

William & Mary Law School

William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository

Supreme Court Preview

Conferences, Events, and Lectures

9-23-2005

2005-2006 Supreme Court Preview: Contents

Institute of Bill of Rights Law, William & Mary Law School

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview>

Repository Citation

Institute of Bill of Rights Law, William & Mary Law School, "2005-2006 Supreme Court Preview: Contents" (2005). *Supreme Court Preview*. 180.

<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview/180>

Copyright c 2005 by the authors. This article is brought to you by the William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository.

<https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/preview>

2005-2006 Supreme Court Preview

Institute of Bills of Rights Law

THE INSTITUTE OF BILL OF RIGHTS LAW was established at William & Mary in 1982 to support research and education on the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. One of the principal missions of the Institute is to facilitate interaction between the professions of law and journalism. Through a discussion of key cases on the Supreme Court's docket at the start of each term, the annual SUPREME COURT PREVIEW provides in-depth education for journalists on the underlying issues in order to enhance press coverage of the decisions.

STAFF


NEAL DEVINS, Goodrich Professor of Law, Director of Institute of Bill of Rights Law, and Professor of Government

MELODY S. NICHOLS, Program Coordinator

ASSISTANT EDITORS

JONATHAN BARLOW SEAN CROSTON MATTHEW GETTY

SARA OUTTERSON ALLISON QUIST LINDA QUIGLEY



WILLIAM & MARY SCHOOL OF LAW
WILLIAMSBURG, VIRGINIA 23187-8795
(757) 221-3810 ♦ FAX (757) 221-3775
E-MAIL: IBRL@wm.edu ♦ Web: IBRL.org

2005-2006 Supreme Court Preview

Table of Contents

Schedule of Events	p. i
Who's Who on the Panels	p. ii

I. MOOT COURT ARGUMENT: *Rumsfeld v. FAIR*

In This Section:

New Case: 04-1152 *Rumsfeld v. FAIR*

Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 2
“Court to Review Military Recruiting at Colleges” <i>Charles Lane</i>	p. 17
“Law Schools’ Case v. Gov’t off to High Court” <i>Kris Kitto</i>	p. 19
“U.S. Defense Department Sued on College Recruitment” <i>Marcella Bombardieri</i>	p. 21
“Pentagon, Colleges Duel on Gay Policy” <i>Kim Martineau</i>	p. 22
“Challenge to Military Recruiter Law Heats Up in Newark’s Federal Court” <i>Mary P. Gallagher</i>	p. 26
“3 rd Circuit Voids Law School Funding Restriction” <i>Shannon P. Duffy</i>	p. 30

II. THE O’CONNOR COURT?

In This Section

“Consistently, A Pivotal Role” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 35
“O’Connor Not Confined by Conservatism” <i>Joan Biskupic</i>	p. 39

“Which Important Precedents are Likely to Be in Jeopardy?” <i>Marty Lederman</i>	p. 43
“The Power of Unpredictability: Justice Sandra Day O’Connor’s Numerous Swing-Vote Decisions Have Kept U.S. Supreme Court Watchers Guessing” <i>Dahlia Lithwick</i>	p. 45
“O’Connor Leap Moved Women Up the Bench” <i>Adam Liptak</i>	p. 47
“How O’Connor and the Court Have Drifted Leftward” <i>Stuart Taylor, Jr.</i>	p. 50
“A Majority of One” <i>Jeffrey Rosen</i>	p. 53
“Fall Cases on Hot-Button Issues May Hinge on the New Justice” <i>Charles Lane</i>	p. 62
“An Advocate for the Right” <i>David E. Rosenbaum</i>	p. 65
“Reading Robert’s Mind” <i>Terry Eastland</i>	p. 68
“In Search of John Roberts” <i>Jeffrey Rosen</i>	p. 74

III. CONFIRMATION POLITICS

In This Section:

“Supreme Court Poker” <i>Stuart Taylor, Jr.</i>	p. 79
“Bush Keeps Role in Senate Fray Out of Sight” <i>Edwin Chen and Warren Vieth</i>	p. 84
“The Strategy for a Successful Nomination: Disarm Opposition” <i>Adam Nagourney</i>	p. 87
“An Interview by, not with, the President” <i>Elisabeth Bumiller and David D. Kirkpatrick</i>	p. 89

“A Year of Work to Sell Roberts to Conservatives” <i>David D. Kirkpatrick</i>	p. 92
“Right, Left Gird for Battle Over Nominees” <i>Deidre Shesgreen</i>	p. 95
“Lobbyists Can’t Wait to Push Justice Favorites” <i>Maeve Reston</i>	p. 98
“Bringing the Hearings to Order” <i>Arlen Specter</i>	p. 101
“High Court Peace Offering” <i>John Yoo</i>	p. 103
“A Six-Pronged Strategy for Defeating Roberts” <i>Tom Curry</i>	p. 105
“Opponents of Nominee Taking Populist Tack” <i>Ronald Brownstein</i>	p. 108
“Democratic Filibuster of Roberts Unlikely” <i>Jesse J. Holland</i>	p. 111
“Senate’s ‘Nuclear Option’” <i>Michael Gerhardt and Erwin Chemerinsky</i>	p. 113
“Break the Filibuster” <i>William Kristol</i>	p. 115
“A Last-Minute Deal on Judicial Nominees” <i>Charles Babington and Shailagh Murray</i>	p. 117
“Senators Who Averted Showdown Face New Test in Court Fight” <i>Sheryl Gay Stolberg</i>	p. 121

IV. CIVIL RIGHTS

In This Section

New Case: 04-114 *Ayotte v. Planned Parenthood*

Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 126
---------------------------------	--------

“Court to Tackle Abortion Again After Five Years” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 134
“Parental Notification Supporters Delighted” <i>Tom Fahey</i>	p. 136
“Judge Puts Brakes on Abortion Law” <i>Garry Rayno</i>	p. 138
“Kids, Sex and the Law” <i>Shawne K. Wickham</i>	p. 140
“Parental Notification Sent to Benson” <i>Garry Rayno</i>	p. 145
“Parental Consent or Notification for Abortion” <i>L. Jeanne Kaufmann</i>	p. 147
New Case: 04-473 <i>Garcetti v. Ceballos</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 148
“Court Will Decide Whistle-Blower’s Rights” <i>David G. Savage</i>	p. 161
“Freedom to Speak in the Line of Duty” <i>Gary Young</i>	p. 163
“Soft on Crime Fighters” <i>Jim Crogan</i>	p. 165
New Case: 04-1084 <i>Gonzales v. O Centro Espirita</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 169
“Supreme Court to Decide Whether Church Can Import Drug” <i>Charles Lane</i>	p. 184
“Supreme Court to Hear Case of Dispute Over Religious Group's Use of Banned Drug” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 185
“The RFRA Hoasca Tea Case” <i>Marty Lederman</i>	p. 187

“Court Affirms Church Tea OK”
Scott Sandlin p. 189

“Santa Fe Church Gets Permit for Tea”
Scott Sandlin p. 191

“Inmate Gets Second Chance for Religious Bias Suit”
James G. Sotos p. 193

“Court: Congress Strikes Right Religious-Protection Balance”
Tony Mauro p. 196

New Case: 04-0698 *Schaffer v. Weast*

Synopsis and Question Presented p. 198

“High Court to Hear Maryland Special Ed Case”
Tim Craig and Miranda Spivack p. 207

“High Court to Decide Who Must Prove Case in Special Ed Dispute”
Caroline Hendrie p. 209

“4th Circuit Puts Burden on Parents Under
Individuals with Disabilities Education Act”
Alisa Bralove p. 212

“*Schaffer v. Weast*”
Nushin Huq p. 214

“Let’s Talk: Individuals with Disabilities Education Act”
Sue Ann Goldman p. 217

New Case: 04-52 *Rice v. Collins*

Synopsis and Question Presented p. 220

V. FEDERALISM

In This Section

New Case: 04-623 *Gonzales v. Oregon*

Synopsis and Question Presented p. 234

“Justices to Hear Case on Oregon’s Suicide Law” <i>David G. Savage</i>	p. 242
“Court Rejects Ashcroft; Backs Suicide Law” <i>Henry Weinstein</i>	p. 244
“Oregon’s Right to Decide” <i>Erwin Chemerinsky and Judith Daar</i>	p. 248
“False Federalism” <i>Wesley J. Smith</i>	p. 250
“Why Ashcroft is Wrong on Assisted Suicide” <i>Nelson Lund</i>	p. 252
New Case: 04-885 <i>Central Virginia Community College v. Katz</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 254
<i>Hood v. Tennessee Student Assistance Corp.</i>	p. 255
“Justices to Rule on Immunity of States in Bankruptcy Suits” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 265
“Supreme Court Will Hear Virginia Case Regarding State Immunity From Bankruptcy” <i>Matt Busse</i>	p. 267
“Wallace’s Goes to Supreme Court” <i>Terry Brennan</i>	p. 269
“ <i>Tenn. Student v. Hood</i> , 124 S. Ct. 1905” <i>National Law Journal</i>	p. 271
New Case: 04-1203 <i>United States v. Georgia</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 272
<i>Miller v. King</i>	p. 279
“Justices to Decide if Disabled Inmates May Sue States for Damages” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 283
“Recent Petition from the SG” <i>Kevin Russell</i>	p. 285

“Disabled People Can Sue States Over Access, High Court Rules”
David G. Savage p. 288

“Attorney Hopes High Court Will Clarify
Intent Regarding Title II Lawsuits”
Disability Compliance Bulletin p. 291

“Disabled Inmate Jail First of Its Kind”
Associated Press p. 293

Federalism Status Report

“The Court’s Faux Federalism: A Year at the Supreme Court (2004)”
Ramesh Ponnuru p. 294

“A Deeply Rooted Revolution”
Herman Schwartz p. 298

“The Rehnquist Court and Its Imperiled States’ Rights Legacy”
Linda Greenhouse p. 302

VI. BUSINESS

In This Section:

New Case: 03-1238 *IBP, Inc. v. Alvarez, et al.*

Synopsis and Question Presented p. 308

New Case: 04-0066 *Tum v. Barber Foods*

Synopsis and Question Presented p. 315

“Local Attorneys Gearing up for Supreme Court”
Francis B. Allgood p. 321

“Tyson Asks Court to Resolve Pay Dispute”
Tony Mauro p. 324

“Workers Sue for Back Pay at Barber”
Peter Pochna p. 326

“Court Rules Tyson Fresh Meats Must Pay \$3.1 Million
to Wallua, Wash. Workers”
Jeff St. John p. 328

New Case: 04-805 *Texaco, Inc. v. Dagher*

- Synopsis and Question Presented p. 330
- “Oil Giants Take on Gas Station Owners”
Alexis Grant p. 336
- “FTC, DOJ Pitch Joint Venture Ruling”
Cecile Kohrs Lindell p. 338
- “Gasoline Price-Fixing Suit Reinstated”
David Kravets p. 339
- “Gas Pains; L.A. Lawyer Tom Bleau Takes Service Station Woes to the Court”
Bob Burtman p. 340

New Case: 04-1329 *Illinois Tool Works Inc. v. Independent Ink, Inc.*

- Synopsis and Question Presented p. 342
- “High Court to Re-Evaluate Precedent Affecting Antitrust Law”
Tony Mauro p. 350
- “Asserting Old Standard in Patent-Tying Case”
Pamela A. MacLean p. 351
- “What Antitrust Law May Tell Us about Abortion”
Tom Goldstein p. 355

New Case: 04-905 *Volvo Trucks North America v. Reeder-Simco GMC*

- Synopsis and Question Presented p. 356
- “High Court Takes Volvo Appeal on Discounts to Truck Dealers”
Roger Gilroy p. 366
- “U.S. Supreme Court Grants Certiorari in Significant Robinson-Patman Act Case”
William Hohengarten p. 368

New Case: 04-881 *Lockhart v. United States*

- Synopsis and Question Presented p. 369
- Lee v. Paige* p. 373

“Justices to Decide if Social Security Can Be Seized”
David Savage p. 375

“Government Can’t Offset Student Loans with Social Security”
Consumer Financial Services Law Report p. 376

New Case: 05-92 *United States v. Phillip Morris*

Synopsis and Question Presented p. 377

“U.S. Seeks Higher Damages in Tobacco Industry Suit”
Eric Lichtblau p. 390

“Government Appeals on Tobacco Remedy”
Lyle Denniston p. 392

“Huge Tobacco Case Seems Court-Bound”
Lyle Denniston p. 394

“Political Leanings Were Always Factor in Tobacco Suit”
Eric Lichtblau p. 396

New Case: 03-1599 *Bank of China v. NBM, LLC*

Synopsis and Question Presented p. 399

“Second Circuit Reverses \$106 Million Fraud Award
Due to Erroneous Jury Instruction on Reliance”
Consumer Lending Litigation News p. 400

New Case: 04-1186 *Wachovia v. Schmidt*

Synopsis and Question Presented p. 402

“National Bank’s Branches Destroy Diversity Jurisdiction”
Barbara Grzinci p. 403

VII. CRIMINAL

In This Section

New Case: 04-1244 / 04-1352 *Scheidler v. NOW / Operation Rescue v. NOW*

Synopsis and Question Presented p. 408

“High Court to Again Hear Case on Abortion Clinic Protesters” <i>David G. Savage</i>	p. 411
“Another Round in RICO Abortion Case” <i>Lyle Denniston</i>	p. 413
“Abortion Foes Must Face New Battle in Federal Court: Panel” <i>Patricia Manson</i>	p. 415
“Racketeering for God” <i>Dahlia Lithwick</i>	p. 418
“High Court Hears RICO Challenge” <i>Charles Lane</i>	p. 421
New Case: 04-8990 <i>House v. Bell</i>	
Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 423
“Justices to Review Rules for Death Case Appeals” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 436
“Execution May Occur Despite Votes of 7 Judges” <i>Adam Liptak</i>	p. 438
“Did He Kill Her? Doubts, Denial and a Death Penalty Case” <i>Dwight Lewis</i>	p. 440
New Case: 04-928 <i>Oregon v. Guzek</i>	
Synopsis and Question presented	p. 442
“Review Set for Evidence in Murder Trial’s Penalty Phase” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 452
“Justices to Review Loan Offsets: Court to Decide Whether a 10-Year Limit Shields Student Debts” <i>Charles Lane</i>	p. 454
“Sentence of Death Overturned Third Time” <i>Ashbel S. Green</i>	p. 455
“ <i>Franklin v. Lynaugh</i> ” <i>National Law Journal</i>	p. 457

New Case: 04-1170 *Kansas v. Marsh*

Synopsis and Question Presented p. 458

“A Major Death Penalty Case? Maybe Not”
Lyle Denniston p. 471

“Death on the Docket:
Kansas Death Penalty Draws High Court’s Interest”
Ron Sylvester p. 473

“Kansas Justices Rule Death Penalty Unconstitutional”
Tony Rizzo and David Klepper p. 476

The Death Penalty After *Roper*

“Court Unlikely to Make More Historic Moves”
Joan Biskupic p. 480

“Cruel and Unusual Jurisprudence”
Robert Weisberg p. 482

“Dying in the Wrong Way”
Stuart Taylor, Jr. p. 484

“Justice a Scattered Force of Reason”
George Will p. 487

“Kennedy Reversal Swings Court against Juvenile Death Penalty”
Charles Lane p. 489

New Case: 04-0373 *Maryland v. Blake*

Synopsis and Question Presented p. 491

“Maryland Case Could Cut Suspects’ Rights;
Supreme Court to Hear Appeal”
Ray Rivera p. 500

“Dropped Murder Charges Spark Ire”
Brian M. Schleiter p. 502

“Murder Links 3 Lives Tragically”
Brian Haynes p. 504

New Case: 04-1067 *Georgia v. Randolph*

Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 507
“U.S. High Court to Tackle Ga. Police Search Case” <i>Tony Mauro</i>	p. 510
“Supreme Court to Consider Police Searches” <i>Hope Yen</i>	p. 512
“Court Restricts Warrantless Searches” <i>Bill Rankin</i>	p. 514

New Case: 04-1360 *Hudson v. Michigan*

Synopsis and Question Presented	p. 515
<i>People v. Stevens</i>	p. 517
“When Should Fourth Amendment Violations Lead to Suppression of Evidence? The Supreme Court Takes a ‘Knock and Announce’ Case” <i>Sherry F. Colb</i>	p. 528
“Supreme Court Agrees to Hear ACLU of Michigan ‘Search and Seizure’ Case” <i>ACLU of Michigan</i>	p. 533
“The ‘Knock and Announce’ Decision” <i>Martin A. Schwartz</i>	p. 534

VIII. THE REHNQUIST COURT

In This Section:

“Fall Cases on Hot-Button Issues May Hinge on the New Justice” <i>Charles Lane</i>	p. 538
“Supreme Modesty” <i>Jeffrey Rosen</i>	p. 541
“Court’s Term a Turn Back to the Center” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 543
“A Season of Dissent for the Chief Justice” <i>Joan Biskupic</i>	p. 550

“In Other News From the Middle, Some Shifts by Justice Kennedy” <i>Charles Lane</i>	p. 553
“Under the Microscope Longer than Most” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 556
“Center Court” <i>Jeffrey Rosen</i>	p. 559
“The Year Rehnquist May Have Lost His Court” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 562
“The Rehnquist Court” <i>Stuart Taylor, Jr.</i>	p. 566
“The Rehnquist Revolution” <i>Cass R. Sunstein</i>	p. 571
“A Look at a Rehnquist Legacy” <i>Charles Lane</i>	p. 574

IX. LOOKING AHEAD

In This Section:

War on Terror:

Part I: Guantanamo Bay

“U.S. Stymies Detainee Access Despite Ruling, Lawyers Say” <i>Carol Leonnig</i>	p. 579
“Guantanamo Trial Is Ruled Unlawful” <i>John Hendren</i>	p. 582
“Gonzales & the War” <i>Andrew McCarthy</i>	p. 585
“Ruling Lets U.S. Restart Trials at Guantanamo” <i>Neil A. Lewis</i>	p. 588

Part II: Hamdi and Padilla

“Bush’s Good Day in Court” <i>David B. Rivkin Jr. and Lee A. Casey</i>	p. 590
---	--------

“U.S., Bowing to Court Ruling, Will Free ‘Enemy Combatant’” <i>Eric Lichtblau</i>	p. 592
“Logic of Supreme Court’s Decisions Says that Alleged ‘Dirty Bomber’ Must Be Charged or Freed” <i>Robert A. Levy</i>	p. 594
“Judge Rules Terror Suspect Must Be Charged or Freed” <i>Richard A. Serrano</i>	p. 598
“U.S. May Still Charge ‘Enemy Combatant,’ Gonzales Says” <i>Richard B. Schmitt</i>	p. 601
“Padillas’s Case Returns to Supreme Court” <i>Bethany Broida</i>	p. 603
“Appeal of Detained Terrorism Suspect to Be Heard Today” <i>Jerry Markon</i>	p. 605

Defense of Marriage Act

“Judge Calls Defense of Marriage Act Legally Sound” <i>Bob Egelko</i>	p. 608
“Backing Two-Sex Marriage Is Upheld by Federal Judge” <i>Adam Liptak</i>	p. 610
“Supreme Court Paved Way for Marriage Ruling with Sodomy Law Decision” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 612
“States’ Recognition of Same-Sex Union May Be Tested” <i>Charles Lane</i>	p. 614
“Bush Has the Wrong Remedy to Court-Imposed Gay Marriage” <i>Stuart Taylor, Jr.</i>	p. 616
“Vote on Defense of Marriage Act Provision Treads Tricky Legal Ground in Limiting Courts’ Jurisdiction” <i>Seth Stern</i>	p. 619

Partial Birth Abortion

- “‘Partial-Birth’ Abortion Case Advances”
Lyle Denniston p. 621
- “The Next Abortion Case?”
Lyle Denniston p. 623
- “Federal Ban on Partial Birth Abortion:
Court Rules that Governments Can’t Outlaw Type of Abortion”
Linda Greenhouse p. 625
- “Senate Passes Ban on Abortion Procedure”
Helen DeWar p. 628
- “Next on Abortion: Supreme Collision”
Simon Lazarus p. 630
- “U.S. Judges in San Francisco Strikes Down Federal Law
Banning Form of Abortion”
Adam Liptak p. 633
- “U.S. Court in New York Rejects Partial-Birth Abortion Ban”
Julia Preston p. 636
- “Partial Birth is First Hurdle”
Jay Sekulow p. 638

Affirmative Action

- “Judges Back Jefferson Desegregation Plan”
Chris Kenning p. 640
- “Jefferson Suit is Expected to Proceed”
Chris Kenning p. 642
- “Court Backs Lynn Use of Race in School Plan”
Shelley Murphy and Maria Sacchetti p. 644
- “Judge OK’s Use of Race in School Assigning”
Thanassis Cambanis p. 647
- “A World Without Color; Segregation Deprives White Children Too”
Howard Manly p. 650

Felon Voting Rights

“Supreme Court Declines to Hear Two Cases Weighing the Right of Felons to Vote” <i>Linda Greenhouse</i>	p. 652
“11th Circuit Upholds Ban on Felon Voting” <i>Dan Christensen</i>	p. 654
“Disenfranchised Without Recourse?” <i>Gary Young</i>	p. 656
“Felony Disenfranchisement in the United States” <i>The Sentencing Project</i>	p. 658
“Once a Felon, Never a Voter?” <i>Megan Twohey</i>	p. 661
“Perps and Politics” <i>Roger Clegg</i>	p. 665
“Re-Enfranchising Felons” <i>Shawn Macomber</i>	p. 667

X. MISCELLANEOUS

In This Section

Also this Term: Other Cases from the 2005-06 Term

<i>Arbaugh v. Y&H Corp.</i>	p. 670
<i>Brown v. Sanders</i>	p. 670
<i>Buckeye Check Cashing, Inc. v. Cardegna</i>	p. 671
<i>Dolan v. United States Postal Service</i>	p. 671
<i>Domino’s Pizza, Inc. v. McDonald</i>	p. 672
<i>Hartman v. Moore</i>	p. 672
<i>Lamarque v. Chavis</i>	p. 673
<i>Lincoln Property Co. v. Roche</i>	p. 673

<i>Martin v. Franklin Capital Corp.</i>	p. 674
<i>Richards v. Prairie Band Potawatomi Nation</i>	p. 674
<i>United States v. Olson</i>	p. 675
<i>Unitherm Food Systems, Inc. v. Swift-Eckrich, Inc</i>	p. 676
<i>Whitman v. Department of Transportation</i>	p. 676
<i>Will v. Hallock</i>	p. 677