up goods he bought from seller at seller's ware-8. house, but before he is able to do so the goods burn. Argue that the loss should fall on seller. (2 points) Argue that the loss should fall on buyer. (2 points) S= MEBCH 2- 509 (3) R/L STIL RECEIPT.
- S= Now MERCH AGAT = TEN DEUL = R/Low B OR BREACH & B 2-510 M, a manufacturer of tires, sells his whole inventory of finished tires to Ford. M's creditors want to get the goods back. Under what law would they try? (1 point) Give two arguments why they would fail. (4 points) R, a retailer, sells all his store fixtures and furniture to B. Can R's creditors get it back? (2 points) BULK SALF
- Are C.I.F. and C.&F. contracts destination contracts or shipment contracts? Explain. (3 points) Shipm'T 2-320=2-504
- Discuss the differences between a "sale on approval" and a "sale or 11. return" by distinguishing the primary purpose of the buyer, rights of buyer's creditors, risk of loss while in possession of buyer, risk of loss during return to seller. (5 points) $\Im -326$; $\Im \Im 7$
- What is a "no arrival, no sale" term? What pre-U.C.C. abuses does 12. the U.C.C. correct? (5 points) NO AREN NOTS'S FAOLTS NO SHEL OIL K LINA

SALES FINAL EXAMINATION January 1968 Mr. Fischer

KPRICE page 2 - RSPR + INDID PAG -+ For SAUPIN J-706(1)

13. Buyer breaches the contract of sale: (a) Seller sells the goods for a reasonable price to another buyer. What is the measure of damages? (2 points) (b) Seller has the goods and resells them for the contract *INCID* price. How much damages is buyer liable for? (2 points) (c) Seller is lucky enough to resell for more than the contract price. Is breaching buyer entitled to the surplus? (1 point)

14. Conditional sales contracts sometimes contain a clause referred to as the "insecurity clause". The U.C.C. has a section embodying the same idea. What is this all about? (1 point) Apra Assur Prac. 2-609 Under the term "ex ship" what are the duties and liabilities of the

seller? (3 points) What is the difference between "F.O.B. vessel, N.Y." (and "F.A.S. New York"? (2 points) 2-319

- OFF5419 2-322
 Seller repudiates contract before due date. Buyer immediately buys 2.7/2 goods elsewhere for a reasonable price and then sues seller for difference in what he had to pay and contract price. Seller defends on grounds that buyer did not wait till due date at which time market price was equal to contract price and therefore seller not liable for any damages. As buyer's attorney what's your reply? (4 points) 2-610 RESCAT TO BUS, REARRY 2-711 (1)(4) = 2-712
- 16. Buyer, B, told S Seed Co. salesclerk that he wanted a 50 lb. bag of S "Fertility" lawn seed which he understood to be excellent for developing a good lawn in highly acidic soil. The salesclerk answered that Fertility would grow in the desert or in an oak forest and that B could not have made a better choice. B paid for the bag and was given a copy of the sales slip which read "No warranties are made with the sale of seed except as to type and contents, and in the event of failure to produce when used as instructed, the liability of the Seed Co. is limited to refund of the price paid."-B paid \$150 for labor and other materials to prepare the soil and spread the seed. The seed failed to germinate and B learned from the County agricultural agent that S Fertility seed is not intended for use in highly acidic soil. Discuss B's position with regard to seeking recourse against S Seed Co. (25 points) SACE EAP WARE -Con DISCLAMEN, ". WARE PREVAL 2-316 + WARE MERCH WER DISC 2-3160" LINIT 27-19 EAP but WER MERCH. - LINIT UNERN
- F, a farmer, borrows \$5000 from B, a bank, and gives a security Puer, Puppers 17. interest in his cotton crop, which B perfects in accordance with statutory LIMIT, UNDON IN provisions (filing). F then wrote to C.M., cotton merchants, through whom he always sold his cotton, asking C.M. to handle the sale of the LIGHTOP cotton. E, an employee of C. M., who has no apparent or implied authority E, Whith to handle or negotiage sales, intercepted the letter before it reached the proper employee's desk and replied to F on C.M. stationery forging the sales manager's signature. The forged letter instructed F to ship the cotton to Richmond on a negotiable bill of lading consigned to bearer and that upon disposition F's account with C. M. would be credited with the proceeds of sale. When the negotiable bill reached C.M.'s office E took it before it came to another's attention. E negotiated the bill by delivery to P, a good faith purchaser without notice, who paid full value of \$10,000 on strength of E's possession of the bill and assurance of ownership. E absconded and when the facts became known, F, P, and B, to the extent of its security interest, each claim right to the cotton, F of course acknowledging B's security interest superior to his own, but P claiming sole ownership. It was agreed that the cotton be sold and the proceeds distributed in accordance with priority of their respective claims. The cotton sold for \$11,000. How should the proceeds be distributed? (15 points)

- POWNS COTTON -11,000 TO P J-403 (1) (A) 7-502 9-307